Abstract. Human papillomavirus (HPV) infection has a key role in the development of cervical cancer. The present study aimed to determine the HPV type distribution among females of Uyghur ethnicity in Xinjiang province, northwest China. A total of 12,165 individuals aged 30-79 years from 12 villages in Zepu County, Kashgar Prefecture, Xinjiang province were recruited for screening. Cervical and vaginal swabs from each subject were collected by gynecologists and tested for HPV DNA using Luminex xMAP technology. The results indicated that the overall HPV prevalence was 9.34% (1,136/12,165) in the present cohort; 7.41% (901/12,165) of the individuals were positive for high-risk type HPV (HR-HPV) and 1.64% (200/12,165) were positive for multiple types. Among the individuals who tested positive for HR-HPV types, the three most prevalent types were HPV16 (2.83%), HPV31 (0.99%) and HPV68 (0.88%). Subgroup analysis by age indicated that the highest frequency of HPV infections occurred in subjects aged >60 years. The most common genotype combinations in subjects with multiple types were HPV16 + HPV54, HPV16 + HPV31 and HPV16 + HPV68. The present study provided data on the prevalence and type distribution of HPV infection in Uyghur females in Xinjiang province, northwest China, which will assist in the assessment of the potential cost-effectiveness of HPV screening and vaccination in this population. The data will also facilitate the determination of HPV68 and 54 strains that should be included in the multi-type vaccine and the establishment of a vaccination program that caters for the different age groups of the Uyghur population.

Introduction

Cervical cancer is the second most common type of gynecological cancer in developing countries, with incidence and mortality rates only second to breast cancer in China (1). In 2018, there were 106,000 novel cases of cervical cancer and 33,000 mortalities in China (2). Human papillomavirus (HPV) has been proven to be the cause of numerous reproductive tract diseases and is the major cause of cervical cancer (3,4). According to the risk degree of tumor occurrence associated with infection with various strains of HPV, these strains may be divided into high-risk (HR-HPV) and low-risk (LR-HPV) types. In particular, persistent infection with HR-HPV is the major cause of cervical cancer and oropharyngeal cancer (5), while LR-HPV infection mainly leads to genital warts (4).

As HPV has an important role in the natural etiology of cervical cancer, HR-HPV screening is more sensitive and cost-effective than other screening methods (6). In recent years, large-scale vaccination projects have been performed in numerous countries and the preventive effect of HPV vaccines on HPV-associated diseases has been confirmed in various studies (7,8). In 2014, Gardasil 9, a vaccine that protects against HPV6, 11, 16, 18, 31, 33, 45, 52 and 58, was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration and its application was launched. However, Gardasil 9 does not cover all HR-HPV types that may lead to cervical cancer and the prevalence of HPV types is affected by geography, with a certain degree of variation in different countries and regions (9).

In China, although certain studies have been performed to assess the prevalence and incidence rates of HPV genotypes in Yunnan, Henan and Qingdao, most of them are based on small samples (10-12). Xinjiang Province, located in the northwestern part of China, is relatively undeveloped from an economical and cultural perspective. There is a large Uyghur population in this region and the HPV distribution reported in previous studies was only investigated in small numbers of subjects (13). However, no previous survey has performed any large-sample screening in this population.

Therefore, it is necessary to investigate the prevalence and type distribution of HPV in Uyghur females in Xinjiang Province, northwest China. In the present study, a larger sample size (12,165) of the population was screened to assess the prevalence and type distribution of HPV infection in...
Xinjiang. The present results will contribute to the evaluation of the potential cost-effectiveness of HPV screening and vaccination in Xinjiang province.

Materials and methods

Study population. The present study included females in 12 villages in Zepu county, Xinjiang Province. A total of 12,165 Uyghur females attended the Maternal and Child Health Hospital and outpatient clinics and expressed their willingness to undergo cervical cancer screening between January 2015 and December 2017. Subjects were included if they met the following criteria: i) History of sexual activity; no current pregnancy, menstruation and lactation; ii) no history of hormone therapy in the past 6 months; iii) no history of cancer; iv) no history of cervical surgery, radiotherapy or chemotherapy; and v) no history of treatment for HPV infection.

HPV-DNA testing. A total of 12,165 samples were tested by the HPV Liquid Bead Microarray (CapitalBio Medical Laboratory Center), which is able to detect 18 types of HR-HPV (16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 53, 56, 58, 59, 66, 68, 73, 82 and 83) and 10 types of LR-HPV (6, 11, 40, 42, 43, 44, 54, 61, 70 and 81). A total of 5 µl of each extracted DNA sample was used for PCR amplification. PCR was performed at 94°C for 30 sec, then at 58°C for 30 sec and at 72°C for 30 sec for 5 cycles. Subsequently, PCR was performed at 94°C for 30 sec, then at 55°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 30 sec for 35 cycles, with a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products obtained by the above methods were rapidly hybridized at 94°C for 5 min and at 48°C for 30 min. Analyses of hybridization and its related data were performed using the Luminex 200 multi-functional streaming lattice system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and its corresponding xPONENT® data analysis software (version 3.1; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), respectively.

Instruments and reagents. A Luminex 200 multi-function flow matrix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), Mastercycler Gradient gene amplification instrument (Eppendorf) were used in the present study. The DNA extraction, PCR amplification, bead-coated hybridization, digital signal processing, biotin universal primers, biotin marking β-globin PCR primers (Table S1), and Luminex suspension beads and floating microsphere hybridization probe were provided by Tellgen Corporation.

Cervical specimen collection. The cervical exfoliated cells of the included women were collected by the clinician using a specialized cervical brush. Each cervical specimen was placed in 2.5 ml of a sampling tube with a special cell preservation solution (Tellgen Corporation). All samples were delivered to the laboratory at -20°C and tested within 48 h.

DNA extraction. The samples in the preservation solution were thoroughly mixed before 1 ml of each sample was placed in a centrifuge tube. The supernatant was collected following centrifugation at 21,578 x g for 5 min at 4°C, and the nucleic acid was extracted using a nucleic acid extraction kit after washing with normal saline. Finally, the sample DNA was dissolved in 50 µl elution buffer (Tellgen Corporation) and stored at -20°C.

Luminex liquid-phase chip primer design. The detection of HPV genotypes in tissues by Luminex liquid-phase chip technology was performed by PCR amplification using universal primers covering different HPV subtypes; these primers target the high-protection zone of HPV L1 fragments in samples. At the same time, to quantify the experimental process and minimize sampling and instrument errors, an internal control comprising β-globin PCR primers for the detection of the augmented β-globin gene was included.

HPV typing and hybridization assay. Multiple PCR flow hybridization was used to detect HPV infection in the DNA extracted from the samples, including 18 types of HR-HPV (16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 53, 56, 58, 59, 66, 68, 73, 82 and 83) and 10 types of LR-HPV (6, 11, 40, 42, 43, 44, 54, 61, 70 and 81). A total of 5 µl of each extracted DNA sample was used for PCR amplification. PCR was performed at 94°C for 30 sec, then at 58°C for 30 sec and at 72°C for 30 sec for 5 cycles. Subsequently, PCR was performed at 94°C for 30 sec, then at 55°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 30 sec for 35 cycles, with a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products obtained by the above methods were rapidly hybridized at 94°C for 5 min and at 48°C for 30 min. Analyses of hybridization and its related data were performed using the Luminex 200 multi-functional streaming lattice system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and its corresponding xPONENT® data analysis software (version 3.1; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), respectively.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 22 for Windows (IBM Corp.) for the calculation of the overall and type-specific prevalence of HPV. All genotypes from single and multiple infections were computed individually. These data were stratified by age (≤35, 36-40, 41-45, 46-50, 51-55, 56-60 and ≥61 years). The χ² test was used to compare the prevalence between different age groups. P<0.05 (two-sided) was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Prevalence of HPV infection in Xinjiang province. A total of 12,217 individuals were initially considered and total of 12,165 individuals (average age, 44.55±7.41 years) were included in subsequent analyses, after 52 individuals were excluded from further analysis due to lack of data on the HPV genotype. The prevalence of HPV infections is presented in Table I. Among the 12,165 individuals, the overall HPV-positive rate was 9.34% (1,136/12,165). The HR-HPV-positive rate was 7.41% (901/12,165). Among the HPV-positive females, 936 were positive for a single HPV type (936/1,136, 82.39% of HPV infections; 936/12,165, 7.70% of all samples) and 200 were positive for multiple types (200/1,136, 17.61% of HPV infections; 200/12,165, 1.64% of all samples). In addition, 79.31% (901/1,136) had HR-HPV infections, 15.32% (174/1,136) had LR-HPV infections and only 5.37% (61/1,136) had both HR- and LR-HPV infections.

Age-specific prevalence of HPV infection. The age-stratified HPV DNA prevalence across the different age groups is presented in Table I. The prevalence of HPV infection was significantly different among the age groups (χ²=41.574, P<0.001) and was highest in the ≥61-year-old group (14.81%, 174/1,136). HPV-positve rates increased with age (χ²=38.880, P<0.001). The HPV-positive rate was significantly higher in females aged 50 years and above (12.07%, 289/2,394) than in females aged up to 50 years (8.67%, 847/9,771; χ²=26.307, P<0.001). The prevalence of multiple HPV infections and frequency of HR-HPV infections were also highest in the ≥61-year-old group (6.02%, 26/432 and 12.73%, 55/432). The prevalence of multiple HPV infections and the frequency of HR-HPV infections also increased with age (χ²=16.485,
The increase in HPV prevalence was most pronounced in the groups aged 56-60 and >60 years. The prevalence of single HPV infections and LR-HPV infections was highest in the 56-60-year-old group (10.51%, 78/742 and 2.43%, 18/742), but LR-HPV and single HPV infections were lowest in the ≥61- and ≤35-year-old groups, respectively.

Distribution and genotypes of HPV infections. The positive rate and the distribution of HPV infection are listed in Table II. The most common HR-HPV types were HPV16 (30.28%), HPV31 (10.65%), HPV68 (9.42%) and HPV52 (7.48%), and the most common LR-HPV types were HPV54 (10.48%), HPV42 (3.26%), HPV6 (2.99%) and HPV61 (1.94%).

Among the positive cases, the prevalence of each HR-HPV type was significantly different between the different age groups ($\chi^2=6.454$, P=0.011; Fig. 1). HPV16, 31, 68 and 52 were consistently the four most common types of HR-HPV in each age group. The prevalence of HPV16 was the highest in the ≥61-year-old group, followed by the 51-55- and 56-60-year-old groups. The prevalence of HPV31 was the highest in the ≥61-year-old group, followed by the 56-60- and 51-55-year-old groups. However, the prevalence of HPV52 was highest in the 56-60-year-old group, which was different from HPV16 and HPV31.

The prevalence of each type of LR-HPV was also significantly different between the different age groups ($\chi^2=6.454$, P=0.011; Fig. 1). HPV16, 31, 68 and 52 were consistently the four most common types of LR-HPV in each age group. The prevalence of HPV16 was the highest in the ≥61-year-old group, followed by the 51-55- and 56-60-year-old groups. The prevalence of HPV31 was the highest in the ≥61-year-old group, followed by the 56-60- and 51-55-year-old groups. However, the prevalence of HPV52 was highest in the 56-60-year-old group, which was different from HPV16 and HPV31.

The prevalence of each type of LR-HPV was also significantly different between the different age groups ($\chi^2=6.454$, P=0.011; Fig. 1). HPV16, 31, 68 and 52 were consistently the four most common types of LR-HPV in each age group. The prevalence of HPV16 was the highest in the ≥61-year-old group, followed by the 51-55- and 56-60-year-old groups. The prevalence of HPV31 was the highest in the ≥61-year-old group, followed by the 56-60- and 51-55-year-old groups. However, the prevalence of HPV52 was highest in the 56-60-year-old group, which was different from HPV16 and HPV31.

The prevalence of each type of LR-HPV was also significantly different between the different age groups ($\chi^2=6.454$, P=0.011; Fig. 1). HPV16, 31, 68 and 52 were consistently the four most common types of LR-HPV in each age group. The prevalence of HPV16 was the highest in the ≥61-year-old group, followed by the 51-55- and 56-60-year-old groups. The prevalence of HPV31 was the highest in the ≥61-year-old group, followed by the 56-60- and 51-55-year-old groups. However, the prevalence of HPV52 was highest in the 56-60-year-old group, which was different from HPV16 and HPV31.

The prevalence of each type of LR-HPV was also significantly different between the different age groups ($\chi^2=6.454$, P=0.011; Fig. 1). HPV16, 31, 68 and 52 were consistently the four most common types of LR-HPV in each age group. The prevalence of HPV16 was the highest in the ≥61-year-old group, followed by the 51-55- and 56-60-year-old groups. The prevalence of HPV31 was the highest in the ≥61-year-old group, followed by the 56-60- and 51-55-year-old groups. However, the prevalence of HPV52 was highest in the 56-60-year-old group, which was different from HPV16 and HPV31.

The prevalence of each type of LR-HPV was also significantly different between the different age groups ($\chi^2=6.454$, P=0.011; Fig. 1). HPV16, 31, 68 and 52 were consistently the four most common types of LR-HPV in each age group. The prevalence of HPV16 was the highest in the ≥61-year-old group, followed by the 51-55- and 56-60-year-old groups. The prevalence of HPV31 was the highest in the ≥61-year-old group, followed by the 56-60- and 51-55-year-old groups. However, the prevalence of HPV52 was highest in the 56-60-year-old group, which was different from HPV16 and HPV31.

The prevalence of each type of LR-HPV was also significantly different between the different age groups ($\chi^2=6.454$, P=0.011; Fig. 1). HPV16, 31, 68 and 52 were consistently the four most common types of LR-HPV in each age group. The prevalence of HPV16 was the highest in the ≥61-year-old group, followed by the 51-55- and 56-60-year-old groups. The prevalence of HPV31 was the highest in the ≥61-year-old group, followed by the 56-60- and 51-55-year-old groups. However, the prevalence of HPV52 was highest in the 56-60-year-old group, which was different from HPV16 and HPV31.

The prevalence of each type of LR-HPV was also significantly different between the different age groups ($\chi^2=6.454$, P=0.011; Fig. 1). HPV16, 31, 68 and 52 were consistently the four most common types of LR-HPV in each age group. The prevalence of HPV16 was the highest in the ≥61-year-old group, followed by the 51-55- and 56-60-year-old groups. The prevalence of HPV31 was the highest in the ≥61-year-old group, followed by the 56-60- and 51-55-year-old groups. However, the prevalence of HPV52 was highest in the 56-60-year-old group, which was different from HPV16 and HPV31.

The prevalence of each type of LR-HPV was also significantly different between the different age groups ($\chi^2=6.454$, P=0.011; Fig. 1). HPV16, 31, 68 and 52 were consistently the four most common types of LR-HPV in each age group. The prevalence of HPV16 was the highest in the ≥61-year-old group, followed by the 51-55- and 56-60-year-old groups. The prevalence of HPV31 was the highest in the ≥61-year-old group, followed by the 56-60- and 51-55-year-old groups. However, the prevalence of HPV52 was highest in the 56-60-year-old group, which was different from HPV16 and HPV31.
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Discussion

HPV-DNA detection is of great importance for the screening and prevention of cervical cancer. However, cervical cancer screening started comparatively late in China and there is still a huge gap between China and certain developed countries in terms of screening, diagnosis and treatment (14). The distribution data of HPV genotypes in different regions are still not sufficiently comprehensive, particularly in remote areas in western China, and the molecular epidemiological data still require to be further improved to provide a scientific basis for the prevention, treatment and elimination of HPV infection-associated diseases.

In the present study, 28 common oncogenic HPV types were detected in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region of China, each with a distinct distribution pattern. There were certain differences in the distribution of HPV infection rates and subtypes in different regions and studying these differences will be helpful in the prevention and treatment of HPV infection and the development of HPV vaccines.

The present study suggested that the total HPV infection rate in the female screening population was 9.34%. The overall prevalence of HPV in different parts of China ranges from 7.23% (Chaozhou, South China) to 36.55% (Harbin, Northeast China) (15-17). The present results were similar to those for Ningxia, Shanxi, Qinghai and northwest Gansu (7.67-9.03%) (18), but lower than those of a previous study on a Xinjiang population (10.96%) (13). The difference may be mainly due to the fact that the present study, which was based on a screening population, had a much larger sample size. With the increasing awareness of cervical cancer, numerous asymptomatic individuals participate in HPV screening and the prevalence of HPV determined in the present study is likely to be more reflective of the actual prevalence. In Asian countries, the prevalence of HPV varies from 1.60 to 14.26% in females without any cervical abnormalities (19). Furthermore, the prevalence of HPV may be affected by the type of recruitment (outpatient-based or screening-based), examination techniques and time interval for HPV-DNA test (20).

From the present results, an age-associated HPV infection prevalence curve was produced. The prevalence curve, whether representing total infections with HPV, multiple strains of HPV or HR-HPV, exhibited only one maximum, which was in females aged 61 years and above. Other studies have reported a bimodal age distribution, with a peak in females aged ≤20 years and a second peak in females aged >60 years (16,21-24). As the subjects who were screened for cervical cancer in this area were all >30 years old, it was not possible to determine the HPV infection rate in young females, which may be the reason why the peak previously reported in younger females was not observed. In fact, the
peak in younger females may be attributed to the fact that young females may have multiple sexual partners and also have less immunity to HPV infection (25). More attention should be paid to the second peak of HPV infection in females aged >60 years. The high rate of HPV infection in menopausal females may be due to the physiological and immune disorders caused by the persistence of the virus or the reactivation of latent HPV (26,27). Therefore, HPV detection through cervical cancer screening programs holds clinical value for perimenopausal females. HPV-preventive vaccines yield more clinical benefits when vaccinated in early life (8). Thus, it is speculated that adolescent girls aged 13-15 years could benefit more than older women of HPV-infection status would from an HPV vaccination program in China (28).

The most common HR-HPV subtypes among females worldwide are HPV16, 18, 52, 31, 58, 33 and 51, although their prevalence varies by region (29). In the present study, the four most prevalent HR-HPV types were HPV16, 31, 68 and 52. Similar to the results of previous studies (15,30-32), HPV16 was the most common HR-HPV. However, the present results were different in that HPV31 and HPV52 were more common in the present cohort than HPV18. Another noteworthy point is that HPV68 was not common in Europe, North America and Australia (29,33,34), but HPV68 was the third most prevalent strain in the present study, uncovering the unique distribution of HR-HPV in Uyghur females in Xinjiang. This suggests the regional diversity and dynamic changes characteristic of HPV infection and that HPV infection distributions may exhibit inhomogeneities among different ethnicities and regions. The samples of the present study were obtained from the Kashgar in southern Xinjiang, which has a large Uyghur population, and the sample size was relatively large; hence, the results obtained should be representative to a certain extent. Of note, Gardasil 9, which is a 9-valent HPV vaccine, was approved for use in China in 2018. Compared with the 2-valent and 4-valent HPV vaccines, the 9-valent HPV vaccine offers protection against >90% of cervical cancers (35), thereby being able to prevent cervical cancer. The 9-valent vaccine targets high-risk HPV16, -18, -52, -58, -31, -33 and -45, as well as low-risk HPV6 and -11. Due to the distribution of HPV genotypes exhibiting obvious regional differences, elucidation of the distribution of HR-HPV types in a specific region, as was performed in the present study, is conducive to targeted HPV vaccine development and clinical application.

Certain studies have indicated that infection with multiple HPV types has a significant impact on the duration of type-specific episodes and the development of cervical cancer. Fife et al (36) reported a correlation between the high incidence of cervical cancer and acquiring infection with multiple types of HPV. In addition, Torttier et al (37) indicated that co-infection increases the duration of infection. Perrons et al (38) reported that co-infection with HPV68 and -16 increased the risk of high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions and cervical cancer compared to that with HPV16 alone or HPV68 alone. Due to its impact on the occurrence of cervical cancer, the prevalence of multiple HPV infections is of great significance in the prognosis of patients with persistent infection. The present study indicated that the proportion of multiple infections in HPV-positive females was 17.61%, which was lower than that in Beijing (27.73%) and Shanxi (24.31%) (21). The most common genotype combinations in the present study population were HPV16 + HPV54 and HPV16 + HPV31, which are different from those determined by Zhao et al (39), namely HPV16 + HPV52 and HPV52 + HPV58.

There are several limitations to the present study. First, the study lacked information on the behavior of the enrolled individuals. Certain studies have indicated that behavioral factors may increase the risk of HPV infection and cervical cancer, but the lack of such information in the present study makes it difficult to analyze the effect of these potential exposure variables on the development of cervical cancer (40). In addition, the present study only focused on the distribution data of HPV genotypes in Xinjiang province. As no cytological data were collected from enrolled individuals; hence, the cytological classification of the cervical lesions was unknown, which may affect the baseline prevalence. In addition, due to the lack of samples from females below the
age of 30 years, these results may not fully reflect the overall population of Uyghur females in Xinjiang. Furthermore, certain studies have reported that HPV infection may cause not only cervical cancer but oropharyngeal cancer and head and neck cancer (5), suggesting the requirement to focus on the association between HPV infection and oropharyngeal cancer and head and neck cancer in Uyghur females in future studies. In addition, the obtainment of data on HPV infection in Uyghur males was beyond the scope of the present study, while this may be considered as a potential direction for future research.

In conclusion, the present study revealed the distribution of different HPV types and the prevalence of HPV infection by genotypes with vaccines available for >12,000 Uyghur females in a screening population from Xinjiang province, northwest China. This information will help evaluate the potential cost-effectiveness of HPV screening and vaccination in Xinjiang. In addition, the present results have important implications for the development and application of HPV vaccines, suggesting that more HPV types (such as HPV68 and 54) should be considered for inclusion in the next generation of HPV vaccines.
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