Androgen receptor induces EPHA3 expression by interacting with transcription factor SP1
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Abstract. Erythropoietin-producing hepatocellular carcinoma cell surface type-A receptor 3 (EPHA3) has been found to promote the proliferation and survival of prostate cancer (PCa) cell lines and prostate tumor development in nude mice. However, the regulation of EPHA3 in PCa remains largely unknown. This study is aimed to investigate the association between EPHA3 expression and androgen receptor (AR) signaling and the potential mechanism. We determined mRNA and protein levels of EPHA3 and AR signaling-related genes in the PCa cell line 22Rv1 by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and western blotting, respectively. The EPHA3 mRNA and protein levels were both found to be elevated by dihydrotestosterone (DHT) hormone in a dose- and time-dependent manner, as AR and prostate-specific antigen (PSA) expression were increased. Similarly, EPHA3 protein levels were also increased in the PCa cell line LNCaP stimulated with DHT or mibolerone (Mib). Overexpression of pEGFP-AR in 22Rv1 cells significantly increased the EphA3 level, while AR knockdown with small interfering RNA (siRNA) for AR (siAR) markedly decreased the expression of EPHA3. The key EPHA3 promoter region associated with AR regulation was evaluated by co-transfection of various pGL3-basic-luciferase reporter plasmids, containing EPHA3 core promoter fragments differing in length, with the AR plasmid or siAR into 22Rv1 cells. AR overexpression in 22Rv1 cells raised the EphA3 promoter transcription activity of pGL3-EPHA3-Luc (EPHA3-Luc)-789, and vice versa. Similarly, luciferase activity of EPHA3-Luc-317 was also clearly affected. However, truncated EPHA3-Luc-237 without the transcription factor specific protein 1 (SP1) binding sites or EPHA3-Luc-789ΔSP1 with modified SP1 binding sites clearly decreased EPHA3 promoter activity regardless of whether AR was overexpressed or blocked. Treatment of 22Rv1 cells with 10 and 100 nM of the SP1 inhibitor mithramycin A for 24 and 48 h significantly reduced EPHA3 mRNA and protein levels. Additionally, selective inhibition of SP1 with siRNA SP1 (siSP1) at various concentration from 25 to 75 nM, reduced the EPHA3 protein level in PCa LNCaP cells, accordingly. Co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) and chromatin IP (ChIP) assays were performed to determine whether AR forms a transcription factor complex with Sp1 that binds the EPHA3 core promoter region to sense androgen induction. The result suggests that the interaction of AR and SP1 contributes to regulate EPHA3 expression, and the SP1 binding sites (-295~-261) in the EPHA3 core promoter region is crucial to the regulation of EPHA3 expression in response to androgen hormone stimuli.

Introduction

Erythropoietin-producing hepatocellular carcinoma cell surface type-A receptor 3 (EPHA3) is a member of the Eph subfamily of receptor tyrosine kinases, and is structurally classified into cytoplasmic and extracellular regions. The cytoplasmic region is composed of a regulatory juxtamembrane domain with two tyrosine residues, a tyrosine kinase domain, a sterile-α-motif interaction domain and a PSD95/Dlg/ZO-1 binding motif. The extracellular region contains a cysteine-rich domain comprised of a sushi and epidermal growth factor-like domain, two fibronectin III repeats, and an N-terminal...
globular ligand-binding domain (LBD) that can interact with cell membrane-bound ligands. These ligands mainly include ephrinA3, ephrinA5, or ephrinB2, which trigger bidirectional signaling of tyrosine kinase-dependent and independent pathways involved in cell communication. EPHA3 is highly expressed during the embryonic development of the brain and spinal cord, lungs, kidneys and heart, and then drops to a low level in most normal adult tissues (1). However, its expression is also elevated in a wide range of malignancies, including gastric cancer (2,3), melanoma (4-6), hepatocellular carcinoma (7) and glioblastoma (8), and is correlated with tumorigenicity, tumor angiogenesis and cancer progression (7-9). It was found that blocking the activation of the EPHA3 receptor with soluble EPHA3-Fc inhibited tumor growth in the 4T1 model of metastatic mammary adenocarcinoma (10). The first-in-class monoclonal antibody KB004 targeting the overexpressed receptor tyrosine kinase EPHA3 was shown to be clinically active against refractory hematological malignancies in humans (11). The conjugate cytotoxin of ephrinA5-Fc and PE38QQR potently and specifically killed glioblastoma tumor cells (12). The EPHA3-specific monoclonal antibody IIIA4 was also found to have antitumor effects in EPHA3-expressing leukemia xenografts (13). Accordingly, EPHA3 has been paid more attention as one of the promising targets for the treatment of several cancers (14,15). Conversely, there are also contradictory reports concerning EphA3 expression in tumors and its effect on the regulation of cancer progression. EPHA3 expression is more commonly downregulated and does not play a major role in colorectal cancer (16-18). EPHA3 is not highly expressed in the primary tumor but in lymph node metastases, and its expression also varies with disease stage in breast cancer (19). EPHA3 was found to suppress cell adhesion and migration when EPHA3 phosphorylation was increased by ephrinA5 stimulation in EPHA3-expressing TE671 and RD rhabdomyosarcoma (RMS) cells, or when EPHA3 was ectopically expressed in the EPHA3-negative CRL2061 RMS cell line (20), but EPHA3 and ephrinA1 upregulated by the transcription factor PAX7 in RMS cell lines was associated with cell migration and invasiveness (21). In addition, EPHA3 overexpression in human lung cancer cell lines increased apoptosis by suppressing AKT activation in vitro and inhibited the growth of tumor xenografts (22). It also decreased chemoresistance of small cell lung cancer via the PI3K/BMX/STAT3 signaling pathway (23). Furthermore, the EphA3 level was found to be lower in primary lung adenocarcinomas than in normal clinical specimens (22). Given the above-mentioned findings, the role of EPHA3 either in promoting or suppressing oncogenesis is quite complex and paradoxical in a variety of cancers.

Noteworthy, production of higher amounts of EPHA3 is reported in the normal prostate compared with other benign human tissues, such as in the uterus and bladder (24). However, although EPHA3 is also found to be expressed in prostate tumor vasculature and stroma, there is a great disparity in the EPHA3 levels, either elevated or reduced, in various prostate cancer (PCa) cell lines compared with normal prostate epithelium cells (25,26). In addition, a positive correlation between the levels of EPHA3 and the Gleason grade of PCa has also been found in clinical PCa specimens (26). In addition, EphA3 enhanced the proliferation and survival of PCa LNCaP cells and tumor development in nude mice subcutaneously implanted with EPHA3-overexpressing LNCaP cells, and EPHA3 inhibition suppressed the survival of the LNCaP-derived subline, C4-2B cells (27). The treatment of mice with an agonistic α-EPHA3 antibody was found to inhibit tumor growth by activation of EPHA3(+)/CD90(+)/Sca1(+) mesenchymal/stromal cells (25). All of these findings highlight the importance of further investigating EPHA3 expression and the related mechanism in PCa. PCa is the second most common carcinoma and the fifth leading cause of cancer-related deaths in men worldwide (28), in which the androgen receptor (AR) plays a pivotal role in the initiation and progression of PCa (29-31). The regulation of EPHA3 in PCa remains mostly unclear, and particularly the association between AR and EPHA3 regulation has been less studied. In the present study, we aimed to reveal the effects of AR signaling on EPHA3 expression and the molecular mechanism of AR-regulated EPHA3 expression in androgen-dependent PCa cells, and identified the DNA binding sites within the EPHA3 promoter region that contribute to the regulation of the expression of EPHA3 in response to androgen hormone.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and chemical treatments. The media, fetal bovine sera (FBS) and charcoal/dextran stripped FBS (SFBS) for cell culture were all purchased from Gibco (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). Human prostate adenocarcinoma cell lines PC-3, DU145, LNCaP and 22Rv1 were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; Manassas, VA, USA) and maintained in RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% FBS, 100 IU/ml penicillin and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin at 37°C in 5% CO2. Human immortalized prostatic myofibroblast stromal cell line WPMY-I was obtained from Xiangf Bio Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) and maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) with 10% FBS. The androgens DHT and Mib were obtained from Toronto Research Chemicals (North York, ON, Canada) and J&K Scientific (Beijing, China), respectively, and then dissolved in ethanol at a stock concentration of 102 mol/l. Mithramycin A (MTM) was obtained from Bio Basic (Markham, ON, Canada) and dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to prepare a 1 mM stock solution. For androgen stimulation, cells maintained in phenol-free media with 10% FBS for 3-5 days were plated in 6-well dishes and allowed to grow to near confluence. Subsequently, the confluent cells were treated with androgen at different concentrations for various time periods as noted in the Figure legends. For MTM treatment, cells close to confluence were treated in detail as noted in the Figure legends.

Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and quantitative fluorescence real-time PCR (qPCR). Total RNA from cells was prepared with TRIzol® reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RT reaction was performed with the HiScript Q RT SuperMix, PCR was carried out with a 2X Taq Master Mix, and real-time monitoring PCR was conducted with the AceQ qPCR SYBR-Green Master Mix (all from Vazyme Biotech, Nanjing, China) using the Applied Biosystems StepOnePlus.
Table I. Primers used for the construction of luciferase reporter plasmids.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Primers^a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-789-EphA3-P-F</td>
<td>CGGCCCTGAGCTCTCCCCGTAAAGATGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-789/-317/-237-EphA3-P-R</td>
<td>CCAAGCCTTCTTTGAGACGCTGAGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-317-EphA3-P-F</td>
<td>CGGCCCTGAGCTCTCTTTTTTCCGCCGAGCCGAGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-237-EphA3-P-F</td>
<td>CGGCCCTGAGCTCTCCTTCTTCTTCTACGAGCAGCTCTG CGAGCCGAGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔSp1-F</td>
<td>ACCCACTAAACACTCGGTCTACCTTCACGAGCAGCTCTGCGAGCCGAGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔSp1-R</td>
<td>TGAAAGTACAGACCGAGTGTTAGTGGGTTCTCGTCGCCAGGACAGGAAACAC13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^aMutation sites are underlined.

Antibodies and western blotting. Antibodies against AR (dilution 1:1,000; cat. no. sc-816), EPHA3 (dilution 1:1,000; cat. no. sc-919), or Sp1 (dilution 1:1,000; cat. no. sc-14027) and the horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies for rabbit (dilution 1:5,000; cat. no. sc-2357) was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Antibody against PSA (dilution 1:2,000; cat. no. DAK-A056201) was obtained from Dako (Glostrup, Denmark) and against β-actin (dilution 1:10,000; cat. no. AP0060) was obtained from Bioworld Technology (St. Louis Park, MN, USA). The cells were lysed in ice-cold RIPA buffer (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Haimen, China) supplemented with 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) and protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Applied Science; Roche Diagnostics Corporation, Indianapolis, IN, USA) for quantification with the DC Protein Assay kit purchased from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Hercules, CA, USA). Equal amounts of whole cell extracts were boiled for 5 min in sample loading buffer, separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes, which were probed with the indicated primary antibody followed by incubation with the appropriate secondary antibody. After washing, proteins were detected by a chemiluminescent detection system (Tanon 5200; Tanon Science and Technology, Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). The software of ImageJ 1.42 (NIH, Bethesda, NY, USA) was used to perform densitometry analysis for protein semi-quantification.

Construction of plasmids and transient transfection of luciferase reporter and small interfering RNA (siRNA). The firefly basic luciferase reporter vector pGL3-Basic and pEGFP-N1 plasmid were kindly provided by Dr Chuanjun Wen (Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China). The EPHA3 core promoter region (36) was analyzed using GeneCards (Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel) and the TRANSFAC databases (http://gene-regulation.com/, Gottingen, Germany) to search transcription factor binding sites for AR and SP1. All the primers designed for the construction of the reporter plasmids are summarized in Table I. The EPHA3-Luc-789 plasmid was generated by inserting a core promoter sequence of the EPHA3 gene spanning from -789 to +146 bp into the pGL3-Basic luciferase reporter vector. Construct EPHA3-Luc-317 is composed of a PCR fragment spanning from -317 to +146 bp of the EPHA3 promoter, and EPHA3-Luc-237 has core promoter sequence from -237 to +146 bp instead. The mutant EPHA3-Luc-789ΔSp1 was constructed mostly in the same way as EPHA3-Luc-789 except that the small fragment of the SP1 binding region was replaced by multi-point mutations using PCR amplification with the combinations of specifically designed longer oligonucleotide ΔSp1-F and the reverse primer for the EPHA3-Luc-789 construct, and the forward primer for EPHA3-Luc-789 and ΔSp1-R. The AR expression plasmid (pEGFP-AR) was constructed by inserting the full-length cDNA of the AR from LNCaP cells amplified by PCR using the forward primer attached with an EcoRI site (5'-CCGGGAATTCATGGAAGTGCGAGTTAGCCGCGCT-3') and the reverse primer with a BamHI site (5'-CGCGCGATCTACTGGGTGCCTGCAGTTAGCCGCT-3') into the multiple cloning site of pEGFP-N1. A reporter plasmid containing the AR 3'-untranslated region was transiently transfected with 0.4 µg of the indicated reporter plasmid, 0.4 µg of pEGFP-N1 or 50 nM of siRNA AR (siAR) (37), and 0.01 µg pRL-TK as an internal control using Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). After 48 h transfection, cell lysates were prepared according to the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay system (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA) protocol for the luciferase assay in a Luminoskan Ascent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), and firefly luciferase activities were corrected by the corresponding Renilla luciferase activities. The siAR and siRNA SP1 (siSp1) (20) were synthesized separately by Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., and transfected as indicated in the Figure legends.
Co-IP and ChIP assay. The 22Rv1 cells were maintained in SFBS medium for 3-5 days and grown to confluence in 100-mm dishes. Then, the cells were lysed in a modified RIPA buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 1% NP-40, 150 mM NaCl] containing 1 mM PMSF and 1X protease inhibitor cocktail after stimulation with 10 nM Mib for 24 h, and centrifuged at 13,523 x g for 5 min and washed three times with cold PBS. The immunoprecipitates were eluted by boiling in reducing sample buffer for 5 min and subjected to western blot analysis along with input sample as described above.

Concurrently, 8x10⁵ cells starved in phenol-free RPMI-1640 medium with 10% SFBS medium were stimulated with 10 nM DHT for 24 h, cross-linked by adding formaldehyde up to a final concentration of 1% at room temperature for 10 min and mixed with 0.125 M glycerol for 5 min to quench cross-linking. The cultures were then harvested by centrifugation and the pellets were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and lysed in 300 µl of lysis buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 10 mM EDTA and 1% SDS] including protease inhibitor. Subsequently, 500 µl aliquots were incubated with 2 µg of the appropriate antibodies or IgG as negative control at 4˚C overnight, and the immunoprecipitated samples were saved as input control, the remainder was incubated with 1.5 µg of anti-AR antibody, anti-SP1 antibody and negative control IgG antibody (cat. no. A7016; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology), separately, followed by pull-down with 15 µl Protein A/G PLUS-Agarose beads (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.). The beads were then collected by centrifugation at 845 x g for 5 min and washed three times with cold PBS. The immunoprecipitates were eluted by boiling in reducing sample buffer for 5 min and subjected to western blot analysis along with input sample as described above.

Results

Androgen induces mRNA and protein expression of EphA3 in PCa 22Rv1 cells in a dose- and time-dependent manner. To determine whether EphA3 expression is induced by androgen in PCa cells, androgen responsive 22Rv1 cells were stimulated with various doses of DHT for different time periods for RT-PCR and western blot analysis. The data presented in Fig. 1A reveals that with the increase of the DHT concentration, EphA3, AR and PSA mRNA levels were gradually increased compared with the untreated control. Similarly, EphA3, AR and PSA protein levels were also induced by DHT, as displayed in Fig. 1B, where the two bands for the AR protein in 22Rv1 cells correspond to one full-length and the other to a truncated AR lacking the COOH-terminal domain (CTD). The mRNA and protein expression of EphA3 was continuously increased in a dose-dependent manner by treatment with DHT from 0.1 to 10 nM, in which EphA3 expression was significantly increased at 1 nM and achieved a more than 2-fold increase at 5 nM (Fig. 1A and B, right pattern). Similar time-dependent trends were observed for mRNA and protein expression of EphA3, AR and PSA in 22Rv1 cells treated with 10 nM DHT from time 0 to 72 h (Fig. 1C and D). In particular, EphA3 expression was significantly elevated after 8 h of DHT treatment, and up to more than 2-fold after 24 h of DHT treatment (Fig. 1C and D, right pattern). In addition, another androgen responsive PCa cell line, LNCaP, was also stimulated by both DHT and Mib at different concentrations (Fig. 2), where it is shown that the EphA3 protein level was gradually elevated along with the increases in AR and PSA levels.

AR expression level affects EphA3 mRNA and protein expression in PCa 22Rv1 cells. To investigate the association between AR and EphA3 expression, qPCR analysis and western blotting were performed to measure AR and EphA3 expression in the prostate stromal cell WPMY-1 and PCa cell lines LNCaP, 22Rv1, PC-3 and DU145. The results shown in Fig. 3A and B revealed that the mRNA levels of EphA3 and AR were higher in LNCaP and 22Rv1 cells, and almost undetectable in WPMY-1, PC-3 and DU145 cells. The mRNA and protein expression of EphA3 was continuously increased in a dose-dependent manner by treatment with DHT from 0.1 to 10 nM, in which EphA3 expression was significantly increased at 1 nM and achieved a more than 2-fold increase at 5 nM (Fig. 3A and B, right pattern). In addition, another androgen responsive PCa cell line, LNCaP, was also stimulated by both DHT and Mib at different concentrations (Fig. 2), where it is shown that the EphA3 protein level was gradually elevated along with the increases in AR and PSA levels.

Image quantification and statistical analysis. The results are representative of at least three independent experiments. Images of the agarose gel electrophoresis and western blotting membranes were analyzed with Quantity One Software (Bio-Rad Laboratories) to quantify the mRNA and protein expression. The data are expressed as the mean with standard deviations for all groups, which were calculated using the GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Comparisons between two groups were performed using Student's t-test, and comparisons among multiple groups were conducted by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Bonferroni’s post hoc test. Differences were considered to be statistically significant if P-value was <0.05.

SPI binding sites are required to regulate EphA3 expression in response to AR signaling. To further investigate whether AR binds the EphA3 promoter region and directly regulates EphA3 transcription, two important transcription
factor binding sites in the EPHA3 core promoter region were inferred, one of which was an androgen-responsive element (ARE) for AR binding located between -615 and -601 bp, and the other one ranging from -295 to -261 bp for Sp1 binding, as shown in Fig. 4A. Based on this, different truncated promoters were used for construction of luciferase reporter plasmids to analyze the EPHA3 promoter activity (Fig. 4B). The results in Fig. 4C showed that, in 22Rv1 cells, the promoter luciferase activity of the EPHA3-Luc-789 reporter plasmid with both AR and Sp1 binding sites was similarly as high as that of the EPHA3-Luc-317 reporter plasmid with the Sp1 binding site only. In addition, AR overexpression also remarkably
increased the EPHA3 promoter activity in both the -789 and -317 truncation plasmids, whereas the siRNA-mediated knockdown of AR markedly decreased the promoter activity in 22Rv1 cells (Fig. 4C). The EPHA3 promoter activity was the lowest in 22Rv1 cells transfected with the EPHA3-Luc-237 plasmid including neither the ARE nor SP1 binding sites, and was not affected by either AR overexpression or siAR interference (Fig. 4C). Compared to the EPHA3-Luc-789 plasmid transfected group, the EPHA3 promoter activity was down-regulated by about half in 22Rv1 cells after transfection with the EPHA3-Luc-789ΔSP1 plasmid containing modified SP1 binding sites in the GC-rich region, and scarcely influenced by co-transfection with AR or siAR (Fig. 4C).

Transcription factor SP1 still regulates EPHA3 expression even when AR is not exogeneously altered. In order to further...
characterize the involvement of SP1 in the regulation of EPHA3 expression when AR is not artificially inhibited or overexpressed, MTM, as an inhibitor of SP1 transcription activity, was added to 22Rv1 cells to determine the effect of SP1 transcriptional activation on EPHA3 expression. The data displayed in Fig. 5A shows that the EPHA3 mRNA level was severely reduced in the presence of 10 nM MTM from 24 to 48 h, or by the treatment with 10 and 100 nM MTM for 24 h, although the SP1 mRNA level was rarely affected (Fig. 5B).

Accordingly, the EPHA3 protein level was also markedly reduced under the same conditions, while the SP1 protein level was barely changed, as shown in Fig. 5C and D. In addition, specific inhibition of SP1 in LNCaP cells using siSP1 at an increasing gradient mode also gradually abated EPHA3 protein expression (Fig. 5E).

Interaction between AR and SP1 contributes to the hormone response of the EPHA3 promoter. Co-IP assay was performed...
to evaluate whether AR can bind to the Sp1 transcription factor. As shown in Fig. 6A, the AR protein was detected in 22Rv1 cells after immunoprecipitation with antibodies not only to AR but also to SP1 in the presence of Mib. In addition, the SP1 protein was also immunoprecipitated by the SP1 or AR antibody in these cells after Mib stimulation (Fig. 6A). To determine whether androgen-induced EPHA3 expression is regulated through Sp1 binding sites in the EPHA3 core promoter region, ChIP assay was carried out to analyze the association of the SP1 protein with specific core promoter regions of EPHA3 in the case of DHT stimulation. As shown in Fig. 6B, both SP1 and AR were found to be bound to the SP1 binding sites in the EPHA3 core promoter region even in the androgen-starvation state. In addition, the SP1 binding capacity was around 2-fold higher than that of AR, and their binding capacities to this GC-rich region were increased almost 2 folds in the presence of DHT.

Discussion

Although EPHA3 plays an important role in the progression of various invasive and metastatic cancers (14,15,27,38,39), the mechanisms involved in the regulation of EPHA3 are largely unknown. In the current study, we demonstrated that EPHA3 expression was induced by DHT hormone, in PCa cells 22Rv1, at both the mRNA and protein levels in a time- and dose-dependent manner, while the expression of AR and the AR-targeted gene, PSA revealed the increasing trend along with DHT stimulation. Similarly, EPHA3 was also markedly induced in PCa LNCaP cells treated with DHT or Mib. The results indicated that EPHA3 expression is responsive to androgen hormone, which is possibly due to AR regulation since most biological effects of androgens are mediated through the action of nuclear AR as a master regulator of downstream androgen-dependent signaling pathway networks (40). We also found that the EPHA3 mRNA expression level was rather high in the androgen-dependent PCa LNCaP and 22Rv1 cells with higher AR expressions compared with the AR non-expressing prostate stromal cell WPMY-1 and PCa cell lines PC-3 and DU145. This finding is consistent with a previous report that mRNA level of EPHA3 was highly expressed in LNCaP cells (26), and, additionally, so was the EPHA3 protein expression in these cells, i.e., EPHA3 appeared to exhibit a similar expression pattern as the AR at both the mRNA and protein levels. When the AR was overexpressed in 22Rv1 cells, the EPHA3 mRNA and protein levels were significantly increased with the rise of the PSA expression. In contrast, mRNA and protein expressions of PSA and EPHA3 were markedly decreased after knocking down the AR, which clearly suggested that AR activity can affect EPHA3 expression in androgen-dependent PCa cells. A reported study showed that prostate androgen induces the prostate leucine zipper gene promoted EPHA3 expression (27), suggesting from another point of view that the AR is probably associated with EPHA3 regulation.

AR is composed of four domains, the N terminal transactivation domain, the DNA binding domain, the flexible hinge region and the LBD. Usually, the inactivated AR in the cytoplasm can be translocated into the nucleus upon binding with ligands, such as androgen hormone, and then attached to specific DNA binding sites of ARE loci in the promoter region of the target gene to start the AR-mediated transcription activity (33). In the present study, we assumed that the potential ARE site was between -615 to -601 bp and the SP1 binding sites of highly GC-rich region was between -295 and -261 bp in the EPHA3 promoter region. We found that the SP1 binding sites were indeed required for the AR-mediated EPHA3 promoter activity, while the elimination of the ARE site hardly affected the EPHA3 reporter promoter activity. Specifically, AR regulation of EPHA3 expression...
is not due to direct binding of the AR with the EPHA3 core promoter region, but AR may regulate EPHA3 through a SP1-dependent pathway whereby AR can regulate downstream gene expression not only as a transcription factor binding to ARE sites in the promoter of target genes, but also as an auxiliary transcription factor interacting with the transcription complex.

SP1 is a well-known member of the Sp transcription factor family including SP2, SP3 and SP4, which are implicated in varieties of biological processes (41). SP1 activates gene transcription by binding to specific CG-rich SP-binding sites within gene promoters, and has been considered as a therapeutic target for human cancers, including PCa (41-44). In this study, we demonstrated that EPHA3 expression was markedly downregulated when 22Rv1 cells were treated with MTM, which inhibited SP1 binding to GC rich promoter region. Similarly, it was also downregulated when LNCaP cells were treated with siSP1 to knock down SP1 expression, which suggested that SP1 may regulate EPHA3 expression as a transcription factor. The co-IP assay further showed that AR forms a complex with SP1. Additionally, the ChIP assay confirmed that SP1 mediated androgen induction of EPHA3 core promoter activity involved with DNA binding. These findings suggested that androgen-initiated AR signaling transcription is achieved through the interaction of AR and SP1 to mediate EPHA3 expression, whereby SP1 is able to bind the EPHA3 core promoter in chromatin responding to AR signaling. This is in accordance with the functional mechanism of AR and the transcription factor SP1 complex to mediate vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) expression in PCa cells in response to androgen induction, which is also dependent upon a critical SP1 binding site within the VEGF core promoter (45). Similarly, AR and SP1 induced cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor gene p21 transcription in LNCaP cells through binding to the ARE, as well as SP1 binding site in the p21 promoter after androgen stimulation (46). Our results showed that the binding between SP1 and the EPHA3 core promoter region to induce EPHA3 expression occurred no matter whether androgen exists or not, which may result from constitutively active AR mutants expressed only in 22Rv1 cells (47-49) and/or incomplete androgen-starvation of 22Rv1 cells used in our experiments.

Furthermore, it has been previously reported that EPHA3 is significantly increased during the conversion of LNCaP cells from androgen-dependent (LNCaP-C33) to androgen-independent (LNCaP-C81) phenotypes using Affymetrix GeneChip array analysis (50). Nevertheless, this does not imply that the increase of EPHA3 expression is independent from androgen induction, or that AR is not associated with EPHA3 regulation in androgen-independent prostate cells as such. Indeed, compared to androgen-dependent LNCaP cells, the AR level is also increased in androgen-independent LNCaP sublines, and two AR target genes, namely UGT2B15 and UGT2B17, which are not expressed in AR-negative PCa cells, were both positively correlated with upregulated AR in androgen-independent LNCaP subline, though PSA as one of the AR main targets, was markedly decreased (51,52). This suggested that upregulation of AR targets in androgen-dependent PCa cells may occur in AR positive androgen-independent state. On the other hand, PSA would also be downregulated under androgen-independent condition, e.g., increased microR-100 in androgen-independent PCa cells suppresses PSA expression even if AR transcription activity initiated by ligands is blocked, mostly due to androgen deprivation (53). Other factors, such as the NF-κB level also mediates PSA expression in androgen-independent PCa cells (54). Thus, there may be additional molecules and other pathways besides AR signaling involved in regulating EPHA3 in AR-positive castration-resistant PCa and various stages of PCa progression, which remains to be further examined.

The present study found that EPHA3 is increased at the transcript and protein expression levels in 22Rv1 cells in a time- and dose-dependent manner under treatment with DHT, and the EPHA3 expression pattern is similar to that of AR in the prostate (cancer) cell lines. AR overexpression or AR inhibition markedly affected the EPHA3 levels, due to the interaction of AR and SP1 as a transcription factor to bind SP1 binding sites in the core promoter region of EPHA3. These findings indicated the association among EPHA3, AR and SP1, which could be useful to gain further insight into the importance of EPHA3 in PCa development and progression and will additionally facilitate our understanding of AR and SP1 as targets for the treatment of PCa.
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