

# Comparative investigation of early-onset gastric cancer (Review)

ZHEN MA<sup>1,3</sup>, XIAOLONG LIU<sup>1,3</sup>, MASWIKITI EWETSE PAUL<sup>1,3</sup>,  
MALI CHEN<sup>4</sup>, PENG ZHENG<sup>1,3</sup> and HAO CHEN<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The Second Clinical Medical College, Lanzhou University Second Hospital, Lanzhou University;

<sup>2</sup>Department of Surgical Oncology; <sup>3</sup>Key Laboratory of Digestive System Tumors of Gansu Province, Lanzhou University Second Hospital; <sup>4</sup>Department of Labor, Delivery and Recovery, Gansu Provincial Maternity and Childcare Hospital, Lanzhou, Gansu 730030, P.R. China

Received April 4, 2020; Accepted February 9, 2021

DOI: 10.3892/ol.2021.12635

**Abstract.** Early-onset gastric cancer (EOGC) is a serious social burden. For patients with EOGC, typically considered as those aged <45 years, the underlying cause of the disease remains unclear. In addition, several misunderstandings of EOGC remain in clinical practice. Upon diagnosis, numerous patients with EOGC are already at an advanced stage (stage IV) of the disease and are unable to benefit from treatment. Moreover, several conclusions and data obtained from different EOGC studies appear to be contradictory. The literature indicates that the incidence of EOGC is gradually rising, and that EOGC differs from traditional and familial gastric cancer in terms of clinicopathological characteristics. Patients with EOGC typically exhibit low survival rates, poor prognosis, rapid disease progression, a low degree of differentiation (signet-ring cell tumors are common) and rapid lymph node and distant metastasis, among other characteristics. The molecular genetic mechanisms of EOGC are also significantly different from those of traditional gastric cancer. An improved definition of EOGC may provide a reference for clinical diagnosis and treatment, and clear guidelines may serve as a basis for more accurate diagnosis and the development of effective treatment strategies.

## Contents

### 1. Introduction

*Correspondence to:* Dr Hao Chen, Key Laboratory of Digestive System Tumors of Gansu Province, Lanzhou University Second Hospital, 82 Cuiyingmen, Lanzhou, Gansu 730030, P.R. China  
E-mail: ery\_chenh@lzu.edu.cn

*Abbreviations:* GC, gastric cancer; EOGC, early-onset GC; LOGC, late-onset GC; SEER, Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results; BRFSS, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey; DGC, diffusion-type GC; IGC, intestinal-type GC

*Key words:* early-onset gastric cancer, clinicopathological characteristics, hereditary gastric cancer, genes, mutation, traditional gastric cancer

2. Epidemiology
3. Etiology
4. Diagnostic criteria of EOGC
5. Therapeutic strategies of EOGC
6. Discussion
7. Conclusions

## 1. Introduction

Based on the GLOBOCAN 2018 report published by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (1), the incidence and mortality rates of cancer in Western countries have significantly decreased over the past few decades, indicating that efforts to prevent and control cancer are slowly making progress. However, although the incidence of cancer in China has been relatively stable in recent years, it remains an issue of concern due to the relatively poor prognosis (1). China is the most densely populated country worldwide, with an estimated population of nearly 1.42 billion, with 4.51 million cancer cases and 3.04 million cancer mortalities expected by the end of 2020. Gastric cancer (GC) is the fifth most common type of cancer worldwide and the third leading cause of cancer-associated mortality. Although the incidence of GC has decreased over the past few decades in China, half of all worldwide cases of gastrointestinal tumors, including GC, liver cancer and esophageal cancer, in 2018 occurred in China, and the 5-year overall survival rate was <35% in China between 2013-2015 (1,2). This suggests that the management of these gastrointestinal tumors in China needs to improve significantly.

Traditional GC is most common among the middle-aged and elderly individuals, and the incidence of GC is highest in those aged 50-70 years (3). However, GC is also increasingly being diagnosed in younger patients. To date, there is no clear conceptual description of early-onset GC (EOGC), and its clinicopathological characteristics and etiology remain undefined. Health practitioners have hypothesized that EOGC is hereditary and is associated with genetic factors. The aim of the present review was to summarize the concept of EOGC based on previous studies of EOGC among younger patients, typically those aged <45 years, and to describe the recent clinical experience of patients with EOGC at the Department

of Surgical Oncology of Lanzhou University Second Hospital (Lanzhou, China).

## 2. Epidemiology

*Diagnostic age range for EOGC.* A literature search of previous studies to determine the age range considered definitive of EOGC indicated that an appropriate age at diagnosis was <50 years (4). Some studies have suggested an age of ≤40 years at diagnosis is the most appropriate for defining EOGC (5). However, in recent years, most studies have identified ≤45 years as the most appropriate age group for distinguishing EOGC from traditional GC (6), which is consistent with our clinical experience.

*Incidence rate of EOGC.* Medina-Franco *et al* (7) conducted a comparative study between young and elderly patients with GC in Mexico, and observed that the proportion of patients aged <40 years was 16.2% (7), and in subsequent studies this proportion increased to 30%, which is the highest proportion mentioned in the literature (8). Differences in the age range used to define EOGC may lead to significant differences in the incidence rate of EOGC reported among studies. Geographical location, as well as other factors including *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) infection, genetic susceptibility and environmental factors, may also affect the incidence of EOGC (9). In 2011, it was reported that EOGC accounted for <10% of newly diagnosed cases of GC in the USA (10). Moreover, in the USA, the incidence of early EOGC is similar to that of late-onset gastric cancer (LOGC) and has been rising steadily since the late 1980s (8).

*Disease prognosis of EOGC.* The majority of young (<45 year old) patients present with late-stage clinical symptoms, similar to those observed in older patients, resulting in a poor prognosis (11). It has also been reported that the tumor stage at diagnosis and prognosis of younger patients are similar to those of older patients, with radical gastrectomy suggested to be a more important prognostic factor (12). By contrast, another study stated that young patients with gastric carcinoma do not have a worse prognosis compared with older patients (10). The important prognostic factor was whether the patients underwent curative resection (10).

*Risk factors associated with EOGC.* GC is a multifactorial disease resulting from hereditary or environmental factors, including *H. pylori* (13), which was classified as a class I carcinogen by the World Health Organization in 1994 (14,15). Smoking cessation may improve the medical management of acid reflux, and *H. pylori* treatment over the past few decades has resulted in a significant reduction in the incidence of conventional GC (16,17).

A study of EOGC in 20-39-year-old patients compared several risk factors with those observed in traditional GC based on an analysis of Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) and Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) data (18). Regional and ethnic differences in disease trends were observed in the SEER analysis. The BRFSS analysis indicated that heavy alcohol consumption was positively correlated with EOGC, which is also a risk factor for traditional GC ( $P=0.027$ ;  $0.008$ ). However, obesity and smoking were not found

to be significantly correlated with either EOGC or traditional GC, and there was no evidence of any difference between the two GC groups with regard to their associations with various risk factors (18). Certain risk factors, including *H. pylori* infection, nitrites and food intake, were not included in the BRFSS risk assessment survey and, therefore, were not assessed. Additional investigations are necessary to identify risk factors in EOGC to provide risk reduction strategies for public health policies.

## 3. Etiology

The cause of GC remains unknown. However, various etiologies have been suggested and evaluated.

*EOGC and heredity.* It has been hypothesized that genetic factors are more important in EOGC compared with traditional GC. Therefore, molecular studies may be key in revealing genetic changes associated with EOGC (19). Notably, not all patients with EOGC have a family history of GC or hereditary GC genes. It has been reported that only 10% of patients aged ≤40 years have a positive family history, and up to 90% of EOGC cases are idiopathic and no specific cause can be identified (20).

There are two main histological types of GC: Diffuse gastric cancer (DGC) and intestinal type-gastric cancer (IGC) (21). These two types are considered to be caused by or directly associated with certain environmental factors and specific genetic changes, such as known carcinogenic gene mutations. In elderly patients, IGC is more common compared with DGC (22,23). In addition, patients with a family history of GC are more likely to develop IGC compared with those without a family history of GC (24). This is consistent with the DGC performance of EOGC described in previous studies (23,24), indicating that familial GC cannot completely explain the occurrence of EOGC.

*EOGC and DNA methylation.* Age-associated methylation transformations have been identified in various organs and tissues as a result of abnormalities in DNA methylation caused by *H. pylori* and Epstein-Barr virus infection (25,26). The abnormal DNA methylation of gene promoters has been demonstrated to play a key role in the development of GC (27-29). Studies have used methylation chip to explore patients with EOGC and LOGC overall DNA methylation differences, results demonstrated that the pattern of genome-wide methylation expression was significantly different between early onset and elderly GC (30). The hypermethylation of cg11037477, located at the promoter of EIF4E, was significantly associated with age at diagnosis and the expression of Eukaryotic initiation factor 4E (EIF4E) (30). Besides, patients with GC with high level of cg11037477 were more likely to have advance disease with T3/T4 invasion and III/IV stage (30). The cg11037477 hypermethylation and EIF4E downregulation were significantly related to poor survival of patients with GC (30). EIF4E is a member of the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway, whose activation and expression are related to the occurrence and development of GC (31).

## 4. Diagnostic criteria of EOGC

*Clinicopathological characteristics.* EOGC is currently a matter of debate among researchers. Previous studies have

reported that, compared with young patients with GC, elderly patients are more likely to have distant metastasis, high tumor grade, invasion of adjacent organs and poor survival rate (32-34). Given the rarity of GC in young individuals, the reduced clinical suspicion of GC may delay examination and the final diagnosis of the disease, leading to a higher incidence of advanced cancer in these patients. In certain retrospective studies, it was shown that the proportion of young patients with GC who were female was higher than that of older patients, and the young patients exhibited poor histological tumor differentiation and rapid disease progression (3,35-38).

A retrospective study was conducted on 121 patients with EOGC aged  $\leq 45$  years. Compared with LOGC, EOGC was associated with a higher incidence of stage III/IV lesions (86.8 vs. 57.9%;  $P < 0.001$ ), low differentiation (95.9 vs. 74.4%;  $P < 0.001$ ) and signet-ring cell tumors (88.4 vs. 32.2%;  $P < 0.001$ ). Most of the tumors in the two groups were located in the middle third of the stomach ( $P = 0.108$ ) (39).

In order to evaluate the pathological and clinical characteristics of EOGC, a study searched the SEER database of tropical diseases for GC in patients aged 20-39 years and compared them with patients with traditional LOGC. The results indicated that EOGC was more common than LOGC among women (48.9 vs. 39.0%;  $P < 0.0001$ ), more frequently presented with poor tumor differentiation (55.3 vs. 48.0%;  $P < 0.0001$ ) and was more aggressive and more prone to generate lymph node and distant metastases (48.3 vs. 32.5%;  $P < 0.0001$ ) (18). This is consistent with other earlier findings based on the SEER database (9). The reasons for these differences between young and older patients with GC may include biological dissimilarities, as well as the risk of delayed diagnosis of GC in young patients, as practitioners generally are less likely to consider a diagnosis of GC in young patients compared with elderly patients (40).

A recent investigation of 75,225 cases of GC, including 18,608 cases of EOGC and 56,617 cases of LOGC, indicated that patients with EOGC were more likely than those with LOGC to exhibit poor histological differentiation (55.2 vs. 46.9%), signet-ring cells (19.0 vs. 10.4%), diffuse histological type (25.7 vs. 15.0%) and local or distant metastasis (49.5 vs. 40.9%; all  $P < 0.01$ ) (8).

The clinicopathological characteristics of EOGC and LOGC are markedly different from each other (41). Specifically, EOGC lesions are more likely to be multifocal (42). In addition, the prevalence of diffuse lesions is higher and that of intestinal metaplasia is lower in EOGC (20,43,44). Female patients are more commonly affected by EOGC than LOGC, which has been suggested to be due to hormonal factors (45). Female EOGC is characterized by poor differentiation, strong invasiveness and a propensity for lymph node and distant metastasis (9,18,43). Furthermore, recent studies have shown that the majority of patients are male for both EOGC and LOGC (8,46,47).

**EOGC and gene expression.** EOGC and LOGC have different molecular expression profiles (11,46,48), and their underlying molecular genetic mechanisms are also markedly different (11,49). One study revealed that heterozygous loss most frequently occurs near the Runt-related transcription factor 3, TP53 and cadherin-1 (CDH1) genes in patients

with EOGC, indicating that the characteristics of EOGC are different from those of LOGC (11). Another study suggested an association between different patterns of DNA copy number alterations and the age at which GC progression began, and suggested that chromosomal regions 19p13.3 and 11q23.3 may be associated with age-related differences in tumors (49).

EOGC exhibits different clinicopathological and molecular characteristics from those of traditional GC, indicating that it is an independent GC entity. South Korea has been reported to have one of the highest incidences of GC among young patients worldwide, with 15% of cases of GC being diagnosed in individuals  $< 45$  years old (50). Korean researchers studied the protein genome of EOGC in younger patients, collecting matching tumors and adjacent normal tissues as well as blood samples from 80 patients aged  $< 45$  years with EOGC. Exome sequencing was performed on tumor and peripheral blood mononuclear cells from each patient, and mRNA sequencing was performed on tumors and the adjacent normal tissues. In addition, the entire proteome, phosphoproteome and the N-glycoproteome of paired tumors and adjacent normal tissues were analyzed by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. The results revealed that, compared with LOGC, EOGC had different gene expression profiles and six significantly mutated genes, namely CDH1, TP53, protein BANP, mucin 5B, transforming protein RhoA and AT-rich interactive domain-containing protein 1A. However, no difference in mRNA expression patterns was detected between EOGC and LOGC. Since no comparable protein data are available for LOGC samples, it remains unclear whether the protein levels, phosphorylation levels and N-glycosylation levels differ between EOGC and LOGC (6).

**EOGC and chromosome instability (CIN).** Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have confirmed that genetic variations on chromosome 5p15 are associated with multiple cancer risk factors. The 5p15 locus has been demonstrated to exert a pleiotropic effect on a variety of cancers, but the effects of its mutation on GC has not been elucidated (51).

The successful application of GWAS on the basis of the inheritance of complex diseases or traits has identified GC susceptibility sites on chromosomes 1q22, 3q13, 5p13, 8q24 and 10q23 (52-56). However, these results explain only a small part of the heritability of GC, as often only the strongest associations are the focus of subsequent replication studies. Based on an existing GWAS dataset comprising 1,006 cases and 2,273 controls, one study evaluated the association between 5p15 gene variations and GC, and then replicated the evaluation of two promising loci in a case-control analysis in a Chinese population. A novel 5p15 mutation (rs10052016) was found to be significantly associated with GC risk and age of onset, as well as EOGC, in that Chinese population (57).

**EOGC and HER2.** Since HER2 expression in IGC is more common compared with that in DGC (2,19) and it is generally considered that GC cases in young patients are more commonly of the DGC type, the expression of HER2 in young patients is expected to be low. Several studies have

demonstrated that HER2 positivity is associated with a poor prognosis in GC and more severe disease progression (58–60). However, it has also been indicated that as younger patients have a poorer prognosis and more aggressive disease course, patients with EOGC may have high HER2 expression. A study evaluated the expression of HER2 in GC tissue, and found that the rates of HER2 amplification (2%) and overexpression (0%) in EOGC were lower compared with those in LOGC (amplification rate, 8%; overexpression rate, 7%). In addition, HER2 showed higher amplification and overexpression in IGC compared with DGC (61). However, at present, no further theories have been proposed to explain this, and no follow-up studies have been performed for confirmation.

## 5. Therapeutic strategies of EOGC

A literature search of the database (PubMed) did not identify any differences in the treatment of EOGC and ordinary GC. The current treatment is still mainly surgical treatment, supplemented by chemotherapy/targeted therapy and immunotherapy (62).

## 6. Discussion

The cause of EOGC has been extensively investigated in numerous studies. Although younger patients may be exposed to fewer environmental carcinogens and the same environmental factors as the rest of the population, they tend to present with earlier development of GC for unknown reasons. Moreover, there is a possibility that the tumors in patients with EOGC are more dependent on genetic and molecular factors. In particular, they may be associated with multiple acquired mutations, such as genetic susceptibility to single-nucleotide polymorphisms, CIN, microsatellite instability, somatic gene mutations and epigenetic changes.

The early development of GC may not necessarily be an issue for the affected individual. The main concern arises when the GC metastasizes and treatment is compromised, resulting in a high mortality rate. In addition, tumors that remain dormant for several years and then develop rapidly after undergoing a change or mutation may cause additional challenges in young patients with EOGC.

EOGC sometimes develops in patients with a family history of GC. As these patients are screened earlier, they exhibit different characteristics from those of the general population. However, the screening standards vary among countries due to differences in health care systems and guidelines.

The current clinical challenge is to compare the differences between EOGC and traditional GC in various aspects in order to understand which changes are important, determine the associations between these changes, and design strategies to prevent them. This can assist practitioners in the identification of specific GC markers and therapeutic targets.

## 7. Conclusions

In the absence of clear data on EOGC epidemiology, histopathology, risk factors and genomic characteristics, the present review aimed to better define these attributes on the basis of the currently available literature and experimental research.

The main goal of this review was to improve clinical awareness of EOGC and highlight opportunities for the diagnosis and treatment of younger patients with GC, ultimately, in order to improve patient prognosis and prevent disease progression.

To the best of our knowledge, no studies have yet reported on the association between the occurrence of EOGC and known risk factors. Since no clear trend has been identified in the association of EOGC with either sex, known mutations are currently the focus of targeted therapy. However, additional studies are required to further assess the impact of EOGC on younger patients.

## Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

## Funding

The present study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos. 81670594 and 81470791), the Key Talents Project of Gansu Province (grant no. 2019RCXM020), the Gansu Basic Research Innovation Group Project (grant no. 1606RJIA328), the Gansu Scientific Research of Health Services Project (grant no. GSWSKY2017-09), the Talents Innovation and Entrepreneurship Program of Lanzhou City (grant no. 2017RC-62), the Talent Staff Fund of the Second Hospital of Lanzhou University (grant no. ynyjrckyzx2015-1-01), the Science and Technology Project of Chengguan District of Lanzhou City (grant nos. 2019RCCX0034, 2020SHFZ0039 and 2020JSCX0073), the Cuiying Scientific and Technological Innovation Program of Lanzhou University Second Hospital (grant nos. CY2017-MS05 and CY2017-ZD01), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (grant nos. lzujbky-2016-k16 and lzujbky-2017-79) and the Key Project of Science and Technology in Gansu Province (grant no. 19ZD2WA001).

## Availability of data and materials

Data sharing is not applicable to this article, as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

## Authors' contributions

ZM and HC completed the conception and revision of the whole review. XL completed the structural framework and adjustment of the whole review. MEP completed the modification and adjustment of the English version of the review. MC and PZ have revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. ZM and HC confirm the authenticity of all the raw data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

## Patient consent for publication

Not applicable.



## Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## References

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA and Jemal A: Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 68: 394-424, 2018.
- Feng RM, Zong YN, Cao SM and Xu RH: Current cancer situation in China: Good or bad news from the 2018 Global Cancer Statistics? *Cancer Commun (Lond)* 39: 22, 2019.
- Takatsu Y, Hiki N, Nunobe S, Ohashi M, Honda M, Yamaguchi T, Nakajima T and Sano T: Clinicopathological features of gastric cancer in young patients. *Gastric Cancer* 19: 472-478, 2016.
- Bacani J, Zwingerman R, Di Nicola N, Spencer S, Wegrynowski T, Mitchell K, Hay K, Redston M, Holowaty E, Huntsman D, *et al*: Tumor microsatellite instability in early onset gastric cancer. *J Mol Diagn* 7: 465-477, 2005.
- Strong VE, Russo A, Yoon SS, Brennan MF, Coit DG, Zheng CH, Li P and Huang CM: Comparison of young patients with gastric cancer in the United States and China. *Ann Surg Oncol* 24: 3964-3971, 2017.
- Mun DG, Bhin J, Kim S, Kim H, Jung JH, Jung Y, Jang YE, Park JM, Kim H, Jung Y, *et al*: Proteogenomic characterization of human early-onset gastric cancer. *Cancer Cell* 35: 111-124.e10, 2019.
- Medina-Franco H, Heslin MJ and Cortes-Gonzalez R: Clinicopathological characteristics of gastric carcinoma in young and elderly patients: A comparative study. *Ann Surg Oncol* 7: 515-519, 2000.
- Bergquist JR, Leiting JL, Habermann EB, Cleary SP, Kendrick ML, Smoot RL, Nagorney DM, Truty MJ and Grotz TE: Early-onset gastric cancer is a distinct disease with worrisome trends and oncogenic features. *Surgery* 166: 547-555, 2019.
- Merchant SJ, Kim J, Choi AH, Sun V, Chao J and Nelson R: A rising trend in the incidence of advanced gastric cancer in young Hispanic men. *Gastric Cancer* 20: 226-234, 2016.
- Al-Refaie WB, Hu CY, Pisters PW and Chang GJ: Gastric adenocarcinoma in young patients: A population-based appraisal. *Ann Surg Oncol* 18: 2800-2807, 2011.
- Carvalho R, Milne AN, van Rees BP, Caspers E, Cirnes L, Figueiredo C, Offerhaus GJ and Weterman MA: Early-onset gastric carcinomas display molecular characteristics distinct from gastric carcinomas occurring at a later age. *J Pathol* 204: 75-83, 2004.
- Kim DY, Ryu SY, Kim YJ and Kim SK: Clinicopathological characteristics of gastric carcinoma in young patients. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 388: 245-249, 2003.
- Helicobacter and Cancer Collaborative Group: Gastric cancer and *Helicobacter pylori*: a combined analysis of 12 case control studies nested within prospective cohorts. *Gut* 49: 347-353, 2001.
- Infection with *Helicobacter pylori*. *IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks Hum* 61: 177-240, 1994.
- Crowe SE: *Helicobacter pylori* Infection. *N Engl J Med* 380: 1158-1165, 2019.
- Li WQ, Ma JL, Zhang L, Brown LM, Li JY, Shen L, Pan KF, Liu WD, Hu Y, Han ZX, *et al*: Effects of *Helicobacter pylori* treatment on gastric cancer incidence and mortality in subgroups. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 106: dju116, 2014.
- Ma JL, Zhang L, Brown LM, Li JY, Shen L, Pan KF, Liu WD, Hu Y, Han ZX, Crystal-Mansour S, *et al*: Fifteen-year effects of *Helicobacter pylori*, garlic, and vitamin treatments on gastric cancer incidence and mortality. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 104: 488-492, 2012.
- Giryes A, Oweira H, Mannhart M, Decker M and Abdel-Rahman O: Exploring the differences between early-onset gastric cancer and traditional-onset gastric cancer. *J Gastrointest Oncol* 9: 1157-1163, 2018.
- Correa P and Shiao YH: Phenotypic and genotypic events in gastric carcinogenesis. *Cancer Res* 54 (7 Suppl): 1941s-1943s, 1994.
- Kokkola A and Sipponen P: Gastric carcinoma in young adults. *Hepatogastroenterology* 48: 1552-1555, 2001.
- Lauren P: The two histological main types of gastric carcinoma: Diffuse and so-called intestinal-type carcinoma. An attempt at a histo-clinical classification. *Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand* 64: 31-49, 1965.
- Correa P: *Helicobacter pylori* and gastric carcinogenesis. *Am J Surg Pathol* 19 (Suppl 1): S37-S43, 1995.
- Zheng H, Takahashi H, Murai Y, Cui Z, Nomoto K, Miwa S, Tsuneyama K and Takano Y: Pathobiological characteristics of intestinal and diffuse-type gastric carcinoma in Japan: An immunostaining study on the tissue microarray. *J Clin Pathol* 60: 273-277, 2007.
- Han MA, Oh MG, Choi JJ, Park SR, Ryu KW, Nam BH, Cho SJ, Kim CG, Lee JH and Kim YW: Association of family history with cancer recurrence and survival in patients with gastric cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 30: 701-708, 2012.
- Bell JT, Tsai PC, Yang TP, Pidsley R, Nisbet J, Glass D, Mangino M, Zhai G, Zhang F, Valdes A, *et al*: Epigenome-wide scans identify differentially methylated regions for age and age-related phenotypes in a healthy ageing population. *PLoS Genet* 8: e1002629, 2012.
- Teschendorff AE, Menon U, Gentry-Maharaj A, Ramus SJ, Weisenberger DJ, Shen H, Campan M, Noushmehr H, Bell CG, Maxwell AP, *et al*: Age-dependent DNA methylation of genes that are suppressed in stem cells is a hallmark of cancer. *Genome Res* 20: 440-446, 2010.
- Cheng AS, Li MS, Kang W, Cheng VY, Chou JL, Lau SS, Go MY, Lee CC, Ling TK, Ng EK, *et al*: *Helicobacter pylori* causes epigenetic dysregulation of FOXD3 to promote gastric carcinogenesis. *Gastroenterology* 144: 122-133.e9, 2013.
- Chan AO, Lam SK, Wong BC, Wong WM, Yuen MF, Yeung YH, Hui WM, Rashid A and Kwong YL: Promoter methylation of E-cadherin gene in gastric mucosa associated with *Helicobacter pylori* infection and in gastric cancer. *Gut* 52: 502-506, 2003.
- Cui Y, Gao D, Linghu E, Zhan Q, Chen R, Brock MV, Herman JG and Guo M: Epigenetic changes and functional study of HOXA11 in human gastric cancer. *Epigenomics* 7: 201-213, 2015.
- Ge Y, Wu Q, Ma G, Shao W, Liu H, Zhang Q, Xin J, Xue Y, Du M, Zhao Q, *et al*: Hypermethylation of EIF4E promoter is associated with early onset of gastric cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 39: 66-71, 2018.
- Riquelme I, Tapia O, Espinoza JA, Leal P, Buchegger K, Sandoval A, Bizama C, Araya JC, Peek RM and Roa JC: The gene expression status of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway in gastric cancer tissues and cell lines. *Pathol Oncol Res* 22: 797-805, 2016.
- Theuer CP, de Virgilio C, Keese G, French S, Arnell T, Tolmos J, Klein S, Powers W, Oh T and Stabile BE: Gastric adenocarcinoma in patients 40 years of age or younger. *Am J Surg* 172: 473-477, 1996.
- Smith BR and Stabile BE: Extreme aggressiveness and lethality of gastric adenocarcinoma in the very young. *Arch Surg* 144: 506-510, 2009.
- Theuer CP, Kurosaki T, Taylor TH and Anton-Culver H: Unique features of gastric carcinoma in the young: A population-based analysis. *Cancer* 83: 25-33, 1998.
- Hsieh FJ, Wang YC, Hsu JT, Liu KH and Yeh CN: Clinicopathological features and prognostic factors of gastric cancer patients aged 40 years or younger. *J Surg Oncol* 105: 304-309, 2012.
- Saito H, Takaya S, Fukumoto Y, Osaki T, Tatebe S and Ikeguchi M: Clinicopathologic characteristics and prognosis of gastric cancer in young patients. *Yonago Acta Med* 55: 57-61, 2012.
- Santoro R, Carboni F, Lepiane P, Ettorre GM and Santoro E: Clinicopathological features and prognosis of gastric cancer in young European adults. *Br J Surg* 94: 737-742, 2007.
- Kunisaki C, Akiyama H, Nomura M, Matsuda G, Otsuka Y, Ono HA, Takagawa R, Nagahori Y, Takahashi M, Kito F and Shimada H: Clinicopathological features of gastric carcinoma in younger and middle-aged patients: A comparative study. *J Gastrointest Surg* 10: 1023-1032, 2006.
- Rona KA, Schwameis K, Zehetner J, Samakar K, Green K, Samaan J, Sandhu K, Bildzukewicz N, Katkhouda N and Liphman JC: Gastric cancer in the young: An advanced disease with poor prognostic features. *J Surg Oncol* 115: 371-375, 2017.
- Isobe T, Hashimoto K, Kizaki J, Miyagi M, Aoyagi K, Koufuku K and Shirouzu K: Characteristics and prognosis of gastric cancer in young patients. *Oncol Rep* 30: 43-49, 2013.
- Bautista MC, Jiang SF, Armstrong MA, Postlethwaite D and Li D: Impact of age on clinicopathological features and survival of patients with noncardia gastric adenocarcinoma. *J Gastric Cancer* 14: 238-245, 2014.

42. Furukawa H, Iwanaga T, Imaoka S, Hiratsuka M, Fukuda I, Kabuto T, Ishikawa O and Sasaki Y: Multifocal gastric cancer in patients younger than 50 years of age. *Eur Surg Res* 21: 313-318, 1989.
43. Lim S, Lee HS, Kim HS, Kim YI and Kim WH: Alteration of E-cadherin-mediated adhesion protein is common, but micro-satellite instability is uncommon in young age gastric cancers. *Histopathology* 42: 128-136, 2003.
44. Matley PJ, Dent DM, Madden MV and Price SK: Gastric carcinoma in young adults. *Ann Surg* 208: 593-596, 1988.
45. Maeta M, Yamashiro H, Oka A, Tsujitani S, Ikeguchi M and Kaibara N: Gastric cancer in the young, with special reference to 14 pregnancy-associated cases: Analysis based on 2,325 consecutive cases of gastric cancer. *J Surg Oncol* 58: 191-195, 1995.
46. Milne AN, Sitarz R, Carvalho R, Carneiro F and Offerhaus GJ: Early onset gastric cancer: On the road to unraveling gastric carcinogenesis. *Curr Mol Med* 7: 15-28, 2007.
47. Karim S: Clinicopathological and p53 gene alteration comparison between young and older patients with gastric cancer. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 15: 1375-1379, 2014.
48. Milne AN, Carvalho R, Morsink FM, Musler AR, de Leng WW, Ristimäki A and Offerhaus GJ: Early-onset gastric cancers have a different molecular expression profile than conventional gastric cancers. *Mod Pathol* 19: 564-572, 2006.
49. Buffart TE, Carvalho B, Hopmans E, Brehm V, Kranenbarg EK, Schaaij-Visser TB, Eijk PP, van Grieken NC, Ylstra B, van de Velde CJ and Meijer GA: Gastric cancers in young and elderly patients show different genomic profiles. *J Pathol* 211: 45-51, 2007.
50. Chung HW, Noh SH and Lim JB: Analysis of demographic characteristics in 3242 young age gastric cancer patients in Korea. *World J Gastroenterol* 16: 256-263, 2010.
51. Bojesen SE, Pooley KA, Johnatty SE, Beesley J, Michailidou K, Tyrer JP, Edwards SL, Pickett HA, Shen HC, Smart CE, *et al*: Multiple independent variants at the TERT locus are associated with telomere length and risks of breast and ovarian cancer. *Nat Genet* 45: 371-384e1-2, 2013.
52. Shi Y, Hu Z, Wu C, Dai J, Li H, Dong J, Wang M, Miao X, Zhou Y, Lu F, *et al*: A genome-wide association study identifies new susceptibility loci for non-cardia gastric cancer at 3q13.31 and 5p13.1. *Nat Genet* 43: 1215-1218, 2011.
53. Abnet CC, Freedman ND, Hu N, Wang Z, Yu K, Shu XO, Yuan JM, Zheng W, Dawsey SM, Dong LM, *et al*: A shared susceptibility locus in PLCE1 at 10q23 for gastric adenocarcinoma and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Nat Genet* 42: 764-767, 2010.
54. Wu C, Hu Z, He Z, Jia W, Wang F, Zhou Y, Liu Z, Zhan Q, Liu Y, Yu D, *et al*: Genome-wide association study identifies three new susceptibility loci for esophageal squamous-cell carcinoma in Chinese populations. *Nat Genet* 43: 679-684, 2011.
55. Wang LD, Zhou FY, Li XM, Sun LD, Song X, Jin Y, Li JM, Kong GQ, Qi H, Cui J, *et al*: Genome-wide association study of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in Chinese subjects identifies susceptibility loci at PLCE1 and C20orf54. *Nat Genet* 42: 759-763, 2010.
56. Cui R, Kamatani Y, Takahashi A, Usami M, Hosono N, Kawaguchi T, Tsunoda T, Kamatani N, Kubo M, Nakamura Y and Matsuda K: Functional variants in ADH1B and ALDH2 coupled with alcohol and smoking synergistically enhance esophageal cancer risk. *Gastroenterology* 137: 1768-1775, 2009.
57. Du J, Xu Y, Dai J, Ren C, Zhu C, Dai N, Ma H, Shi Y, Hu Z, Lin D, *et al*: Genetic variants at 5p15 are associated with risk and early onset of gastric cancer in Chinese populations. *Carcinogenesis* 34: 2539-2542, 2013.
58. Gravalos C and Jimeno A: HER2 in gastric cancer: A new prognostic factor and a novel therapeutic target. *Ann Oncol* 19: 1523-1529, 2008.
59. Tanner M, Hollmén M, Junttila TT, Kapanen AI, Tommola S, Soini Y, Helin H, Salo J, Joensuu H, Sihvo E, *et al*: Amplification of HER-2 in gastric carcinoma: association with Topoisomerase IIalpha gene amplification, intestinal type, poor prognosis and sensitivity to trastuzumab. *Ann Oncol* 16: 273-278, 2005.
60. Yan B, Yau EX, Bte Omar SS, Ong CW, Pang B, Yeoh KG and Salto-Tellez M: A study of HER2 gene amplification and protein expression in gastric cancer. *J Clin Pathol* 63: 839-842, 2010.
61. Moelans CB, Milne AN, Morsink FH, Offerhaus GJ and van Diest PJ: Low frequency of HER2 amplification and overexpression in early onset gastric cancer. *Cell Oncol (Dordr)* 34: 89-95, 2011.
62. Venerito M, Vasapolli R, Rokkas T and Malfertheiner P: Gastric cancer: Epidemiology, prevention, and therapy. *Helicobacter* 23 (Suppl 1): e12518, 2018.