Plasma aromatase as a sensitive and selective potential biomarker of bladder cancer and its role in tumorigenesis
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Abstract. Bladder cancer (BCa) is the ninth most common cancer in the world and its early detection is crucial for successful therapy. Unfortunately, there are no satisfactory tools to detect BCAs at early stages and BCa’s confirmation muscle-invasive. The search for a suitable biomarker is therefore necessary and aromatase is a potential candidate. The purpose of the current study was to determine if aromatase serves as a biomarker of BCAs. A Surface Plasmon Resonance Imaging biosensor was applied for the quantification and determination of aromatase. A total of 3 µl blood plasma was used for a single measurement. The results revealed that the aromatase concentration in the plasma of patients with BCa (n=78) ranged from 17.41-57.44 ng/ml. Additionally, it was revealed that muscle invasive BCa samples exhibited elevated, statistically significant (P=0.01) average aromatase concentrations in blood plasma (38.64 ng/ml) when compared with non-muscle invasive samples (29.83 ng/ml). The results demonstrated that plasma aromatase may serve as an excellent biomarker of BCa with 100% sensitivity, 100% selectivity and an area under the curve value of the receiver operating characteristic curve equal to 1.0. Furthermore, the marker differentiated between muscle-invasive and non-muscle-invasive BCa with a sensitivity of 60% and a specificity of 81%. In conclusion, aromatase may serve a role in bladder tumorigenesis.

Introduction

Urinary bladder cancer (BCa) is the ninth most common cancer worldwide. It is the fifth most common malignancy in males and seventeenth most common in females with the global standardized incidence rate being 9/100,000 in males and 2/100,000 in females (1) Transitional cell carcinoma (TCC) is the predominant histologic type of BCa in the United States and Europe accounting for 90% of cases (2). Approximately 75% of BCas are diagnosed as superficial, confined to mucosa (T1, TIS) and submucosa (T1) while 25% are muscle-invasive (T2-T4) (3). BCa remains a highly prevalent and lethal malignancy. The optimal treatment selection depends on early diagnosis as well as accurate staging and grading. Gender differences in BCa have been proposed to result from the influence of sex hormones (4-7). The search for cancer biomarkers in blood and urine is worthy of intense attention due to patients’ comfort and ease of sampling. In general the expectation in respect to biomarkers is for their sensitivity and specificity to be as high as possible. Biomarkers have come to play an important role in routine clinical practice. They are seen as potential tools for the detection of and for the prediction of recurrence and progression of bladder carcinoma. Currently there is a need for new prognostic molecular biomarkers that can help clinicians to identify patients requiring early, aggressive treatment.

There are a dozen urinary biomarkers for BCa including the BCa stem-cell marker CD44 (8), the nuclear matrix protein 22 (NMP22) (9), the fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 (FGFR3) (9), the BCa-Specific Antigen-1 (BLCA-1) (10), cathepsin D (11), podoplanin (12), Cystatin C (13), BLCA-4, CYFRA 21-1 and Survivin (14). Several of them such as BLCA-1 (10), podoplanin (12) and Cystatin C (13) were also tested as blood serum biomarkers for BCa. Until now, however, none of the known urinary or serum biosensors exhibit satisfactory sensitivity and selectivity for successful diagnosis of BCa. The serum BLCA-1 marker is characterized by 74% sensitivity and 69% selectivity (10), the podoplanin serum biosensor shows 72% sensitivity and 69% selectivity (12) while Cystatin C displays 87% sensitivity and 92% selectivity (13). That is the reason that further research into finding new biomarkers for BCa is required. A recent publication of Wu et al (15) shows a high expression of aromatase in stroma associated with BCa and preliminary investigations confirmed that aromatase deserves our attention as a potential BCa biomarker.

Aromatase (known also as CYP19A1) is a key enzyme in the process of catalysis of androgens to estrogens. Raised
levels of aromatase may result in an intramural microenvironment with increased estrogen production (15). Nguyen has reported that aromatase expression is correlated with bladder tumor pathological stage as well as poor survivability and has shown that the estrogen receptor may have a promoting role during tumorigenesis (16).

Aromatase is an enzymatic complex responsible for the biosynthesis of estrogens from androgens occurring in all speleids. This complex contains two different proteins: NADPH-cytochrome P450 reductase and cytochrome all speleids. This complex contains two different proteins: NADPH-cytochrome P450 reductase and cytochrome P450 and is bound to the membrane of the endoplasmic reticulum of estrogen-producing cells via the N-terminal of the short, hydrophobic transmembrane domain (17). In humans aromatase is expressed in a number of cells such as the ovarian granular cells, the placental syncytiotrophoblast cells, Leydig cells, in skin fibroblasts and in many areas of the brain, including the hypothalamus, the hippocampus or the amygdala. Aromatase is also expressed in human adipose tissue. Increased expression of aromatase is critical in the pathology of such diseases as breast cancer, endometriosis or hypogonadism (18) while lack of or reduced activity of aromatase may cause reduced functioning of brain neurons and support the development of such diseases as Alzheimer's disease or Parkinson's disease. It has been scientifically proven that aromatase inhibitors (anastrozole, letrozole or exemestane) can be successfully used as drugs for hormone receptor breast cancer (19).

Aromatase activity can be measured through several methods. The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (20) has so far only been applied in tests for potential aromatase inhibitors. Other methods which deserve a mention include the mammalian cell bioassay and fluorescence substrate assay. Frequently the semi-quantitative immunohistochemical analysis is used, a method which additionally shows the location of aromatase in the tissue being investigated.

The concentration of aromatase in biological samples can also be measured using biosensors with surface plasmon resonance imaging (SPRi) detection with specific antibodies and inhibitors sensitive to Cytochrome P450aram used as receptors (11). Surface Plasmon Resonance Imaging (SPRi) is a sensitive, ‘label-free’ technique that can measure interactions between enzyme and inhibitor or antibody-antigen, which, in turn, can become a basis for the development of sensitive sensors for the determination of biologically active species (21,22). SPRi biosensors can be used to study interactions in various biological systems containing proteins, oligonucleotides, oligosaccharides, lipids, phages, particles and virus cells (23) as well as for quantitative analysis (24,25). Recently this technique has gained significance in the resolution of various clinical problems.

The aim of this work was to investigate aromatase as a potential plasma BCa biomarker. The recently developed SPRi biosensor (11) selective for aromatase was applied. The method exhibits a linear response range of 0.3-5 ng/ml, an LOD of 0.09 ng/l and an LOQ of 0.3 ng/ml. The precision (RSD) is 1%, and the recoveries of spikes in natural samples are within the range 98-103%. Plasma samples corresponding to different stages of BCa were collected. Healthy donors' plasma samples were used as controls. There is no information concerning aromatase concentration in human blood but two other papers reported aromatase activity in human serum (26,27).
and water and dried under a stream of argon. The next step was the immobilization of the receptor. Antibody solution in a PBS buffer (20 ng/ml) was activated with NHS (50 mM) and EDC (200 mM) in a carbonate buffer (pH=8.5) environment. Then the activated receptor was placed on thiol (cysteamine) modified surface and incubated at 37˚C for 1 h.

**SPRi measurements.** SPRi measurements for the protein biosensor array were performed as described previously (29). The signal was measured twice on the basis of registered images, after the immobilization of the antibody and then after the interaction with aromatase. Plasma samples were placed directly on the prepared biosensor for 10 min to allow an interaction with the receptor. The volume of the sample applied to each measuring field was 3 µl. After this time the biosensor was washed with water to remove unbound molecules from the surface. The SPRi technique used during the study measured the signal at a constant angle of light. Two images were recorded: The first image reflects the immobilization of the antibody and the second image shows the interaction of aromatase with the sample containing the analyte. The SPRi signal, which is proportional to the conjugated biomolecules, was obtained through the subtraction of the signal before and after the interaction with the biomolecule for each site separately.

**Statistical analysis.** All results are given as the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM), calculated on the basis of 12 repeated measurements. Statistical analyses were performed using Student’s t-test and P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves with optimal cut-off points were calculated. Sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values were specified for cut‑off points. All statistical analyses were performed using PQStat 1.6.4 Software.

### Results

**Changes in aromatase concentration.** A significant difference in plasma concentration of aromatase was observed between patients with BCa and healthy subjects. The aromatase concentration in plasma of BCa patients and healthy donors ranged from 17.41-57.44 and 2.59-7.74 ng/ml respectively. The median value for healthy individuals reached 3.99 (an average of 4.3) while that of BCa patients amounted to 33.82 (an average of 33.98) (Fig. 1). This difference is highly statistically significant, with a P‑value lower than 0.0001.

**Aromatase in terms of clinicopathological parameters of BCa.** The aromatase concentration results were analyzed in terms of different cancer parameters with the following factors being considered: recurrence of the tumor, tumor stage, tumor grade, size and multiply of the tumor. Table II shows the plasma concentration of the aromatase in correlation to clinicopathological characteristics.

The aromatase concentration in plasma of non muscle‑invasive and muscle‑invasive patients fit within the range of 17.41-46.78 and 20.64-57.44 ng/ml, respectively (Fig. 2). The median for non muscle‑invasive patients was 29.83 ng/ml (an average of 31.19) and that of muscle-invasive BCa patients was calculated at 38.64 ng/ml (an average of 37.53). This difference is statistically significant, with a P‑value lower than 0.0001.

**ROC analysis.** ROC curve analysis was performed and demonstrated that blood plasma aromatase levels could be used to distinguish patients with bladder tumor from healthy individuals through the area under the curve of 1 (P=0.0001). Specificity, sensitivity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) was estimated at 100% (Fig. 3). Furthermore, the level of plasma aromatase was able to distinguish patients with muscle invasive tumors from patients with non muscle–invasive tumors through the area under the curve of 0.7 (P=0.0012). Other parameter values

---

Table I. Demographic and clinicopathological characteristics of patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>≤65</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;65</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor stage</td>
<td>Superficial (Ta+T1)</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Invasive (T2+T3+T4)</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor grade</td>
<td>Low grade</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High grade</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (mm)</td>
<td>≤30</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrence</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recurrent</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplicity</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multiply</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Box plots of aromatase blood plasma concentration in healthy individuals and patients with BCa tumors. BCa, bladder cancer.
Discussion

The obtained results are surprisingly good. The values for the average and the median for aromatase plasma concentration for patients with BCa are almost 10 times higher than the corresponding values for healthy individuals. The gap between the lowest result for BCa patients (17.41 ng/ml) and the highest result from the set of results for healthy individuals (7.74 ng/ml) is almost 10 ng/ml and there are no overlapping results within these two groups (Fig. 1). The difference is highly statistically significant, with a P-value lower than 0.0001. Thus, plasma aromatase as the BCa marker exhibits 100% sensitivity and 100% selectivity and the AUC of the ROC curve is 1.0 (Fig. 3). The cut-off value concentration calculated from the ROC curves is equal to 17.41 ng/ml. Results above this value should be considered as positive for TCC. This type of result is rare not only for BCa markers but also in general. The other studied potential blood BCa markers (BLCA-1, podoplanin, cystatin C) exhibited 72-87% sensitivity and 69-92%
partly overlap (Fig. 2) but the higher the aromatase concentration the higher the probability of muscle invasiveness of BCa. The cut-off value concentration calculated from the comparison to non-muscle invasive cancers with their sensitivity reaching 60% and their specificity being 81%. Thus, the results for non-muscle and for muscle invasive cancer partly overlap (Fig. 2) but the higher the aromatase concentration the higher the probability of muscle invasiveness of BCa. The cut-off value concentration calculated from the ROC curve is equal to 37.31 ng/ml. Patients with aromatase concentrations above this value should be considered as having bladder invasive TCC, subject to a sensitivity of 60% and a specificity of 81%.

Aromatase expression was found to be high in epithelial and stroma tissue of BCa and was associated with worse overall survival rate (15). These results are consistent with those reported in this paper. High aromatase expression was found in endometrial cancer but conflicting findings were presented for prostate cancer where aromatase overexpression demonstrates a protective effect (30). This suggests that sex hormones have a different influence on particular cancers' biology (31).

Generally, hormones may play an important role in BCa. Epidemiological differences between males and females (3) suggest potential involvement of sex hormones in tumorigenesis and progression of BCa (32). Men are 3 to 4 times more often afflicted by BCa (2) even after chemical influence and cigarette smoking are taken into consideration (33). Females are diagnosed more frequently with a more progressive stage of the disease and display poorer treatment results after cystectomy and a higher risk of disease reoccurrence in comparison to males, a fact that may be explained by earlier invasion and progression. Moreover, it has been proven that estrogen, estrogen receptor, testosterone and dihydrotestosterone (34-36) as well as androgen receptor (37) are involved in BCa development. It has been reported that loss of androgen receptor expression was correlated with the progression of BCa stage (5). Interdependence between hormone receptors and aromatase observed in case of breast cancer (38,39) may also be a significant factor in BCa development.

According to Kirma et al (39) aromatase may directly contribute to tumorigenesis by paracrine elevation of estrogen what leads to the induction of genes involved in cell cycle and down-regulation of tumor suppressor genes altering their mutual relationships and increasing cellular proliferation. This is consistent with the findings of Tekmal and Santen (40) who observed that local estrogen synthesis via aromatase in breast tissue could be important in the initiation of breast cancer as well as for its progression.

Thus, apart from advancing the proposal of a new BCa biomarker our results may also introduce a new factor in understanding BCa biology. It should be pointed out that this paper provides initial data concerning aromatase concentration in blood plasma, although the enzyme may be present in various tissues, including adipose.

Finally, it is worth stressing the significance of the introduction of the SPRi technique into the set of tools used in the investigation of cancer biomarkers. A limited number of reliable methods available for the determination of cancer biomarkers in body fluids is a significant barrier in the faster development of so called liquid biopsy. The SPRi technique is label-free, relatively inexpensive, operationally simple, sufficiently accurate and precise and the results of this paper have confirmed its potential within this area.

In conclusion, plasma aromatase may serve as an excellent biomarker of BCa with 100% sensitivity, 100% selectivity and an AUC value of the ROC curve equal to 1.0. Additionally, levels of plasma aromatase distinguish between muscle-invasive and non muscle-invasive BCa with a sensitivity of 60% and a specificity of 81%. The present study also determined the concentration of aromatase present in the plasma of healthy individuals and patients with BCa.
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