Aryl hydrocarbon receptor, a tumor grade-associated marker of oral cancer, is directly downregulated by polydatin: A pilot study
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Abstract. Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is one of the most aggressive and deadliest tumors worldwide. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) is a nuclear transcription factor known as a dioxin receptor and mediates the toxic effects of industrial contaminants. In addition, AHR has been implicated in multiple cellular processes and its expression has been shown to play a critical role in tumorigenesis, including human oral cancer cell lines. In the present study, we evaluated the expression of AHR/HSP-90 in 25 formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded human oral cancer specimens by IHC analysis. CYP1A1 expression was regarded as an AHR reporter gene. The data indicated a complete correlation between AHR expression and cancer grade enabling us to propose AHR as a prognostic marker of oral cancer. Moreover, in OSCC cell line CAL27, we observed the modulatory effect of polydatin, a widespread natural substance and direct precursor of resveratrol, on AHR expression. A computational approach was performed to predict the site of interaction of polydatin on the AHR surface. Our studies confirm the involvement of AHR signaling in the clinicopathological specimens of oral cancer and suggest the use of polydatin for oral cancer prevention.

Introduction

The aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) is a nuclear transcription factor known as a dioxin receptor and mediates the toxic effects of industrial contaminants such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, the forefather being 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin or TCDD. The effect of TCDD upon AHR activation on cancer stages are well known (1,2). Prior to ligand binding AHR is found in the cytosol in the form of a multiprotein complex with a sedimentation coefficient of 9 Svedberg (AHRC-9S). The complex consists of five different polypeptides and six proteins including AHR, heat shock protein 90-homodimer (two HSP-90 proteins), P23 (a prostaglandin E synthase with co-chaperonin activity vs. HSP-90), SRC kinase (a tyrosin kinase homologous to viral oncogenes of the Rous sarcoma) and AHR interacting protein (AIP) (3). Upon activation by a wide range of environmental toxins, AHR translocates to the nucleus and heterodimerizes with the AHR nuclear translocator protein (ARNT). Finally, the AHR-ARNT complex binds DNA to the xenobiotics responsive element (XRE) of the promoters, resulting in the transcriptional activation of genes, such as cytochrome P450 (CYP450). The human CYP superfamily (CYP1, CYP2 and CYP3) contains 57 functional genes, and play an important role in the metabolism of therapeutic drugs, other xenobiotics, and various endogenous compounds. Several of these CYPs (e.g., CYP1A1 and 1A2) are involved in the metabolism and bioactivation of carcinogens. Cytochrome P450 1A1, a well-known aryl hydrocarbon hydroxylase, is one of the most important enzymes responsible for the metabolism of environmental pollutants and many aromatic compounds involved in tumorigenesis (4).

Since AhR activation and subsequent induction of AhR-regulated P450 enzymes (CYP1A1, CYP1B1) have been implicated in oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) (5), we investigated the role of AHR and HSP-90 in OSCC...
tumorigenesis and progression. The expression of AHR by immunohistochemical staining of OSCC formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues was determined and its correlation with different tumor grades was assessed. As control of the transcriptional activation of AHR downstream stimulated genes we also investigated CYP1A1 (6).

Polydatin (trans-piceid 3,5,4’-trihydroxystilbene-3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside) is a widespread natural substance contained in red wine and a direct precursor of resveratrol, a well-known AHR inhibitor (7,8). The glucopyranoside ring of polydatin influences the pharmacodynamics (9) and pharmacokinetics (10,11) resulting in better biodisponibility in respect to resveratrol.

Polydatin was also demonstrated to act as an antitumoral substance by stimulation of apoptosis in leukemia, lung cancer, colorectal cancer cell lines, and ovarian cancer (12-17).

In the present study, we confirmed the effect of polydatin in the CAL27 cell line, a model of oral carcinoma to establish the effects on AHR expression and monitoring the AHR transcriptional factor activity using CYP1A1 as an AHR reporter gene. Moreover, we monitored the effect of polydatin on HSP-90, a component of the AHR complex, essential for Ah receptor signaling (18,19).

In a previous study by computational analysis, the binding site for ligands on the human AHR surface was proposed (20). With a similar computational approach, we present our results relative to docking studies on the direct interaction between polydatin and human AHR.

Materials and methods

Patients and tissue samples. Twenty-five OSCC patients were enrolled in the present study. Tumor tissues were collected at the time of resection, and informed written consent for the use of sample tissues was obtained from all patients. We did not seek ethics committee approval, as all data analyzed were collected as part of routine diagnosis and treatment, and all patients were diagnosed and treated according to institutional guidelines and agreements.

Moreover, the present study does not report on the use of experimental therapies. The baseline characteristics of the OSCC patients investigated in the study are reported in Table I. Twenty-five samples of hOSCC from various sites of oral regions were collected. Each tissue sample was fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, routinely processed and stained in hematoxylin and eosin. Each sample was independently graded by two observers: grade 1 (11/25), grade 2 (8/25) and grade 3 (6/25) OSCC, according to the Histological Grading System proposed by Bryne et al (21).

Immunoperoxidase staining. Sections were de-paraffinized in xylene, dehydrated in graded alcohols and washed in 0.01 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Endogenous peroxidase was blocked with hydrogen peroxide 0.3% in absolute methanol for 30 min. The streptavidin-biotin-peroxidase method (LSAB kit; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) was used. Antigen enhancement was performed by pretreatment with microwave heating in citrate buffer, pH 6.0, twice for 5 min at 750 W. As primary antibodies, polyclonal rabbit anti-human AHR receptor (clone H-211) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Dallas, TX, USA), polyclonal rabbit anti-human cytochrome P450 (clone A1A) and HSP-90 (AB 13492) (both from Abcam, Cambridge, UK) were used; they were diluted 1:100 and 1:200 in PBS and applied overnight at 4°C. The immunolabeling procedure included negative control sections incubated with normal serum, instead of the primary antibody. The MACH1 Universal HPR-Polymer Detection kit (Biocare Medical LLC, Concord, CA, USA) was used as the secondary antibody. In order to visualize immunolabeling, 3,3’-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride was used as a chromogen, with hematoxylin as a counterstain.

Scoring of immunoreactivity and statistical analysis. The intensity of immunolabeling in each specimen, for each antibody, was scored by two independent observers, under blinded conditions, as performed in a previous study (22). For each tumor, 20 fields were examined at a magnification of x200 (20X objective, 10X ocular), and the immunosignal was scored from absent to strong, as follows: n.a., not assessed; -, negative staining; +/−, weak immunolabeling; +, moderate immunolabeling; ++, strong immunolabeling.

Moreover, the mean percentage of positive cells in 10 high-power microscopic fields was determined using a scale of 1-4 as follows: 1 indicated the staining of 0-25% of cells, and 2, 3 and 4 indicated 25-50, 50-75 and 75-100% staining, respectively.

Cell culture. Human tongue squamous cell carcinoma cell line CAL27 was obtained from the American Tissue Culture Collection (ATCC; Rockville, MD, USA). The cells were grown
Cells were plated at the appropriate density (5x10^3 Cal-27 cells) in DMEM with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (both from Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin in a humidified 37°C incubator with 5% CO₂. After incubation for 4 h in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) with 10% FBS, the cells were washed with 1% phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to remove unattached dead cells, and were incubated for 24 h with different concentrations (range 0-150 µM) of polydatin (trans-5,4'-dihydroxystilbene-3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside).

Cell viability. We assessed the sensitivity of the cell lines tested to polydatin using a microplate colorimetric assay that measures the ability of viable cells to transform a soluble tetrazolium salt (MTT) to an insoluble purple formazan precipitate. MTT measures cell viability. We assessed the sensitivity of the cell lines tested to polydatin using a microplate colorimetric assay that measures the ability of viable cells to transform a soluble tetrazolium salt (MTT) to an insoluble purple formazan precipitate. Cells were plated at the appropriate density (5x10^3 Cal-27 cells) in 96-well microtitre plates. After 4 h, cells were exposed to different concentrations of polydatin for 24 h. Then, 50 µl of MTT (1 mg/ml) and 200 µl of medium were added to the cells in each well. After a 4 h incubation at 37°C, the medium was removed, and the formazan crystals were solubilized by adding 150 µl of DMSO and by mixing it in an orbital shaker for 5 min. The absorbance at 570 nm was measured by Bio-Rad 550 microplate reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Milan, Italy). Experiments were performed in triplicate. As a control, 0.5% DMSO was added to untreated cells.

Western blot analysis. Cal-27 cells were grown for 24 h with or without polydatin at the concentrations reported in Fig. 5 legend. The cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS/BSA, scraped and centrifuged for 30 min at 4°C in 1 ml of lysis buffer (1% Triton, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.5, 10 mM Na₂HPO₄, pH 7.4, 10 mM PMSF, 25 mM benzamidin, 1 mM leupeptin, 0.025 U/ml aprotinin). Equal amounts of cell proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE. The proteins on the gels were electrotransferred to nitrocelulose and reacted with polyclonal rabbit anti-human AHR receptor (clone H-211) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), polyclonal rabbit anti-human cytochrome P450 (clone A1A) and HSP-90 (AB 13492) (both from Abcam) as recommended by the manufacturer. This step was followed by incubation with corresponding horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody. Protein bands were detected by chemiluminescence detection reagents (Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA). The protein bands were quantified using the NIH ImageJ system (National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). All the western blot analyses were repeated three times. Western blotting for tubulin was used as an internal control. To quantify the results, the relative amount of each protein was determined.

Molecular simulations. Molecular docking approach was used to simulate interaction of polydatin and the human AHR ligand binding domain. The structure of polydatin was obtained from PubChem database (https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). The structure of human AHR ligand binding domain used in the simulations was that described in a previous study (20). In brief, the amino acid sequence of human Ah receptor obtained from Uniprot (Accession no. P35869) was restricted to the region between residue 290 and 390. Secondary structures were calculated with the DSSP program and three-dimen-
The expression and densitometric quantification of AHR and related proteins CYP1A1 and HSP-90 in the CA127 cells before and after treatment with different concentrations of polydatin are shown (Fig. 5). The data showed that following

Table II. IHC of Ah receptor, cytochrome P450 and HSP-90 in 25 OSCC tissue samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Intensity of IHC</th>
<th>% Positive cells</th>
<th>Intensity of IHC</th>
<th>% Positive cells</th>
<th>Intensity of IHC</th>
<th>% Positive cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aT, tumor sample; bintensity of immunlabeling: -, negative staining; +/-, weak immunolabeling; +, moderate immunolabeling; ++, strong immunolabeling; c% of positive neoplastic cells; 1, 0-25%; 2, >25-50%; 3, >50-75%; 4, >75-100%. IHC, immunohistochemistry.

Figure 1. Immunohistochemical expression of AhR in OSCC. (A) Well-differentiated (grade 1). OSCC tissue demonstrating weak expression of the AhR protein in cytoplasm of neoplastic cells. Streptavidin-biotin peroxidase; magnification, x20. (B) Moderately differentiated (grade 2) OSCC tissue demonstrating moderate expression of the AhR protein in numerous neoplastic cells. The AhR expression was localized in almost all of the cytoplasm (arrow). Streptavidin-biotin peroxidase; magnification, x40. (C) Poorly differentiated (grade 3) OSCC tissue demonstrating strong expression of the AhR protein in almost all neoplastic cells, with a prevalent nuclear localization (arrow). Streptavidin-biotin peroxidase; magnification, x20. OSCC, oral squamous cell carcinoma.
polydatin treatment for 24 h, a significant decrease in AHR, Cyp and HSP-90 expression in CAL27 cells was noted compared to that in the control (cells without treatment).

**Molecular simulations.** We simulated the interaction of polydatin with human AHR ligand binding domain, similarly...
to a previous study (20) in order to investigate if and how the molecules may interact. In Fig. 6 we report images to describe the results. The structure of the ligand binding domain consists of a globular domain having the typical PAS domain architecture, which consists of an anti-parallel five-strand β sheet and an α-helix, with a few other short secondary structure elements. Polydatin finds a suitable pocket for binding, similarly to a previous study with other ligands that are evidenced by four different possible sites (20). The preferred site is that indicated as B site in that article, and polydatin shows a mean energy of interaction of -6.51, similar to that reported in the previous study for TCDD (dioxin), i.e., -6.2, quercetin, i.e., -6.5, and pentachlorobiphenyl, i.e., 6.54.

Discussion

In the present study we found that AHR expression was extremely high in human oral squamous cancer (hOSCC) tissues (Table I), suggesting that IHC evaluation of AHR may be considered as a prognostic marker. The influence of AHR in tumor development of hOSCC is supported by a published study investigating AHR expression in cells derived from head and neck cancers and demonstrating the ability of AHR inhibitors to induce transcriptional repression of the pro-inflammatory cytokine II-6, to counteract the drug resistance induced by ABCG2 and to repress cell migration and invasion (23). More recently, the same authors identified three growth factors as a target of AHR, amphiregulin (AREG), epiregulin (EREG) and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) associated with metastatic phenotype and displaying a common DRE-like promoter motif (24). These findings are consistent with the increased AHR expression observed for other types of cancer such as gastric and non-small cell lung cancer, and mammary gland tumors (25-27). Increased AHR expression is likely to increase basal AHR activity within tumors, particularly given the systemic omnipresence of AHR agonist ligands derived from xenobiotic, dietary and microbial sources. Moreover, a correlation was found between increasing nuclear AHR protein expression and increasing tumor grade, suggesting at least for these tumors that nuclear AHR levels are predictive of a higher tumor grade.

AHR was predominantly expressed in the cytoplasm in all grade 1 and 50% of grade 2 OSCC tissues samples; on the contrary in 50% of grade 2 OSCC and all grade 3 OSCC the AHR expression was prevalently nuclear. Since AHR acts as a transcriptional regulator with nuclear translocation, the presence of nuclear AHR in grade 2 and grade 3 samples suggest a strong transcriptional activity of AHR in these high-grade tumors. Research of malignant tumors showed increased levels of AHR and its constitutive localization in the nucleus, suggesting that the AHR is chronically activated in tumors, facilitating tumor progression (28).

A large body of evidence has demonstrated that AHR is the major regulatory element for CYP1A1 expression as confirmed by our results due to high expression of AHR and CYP1A1 in high grade OSCC (5,29,30). In addition, we showed that HSP-90, a related cytosolic AHR-complex protein, increased its expression from grade 1 proceeding to higher grade OSCC, and that it had prevalently a cytoplasmic localization in grade 1, grade 2 and 50% of grade 3 OSCC. In the other 50% of grade 3 OSCC, HSP-90 showed a nuclear localization, confirming what has already been demonstrated by other studies, that AHR translocates to the nucleus while in complex with HSP-90 (19).
We studied the antitumoral effect of polydatin in vitro, on the human tongue squamous cell carcinoma cell line CAL27. Cytotoxic study of polydatin was evaluated by MTT assay and showed a IC₅₀ value of 20 µM. Our western results clearly indicated a comparable inhibition of AHR, CYP1A1 and HSP-90 at all concentrations utilized ranging between 10 and 30 µM. Yet, while the AHR and CYP1A1 expression tended to disappear, the HSP-90 expression did not fully shut down. This experimental evidence is ascribed to the fact that the molar ratio AHR/HSP-90 is 1/2 since HSP-90 is present as a homodimer, in double copy, in the AHR-complex and HSP-90 is not exclusively part of the AHR-complex. Thus, polydatin did not act as an HSP-90 inhibitor and its decrease was AHR-dependent.

Concerning the mechanism of action of polydatin on AHR inhibition, molecular simulations suggest that this molecule may interact directly with human AHR ligand binding domain (middle panel, Fig. 6), at the same site and with similar mean energy of binding reported for dioxin, pentachlorophenyl, and quercetin (20). These findings suggest a direct interaction of polydatin in the active site of the human AHR where the glycosidic ring does not compromise the bond of the resveratrolic counterpart of polydatin, but that is stabilized by Ser 276 and Leu 278 (bottom panel, Fig. 6). Polydatin is not the only glycoside derivative that enhances its inhibitory action on the AHR receptor, quercetin-6-C-β-D-glucopyranoside, a natural glycosidic analog of quercetin, was shown to interact with the active site of the human aryl receptor (31). Moreover, in this study the authors, utilizing the PC-3 cell line as a model of prostate cancer, pointed out the involvement of the Akt/mTOR pathway according to our published experiment in Caco2 gastrointestinal cancer cells showing the inhibitory effect of polydatin on Akt expression (16). The involvement of the Akt/mTOR pathway also leads to a autophagic input effect of polydatin on AKT expression (16). The involvement of P450 1A1 activity has been increasingly associated with exposure to numerous environmental genic components, such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs).

These carcinogens are transformed in mutagenic compounds by catalytic activity of AHR-regulated P450 oxygenases (29). Therefore, the modulation of P450 1A1 activity has been considered as a potential target for cancer chemoprevention.

The present study is defined as a ‘pilot study’ since it was performed with 25 clinical cases. The association of AHR expression with tumor grade, although it was strong, needs to be confirmed in a further full-scale experiment. In conclusion, we demonstrated that: i) the majority of hOSCC tissues expressed elevated levels of AHR, CYP1A1 and HSP-90; ii) the intensity and the percentage of positive neoplastic cells increased proceeding from low grade to high grade hOSCCs; iii) in vitro cytotoxic polydatin concentration induced a decrease in AHR, CYP1A1 and HSP-90 protein expression. Finally, molecular simulation studies provided a new rationale use of polydatin and encourage us to continue in vitro studies to support the use of polydatin in oral cancer prevention and/or as alimentary support associated with antitumoral therapy.
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