

# Maternal embryonic leucine zipper kinase: A novel biomarker and a potential therapeutic target in lung adenocarcinoma

SHENGSONG CHEN<sup>1,2</sup>, ZHANPENG LU<sup>3</sup>, XIAOYONG CHEN<sup>2</sup>, XIYA WU<sup>2</sup>, HONGYING TU<sup>2</sup>, LINGLING YU<sup>1</sup> and ZUKE XIAO<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University; <sup>2</sup>Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Jiangxi Provincial People's Hospital Affiliated to Nanchang University, Nanchang, Jiangxi 330006; <sup>3</sup>Department of Critical Care Medicine, The Eighth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-Sen University, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518033, P.R. China

Received January 14, 2020; Accepted June 19, 2020

DOI: 10.3892/ol.2020.12010

Abstract. Maternal embryonic leucine zipper kinase (MELK), is an adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase-related kinase that serves important roles in tumourigenesis in multiple malignant tumours. However, to the best of our knowledge, the effect of MELK in lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) has not been elucidated. The present study aimed to explore the clinical significance of MELK in the prognosis of LUAD. Data from Oncomine, Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA) and The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) were selected to predict the differential mRNA expression levels of MELK mRNA in LUAD and normal tissues. Subsequently, LUAD and adjacent normal tissue samples were collected from 75 patients with the disease, and immunohistochemistry was used to detect the protein expression of MELK. In addition, the Kaplan-Meier Plotter database, GEPIA and TCGA were used to verify the effect of MELK expression on clinical prognosis in patients with LUAD. MELK was significantly upregulated in LUAD tissues compared with that in normal tissues based on

Dr Zuke Xiao, Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Jiangxi Provincial People's Hospital Affiliated to Nanchang University, 92 Aiguo Road, Nanchang, Jiangxi 330006, P.R. China

E-mail: xiaozuke@126.com

*Abbreviations:* LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; MELK, maternal embryonic leucine zipper kinase; GEPIA, Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas

*Key words:* MELK, lung adenocarcinoma, prognosis, immunohistochemistry, bioinformatics Oncomine, GEPIA and TCGA data (P<0.05). In addition, the results from immunohistochemistry demonstrated that the MELK protein level in LUAD tissues was significantly higher compared with that in matched normal tissues (P<0.05). Prognostic analysis performed using the Kaplan-Meier plotter, GEPIA and TCGA suggested that the expression of MELK was negatively associated with the overall survival time of patients with LUAD (P<0.05). In conclusion, MELK was highly expressed in LUAD based on bioinformatics and immunohistochemistry analysis, and increased expression of MELK was associated with a poor patient prognosis. MELK may serve as a potential diagnostic marker and therapeutic target for LUAD.

#### Introduction

Lung cancer is the most common malignancy and the leading cause of death among all types of cancer worldwide, with 11.6% of the total cancer and 18.4% of the total cancer deaths according to the Global Cancer Statistics 2018. Lung cancer contains multiple subtypes, such as small cell lung cancer (SCLC), squamous cell carcinoma and lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD). LUAD is the most common type of lung cancer (1,2). In recent decades, an increased understanding of the underlying molecular mechanisms of LUAD has been obtained, and notable progress has been made in analysis of LUAD prognosis, especially in terms of tumour markers (1). For example, in a previous study, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) expression was high in 62% of patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), and when treated with inhibitors of EGFR, these patients had an improved prognosis compared with patients without EGFR upregulation (3). Therefore, targeted therapy is becoming increasingly popular, and new and more efficient diagnostic and therapeutic targets in LUAD are urgently needed.

Growing evidence has confirmed that the expression of certain genes to which the cancer has become 'addicted' or 'dependent on' is necessary for tumour growth (4,5). Silencing these genes or inhibiting the activity of the proteins they encode may promote cancer apoptosis (4,5). Maternal embryonic leucine zipper kinase (MELK), an adenosine

*Correspondence to:* Dr Lingling Yu, Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, 1 Minde Road, Nanchang, Jiangxi 330006, P.R. China E-mail: linglingsmilejy@126.com

monophosphate-activated protein kinase-related kinase, is upregulated in multiple malignant tumours, including breast cancer, melanoma, glioblastoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, acute leukaemia and ovarian cancer (6-11). In addition, some cancer types have been reported to depend on the upregulation of MELK, such as breast cancer, glioma and melanoma, and MELK serves as an oncogenic driver gene inhibiting increased cell apoptosis and suppressed growth of tumours (4). OTSSP167, a selective small molecule inhibitor of MELK, is currently being evaluated in phase I/II clinical research in patients with breast cancer, glioblastoma and acute leukaemia (8,11-13). Notably, bioinformatics screening studies conducted using data from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) and The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), among others, have demonstrated that MELK is one of the most frequently identified hub genes in lung cancer, and high expression of MELK is associated with worse overall survival (OS) time compared with low expression of MELK in patients with NSCLC (1,14,15). This suggests that MELK may be a key biomarker for diagnosis and therapy; however, further clinical data, especially from clinical samples, are needed to increase the accuracy and credibility of such findings.

Therefore, in the present study, the differential expression of MELK in patients with LUAD and its association with prognosis were assessed. Oncomine, Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA) and TCGA data were used to determine the levels of MELK mRNA in malignant tumour and normal lung tissues. In addition, immunohistochemical staining was used to detect the expression of MELK protein in LUAD and adjacent normal tissue. Kaplan-Meier plotter, GEPIA and TCGA survival analyses were conducted to compare the prognostic outcomes in patients with LUAD with different levels of MELK.

#### Materials and methods

Bioinformatics mining methods. Data from the Oncomine (https://www.oncomine.org) (16) and TCGA (https://tcga-data. nci.nih.gov/tcga/) databases (17,18), and GEPIA (http://gepia. cancer-pku.cn) (18,19), were used to estimate the expression of MELK in LUAD and adjacent normal tissues (16-19). The search parameters in Oncomine were as follows: Analysis type, cancer vs. normal; data source, public; cancer type, selected specific cancer type; sample type, clinical specimen and; data type, mRNA. Fold-change (FC) >2, P<0.05 and a gene rank in the top 10% were set as the thresholds for selecting the datasets. The results of differential expression analyses, including subgroup, are also from TCGA and GEPIA. FC >2 and P<0.05 were set as the thresholds of gene upregulation. Among them, Oncomine and TCGA databases provide the number of samples in different groups; however, GEPIA does not provide the number of samples in different groups. Kaplan-Meier plotterfrom dataset ID 204825 (http://kmplot.com/analysis), GEPIA and TCGA were used to analyse the prognosis of patients with differential expression of MELK (20). Briefly, the patient samples were divided into 2 groups according to transcripts per million (TPM) value. The data with TPM greater than upper quartile was assigned to a high expression group and the others with TPM below upper quartile belonged to low/medium expression group. Then the



Figure 1. MELK expression in different cancer types was analysed with Oncomine. The expression of MELK in different cancers is summarized in the figure. The numbers of unique comparisons satisfying the thresholds (P<0.01; fold change  $\geq$ 2; gene rank  $\leq$ 10%; and data type, mRNA) are displayed in the coloured cells. Red denotes upregulation of MELK in tumour tissues compared with normal tissues, whereas blue indicates decreased expression of MELK in tumour tissues compared with normal tissues. The gene rank is depicted by the colour gradient in the cells. CNS, central nervous system; MELK, maternal embryonic leucine zipper kinase.

gene expression data were put into R software to obtain the survival plots, in which the number-at-risk was shown below the main plot.

Patients. A total of 75 cancer tissue and 35 normal adjacent tissue samples were obtained from patients diagnosed with LUAD who underwent surgical resection were recruited from Jiangxi Provincial People's Hospital (Nanchang, China) between September 2018 and August 2019. The distance between normal adjacent tissue and tumour tissue was 3 cm. The inclusion/exclusion criteria were as follows: i) Patients who underwent primary surgical resection and had histopathologically confirmed LUAD; ii) patients who were  $\geq 18$  years old, iii) patients who had no pulmonary metastases or other concomitant cancers; and iv) patients for whom informed consent was provided for the use of tissues. Detailed patient clinical information was collected retrospectively, including age (46 males and 29 females), sex (mean age, 61.6 years; range 40-83 years), tumour location, tumour size, histological differentiation grade, lymph node metastasis, and Tumour-Node-Metastasis (TNM) stage. All patients were staged according to the 8th edition of the TNM staging system for lung cancer (21). There was missing data in tumour





Figure 2. Levels of MELK expression in LUAD based on data from the Oncomine database. (A) Meta-analysis of the 7 analyses on MELK mRNA levels in LUAD identified via Oncomine. (B) mRNA expression of MELK in LUAD using Oncomine database. (a) MELK mRNA expression in LUAD in Hou Lung dataset. (b) MELK mRNA expression in LUAD in Landi Lung dataset. (c) MELK mRNA expression in LUAD in Okayama Lung dataset. (d) MELK mRNA expression in LUAD in Beer Lung dataset. (e) MELK mRNA expression in LUAD in Selamat Lung dataset. (f) MELK mRNA expression in LUAD in Stearman Lung dataset. (g) MELK mRNA expression in LUAD in Su Lung dataset. The X axis of the plot represents the normal vs. cancer group, and the Y axis represents log2-transformed, median/mean centred mRNA expression. The line in the middle represents the median value. LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; MELK, maternal embryonic leucine zipper kinase.

location, tumour size, histological differentiation, T stage, N stage, M stage and TNM stage. The research was approved by the Ethical Committee of Jiangxi Provincial People's Hospital (Jiangxi, China) (approval no. 2018070). Informed consent was provided by the clinicians and obtained from the patients who provided written informed consent for the usage of the tissues for research.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC). Immunohistochemical staining was performed as described previously (22). Briefly, the tissue was fixed with 4% formaldehyde at room temperature for 24 h and then embedded with paraffin and tissue specimens were cut into 5- $\mu$ m serial sections. Then, the sections were dewaxed and dehydrated in a xylene and different concentration of alcohol solution (100, 95, 90, 80%). Heat mediated antigen retrieval was performed with Tris/EDTA buffer pH 9.0. The endogenous peroxidase activity was then blocked using 0.3% hydrogen peroxide for 10 min at room temperature. The sections were cooled and blocked by incubating with normal goat serum for 1 h at room temperature. The sections were then incubated overnight at 4°C with rabbit anti-human MELK

antibody (cat. no. ab129373; 1:200; Abcam). The sections were next incubated with biotinylated secondary goat anti-rabbit polyclonal antibody (cat. no. ab6720; 1:800; Abcam) for 30 min at room temperature, followed by incubation with streptavidin horseradish peroxidase complex. Finally, sections were visualized by 3,3'-diaminobenzidine staining. The results of immunohistochemical staining were calculated according to the immunoreaction score (IRS) and evaluated by 2 pathologists in a double-blind manner as described previously (23). Briefly, the range of the IRS score was from 0-12, which was calculated as staining intensity (SI) x percentage of positive cells (PP). High expression of MELK was represented by IRS >4, while low expression of MELK was represented by IRS ≤4. For haematoxylin and eosin staining (H&E), which was also performed in a double-blind manner,  $5-\mu m$  sections from the paraffin blocks were stained with H&E for 5 min at room temperature by one pathologist, and the other pathologist observed the results under an optical microscope (Olympus Corporation).

Statistical analysis. Oncomine, TCGA and GEPIA data were analysed using an unpaired Student's t-test for the



Figure 3. Levels of MELK mRNA expression in LUAD based on TCGA and GEPIA databases. (A) MELK expression in LUAD in TCGA data. The X axis of the plot represents the normal vs. cancer group and the Y axis represents mRNA expression in transcripts per million. (B) MELK expression in patients with LUAD based on gender in TCGA data. The x-axis of the plot represents the normal vs. cancer group and the y-axis represents mRNA expression in transcripts per million. (C) MELK expression in different age groups of LUAD in TCGA data. The x-axis of the plot represents the normal vs. cancer group, and the y-axis represents mRNA expression in transcripts per million. (D) MELK expression in different age groups of LUAD in TCGA data. The x-axis of the plot represents the normal vs. cancer group, and the y-axis represents mRNA expression in transcripts per million. (D) MELK expression in different N stages of LUAD in TCGA data. The x-axis of the plot represents the normal vs. cancer group and the y-axis represents mRNA expression in transcripts per million. (E) MELK expression in LUAD in GEPIA data. The x-axis of the plot represents the normal vs. cancer group and the y-axis represents mRNA expression in transcripts per million. (F) MELK expression in LUAD in GEPIA data. The x-axis of the plot represents mRNA expression in transcripts per million. (F) MELK expression in different stages of LUAD in the GEPIA database. The x-axis of the plot represents mRNA expression in transcripts per million. (F) MELK expression in different stages of LUAD in the GEPIA database. The x-axis of the plot represents different stages of cancer and the y-axis shows mRNA expression in transcripts per million. Unpaired student's t-test or ANOVA with a post hoc Tukey's honest significant difference test was used to compare the differential expression of MELK mRNA in different groups as appropriate. \*P<0.05. N, node; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; MELK, maternal embryonic leucine zipper kinase; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; GEPIA, Gene Expression Profiling

comparison of 2 groups or ANOVA followed by a post hoc Tukey's honest significance difference (HSD) test for multiple comparisons conducted to compare the differential mRNA and protein expression of MELK. The patients' clinical data are presented as the mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. SPSS 19.0 software (IBM Corp.) was used to analyse the clinical data using the unpaired t-test and  $\chi^2$  tests as appropriate. Kaplan-Meier plotter, GEPIA and TCGA analyses were performed to assess the effect of MELK on the overall survival time of patients with LUAD. Log-rank P-values and HRs with 95% CIs were calculated and displayed on the webpage. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

# Results

*Expression levels of MELK in multiple cancer types.* MELK expression in different cancer types was assessed using the Oncomine database (Fig. 1). In the cancer vs. cancer comparison, there were 695 cancer histology comparisons and 268 multi-cancer comparisons, and both had the same number of significant unique samples regardless of if they were in the MELK high or low expression groups. In addition, in the cancer vs. normal adjacent comparison, there were 427 unique comparisons for MELK expression in various tumours and 97 comparisons were unique. Among them, 91 analyses demonstrated higher expression of MELK in 18 kinds of malignant





Figure 4. Changes in the expression of MELK protein in tumour and normal tissues from patients with LUAD. (A) Haematoxylin and eosin staining of LUAD tissues (original magnifications, x50 and x200). (B) MELK expression in LUAD was detected by immunohistochemistry (original magnifications, x50 and x200). (C) Quantification of positive staining for MELK in LUAD and normal tissues. (D) High expression of MELK in LUAD (n=75). A Student's t-test was used to compare the expression of MELK between LUAD and normal tissues. \*P<0.05 vs. non-cancer. LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; MELK, maternal embryonic leucine zipper kinase.

tumours compared with adjacent normal tissues, including bladder, brain and central nervous system (CNS), breast, cervical, colorectal, oesophageal, gastric, head and neck, kidney, liver, lung, ovarian and pancreatic cancer types, as well as melanoma, lymphoma, leukaemia, sarcoma and other cancer types. The next 6 analyses, including analyses in brain and CNS cancer, breast cancer, leukaemia and other cancer types, showed lower expression of MELK (Fig. 1). In addition, there were numerous more significant comparisons in 4 types of tumours, namely, breast cancer (15 unique analyses), colorectal cancer (12 unique analyses), sarcoma (11 unique analyses) and lung cancer (9 unique analyses), compared with other types of tumours, wherein MELK expression in tumours was higher compared with that in normal tissues. Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer incidence and mortality worldwide, and LUAD is the most prevalent subtype (2); therefore, the role of MELK in LUAD was further evaluated.

*Expression of MELK in LUAD in different databases.* A meta-analysis of 7 studies of MELK mRNA levels in LUAD was performed using the Oncomine database. As demonstrated, there was a higher level of MELK in LUAD tissues compared with that in normal tissues in different datasets (Fig. 2A and B). To verify the aforementioned results, TCGA and GEPIA datasets were used to analyse MELK expression in patients with LUAD. As expected, higher levels of MELK were

observed in LUAD compared with those in adjacent normal tissues both in TCGA and GEPIA datasets (Fig. 3A and E). In addition, the mRNA expression of MELK was assessed with regard to the different clinical parameters of LUAD, including sex, age, lymph node metastasis and tumour stage. MELK expression levels were significantly higher both in male and female patients with LUAD compared with those in normal tissues, and higher levels of MELK mRNA expression were found in male compared with female patients with LUAD (Fig. 3B). Notably, the upregulation of MELK in LUAD only occurred in patients >40 years old (Fig. 3C). There was no significant difference in the expression of MELK between patients <40 years old and normal tissue (Fig. 3C). In addition, the expression of MELK in LUAD tissues had no correlation with lymph node metastasis, but all were higher than normal group (Fig. 3D). The mRNA expression of MELK in different stages of LUAD was analysed and the results demonstrated that the levels of MELK increased gradually with tumour grade, representing a possible role of MELK in cancer progression and invasion (Fig. 3F). Overall, the aforementioned findings were consistent, suggesting that the expression of MELK in tumours was higher compared with that in normal lung tissues.

MELK protein expression is upregulated in tumour tissue compared with normal tissue from patients with LUAD. Tumour and matched adjacent normal tissues were collected

Clinicopathological features	Patients, n (%)	MELK levels, n (%)		
		Low	High	P-value
Age, years				>0.999
<60	32 (42.7)	7 (38.9)	25 (43.9)	
≥60	43 (57.3)	11 (61.1)	32 (56.1)	
Sex				0.257
Male	46 (61.3)	9 (50.0)	37 (64.9)	
Female	29 (38.7)	9 (50.0)	20 (35.1)	
Tumour location				0.480
Left	28 (37.8)	7 (41.2)	21 (36.8)	
Right	46 (62.2)	10 (58.8)	36 (63.2)	
Tumour size, cm				0.611
<5	31 (59.6)	9 (60.0)	22 (59.5)	
≥5	21 (40.4)	6 (40.0)	15 (40.5)	
Histological differentiation				0.088
No	2 (5.0)	1 (7.7)	1 (3.7)	
Low	16 (40.0)	2 (15.4)	14 (51.9)	
Moderate	14 (35.0)	5 (38.5)	9 (33.3)	
High	8 (20.0)	5 (38.5)	3 (11.1)	
T stage				0.057
T1-2	30 (55.6)	12 (75.0)	18 (47.4)	
T3-4	24 (44.4)	4 (25.0)	20 (52.6)	
N stage				0.382
NO	26 (50.0)	9 (56.3)	17 (47.2)	

Table I. Association between MELK protein expression and clinicopathological features in patients with lung adenocarcinoma (n=75).

<sup>a</sup>P<0.05. The  $\chi^2$  test was used to analyse the data. There was missing data in tumour location, tumour size, histological differentiation, T stage, N stage, M stage, and TNM stage. MELK, maternal embryonic leucine zipper kinase; TNM, Tumour-Node-Metastasis; T, tumour; N, node; M, metastasis.

7 (43.8)

13 (72.2)

5 (27.8)

11 (84.6)

2(15.4)

26 (50.0)

37 (50.7)

36 (49.3)

25 (62.5)

15 (37.5)

from 75 patients with LUAD to evaluate the protein expression of MELK. Morphological changes were observed between tumour and matched normal tissues from patients with LUAD using H&E staining. Matched normal tissues showed normal histological status and consolidation and less alveoli were presented in LUAD tissues (Fig. 4A). Subsequently, the levels of MELK protein in these tissues were assessed using IHC. The analysis suggested that MELK protein was increased in patients with LUAD (Fig. 4B and C), and the high expression rate of MELK was 76% (57/75; Fig. 4D). The association between MELK protein expression and clinicopathological features in 75 patients with LUAD was compared, and the results demonstrated that a high level of MELK was associated with M stage and TNM stage (Table I). However, no significant difference was found between MELK protein expression and age, sex, histological differentiation, T stage and N stage.

19 (52.8%)

24 (43.)

31 (56.4)

14 (51.9)

13 (48.1)

0.032<sup>a</sup>

0.045<sup>a</sup>

High MELK mRNA expression in patients with LUAD is associated with a poor prognosis. Kaplan-Meier plotter, GEPIA and TCGA were used to analyse the prognosis of patients with LUAD with high and low MELK expression levels. The association between MELK mRNA level and OS in LUAD patients was analysed using the Kaplan-Meier plotter. The analysis demonstrated that high MELK expression was negatively associated with OS time (Fig. 5A). Similar trends were observed in the GEPIA and TCGA datasets, and upregulation of MELK increased the risk of mortality (Fig. 5B-D).

N1-3

M stage M0

M1

I-II

III-IV

TNM stage





Figure 5. OS rate of patients with LUAD with low and high MELK mRNA expression according to Kaplan-Meier plotter, GEPIA and TCGA analyses. (A) GEPIA analysis for OS in patients with LUAD with low and high levels of MELK expression. (B) Kaplan-Meier plots for OS in patients with LUAD according to MELK expression. (C and D) TCGA analysis for OS in patients with low and high levels of MELK expression. Samples with MELK expression values above the median value were categorized into the high MELK group and those with MELK expression values below the median value were categorized into the high MELK group and those with MELK expression values below the median value were categorized into the low MELK group. The red line represents patients with high MELK expression and the blue and black lines represent patients with low MELK expression. The x-axis represents the number of patients at risk at a specific time (in months or days) and the y-axis represents OS time (Kaplan-Meier plotter and GEPIA for moths, TCGA for days). Log-rank P-values and HR with 95% CI were calculated and displayed on the webpage. LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; MELK, maternal embryonic leucine zipper kinase; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; GEPIA, Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; OS, overall survival.

Overall, these results suggested that MELK upregulation decreases survival in patients with LUAD.

# Discussion

MELK is a highly conserved serine/threonine kinase that was originally cloned from mice and is expressed in a wide range of early embryonic cellular stages (9). In recent years, MELK has been identified as a modulator of intracellular signalling and it mediates various cellular and biological processes, including the cell cycle, cell proliferation, apoptosis, cell renewal, gene expression and oncogenesis (15). In the present study, it was found that the expression of MELK was higher in tumour tissues compared with that in normal lung tissues, and that increased MELK expression was associated with a poor prognosis in patients with LUAD. The findings of the present study are consistent with those of previous studies, indicating that MELK may be a promising therapeutic target in LUAD (1,13,24).

The expression of MELK is negatively associated with the survival rate of patients with cancer (7-9,11,25). In addition, the selective MELK inhibitor OTS167 has been shown to inhibit proliferation and promote apoptosis in a variety of tumours, leading to improved prognosis (8,9). Hence, MELK has been identified as a novel marker for predicting cancer outcomes and as a potential therapeutic target for some cancer types. Notably, MELK is 1 of 16 hub genes from the GEO database that were significantly associated with a worse 5-year OS rate of patients with lung cancer analysed using GEPIA (1). In addition, Zang et al (15) found that MELK mRNA expression level in tumour tissues was significantly higher compared with that in normal/benign tissue analysed using data from the TCGA and GEO databases, and that it was negatively associated with prognosis in patients with LUAD when subjected to Kaplan-Meier analysis. Inoue et al (24) confirmed that inhibition of the expression of MELK increased apoptosis and decreased the proliferation of SCLC cells. To further identify the role of MELK in LUAD and approve its use as a biomarker, additional data are needed for validation, including data from different databases and clinical samples. In the present study, the mRNA and protein expression levels of MELK in LUAD were analysed using Oncomine, TCGA and GEPIA, and immunohistochemistry, respectively. In the present study, results from the bioinformatics and immunohistochemistry analyses suggested that the MELK expression was higher in LUAD tissues compared with that in normal lung tissues. In the present study, no association was found between MELK expression and sex, age, tumour location, tumour size, histological differentiation, T stage or N stage in clinical samples. However, in TCGA samples, males had higher expression of MELK compared with females and there was no difference observed between normal tissue and tumour tissue from patients with LUAD 21-40 years old. In GEPIA samples, the levels of MELK increased gradually with tumour grade. These difference between clinical samples and databases may be due to the small sample size. In addition, in the present study, Kaplan-Meier, GEPIA and TCGA survival analyses were all used to evaluate prognosis and the result suggested that high expression of MELK was associated with poor OS probability in patients with LUAD. The findings of the present study and those of previous studies are consistent, and all demonstrate that MELK may serve as a potential diagnostic biomarker or therapeutic target for lung cancer (1,13,15,24).

MELK has been reported to participate in tumour progression via the JNK, p53, Bcl-G and forkhead box protein M1 (FOXM1) signalling pathways, which are all extremely important in multiple human cancer types (8,25-28). Gu et al (28) indicated that MELK regulates glioma cell growth via the JNK/p53 pathway. MELK triggers carcinogenesis through inhibition of the pro-apoptotic protein Bcl-G in breast cancer and hepatocarcinoma (25,27). Inhibition of MELK induces G<sub>2</sub>/M arrest and reduces cell proliferation by decreasing FoxM1 phosphorylation and upregulating p53 expression in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (8). In addition, MELK is also reported to have critical roles in the formation or maintenance of cancer stem cells, which are associated with cancer recurrence (11,12). Researchers have also demonstrated that high levels of MELK contribute to cancer progression and stem cell maintenance in SCLC, and that inhibition of MELK increases apoptosis and suppresses the growth of cancer cells by reducing the activity of FoxM1 (24). However, the molecular mechanism of MELK in the progression of LUAD remains unclear. Whether the action of MELK in LUAD is the same as that in SCLC and other cancer types needs further investigation.

The present study has several limitations. Firstly, only 75 LUAD samples were used and a larger sample size is needed

for future research. Secondly, only bioinformatics analysis was used to calculate the survival rate of patients with LUAD with high and low expression of MELK and further studies are required to verify the findings of the present study and increase accuracy. Thirdly, the present study mainly discussed MELK expression and prognosis in patients with LUAD, and the detailed molecular mechanism of MELK involvement in LUAD was not explored. All these limitations need to be investigated in future studies.

In summary, the present study demonstrated that MELK is highly expressed in LUAD and that there is a negative association between MELK expression and prognosis in affected patients. MELK may serve as a potential diagnostic marker and therapeutic target in LUAD; however, more studies are needed to investigate the potential mechanisms of MELK in this disease.

#### Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

# Funding

This study was supported by the Science and Technology Plan Project of Jiangxi Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine, China (grant no. 2019A067).

### Availability of data and materials

The three datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available in the Oncomine databases (https://www.oncomine.org), The Cancer Genome Atlas database (https://tcga-data.nci.nih.gov/tcga/), the GEPIA database (http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn) and Kaplan-Meier Plotter database (http://kmplot.com/analysis).

#### Authors' contributions

SC and ZX designed the study. ZL, XC, XW, HT and LY collected the data. SC, LY, and HT analysed the data. SC, LY and ZX drafted and revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The research was approved by the Ethical Committee of Jiangxi Provincial People's Hospital (Nanchang, China) (approval no. 2018070), informed consent was provided by the clinicians and obtained from the patients who provided written informed consent for the usage of the tissues for research.

### Patient consent for publication

Not applicable.

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.



#### References

- 1. Li Z, Sang M, Tian Z, Liu Z, Lv J, Zhang F and Shan B: Identification of key biomarkers and potential molecular mechanisms in lung cancer by bioinformatics analysis. Oncol Lett 18: 4429-4440, 2019.
- 2. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA and Jemal A: Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 68: 394-424, 2018.
- 3. Sharma SV, Bell DW, Settleman J and Haber DA: Epidermal growth factor receptor mutations in lung cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 7: 169-181, 2007.
- 4. Giuliano CJ, Lin A, Smith JC, Palladino AC and Sheltzer JM: MELK expression correlates with tumor mitotic activity but is not required for cancer growth. Elife 7, 2018.
- 5. Luo J, Solimini NL and Elledge SJ: Principles of cancer therapy: Oncogene and non-oncogene addiction. Cell 136: 823-837, 2009.
- 6. Jiang P and Zhang D: Maternal embryonic leucine zipper kinase (MELK): A novel regulator in cell cycle control, embryonic development, and cancer. Int J Mol Sci 14: 21551-21560, 2013.
- 7. Speers C, Zhao SG, Kothari V, Santola A, Liu M, Wilder-Romans K, Evans J, Batra N, Bartelink H, Hayes DF, et al: Maternal embryonic leucine zipper kinase (MELK) as a novel mediator and biomarker of radioresistance in human breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res 22: 5864-5875, 2016. 8. Zhang Y, Zhou X, Li Y, Xu Y, Lu K, Li P and Wang X: Inhibition
- of maternal embryonic leucine zipper kinase with OTSSP167 displays potent anti-leukemic effects in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Oncogene 37: 5520-5533, 2018.
- Kohler RS, Kettelhack H, Knipprath-Mészaros AM, Fedier A, Schoetzau A, Jacob F and Heinzelmann-Schwarz V: MELK expression in ovarian cancer correlates with poor outcome and its inhibition by OTSSP167 abrogates proliferation and viability of ovarian cancer cells. Gynecol Oncol 145: 159-166, 2017.
- 10. Xia H, Kong SN, Chen J, Shi M, Sekar K, Seshachalam VP, Rajasekaran M, Goh BKP, Ooi LL and Hui KM: MELK is an oncogenic kinase essential for early hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence. Cancer Lett 383: 85-93, 2016.
- 11. Ganguly R, Hong CS, Smith LGF, Kornblum HI and Nakano I: Maternal embryonic leucine zipper kinase: Key kinase for stem cell phenotype in glioma and other cancers. Mol Cancer Ther 13: 1393-1398, 2014.
- 12. Chung S and Nakamura Y: MELK inhibitor, novel molecular targeted therapeutics for human cancer stem cells. Cell Cycle 12: 1655-1656, 2013.
- 13. Chung S, Suzuki H, Miyamoto T, Takamatsu N, Tatsuguchi A, Ueda K, Kijima K, Nakamura Y and Matsuo Y: Development of an orally-administrative MELK-targeting inhibitor that suppresses the growth of various types of human cancer. Oncotarget 3: 1629-1640, 2012.
- Li Y, Tang H, Sun Z, Bungum AO, Edell ES, Lingle WL, 14 Stoddard SM, Zhang M, Jen J, Yang P and Wang L: Network-based approach identified cell cycle genes as predictor of overall survival in lung adenocarcinoma patients. Lung Cancer 80: 91-98, 2013.
- 15. Zang X, Qian C, Ruan Y, Xie J, Luo T, Xu B and Jiang J: Higher maternal embryonic leucine zipper kinase mRNA expression level is a poor prognostic factor in non-small-cell lung carcinoma patients. Biomark Med 13: 1349-1361, 2019.
- 16. Rhodes DR, Yu J, Shanker K, Deshpande N, Varambally R, Ghosh D, Barrette T, Pandey A and Chinnaiyan AM: ONCOMINE: A cancer microarray database and integrated data-mining platform. Neoplasia 6: 1-6, 2004.

- 17. Bornstein S, Schmidt M, Choonoo G, Levin T, Gray J, Thomas CJ Jr, Wong M and McWeeney S: IL-10 and integrin signaling pathways are associated with head and neck cancer progression. BMC Genomics 17: 38, 2016.
- 18. Dastsooz H, Cereda M, Donna D and Oliviero S: A comprehensive bioinformatics analysis of UBE2C in cancers. Int J Mol
- Sci 20: 2228, 2019. 19. Tang Z, Li C, Kang B, Gao G, Li C and Zhang Z: GEPIA: A web server for cancer and normal gene expression profiling and interactive analyses. Nucleic Acids Res 45: W98-W102, 2017.
- 20. Yu L, Chen S, Bao H, Zhang W, Liao M, Liang Q and Cheng X: The role of lncRNA CASC2 on prognosis of malignant tumors: A meta-analysis and bioinformatics. Onco Targets Ther 11: 4355-4365, 2018.
- Detterbeck FC, Chansky K, Groome P, Bolejack V, Crowley J, Shemanski L, Kennedy C, Krasnik M, Peake M and Rami-Porta R; IASLC Staging and Prognostic Factors Committee, Advisory Boards, and Participating Institutions: The IASLC Lung Cancer Staging Project: Methodology and validation used in the development of proposals for revision of the stage classification of NSCLC in the forthcoming (eighth) edition of the tnm classification of lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol 11: 1433-1446, 2016
- 22. Dadhania V, Zhang M, Zhang L, Bondaruk J, Majewski T, Siefker-Radtke A, Guo CC, Dinney C, Cogdell DE, Zhang S, et al: Meta-analysis of the luminal and basal subtypes of bladder cancer and the identification of signature immunohistochemical markers for clinical use. EBioMedicine 12: 105-117, 2016.
- 23. Hu DD, Li PC, He YF, Jia W and Hu B: Overexpression of coiled-coil domain-containing protein 34 (CCDC34) and its correlation with angiogenesis in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Med Sci Monit 24: 698-705, 2018.
- 24. Inoue H, Kato T, Olugbile S, Tamura K, Chung S, Miyamoto T, Matsuo Y, Salgia R, Nakamura Y and Park JH: Effective growth-suppressive activity of maternal embryonic leucine-zipper kinase (MELK) inhibitor against small cell lung cancer. Oncotarget 7: 13621-13633, 2016.
- 25. Hiwatashi K, Ueno S, Sakoda M, Iino S, Minami K, Yonemori K, Nishizono Y, Kurahara H, Mataki Y, Maemura K, et al: Expression of maternal embryonic leucine zipper kinase (MELK) correlates to malignant potentials in hepatocellular carcinoma. Anticancer
- Res 36: 5183-5188, 2016. 26. Wu S, Chen X, Hu C, Wang J, Shen Y and Zhong Z: Up-regulated maternal embryonic leucine zipper kinase predicts poor prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma patients in a Chinese Han population. Med Sci Monit 23: 5705-5713, 2017.
- 27. Lin ML, Park JH, Nishidate T, Nakamura Y and Katagiri T: Involvement of maternal embryonic leucine zipper kinase (MELK) in mammary carcinogenesis through interaction with Bcl-G, a pro-apoptotic member of the Bcl-2 family. Breast Cancer Res 9: R17, 2007.
- 28. Gu C, Banasavadi-Siddegowda YK, Joshi K, Nakamura Y, Kurt H, Gupta S and Nakano I: Tumor-specific activation of the C-JUN/MELK pathway regulates glioma stem cell growth in a p53-dependent manner. Stem Cells 31: 870-881, 2013.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License.