# Early thrombocytopenia predicts longer time-to-treatment discontinuation in trastuzumab emtansine treatment

AHMET BILGEHAN SAHIN<sup>1</sup>, BURCU CANER<sup>2</sup>, BIROL OCAK<sup>3</sup>, AHMET GULMEZ<sup>4</sup>, BUKET HAMITOGLU<sup>5</sup>, ERDEM CUBUKCU<sup>3</sup>, ADEM DELIGONUL<sup>3</sup>, SIBEL OYUCU ORHAN<sup>3</sup>, MUSTAFA CANHOROZ<sup>6</sup>, HIKMET UTKU ODMAN<sup>3</sup>, ISIL SOMALI<sup>5</sup>, GOKHAN OCAKOGLU<sup>7</sup> and TURKKAN EVRENSEL<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, School of Medicine, Usak University, Usak 64100; <sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Atatürk City Hospital, Altieylul, Balıkesir 10100; <sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, School of Medicine, Uludag University, Nilufer, Bursa 16059; <sup>4</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, School of Medicine, Inonu University, Battalgazi, Malatya 44280; <sup>5</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, School of Medicine, Dokuz Eylul University, Konak, İzmir 35210; <sup>6</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Bursa Medicana Hospital, Nilufer, Bursa 16110; <sup>7</sup>Department of Biostatistics, School of Medicine, Uludag University, Nilufer, Bursa 16059, Turkey

Received November 10, 2022; Accepted September 22, 2023

DOI: 10.3892/ol.2023.14110

Abstract. Thrombocytopenia is a characteristic adverse event of trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1), one of the essential treatment options for human epithelial growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-positive breast cancer. The present study investigated the predictive value of thrombocytopenia for time-to-treatment discontinuation (TTD) in patients receiving T-DM1 for advanced-stage HER2-positive breast cancer. The present observational study enrolled 138 patients who received T-DM1 at six oncology centers from January 2016 to December 2021. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were performed to determine the factors affecting TTD. The median age of patients was 50 years (range, 26-83). The median number of T-DM1 cycles was 9 (range, 2-58), the overall response rate was 50.0% and the disease control rate was 69.6%. At a median follow-up time of 19.3 months, the median TTD was 9.5 months [95% confidence interval (CI), 7.3-11.7], and the median overall survival was 28.2 months (95% CI, 19.2-37.2). Thrombocytopenia during treatment was observed in 39% of all patients, and 66.7% of these patients experienced early thrombocytopenia (in the first four treatment cycles). Multivariate analysis revealed that the independent factors for TTD were hormone receptor status [hazard ratio (HR), 1.837; 95% CI, 1.249-2.701; P=0.002], Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status score (HR, 3.269; 95%) CI, 1.788-5.976; P<0.001) and thrombocytopenia during treatment (HR, 0.297; 95% CI, 0.198-0.446; P<0.001). Patients with early thrombocytopenia had a significantly longer TTD of 17.3 months (95% CI, 11.8-22.8) compared with 7.6 months (95% CI, 5.8-9.4) for patients without early thrombocytopenia (P<0.001). The results of the present study indicated that patients with early thrombocytopenia had improved survival outcomes compared with those without. Thus, maximum benefit from T-DM1 treatment may be achieved by confirming the predictive role of thrombocytopenia in T-DM1 treatment in prospective studies and large-scale cohorts.

## Introduction

Breast cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer and the second leading cause of cancer-related deaths in the United States (1). Human epithelial growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) is overexpressed in ~15% of breast cancer cases in the United States (2), and HER2-positive breast cancer is more common in metastatic settings (3). In breast cancer treatment, the development of targeted therapies has improved the efficacy, reducing damage to normal tissues; however, increased drug resistance against targeted agents has led researchers to develop an antibody-drug conjugate comprising cytotoxic agents and monoclonal drugs (4). Trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1) is the first antibody-drug conjugate to be approved in a solid tumor and consists of trastuzumab, humanized monoclonal antibodies against HER2 and mertansine, a microtubule inhibitor (4). Based on the results of the EMILIA (5), TH3RESA (6) and MARIANNE (7) trials using various patient groups, T-DM1 has been approved for patients with HER2-positive breast cancer (HPBC) that have previously been treated with trastuzumab and taxane. Although T-DM1 can be used for any line of treatment, it is accepted as one of the standard second-line regimens for the treatment of metastatic HPBC (8,9).

Thrombocytopenia is one of the adverse events observed during T-DM1 treatment and is the most common reason for dose reduction and treatment discontinuation (10-13). Although

*Correspondence to:* Dr Ahmet Bilgehan Sahin, Department of Medical Oncology, School of Medicine, Usak University, 4 Denizli Road, Fevzi Cakmak, Usak 64100, Turkey E-mail: absahin@uludag.edu.tr

Key words: breast cancer, trastuzumab emtansine, adverse event, thrombocytopenia, survival

previous reports assert the opposite (14), recent studies have reported that a number of systemic toxicities, including thrombocytopenia, may predict T-DM1 efficacy (15,16). As it has been reported that the incidence of thrombocytopenia increases with prolonged T-DM1 treatment duration (17), the predictive value for survival outcome is debatable. Thus, the present study aimed to investigate whether early thrombocytopenia during T-DM1 treatment could predict survival rates.

## Materials and methods

Study population and data collection. The present retrospective, multicenter study included patients at six oncology centers (Uludag University, Bursa; Dokuz Eylul University, İzmir; Ataturk City Hospital, Balıkesir; Inonu University, Malatya; Usak University, Usak; Bursa Medicana Hospital, Bursa) in Turkey from January 2016 to December 2021. The inclusion criteria required patients to: i) Have received at least two cycles of T-DM1 due to histopathologically confirmed advanced-stage HPBC; ii) be female; and iii) be ≥18 years old. To provide sufficient periods for the efficacy of the drug, the study excluded patients who did not receive any local treatment (surgery or radiotherapy) for symptomatic brain metastasis. Patients with a history of hematological disease or incomplete laboratory data during T-DM1 treatment were also excluded. T-DM1 was administered intravenously at a dose of 3.6 mg/kg on the first day of the treatment cycle, every 3 weeks. The dose reduction scheme provided by the Food and Drug Administration was followed in cases of toxicity (18). No endocrine therapy was administered concurrently with T-DM1.

After The Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Uludag University Faculty of Medicine (Bursa, Turkey) approved the study, the following variables of the patients were extracted from all electronic records in hospital databases: i) Age; ii) menopausal status; iii) expression of estrogen receptor; iv) expression of progesterone receptor; v) expression of HER2; vi) sites of metastasis; vii) previous treatment regimens; viii) treatment lines for metastatic disease; ix) Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status scores (19); and x) pre- and post-treatment laboratory findings.

Definitions and outcomes. HER2 overexpression was defined as an immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining of 3+ or from a positive identification using *in situ* hybridization (ISH), and hormone receptor status was accepted as positive in patients with  $\geq 1\%$  expression for estrogen and/or progesterone receptor following the American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists guidelines (20,21). Response assessment was conducted according to the Response Evaluation Criteria for Solid Tumors (version 1.1) (22). The overall response rate (ORR) was defined as the proportion of patients that achieved a complete response (CR) or a partial response (PR). The disease control rate (DCR) was expressed as the percentage of patients with CR, PR and stable disease.

Response assessment was performed every 3-4 cycles of T-DM1 and more frequently in cases of clinical deterioration attributed to treatment failure. Time-to-treatment discontinuation (TTD) was defined as the interval from the date of initiating T-DM1 treatment to the date of treatment discontinuation or mortality. T-DM1 treatment was continued beyond radiological disease progression if a clinical benefit persisted according to the evaluation of the physician with local ablative therapies permitted. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the interval from the beginning of T-DM1 until mortality from any cause. Adverse events were graded using the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (version 5.0) (23). Thrombocytopenia attributed to infection or sepsis was not evaluated as an adverse event. Early thrombocytopenia was defined as the occurrence of thrombocytopenia in the first four cycles of T-DM1 treatment.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 22; IBM Corp.). Continuous variables were expressed as median (minimum-maximum) values, and categorical variables were expressed as frequency and corresponding percentage values. Kaplan-Meier survival estimates were calculated, and comparisons were performed using the log-rank test. The possible factors affecting TTD were examined by Cox regression analysis. The enter model was used for parameters with P<0.20 in univariate analysis. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

## Results

The present study enrolled 138 patients and Table I presents the characteristics of the patients and tumors. The median age was 50 years (range, 26-83 years). Of the 138 patients, 58% were hormone receptor-positive, 83% had a HER2 score of 3+ in the IHC evaluation and 50% were post-menopausal. The majority of the patients (77%) presented with visceral metastasis and 41% had *de novo* metastatic disease. The most common site of metastasis was bone (55%), followed by the lung (50%) and non-regional lymph nodes (45%). The ECOG performance status score was <2 in 90% of the patients. Before T-DM1 administration, all of the patients had received trastuzumab, and 95% had received taxanes. Pertuzumab was administered to only 17% of the patients before T-DM1. The median number of lines of treatment for T-DM1 was 2 (range, 1-8) in metastatic cases.

Tables II and III present the efficacy outcomes and laboratory toxicities of treatment with T-DM1, respectively. The median number of cycles was 9 (range, 2-58), and 33% of the patients received ≥15 cycles of T-DM1. Dose reduction for the subsequent cycle was performed in 12 patients (9%), and half of those were due to thrombocytopenia. At the time of data cut-off, 86% of the patients had experienced TTD events. The ORR and DCR were 50.0 and 69.6%, respectively. The most common all-grade adverse events were increased levels of hepatic enzymes (43 and 38% for AST and ALT, respectively), thrombocytopenia (39%) and anemia (38%). Among grade 3 and 4 toxicities, thrombocytopenia was the most common adverse event (10%). The median number of treatment cycles in which thrombocytopenia first appeared was 3 (range, 1-32) (Fig. 1A), and two-thirds of occurrences of thrombocytopenia (66.7%) were observed in the first four cycles (Fig. 1B).

The median follow-up time was 19.3 months (range, 1-70 months). Based on the Kaplan-Meier analysis, the median TTD was 9.5 months [95% confidence interval (CI), 7.3-11.7] (Fig. 2A), and the median OS was 28.2 months

| Table I. Baseline patient and | l disease | characteristics | and prior |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|
| treatments for metastatic dis | ease (n=  | 138).           |           |

≥4

Table II. Efficacy outcomes of treatment with trastuzumab emtansine.

| Age, years $50.4 (25.5-82.9)$ CharacHistology130 $94.2$ Cycles<br>Dose re<br>Dose re<br>Dose re<br>MortallOther*8 $5.8$ TTD ev<br>MortallOther*8 $5.8$ TTD ev<br>MortallHormone receptor<br>status80 $58.0$ Comp<br>PartiaPositive80 $58.0$ Comp<br>NegativeHER2 status24 $17.4$ Stable<br>DiseaIHC HER2 $<3+$ and<br>IHC HER2 $3+$ 24 $17.4$ Menopausal statusTTD, tin<br>Pre-menopausalTTD, tin<br>ProgrPost-menopausal69 $50.0$ Disease presentation<br>RecurrentTable<br>emtansRecurrent $82$ $59.4$ Disease involvement $76$ Visceral $106$ $76.8$ Advers $Advers$ Non-visceral $32$ Site of metastasis<br>Bone $76$ Disease involvement $52.0$ Lung $69$ Lung $69$ Lung $69$ Lung $69$ Lung $29$ ECOG performance<br>status score $46$ $0$ $46$ $33.3$ $1$ $12$ $131$ $94.9$ and m $95\%$ C $73.9$ Prior systemic agent $95\%$ CTaxanes $131$ $94.9$ and m  | Characteristic            | Median (range)   | n   | %            |         |
|---|---------------------------|------------------|-----|--------------|---------|
| Histology<br>Infiltrating duct<br>carcinoma13094.2 $\overline{Cycless}$<br>Dose re<br>MortalOther*13094.2 $\overline{Cycless}$<br>Dose re<br>MortalHormone receptor<br>status85.8 $TTD erMortalPositive8058.0CompPartiaOVeratNegative5842.0PartiaOVeratHC HER2 status2417.4StableIHC HER2 status11482.6ProgrIHC HER2 3+11482.6ProgrMenopausal statusTTD, tipTD, tipPre-menopausal6950.0TotalDisease presentationTableemtansTableemtansRecurrent8259.4EmtansDisease involvement3223.2AST inThromiVisceral10676.8AdversNon-visceral3223.2AST inThromiBone7655.1AnemiaLungLung6950.0ALT inHypertLung6950.0ALT inHypertECOG performancestatus scoreAST, as04604633.3117856.521410.2Prior systemic agent(95% CTaxanesTaxanes13194.9and m$  | Age, years                | 50.4 (25.5-82.9) |     |              | Charac  |
| Infiltrating duct13094.2Cycles<br>Dose re<br>Dose re<br>Mortalother*85.8TTD et<br>MortalHormone receptor85.8TTD et<br>MortalstatusResportPositive8058.0Comp<br>Partia<br>OveraNegative5842.0Partia<br>OveraHER2 status2417.4Stable<br>DiseaIHC HER2 <3+ and<br>ISH positive2417.4Stable<br>DiseaIHC HER2 3+11482.6PrognMenopausal statusTTD, tir<br>Pre-menopausal6950.0Disease presentationTable<br>entansTable<br>entansRecurrent8259.4entansDe novo metastatic5640.6Thromite<br>sceralDisease involvement7655.1Anemite<br>sceralVisceral10676.8Advers<br>Non-visceralBone7655.1Anemite<br>sceralLung6950.0ALT in<br>HypokiLung6950.0ALT in<br>HypokiECOG performance<br>status scoreAST, as<br>04604633.3Thromite<br>AST, as<br>017856.5221410.2Prior systemic agent(95% C<br>TaxanesTaxanes13194.9and m<br>m  | Histology                 |                  |     |              |         |
| carcinomaDose re<br>MortalOther*85.8TTD et<br>MortalHormone receptor8058.0Comp<br>Positivestatus8058.0Comp<br>PartiaNegative5842.0Partia<br>OveraHER2 status11482.6PrognIHC HER2 3+11482.6PrognMenopausal statusTTD, tip<br>Pre-menopausal6950.0Post-menopausal6950.0Tto, tipDisease presentationTable<br>RecurrentTable<br>emtassicTable<br>emtassicDisease involvement7655.1AnemiaVisceral10676.8Advers<br>Non-visceral32Site of metastasisThromia<br>Lung6950.0Bone7655.1Anemia<br>Lung106Liver4532.6Hypert<br>HypertBrain2921.0Hypert<br>ProperBrain2921.0Hypert<br>ProperPrior systemic agent(95% C<br>Taxanes13194.9Taxanes13194.9and min   | Infiltrating duct         |                  | 130 | 94.2         | Cycles  |
| Other*85.8TID er<br>Mortal:<br>Mortal:<br>Mortal:<br>ResponHormone receptor8058.0Comp<br>PositivePositive8058.0Comp<br>Partia<br>OveraNegative5842.0Partia<br>OveraHER2 status2417.4Stable<br>DiseaIHC HER2 <3+   | carcinoma                 |                  |     |              | Dose re |
| Hormone receptorMortal<br>ResponstatusResponPositive8058.0CompNegative5842.0Partia<br>OveraHER2 statusOveraIHC HER2 <3+ and<br>ISH positive2417.4Stable<br>DiseaIHC HER2 3+11482.6PrograMenopausal statusTTD, tin<br>Pre-menopausal6950.0Post-menopausal6950.0Disease presentationTable<br>emtansRecurrent8259.4emtansDisease presentationTable<br>emtansDiseaseThromit<br>AdversNon-visceral3223.2AST in<br>ThromitSite of metastasisThromit<br>6950.0ALT in<br>hyperbLung6950.0ALT in<br>hyperbPrior systemic agent(95% C<br>Taxanes131O4633.3131Prior systemic agent13194.9Taxanes13194.9And mu<br>taxanes131State   | Other <sup>a</sup>        |                  | 8   | 5.8          | TTD ev  |
| statusResponPositive8058.0CompNegative5842.0PartiaHER2 status0vera0veraIHC HER2 <3+ and   | Hormone receptor          |                  |     |              | Mortal  |
| Positive80 $58.0$ Comp<br>Partia<br>OveraNegative $58$ $42.0$ Partia<br>OveraHER2 status $17.4$ Stable<br>DiseaIHC HER2 <3+ and   | status                    |                  |     |              | Respor  |
| Negative58 $42.0$ Partia<br>OveraHER2 statusIHC HER2 <3+ and  | Positive                  |                  | 80  | 58.0         | Comp    |
| HER2 statusOveraIHC HER2 <3+ and  | Negative                  |                  | 58  | 42.0         | Partia  |
| IHC HER2 <3+ and<br>ISH positive2417.4Stable<br>DiseaIHC HER2 3+11482.6ProgramMenopausal status $TTD, tinPre-menopausal6950.0Post-menopausal6950.0Disease presentationTableRecurrent8259.4De novo metastatic5640.6Disease involvement3223.2Visceral10676.8Non-visceral3223.2Site of metastasisThromitBone7655.1Lung6950.0Liver4532.6Hypert4532.6HypertHypertBrain2921.0Hypert7856.521410.2Prior systemic agent(95% CTaxanes13194.9and mut131Patanes131Status reference131Manage131Manage131Manage131Manage131Manage131Manage131ManageManage131Manage131Manage131Manage131Manage131Manage131Manage131Manage131Manage131Manage131Manage131Manage131Manage131Manage13$   | HER2 status               |                  |     |              | Overa   |
| ISH positiveDiseaIHC HER2 $3+$ 11482.6ProgramMenopausal statusTTD, tinPre-menopausal6950.0Post-menopausal6950.0Disease presentationTableRecurrent8259.4De novo metastatic5640.6Disease involvement10676.8Visceral10676.8Non-visceral3223.2Site of metastasisThromiBone7655.1Lung6950.0Liver4532.6Hypert4532.6HypertBrain2921.0HypertHypert78Status score $Astr. as$ 04633.317821410.2Prior systemic agentTaxanes13194.9and muKennetic131Status score13104013194.913194.913194.913194.9  | IHC HER2 <3+ and          |                  | 24  | 17.4         | Stable  |
| IHC HER2 $3+$ 11482.6ProgramMenopausal status $TTD, tinPre-menopausal6950.0Post-menopausal6950.0Disease presentationTableRecurrent8259.4De novo metastatic5640.6Disease involvement10676.8Visceral10676.8Non-visceral3223.2Site of metastasisThromBone7655.1Lung6950.0Liver4532.6Brain2921.0ECOG performanceAST, as04633.317856.521410.2Prior systemic agent(95% CTaxanes13194.9And mutane4.1$  | ISH positive              |                  |     |              | Disea   |
| Menopausal statusTTD, timePre-menopausal69 $50.0$ Post-menopausal69 $50.0$ Disease presentationTableRecurrent82 $59.4$ De novo metastatic $56$ $40.6$ Disease involvement $106$ $76.8$ Visceral $106$ $76.8$ Non-visceral $32$ $23.2$ Site of metastasisThromitBone $76$ $55.1$ Lung $69$ $50.0$ Liver $45$ $32.6$ Brain $29$ $21.0$ HypertHypertHypert $29$ Status score $46$ 0 $46$ 33.1 $78$ 56.5 $2$ 14 $10.2$ Prior systemic agent(95% CTaxanes $131$ 94.9and mu   | IHC HER2 3+               |                  | 114 | 82.6         | Progr   |
| Pre-menopausal69 $50.0$ Post-menopausal69 $50.0$ Disease presentationTableRecurrent82 $59.4$ De novo metastatic $56$ $40.6$ Disease involvement $106$ $76.8$ Visceral $106$ $76.8$ Non-visceral $32$ $23.2$ Site of metastasisThromalBone $76$ $55.1$ Lung $69$ $50.0$ Liver $45$ $32.6$ HyperbHyperbECOG performance $46$ status score $46$ 0 $46$ 11 $78$ 56.5 $2$ 14 $10.2$ Prior systemic agent $(95\% C)$ Taxanes $131$ 94.9and mu   | Menopausal status         |                  |     |              | TTD. ti |
| Post-menopausal69 $50.0$ Disease presentationTableRecurrent82 $59.4$ De novo metastatic $56$ $40.6$ Disease involvement $106$ $76.8$ Visceral $106$ $76.8$ Non-visceral $32$ $23.2$ Site of metastasisThromitBone $76$ $55.1$ Lung $69$ $50.0$ Liver $45$ $32.6$ HypertHypertBrain $29$ $21.0$ ECOG performance $46$ $33.3$ $1$ $78$ $56.5$ $2$ $14$ $10.2$ Prior systemic agent $(95\% C)$ Taxanes $131$ $94.9$ and mu $31$ $94.9$   | Pre-menopausal            |                  | 69  | 50.0         |         |
| Disease presentationTable<br>emtansRecurrent8259.4emtansDe novo metastatic5640.6  | Post-menopausal           |                  | 69  | 50.0         |         |
| Indice product produc | Disease presentation      |                  |     |              | T.1.1.  |
| De novo metastatic5640.6Disease involvement10676.8AdversVisceral3223.2AST inNon-visceral3223.2AST inSite of metastasisThromThromBone7655.1AnemiaLung6950.0ALT inLymph nodeb6244.9NeutroLiver4532.6HypertBrain2921.0HypertECOG performanceAST, as04633.317856.5221410.2Prior systemic agent(95% CTaxanes13194.9and mu  | Recurrent                 |                  | 82  | 59.4         | Table   |
| Disease involvement<br>Visceral10676.8AdversNon-visceral $32$ $23.2$ AST in<br>ThromSite of metastasisThromBone76 $55.1$ Lung $69$ $50.0$ Lymph nodeb $62$ $44.9$ Liver $45$ $32.6$ Brain $29$ $21.0$ ECOG performance $46$ $33.3$ $1$ $78$ $56.5$ $2$ $14$ $10.2$ Prior systemic agent $(95\% C)$ Taxanes $131$ $94.9$ and mu $46$ $75.9$  | De novo metastatic        |                  | 56  | 40.6         |         |
| Non-visceral10676.8AdversNon-visceral32 $23.2$ AST inSite of metastasisThromThromBone76 $55.1$ AnemiaLung69 $50.0$ ALT inLymph nodeb62 $44.9$ NeutroLiver45 $32.6$ HyperbBrain29 $21.0$ HyperbECOG performance $46$ $33.3$ $$   | Disease involvement       |                  |     |              |         |
| Non-visceral $32$ $23.2$ AST in<br>ThromSite of metastasis76 $55.1$ AnemiaBone76 $55.1$ AnemiaLung69 $50.0$ ALT in<br>NeutroLymph nodeb62 $44.9$ NeutroLiver45 $32.6$ HypertBrain29 $21.0$ HypertECOG performance $46$ $33.3$ 178 $56.5$ 214 $10.2$ Prior systemic agent(95% CTaxanes13194.9and mu  | Visceral                  |                  | 106 | 76.8         | Advers  |
| Site of metastasisAST in<br>ThromBone76 $55.1$ Lung69 $50.0$ Lymph nodeb62 $44.9$ Liver45 $32.6$ Brain29 $21.0$ ECOG performance $45$ status score $46$ 04617821410.2Prior systemic agentTaxanes13194.9and mu   | Non-visceral              |                  | 32  | 23.2         |         |
| Bone76 $55.1$ AnemiaLung69 $50.0$ ALT inLymph node <sup>b</sup> 62 $44.9$ NeutroLiver45 $32.6$ HypertBrain29 $21.0$ HypokaECOG performance $46$ $33.3$ 178 $56.5$ 214 $10.2$ Prior systemic agent(95% CTaxanes131 $94.9$ and muLiver $45$ $32.6$ HypokaStatus score $46$ $313$ $94.9$ $45$ $32.6$ $131$ $94.9$ $131$ $94.9$ $131$ $94.9$ $131$ $94.9$ $131$ $94.9$ $131$ $14$ <td>Site of metastasis</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>AST in</td>   | Site of metastasis        |                  |     |              | AST in  |
| bonc $70^{\circ}$ $55.1^{\circ}$ AnemiaLung $69^{\circ}$ $50.0^{\circ}$ ALT inLymph node <sup>b</sup> $62^{\circ}$ $44.9^{\circ}$ NeutroLiver $45^{\circ}$ $32.6^{\circ}$ HypertBrain $29^{\circ}$ $21.0^{\circ}$ HypertECOG performance $$   | Bone                      |                  | 76  | 55 1         | Throm   |
| Lung $0.5$ $50.6$ ALT inLymph nodeb $62$ $44.9$ NeutroLiver $45$ $32.6$ HyperbBrain $29$ $21.0$ HyperbECOG performance $46$ $33.3$ $$   | Ling                      |                  | 69  | 50.0         | Anemi   |
| Liver4532.6HypertBrain2921.0HypertECOG performance4633.304633.317856.521410.2Prior systemic agent(95% CTaxanes13194.9and mu   | Lymph node <sup>b</sup>   |                  | 62  | 44.9         | ALT in  |
| Brain2921.0HypertBrain2921.0HypertECOG performanceAST, as04633.317856.521410.2Prior systemic agent(95% CTaxanes13194.9and muLine Markov13194.9  | Liver                     |                  | 45  | 32.6         | Neutro  |
| ECOG performanceHypokastatus scoreAST, as04617856.514214Prior systemic agent(95% CTaxanes13194.9and mu  | Brain                     |                  | 29  | 21.0         | Hypert  |
| AST, as       0     46       33.3       1       78       56.5       2       14       10.2       Prior systemic agent       (95%)       Taxanes       131     94.9       and mu  | ECOG performance          |                  |     |              | Нурока  |
| 0     46     33.3       1     78     56.5       2     14     10.2       Prior systemic agent     (95% (<br>131       131     94.9     and mu  | status score              |                  |     |              | AST. as |
| 1     78     56.5       2     14     10.2       Prior systemic agent     (95% (<br>131       Taxanes     131     94.9       131     94.9     and mu   | 0                         |                  | 46  | 33 3         |         |
| 21410.2Prior systemic agent(95% (Taxanes13194.9and mu13194.9  | 1                         |                  | 78  | 56.5         |         |
| Prior systemic agent (95% (<br>Taxanes 131 94.9 and mu  | 2                         |                  | 14  | 10.2         |         |
| Taxanes 131 94.9 and mu   | Prior systemic agent      |                  |     | 10.2         | (05% (  |
|   | Tayanes                   |                  | 131 | 94.9         | and m   |
| Anthracycline 101 73.2 the 11   | Anthracycline             |                  | 101 | 73.2         | the TT  |
| Lapatinih 34 24 6 hormon  | Lanatinih                 |                  | 34  | 73.2<br>24.6 | hormor  |
| Pertuzumah 23 16.7 1.249-2  | Pertuzumah                |                  | 23  | 16.7         | 1.249-2 |
| Line of T DM1 treatment $2(1.8)$ $3.269;$   | Line of T DM1 treatment   | 2(1.8)           | 20  | 10.7         | 3.269;  |
| in the metastatic setting during  | in the metastatic setting | 2 (1-0)          |     |              | during  |
| 1 11 & 0 were in  | 1                         |                  | 11  | 8.0          | were in |
| $\begin{array}{c} 11 & 0.0 \\ 2 & 68 & 49 \end{array}$  | 2                         |                  | 68  | 49.3         | recepto |
| $\begin{array}{c} - & 0 \\ 3 & 38 & 275 \\ \end{array}$   | - 3                       |                  | 38  | 27.5         | natient |

<sup>a</sup>Other, including invasive lobular and mixed carcinomas. <sup>b</sup>Lymph node, non-regional lymph nodes. IHC, immunohistochemistry; ISH, in situ hybridization; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; T-DM1, trastuzumab emtansine; HER2, human epithelial growth factor receptor 2.

21

15.2

| Media<br>(minimu<br>Characteristics maximu | un<br>um- n,<br>um) n=138 | %    |
|--|---------------------------|------|
|  |                           |      |
| Cycles 9 (2-5)                             | 8)                        |      |
| Dose reduction                             | 12                        | 8.7  |
| TTD event                                  | 119                       | 86.2 |
| Mortality                                  | 88                        | 63.8 |
| Response                                   |                           |      |
| Complete response                          | 12                        | 8.7  |
| Partial response                           | 57                        | 41.3 |
| Overall response rate                      | -                         | 50.0 |
| Stable disease                             | 27                        | 19.6 |
| Disease control rate                       | -                         | 69.6 |
| Progressive disease                        | 42                        | 30.4 |

me-to-treatment discontinuation.

III. Adverse effects of treatment with trastuzumab ine.

| Adverse event      | Any grade,<br>n (%) | Grade 3 and 4, n (%) |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| AST increased      | 59 (42.8)           | 4 (2.9)              |
| Thrombocytopenia   | 54 (39.1)           | 14 (10.2)            |
| Anemia             | 53 (38.4)           | 2 (1.5)              |
| ALT increased      | 52 (37.7)           | 6 (4.4)              |
| Neutropenia        | 20 (14.5)           | 5 (3.6)              |
| Hyperbilirubinemia | 14 (10.2)           | 3 (2.2)              |
| Hypokalemia        | 13 (9.4)            | 3 (2.2)              |

partate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase.

CI, 19.2-37.2) (Fig. 2B). Table IV presents univariate iltivariate Cox regression analyses for factors affecting D of T-DM1. The multivariate analyses revealed that ne receptor status [hazard ratio (HR), 1.837; 95% CI, 2.701; P=0.002], ECOG performance status score (HR, 95% CI, 1.788-5.976; P<0.001) and thrombocytopenia treatment (HR, 0.297; 95% CI, 0.198-0.446; P<0.001) dependent factors for TTD. Patients who were hormone pr-positive and patients with high ECOG performance had an increased risk of an TTD event. By contrast, s who developed thrombocytopenia during T-DM1 treatment had a reduced risk of developing a TTD event.

Fig. 3 presents the TTD survival curves according to thrombocytopenia. Patients with thrombocytopenia during treatment had a longer TTD (P<0.001) (Fig. 3A). As a longer duration of T-DM1 treatment was associated with a high incidence of thrombocytopenia, a further analysis was performed to evaluate whether thrombocytopenia was predictive of a



Figure 1. (A) Boxplot scheme of treatment cycles in which thrombocytopenia first occurred in the patients who experienced thrombocytopenia during treatment (n=54). (B) Distribution of treatment cycles in which thrombocytopenia first occurred in the patients with thrombocytopenia.



Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier curves of (A) TTD and (B) OS of all patients. TTD, time-to-treatment discontinuation; OS, overall survival; CI, confidence interval.

prolonged survival time. A comparison of the survival rates between patients with and without early thrombocytopenia using a log-rank test revealed that patients with early thrombocytopenia had a significantly longer TTD of 17.3 months (95% CI, 11.8-22.8) compared with 7.6 months (95% CI, 5.8-9.4) for patients without early thrombocytopenia (P<0.001) (Fig. 3B).

## Discussion

The present study evaluated the factors affecting survival time during T-DM1 treatment. It was revealed that increased survival time was associated with low ECOG performance

scores, negative hormone receptor status and thrombocytopenia. Furthermore, thrombocytopenia that developed in the first four T-DM1 cycles was predictive of a longer TTD in T-DM1 treatment.

Thrombocytopenia has been identified as a characteristic of T-DM1 and as the most common grade  $\geq 3$  and dose-limiting adverse event in both clinical trials (10-12) and real-life studies (17,24,25). To the best of our knowledge, only two reports in the literature have studied the predictive value of thrombocytopenia during T-DM1 treatment. Tataroglu *et al* (16) reported that a multivariate Cox regression analysis of 78 patients demonstrated that patients with

|                    |                    | Univariate analysis |             |         | Multivariate analysis |             |                     |
|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Factor             | Category           | HR                  | 95% CI      | P-value | HR                    | 95% CI      | P-value             |
| Age                | Years              | 1.000               | 0.985-1.016 | 0.996   |                       |             |                     |
| Menopausal         | Pre-men. (R)       | 0.978               | 0.681-1.406 | 0.906   |                       |             |                     |
| status             | vs. post-men.      |                     |             |         |                       |             |                     |
| Hormone receptor   | Negative (R)       | 1.547               | 1.065-2.247 | 0.022   | 1.837                 | 1.249-2.701 | $0.002^{a}$         |
| status             | vs. positive       |                     |             |         |                       |             |                     |
| HER2 status        | IHC 3+ (R) vs.     | 1.186               | 0.744-1.892 | 0.474   |                       |             |                     |
|                    | ISH positive       |                     |             |         |                       |             |                     |
| Histotype          | IDC (R) vs. other  | 1.330               | 0.646-2.735 | 0.439   |                       |             |                     |
| Disease            | Recurrent (R) vs.  | 1.111               | 0.765-1.611 | 0.581   |                       |             |                     |
| presentation       | de novo metastatic |                     |             |         |                       |             |                     |
| Visceral           | Absent (R)         | 1.205               | 0.782-1.858 | 0.397   |                       |             |                     |
| metastasis         | vs. present        |                     |             |         |                       |             |                     |
| CNS                | Absent (R)         | 1.194               | 0.771-1.850 | 0.427   |                       |             |                     |
| metastasis         | vs. present        |                     |             |         |                       |             |                     |
| ECOG               | 0-1 (R) vs. 2      | 3.219               | 1.795-5.774 | <0.001  | 3.269                 | 1.788-5.976 | <0.001 <sup>a</sup> |
| performance score  |                    |                     |             |         |                       |             |                     |
| Pertuzumab before  | Absent (R)         | 1.153               | 0.656-2.026 | 0.620   |                       |             |                     |
| T-DM1              | vs. present        |                     |             |         |                       |             |                     |
| Line of T-DM1      | <3 (R) vs.≥3       | 1.082               | 0.752-1.557 | 0.671   |                       |             |                     |
| Thrombocytopenia   | No (R) vs. yes     | 0.300               | 0.202-0.445 | <0.001  | 0.297                 | 0.198-0.446 | <0.001ª             |
| AST increased      | No (R) vs. yes     | 0.963               | 0.667-1.390 | 0.841   |                       |             |                     |
| ALT increased      | No (R) vs. yes     | 1.149               | 0.796-1.659 | 0.458   |                       |             |                     |
| Hyperbilirubinemia | No (R) vs. yes     | 0.736               | 0.404-1.341 | 0.316   |                       |             |                     |
| Hypokalemia        | No (R) vs. yes     | 0.928               | 0.520-1.656 | 0.928   |                       |             |                     |

| Table IV University and multiversity and  | magnagian anal  | vois of the | mundiatous fo | w times to tractmonth | discontinuation |
|---|-----------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Table IV. Univariate and multivariate cox | regression anal | vsis of the | predictors it | or unne-to-treatment  | discontinuation |
|   |                 | -           |               |                       |                 |

<sup>a</sup>The Cox regression model is statistically significant (P<0.001). HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidential interval; R, reference category; IHC, immunohistochemistry; ISH, *in situ* hybridization; pre-men, pre-menopausal; post-men, post-menopausal; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; IDC, invasive ductal carcinoma; T-DM1, trastuzumab emtansine; HER2, human epithelial growth factor receptor 2; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; CNS, central nervous system.

thrombocytopenia had longer progression-free survival (PFS) compared with those without thrombocytopenia, consistent with the results of the present study. In the second study, which evaluated 73 patients, Tang *et al* (15) proposed a toxicity score including thrombocytopenia and hepatitis. It was revealed that an increased toxicity score was associated with an improved response and prolonged PFS. However, Yardley *et al* (17) observed that the incidence of thrombocytopenia increased with T-DM1 cycles, and thrombocytopenia was more often observed in patients receiving >18 cycles of T-DM1 compared with in those receiving <18 cycles.

Considering that the incidence of thrombocytopenia increases with the duration of T-DM1 treatment, it is a matter of debate whether thrombocytopenia is an inevitable adverse event secondary to long-term use or is a predictive marker for improved survival rates. To investigate this issue, the survival rates of patients with thrombocytopenia in the first four T-DM1 cycles were compared with those without thrombocytopenia in the first four cycles after thrombocytopenia was confirmed as a significant independent factor for survival rate using a multivariate analysis. It was revealed that patients with early thrombocytopenia exhibited improved survival rates compared with those without early thrombocytopenia (17.3 vs. 7.6 months, respectively; P<0.001). Therefore, it was hypothesized that thrombocytopenia may be a predictive marker of TTD in treatment with T-DM1, considering that, in previous studies, the majority of instances of grade  $\geq$ 3 thrombocytopenia had occurred within the first 42 days (4,26) and that 70% of total dose reductions due to adverse events had occurred within the first 4 months (27).

Experimental studies evaluating the potential mechanisms of thrombocytopenia have demonstrated that, rather than exerting a direct effect on platelets, T-DM1 inhibits the proliferation and differentiation of proplatelet precursors by disrupting microtubules after the uptake of megakaryocytes via micropinocytosis (28,29). Tang *et al* (15) hypothesized that emtansine molecules released from lysed HER2<sup>+</sup> tumor cells after the administration of T-DM1 enter the systemic circulation and cause adverse events, such as hepatitis and thrombocytopenia, explaining the association between efficacy and adverse events. The findings of the present study and



Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier curves of TTD according to (A) thrombocytopenia and (B) early thrombocytopenia (observed in the first four cycles), during trastuzumab emtansine treatment. TTD, time-to-treatment discontinuation.

the high incidence of adverse effects in the first cycles of treatment, that is, during the period when the tumor burden was highest, are in agreement with this hypothesis, but it should be confirmed by further studies.

Treatment beyond radiological progression with targeted anticancer therapies, including tyrosine kinase inhibitors, anti-vascular endothelial growth factor and checkpoint inhibitors, has been studied in various solid organ malignancies, such as lung cancer, renal cell carcinoma and melanoma (30-37). These studies indicate that the continuation of these agents after progression could contribute to increased survival rates, especially in selected patients, and the significance of predictive markers in this regard has been observed. The unique adverse events of numerous targeted agents that occur during treatment have been associated with improved treatment responses and prolonged survival times (38-41). In this context, it is hypothesized that thrombocytopenia may be used to select patients to continue T-DM1 treatment in a post-progression setting after the predictive value is confirmed in large scale studies. Other factors affecting TTD were the ECOG performance score and hormone receptor status. A low ECOG performance score was associated with improved survival outcomes, consistent with previous reports (16,42,43). It is hypothesized that the significantly shorter TTD of patients with a hormone receptor-positive status in the present study was associated with the preference of clinicians to discontinue T-DM1 and add endocrine therapy after radiological progression in these patients.

The main strength of the present study was the use of TTD instead of PFS as the endpoint. TTD was reported to inform the clinician regarding the continuation of an anticancer agent after objective progression, which is common in oncology practice, particularly for targeted agents, and has a high association with PFS, which is an objective evaluation (44). In addition, the present study included a relatively high number of patients compared with the aforementioned studies on the predictive value of thrombocytopenia. However, the present study also had limitations, including its retrospective design and the lack of toxicity data other than laboratory findings. Furthermore, factors impacting thrombocytopenia could not be analyzed due to a lack of associated data, such as pretreatment platelet counts, which have been identified as a strong predictor for thrombocytopenia during T-DM1 treatment (26). Moreover, tumor burden, one of the aspects affecting response in cancer treatments (45), could not be assessed due to the retrospective and multicenter design of the present study.

In conclusion, the patients that experienced thrombocytopenia in the first four cycles of T-DM1 treatment had a longer TTD compared with those without thrombocytopenia. Thus, future prospective studies and large-scale cohorts should aim to confirm the predictive role of thrombocytopenia for improved survival rates in order to maximize the potential benefit of T-DM1 treatment.

#### Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

## Funding

No funding was received.

## Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

## Authors' contributions

EC, TE and AS designed the study. The data were collected by AS, BC, BO, SO, AD, BH, IS, AG, MC and HO. GO and AS performed the data analysis. AS, TE, EC, AD and IS conducted the literature review. AS wrote the manuscript. BC, BO, BH, AG, HO and SO contributed significantly to the writing of the manuscript. TE, EC, AD and IS revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. AS, BC, BO, SO, AD, AG, HO, BH and MC confirm the authenticity of all the raw data. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

## Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional research committee and the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki. The Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Uludag University Faculty of Medicine approved the study (Bursa, Turkey; approval no. 2022-18/46), waiving the need for informed consent due to the retrospective nature of the present study.

## Patient consent for publication

Not applicable.

## **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Wagle NS and Jemal A: Cancer statistics, 2023. CA Cancer J Clin 73: 17-48, 2023.
- Noone AM, Cronin KA, Altekruse SF, Howlader N, Lewis DR, Petkov VI and Penberthy L: Cancer incidence and survival trends by subtype using data from the surveillance epidemiology and end results program, 1992-2013. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 26: 632-641, 2017.
- Ross JS, Slodkowska EA, Symmans WF, Pusztai L, Ravdin PM and Hortobagyi GN: The HER-2 receptor and breast cancer: Ten years of targeted anti-HER-2 therapy and personalized medicine. Oncologist 14: 320-368, 2009.
- Verma S, Miles D, Gianni L, Krop IE, Welslau M, Baselga J, Pegram M, Oh DY, Diéras V, Guardino E, *et al*: Trastuzumab emtansine for HER2-positive advanced breast cancer. N Engl J Med 367: 1783-1791, 2012.
- 5. Fu Z, Li S, Han S, Shi C and Zhang Y: Antibody drug conjugate: The 'biological missile' for targeted cancer therapy. Signal Transduct Target Ther 7: 93, 2022.
- 6. Krop IE, Kim SB, González-Martín A, LoRusso PM, Ferrero JM, Smitt M, Yu R, Leung AC and Wildiers H; TH3RESA study collaborators: Trastuzumab emtansine versus treatment of physician's choice for pretreated HER2-positive advanced breast cancer (TH3RESA): A randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 15: 689-699, 2014.
- 7. Perez EA, Barrios C, Eiermann W, Toi M, Im YH, Conte P, Martin M, Pienkowski T, Pivot XB, Burris HA III, *et al*: Trastuzumab emtansine with or without pertuzumab versus trastuzumab with taxane for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive advanced breast cancer: Final results from MARIANNE. Cancer 125: 3974-3984, 2019.
- Gennari A, André F, Barrios CH, Cortés J, de Azambuja E, DeMichele A, Dent R, Fenlon D, Gligorov J, Hurvitz SA, *et al*: ESMO clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis, staging and treatment of patients with metastatic breast cancer. Ann Oncol 32: 1475-1495, 2021.
- National Comprehensive Cancer Network: Breast cancer version 4.2022, 2022. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\_gls/ pdf/breast.pdf. Accessed August 31, 2022.
- 10. Diéras V, Miles D, Verma S, Pegram M, Welslau M, Baselga J, Krop IE, Blackwell K, Hoersch S, Xu J, *et al*: Trastuzumab emtansine versus capecitabine plus lapatinib in patients with previously treated HER2-positive advanced breast cancer (EMILIA): A descriptive analysis of final overall survival results from a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 18: 732-742, 2017.
- 11. Krop IE, Kim SB, Martin AG, LoRusso PM, Ferrero JM, Badovinac-Crnjevic T, Hoersch S, Smitt M and Wildiers H: Trastuzumab emtansine versus treatment of physician's choice in patients with previously treated HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer (TH3RESA): Final overall survival results from a randomised open-label phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 18: 743-754, 2017.

- 12. Montemurro F, Ellis P, Anton A, Wuerstlein R, Delaloge S, Bonneterre J, Quenel-Tueux N, Linn SC, Irahara N, Donica M, *et al*: Safety of trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1) in patients with HER2-positive advanced breast cancer: Primary results from the KAMILLA study cohort 1. Eur J Cancer 109: 92-102, 2019.
- Liu F, Ke J and Song Y: T-DM1-induced thrombocytopenia in breast cancer patients: New perspectives. Biomed Pharmacother 129: 110407, 2020.
- 14. Girish S, Gupta M, Wang B, Lu D, Krop IE, Vogel CL, Burris Iii HA, LoRusso PM, Yi JH, Saad O, *et al*: Clinical pharmacology of trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1): An antibody-drug conjugate in development for the treatment of HER2-positive cancer. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 69: 1229-1240, 2012.
- Tang SC, Capra CL, Ajebo GH, Meza-Junco J, Mairs S, Craft BS, Zhu X, Maihle N and Hillegass WB: Systemic toxicities of trastuzumab-emtansine predict tumor response in HER2+ metastatic breast cancer. Int J Cancer 149: 909-916, 2021 (Epub ahead of print).
- 16. Tataroglu Ozyukseler D, Basak M, Ay S, Koseoglu A, Arici S, Oyman A, Sürmeli H, Turan M, Turan N, Odabaş H and E Yıldırım M: Prognostic factors of ado-trastuzumab emtansine treatment in patients with metastatic HER-2 positive breast cancer. J Oncol Pharm Pract 27: 547-554, 2021.
- 17. Yardley DA, Krop IE, LoRusso PM, Mayer M, Barnett B, Yoo B and Perez EA: Trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1) in patients With HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer previously treated with chemotherapy and 2 or more HER2-targeted agents: Results from the T-PAS expanded access study. Cancer J 21: 357-364, 2015.
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA): FDA approves ado-trastuzumab emtansine for early breast cancer. FDA, Silver Spring, MD, 2019. https://www.fda.gov/drugs/ resources-information-approved-drugs/fda-approves-ado-trastuzumab-emtansine-early-breast-cancer.
- Oken MM, Creech RH, Tormey DC, Horton J, Davis TE, McFadden ET and Carbone PP: Toxicity and response criteria of the eastern cooperative oncology group. Am J Clin Oncol 5: 649-655, 1982.
- 20. Allison KH, Hammond MEH, Dowsett M, McKernin SE, Carey LA, Fitzgibbons PL, Hayes DF, Lakhani SR, Chavez-MacGregor M, Perlmutter J, *et al*: Estrogen and progesterone receptor testing in breast cancer: ASCO/CAP guideline update. J Clin Oncol 38: 1346-1366, 2020.
- 21. Wolff AC, Hammond MEH, Allison KH, Harvey BE, Mangu PB, Bartlett JMS, Bilous M, Ellis IO, Fitzgibbons P, Hanna W, et al: Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 testing in breast cancer: American society of clinical oncology/college of American pathologists clinical practice guideline focused update. Arch Pathol Lab Med 142: 1364-1382, 2018.
- 22. Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, Schwartz LH, Sargent D, Ford R, Dancey J, Arbuck S, Gwyther S, Mooney M, *et al*: New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: Revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). Eur J Cancer 45: 228-247, 2009.
- NCI: Common terminology criteria for adverse events v5.0, 2017. https://ctep.cancer.gov/protocoldevelopment/electronic\_applications/docs/CTCAE\_v5\_Quick\_Reference\_8.5x11.pdf. Accessed April 19, 2020.
- 24. Fabi A, De Laurentiis M, Caruso M, Valle E, Moscetti L, Santini D, Cannita K, Carbognin L, Ciccarese M, Rossello R, *et al*: Efficacy and safety of T-DM1 in the 'common-practice' of HER2+ advanced breast cancer setting: A multicenter study. Oncotarget 8: 64481-64489, 2017.
- 25. Yeo W, Luk MY, Soong IS, Yuen TY, Ng TY, Mo FK, Chan K, Wong SY, Tsang J, Leung C, *et al*: Efficacy and tolerability of trastuzumab emtansine in advanced human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive breast cancer. Hong Kong Med J 24: 56-62, 2018.
- 26. Modi ND, Sorich MJ, Rowland A, McKinnon RA, Koczwara B, Wiese MD and Hopkins AM: Predicting thrombocytopenia in patients with breast cancer treated with ado-trastuzumab emtansine. Clin Breast Cancer 20: e220-e228, 2020.
- 27. Tang E, Rowland A, McKinnon RA, Sorich MJ and Hopkins AM: Effect of early adverse events resulting in ado-trastuzumab emtansine dose adjustments on survival outcomes of HER2+ advanced breast cancer patients. Breast Cancer Res Treat 178: 473-477, 2019.
- breast cancer patients. Breast Cancer Res Treat 178: 473-477, 2019.
  28. Thon JN, Devine MT, Begonja AJ, Tibbitts J and Italiano JE Jr: High-content live-cell imaging assay used to establish mechanism of trastuzumab emtansine (T-DMI)-mediated inhibition of platelet production. Blood 120: 1975-1984, 2012.
  20. Zhen H, Chengring S, Cheng S, Kong M, Cheng M
- 29. Zhao H, Gulesserian S, Ganesan SK, Ou J, Morrison K, Zeng Z, Robles V, Snyder J, Do L, Aviña H, *et al*: Inhibition of megakaryocyte differentiation by antibody-drug conjugates (ADCs) is mediated by macropinocytosis: Implications for ADC-induced thrombocytopenia. Mol Cancer Ther 16: 1877-1886, 2017.

- 30. Park K, Yu CJ, Kim SW, Lin MC, Sriuranpong V, Tsai CM, Lee JS, Kang JH, Chan KC, Perez-Moreno P, *et al*: First-line erlotinib therapy until and beyond response evaluation criteria in solid tumors progression in asian patients with epidermal growth factor receptor mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer: The ASPIRATION study. JAMA Oncol 2: 305-312, 2016.
- 31. Haddad R, Concha-Benavente F, Blumenschein G Jr, Fayette J, Guigay J, Colevas AD, Licitra L, Kasper S, Vokes EE, Worden F, *et al*: Nivolumab treatment beyond RECIST-defined progression in recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck in CheckMate 141: A subgroup analysis of a randomized phase 3 clinical trial. Cancer 125: 3208-3218, 2019.
- 32. Goto Y, Tanai C, Yoh K, Hosomi Y, Sakai H, Kato T, Kaburagi T, Nishio M, Kim YH, Inoue A, *et al*: Continuing EGFR-TKI beyond radiological progression in patients with advanced or recurrent, EGFR mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer: An observational study. ESMO Open 2: e000214, 2017.
- 33. Escudier B, Motzer RJ, Sharma P, Wagstaff J, Plimack ER, Hammers HJ, Donskov F, Gurney H, Sosman JA, Zalewski PG, et al: Treatment beyond progression in patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma treated with nivolumab in CheckMate 025. Eur Urol 72: 368-376, 2017.
- 34. Ge X, Zhang Z, Zhang S, Yuan F, Zhang F, Yan X, Han X, Ma J, Wang L, Tao H, *et al*: Immunotherapy beyond progression in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Transl Lung Cancer Res 9: 2391-2400, 2020.
- 35. Czarnecka AM, Sobczuk P, Rogala P, Świtaj T, Placzke J, Kozak K, Mariuk-Jarema A, Spałek M, Dudzisz-Śledź M, Teterycz P, *et al*: Efficacy of immunotherapy beyond RECIST progression in advanced melanoma: A real-world evidence. Cancer Immunol Immunother 71: 1949-1958, 2022.
- 36. Bennouna J, Sastre J, Arnold D, Österlund P, Greil R, Van Cutsem E, von Moos R, Viéitez JM, Bouché O, Borg C, *et al*: Continuation of bevacizumab after first progression in metastatic colorectal cancer (ML18147): A randomised phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 14: 29-37, 2013.
- Oncol 14: 29-37, 2013.
  37. Guven DC, Yekeduz E, Erul E, Yazgan SC, Sahin TK, Karatas G, Aksoy S, Erman M, Yalcin S, Urun Y and Kilickap S: The benefit of treatment beyond progression with immune checkpoint inhibitors: A multi-center retrospective cohort study. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 149: 3599-3606, 2023.
- 38. Liu Y, Zhou L, Chen Y, Liao B, Ye D, Wang K and Li H: Hypertension as a prognostic factor in metastatic renal cell carcinoma treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Urol 19: 49, 2019.
- Ou SHI, Tong WP, Azada M, Siwak-Tapp C, Dy J and Stiber JA: Heart rate decrease during crizotinib treatment and potential correlation to clinical response. Cancer 119: 1969-1975, 2013.
- 40. Bar-Ad V, Zhang QE, Harari PM, Axelrod R, Rosenthal DI, Trotti A, Jones CU, Garden AS, Song G, Foote RL, *et al*: Correlation between the severity of cetuximab-induced skin rash and clinical outcome for head and neck cancer patients: The RTOG experience. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 95: pp1346-1354, 2016.
- 41. Holch JW, Held S, Stintzing S, Fischer von Weikersthal L, Decker T, Kiani A, Kaiser F, Heintges T, Kahl C, Kullmann F, *et al*: Relation of cetuximab-induced skin toxicity and early tumor shrinkage in metastatic colorectal cancer patients: Results of the randomized phase 3 trial FIRE-3 (AIO KRK0306). Ann Oncol 31: 72-78, 2020.
- 42. Vici P, Pizzuti L, Michelotti A, Sperduti I, Natoli C, Mentuccia L, Di Lauro L, Sergi D, Marchetti P, Santini D, *et al*: A retrospective multicentric observational study of trastuzumab emtansine in HER2 positive metastatic breast cancer: A real-world experience. Oncotarget 8: 56921-56931, 2017.
- 43. Hopkins AM, Rowland A, Logan JM and Sorich MJ: Primary predictors of survival outcomes for HER2-positive advanced breast cancer patients initiating ado-trastuzumab emtansine. Breast 46: 90-94, 2019.
- 44. Blumenthal GM, Gong Y, Kehl K, Mishra-Kalyani P, Goldberg KB, Khozin S, Kluetz PG, Oxnard GR and Pazdur R: Analysis of time-to-treatment discontinuation of targeted therapy, immunotherapy, and chemotherapy in clinical trials of patients with non-small-cell lung cancer. Ann Oncol 30: 830-838, 2019.
- 45. Dall'Olio FG, Marabelle A, Caramella C, Garcia C, Aldea M, Chaput N, Robert C and Besse B: Tumour burden and efficacy of immune-checkpoint inhibitors. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 19: 75-90, 2022.