Gene mutation profile and risk stratification in AML1-ETO-positive acute myeloid leukemia based on next-generation sequencing
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Abstract. Gene mutations play an important role in the development and progression of AML1-ETO-positive acute myeloid leukemia (AE-AML). Nevertheless, the gene mutation profile in this subtype of leukemia remains unclear. In addition, the clinical and prognostic effects of different mutant genes may be underestimated. In the present study, gene sequencing was conducted at diagnosis and relapse with next-generation sequencing (NGS) in 64 patients with newly diagnosed AE-AML, and 44/64 (68.8%) patients were found to present with a median of 2 (1-10) recurrent mutations at diagnosis and 6/11 (54.5%) cases were found to present with genetic alterations at relapse. c-KIT mutation was the most common in this cohort, with an incidence of 27/64 (42.2%) at diagnosis, followed by ASXL1 (n=10, 15.6%), MET (n=8, 12.5%), MLH1 (n=6, 9.4%), TET2 (n=5, 7.8%), and FBXW7, TP53 and DNMT3A (n=5, 7.8%). Survival analysis showed that c-KIT (exon 8, 17) but not exon 10 adversely affects survival. In addition, ASXL1 and TP53 were poor impact factors for recurrence-free survival (RFS) (P<0.05), and ASXL1, MET, FBXW7 and TP53 had a negative impact on overall survival (OS) (P<0.05). Multivariate analysis showed that c-KIT (exon 8, 17) [RFS: hazard ratio (HR) 3.36, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.54-7.34, P=0.002; OS: HR 2.84, 95% CI 1.20-6.71, P=0.018] and ASXL1 mutations (RFS: HR 3.13, 95% CI 1.34-7.32, P=0.009; OS: HR 3.94, 95% CI 1.62-9.61, P=0.003) were independent adverse factors for survival. Further, co-mutation of these two genes showed even worse effect on disease outcome. Collectively, additional gene mutations play critical role in AE-AML. C-KIT and ASXL1 mutations are the two most common mutations in this subtype of leukemia. C-KIT (exon 8, 17) but not exon 10, and also the ASXL1 mutation poorly affect the disease outcome of this disease.

Introduction

T(8; 21)/AML1-ETO-positive acute myeloid leukemia (abbreviated as AE-AML), accounting for 5-10% of all cases of AML (1), is classified as a favorable leukemia subtype according to the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of AML (2). Nevertheless, numerous studies show a high incidence of extramedullary leukemia (EML) and additional cytogenetic abnormalities (ACAs). Moreover, the long term survival ranges from 28 to 70%, indicating the clinical heterogeneity of this subtype of leukemia (1,3,4).

In addition, gene mutations frequently occur and critically play a role in the development and progression of AE-AML. Krauth et al reported that up to 49.6% AE-AML patients present with additional gene mutations at diagnosis, and 66.7% undergo genetic alterations at the time of relapse (4). The c-KIT mutation is known to be the most common molecular event in this subtype of leukemia, present in up to 12.8-48% of AE-AML patients, adversely affecting the disease outcome (1,4). Several studies have shown that the AML1/ETO fusion gene is unable to singly induce leukemia, but requires additional molecular events. The c-KIT mutation has been proven to cooperate with the AML1-ETO fusion to induce leukemia (3,5). Still there are other gene mutations, including WT1, FLT3-ITD and PDGFR mutations, that have been reported to be involved in the progression of this subtype of leukemia (6-8), further supporting an oncogenic cooperation in leukemogenesis between RUNX1-RUNX1T1 and additional molecular alterations. Therefore, detailed detection of the gene mutation profile at diagnosis and relapse could deepen the understanding of leukemia development and progression. There is a current demand for large-scale mutation screening in the clinical
setting with a limited amount of clinical sample and reasonable turnaround time. Currently, next-generation sequencing (NGS), known as torrent Ion personal genome sequencing (PGM) platform, provides the advantages of parallel sequencing and high throughput multiplexing ability, facilitating routine and simultaneous parallel and targeted sequencing of all genes. This has been widely used in mutation profile detection and study (9-11). In our recent study (12), we designed a panel for AML gene mutation detection, which targets 67 genes covering the full coding sequence of 17 genes (Table SI) and exonic hot spot for 50 genes (Table SII). We used this panel to test 27 patients with chromosomal normal (CN)-AML with NGS, and certified the result with Sanger sequencing at the same time. We found 100% sensitivity and specificity of the new platform when compared with Sanger sequencing. Meanwhile, the NGS with high-throughput had superiority in gene mutation profile detection. In this series, we used the same panel to detect the gene mutation profile at diagnosis and relapse in 64 patients with newly diagnosed AE-AML and further studied the role of different mutations on the clinical characteristics and survival. This was an observational clinical study without any interventions in regards to patient treatment, which was decided by their doctors. In this study, we enrolled 64 patients to demonstrate the common phenomenon of gene mutations at disease diagnosis and molecular alteration at leukemia relapse, and also the impact of gene mutations on clinical characteristics and disease outcome. The study aimed to further support the importance of molecular events in leukemia development and progression in AE-AML. The molecular meaning of the oncogenic pathway of AML1-ETO fusion and associated translocations are not the main point of this study, thus the study does not investigate this area in depth.

Patients and methods

Patients. Patients with newly diagnosed AE-AML, according to the WHO 2008 Classification of AML (2), and admitted to Nanfang Hospital from April 2006 to December 2013, were enrolled into this study. The characteristics of the patients are documented in Table I. All samples and clinical data were obtained upon approval of the Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical College of Medicine Institutional Review Boards. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients and/or guardians except those admitted to Nanfang Hospital from the year 2006 to 2011, since we had obtained ethical approval with an exemption of informed consent for those patients in 2012. According to the time that we received the ethical approval, the patients admitted to the hospital before 2012 were defined as retrospectively assigned participants, and those admitted post 2012 were defined as prospectively assigned cases.

Gene mutation sequencing. In our previous study (12), we designed a gene mutation panel for 67 AML-targeted genes covering full coding sequence of 17 genes commonly mutated in AML according to previous reports and exonic hot spot for 50 genes from the Ion AmpliSeq Cancer Hotspot Panel V2 kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) (detailed information is shown in Tables SI and SII). Primers for multiplex PCR of 67 target genes were designed by Ion AmpliSeq Designer (www.ampliseq.com). DNA (10 ng) (quantified by Qubit™ Fluorometer; Life Technologies Corporation, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used and the customized Ion AmpliSeq panel was processed using the Ion AmpliSeq Library kit 2.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Workflows related to digestion of the primers, phosphorylation of the amplicons, emulsion PCR, enrichment template-positive and sequencing on Ion 316/318 chips were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The raw signal data were analyzed using Torrent Suite v.4.0.2 (Life Technologies). The pipeline included signaling processing, base calling, quality score assignment, adapter trimming, read alignment to human genome GRCh37 references, mapping quality control, and coverage analysis. Several filtering steps were used to generate final variant calling.

In the present study, to further verify the results of the NGS, the detection of FLT3-ITD, c-KIT (exon 8 and 17), NPM1, JAK2 (V617F) and DNMT3A (R882) mutations were performed by Sanger sequencing at the same time.

MRD monitoring. AML1-ETO transcript levels, assayed by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) as described in our previous research (13,14), presented an AML1-ETO/ABL ratio, which was used to monitor minimal residual disease (MRD) after induction therapy and every consolidation chemotherapy, and then at 3-month intervals for the first 2 years of follow up, and at relapse. Major molecular remission (MMR) was defined as a -3-log reduction in AML1-ETO mRNA transcript levels when compared with the pre-treatment (15).

Treatment. All patients received the ‘3+7’ regimens consisting of daunorubicin (DNR) at a daily dose of 40-60 mg/m² or idarubicin (IDA) at a daily dose of 8-10 mg/m² or other anthracyclines for 3 days and cytarabine (Ara-C) at 100-150 mg/m² per day for 7 days as induction chemotherapy for 1-2 cycles. Patient who did not achieve complete remission (CR) received salvage chemotherapy. After CR, they were mainly treated with a standard-dose Ara-C-based (SDAC-based) regimen, defined as the conventional induction regimens mentioned as before with or without 1-2 cycles of Ara-C 1-2 g/m² q12h (every 12 h) x 6-8 times, before the year 2010; after that year mainly with an intermedium-dose Ara-C-based (IDAC-based) regimen, defined as receiving ≥3 cycles of Ara-C 1-2 g/m² q12h x 6-8 times with/without autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (auto-HSCT). Salvage chemotherapy included ICE (idarubicin, cytarabine, etoposide), CAG (idarubicin, cytarabine, granulocyte-colony stimulating factor), FLAG (fludarabine, cytarabine, granulocyte-colony stimulating factor) and CLARA (cladribine, cytarabine). Allogeneic-HSCT was recommended for all eligible patients with c-KIT mutation, continuously positive MRD, and in CR2. Detailed treatment protocol is shown in Fig. S1.

Survival and statistical analyses. Disease-free survival (DFS) was defined as the time between CR1 to the first relapse, censored at the date of the last follow-up or death. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the time between diagnosis and death or the date of the last follow up.

Numerical data are represented in the form of median (range) and were compared using Mann-Whitney nonparametric U test. A nonparametric test was performed using
the Chi-square test and survival analyses were calculated by Kaplan-Meier survival curves and the log-rank test. Univariate analysis with the log-rank test and multivariate analyses on categorized data were performed using Cox regression. SPSS 17.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for the statistical analysis. A P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Clinical characteristics of the patients with AE-AML.

Of all the 79 newly diagnosed AE-AML patients, only 64 cases were tested for gene mutations with NGS and are involved in this study. The characteristics of the 64 patients are documented in Table I. The median age of onset was 27.5 (range 2-65) years; 20 (31.3%) patients had EML, 25/52 (48.12%) patients presented with ACAs, and 19/52 (36.5%) cases with sex chromosomal defects.

The frequency of additional gene mutations at diagnosis. First we verified the sensitivity and specificity of the NGS. The result showed 100% consistency in the positive and negative detection of FLT3-ITD, c-KIT (exon 8 and 17), NPM1, JAK2 (V617F) and DNMT3A (R882) mutations between the NGS and Sanger sequencing (Fig. S2).

Among the 64 patients being tested for gene sequencing with NGS, a median 2 (1-10) types of additional molecular mutations were detected in 44 (68.8%) patients, including 19 (29.7%) cases with one mutation, 16 (25.0%) with two and 9 (14.1%) with three or more mutations (Fig. 1C). The most common recurrent mutations occurred in c-KIT (n=27, 42.2%), including 12 (18.8%) D816, 7 (10.9%) M541, 5 (7.8%) N822, 1 (1.5%) R815_D816insVI, 1 (1.5%) Y418del and 1 N822_M541 co-mutation; ASXL1 (n=10, 15.6%, including 1 double mutation), MET (n=8, 12.5%), MLH1 (n=6, 9.4%), TET2 (n=5, 7.8%, including 1 double mutation) and FBXW7, TP53 and DNMT3A (n=5, 7.8%). This was followed by 4 (6.3%) PAX5 and CEBPA, 3 (4.7%) KMT2A, ATM, FLT3 and NRAS, 2 (3.1%) DNMT3L, PDGFRα, APC, HRAS and RUNX1, 1 (3.1%) SH2B3, SMAD4, KRAS and NPM1 (Fig. 1A and D).

The nature of these mutations is shown in Table SIII. When genes were categorized into functional groups, the most common mutations were those involved in the tyrosine kinase pathway (n=34, 53.1%), chromatin modification (n=16, 25.0%) and DNA repair/replication (n=10, 15.6%).
Clinical implications of gene mutations and gene co-mutation. We analyzed the clinical influence of the most common recurrent mutations including c-KIT, ASXL1, MET,
MLH1, TET2, FBXW7, TP53 and DNMT3A, and found no significant difference in the clinical characteristics between the gene mutation and wild-type (WT) groups, except for a higher incidence of ACAs (P=0.025) in the TP53 mutation group as compared with the TP53 WT (Table SIV). According to gene function, we found significantly higher bone marrow blast in the transcription and miscellaneous mutation group, as well as higher bone marrow blast and loss of sex chromosome in DNA methylation mutation group as compared with the WT group, respectively (Table SV).

Associations between molecular mutations were also assayed among those eight recurrent mutations. The DNMT3A mutation was found to be mutually exclusive of MLH1 (P=0.014), TET2 (P=0.005), FBXW7 (P<0.001) and TP53 (P=0.005), and also a trend of association with MET mutations (P=0.053) was noted. Apart from the DNMT3A mutation, the FBXW7 mutation was mutually exclusive of c-KIT (exon 8 and 17) (P=0.014) and TP53 (P=0.005), and a trend of association with the MET mutation (P=0.053) was noted. In addition to the DNMT3A and FBXW7 mutations, TP53 was also mutually exclusive of MET (P=0.001) (Fig. 1D and Table SIV).

Genetic alterations at relapse. Among the 31 relapsed patients, 11 had a mutation detection with NGS at relapse. In 6/11 (54.5%) patients, the initial molecular mutation pattern was altered at relapse; 4 (36.3%) patients gained new mutations and 3 (27.3%) experienced loss of one initial mutation.
Mutations commonly gained at relapse were KMT2A (2/11, 18.2%), followed by c-KIT and TET2 (each n=1, 9.1%). Loss of initial mutation at relapse was observed in c-KIT (2/11, 18.2%), as well as NRAS (1/11, 9.1%). The c-KIT mutation was the most common molecular event in the relapsed patients; 7/11 (63.6%) were associated with c-KIT mutation at relapse (1 gained, 2 lost and 4 stable) (Fig. 2).

**Patient survival and the influence of gene mutations on survival.** Among the 64 patients, 1 patient declined further chemotherapy and was excluded from the outcome analysis; 63 patients completed one to two courses of induction chemotherapy, and 59 (93.7%) gained CR. Among the 4 patients with no remission, 1 patient declined further treatment and was excluded from the survival analysis, and the other 3 patients received salvage therapy (two with CAG regimen and one with FLAG) and all obtained CR. After acquiring CR, 31 patients received SDAC-based consolidation; the other 31 received IDAC-based regimens; 13 cases underwent allo-HSCT, of which 7 were in the SDAC-based group and 6 were in the IDAC-based group. Between the two groups, except for a lower hemoglobin level, and a higher incidence of c-KIT (exon 8, 17) and ASXL1 mutation in the SDAC-based group, there was no significant difference in the clinical characteristics (Table I). With a median follow-up of 23.5 (4-85) months, cumulative 18/55 (32.7%) patients obtained MMR after two courses of consideration, 31 (50.0%) patients relapsed, and 25 (40.3%) cases succumbed to the disease. The 3-year DFS and OS percentages were 50.0±7.0 and 55.0±7.0%, respectively. Two patients died of treatment-related diseases, the 23 died of leukemia progression. Survival analysis showed that the patients with c-KIT mutation had a lower rate of DFS (P=0.024) and OS (P=0.045) when compared with the DFS and OR of those with c-KIT-WT (Fig. 3A and B). When c-KIT mutations were sub-grouped into exon 8, 17 and exon 10, DFS and OS analysis showed no obvious difference in the patients with c-KIT (exon 10) mutation and WT (P>0.05), yet DFS and OS were prolonged in both these groups when compared with the DFS and OS in the c-KIT (exon 8, 17) mutation group (P<0.05) (Fig. 3C and D), suggesting that c-KIT (exon 8, 17)
but not exon 10 mutation adversely affects patient survival. Univariate analysis showed that *ASXL1* and *TP53* mutations were adverse factors for DFS (P<0.05), and *ASXL1, MET, FBXW7* and *TP53* mutations had a poor effect on OS (P<0.05) (Fig. 4 and Table II). When the number of gene mutation was taken into account, increasingly adverse RFS and OS were observed with increasing number (Fig. 5).

Multivariate analysis data revealed that *c-KIT (exon 8, 17)* (RFS: HR 3.36, 95% CI 1.54-7.34, P=0.002; OS: HR 2.84, 95% CI 1.20-6.71, P=0.018) and *ASXL1* mutations (RFS: HR 3.13, 95% CI 1.34-7.32, P=0.009; OS: HR 3.94, 95% CI 1.62-9.61, P=0.003) were independent adverse factors for both RFS and OS (Table II). When consolidation regimens were taken into consideration, the same result was shown. Among the patients with IDAC-based regimens, the cohort with *c-KIT (exon 8, 17)* mutation had significantly poorer DFS and OS; also among those with SDAC-based regimens, patients with the mutation had apparently, although not significantly, worse DFS and OS. Meanwhile, significantly poorer DFS and OS were observed in the *ASXL1*-mutant patients with SDAC-based regimens (Fig. S3). Furthermore, according to *c-KIT (exon 8, 17)* and *ASXL1* mutation or not, we divided all the 62 patients into three groups, as no mutation (n=37), one mutation (n=20) and two mutation groups (n=5), and found that the patients with two mutations had the worst DFS and OS, followed by those with one mutation; no mutation patients had the best DFS.

**Figure 4.** *ASXL1, MET, TP53* and *FBXW7* mutations displayed a poor prognostic effect. (A) *ASXL1-WT* vs. *ASXL1* mutation: DFS: 51.3±4.8 vs. 23.4±6.7%, P=0.006; OS: 59.1±5.0 vs. 23.4±6.7%, P<0.001. (B) *MET-WT* vs. *MET* mutation: DFS: 49.4±4.7 vs. 27.6±7.8%, P=0.074; OS: 57.5±4.9 vs. 21.3±6.7%, P=0.005. *ASXL1*, ASXL transcriptional regulator 1; *MET*, MET proto-oncogene, receptor tyrosine kinase; *TP53*, tumor protein P53; *FBXW7*, F-box and WD repeat domain containing 7; DFS, disease-free survival; OS, overall survival; WT, wild-type.
and OS (Fig. 6A and B), indicating that co-mutation of c-KIT (exon 8, 17) and ASXL1 had a worse effect on DFS and OS than a single mutation. When the therapeutic regimens were taken into account, the same result was observed in either SDAC-based or IDAC-based group, although the difference was not significant in the IDAC-based group (Fig. 6C-F).

Discussion

The AML1-ETO fusion gene cannot singly induce leukemia but instead cooperates with secondary mutations to induce leukemia, presenting a ‘2-hit model’ in leukemia development (3,16,17). Moreover, data have revealed a multitude of genetic and epigenetic aberrations in leukemogenesis (18,19). In accordance with these, the present study showed that up to 44/64 (68.8%) patients presented a median of 2 (1-10) recurrent mutations at diagnosis and 6/11 (54.5%) cases underwent genetic alterations at relapse. The most common mutations at diagnosis occurred in tyrosine kinase, followed by chromatin modification, transcription, DNA methylation, tumor suppression and miscellaneous function. Among those mutations, tyrosine kinase, DNA methylation and tumor suppression were found to be commonly co-mutated, shown as mutual exclusion of c-KIT (exon 8, 17) or MET with DNMT3A or FBXW7 or TP53, and DNMT3A with FBXW7 or TP53, supporting multi-oncogenic cooperation in leukemogenesis.

In line with previous studies (4,19), the c-KIT mutation was the most common molecular event in this study, with an incidence of 27/64 (42.2%) at diagnosis, and 7/11 being associated with molecular alteration at relapse, further supporting, from the clinical point of view, that c-KIT mutation plays a critical role in the development and progression of AML1-ETO-positive acute myeloid leukemia (AE-AML).

As the c-KIT mutation is well accepted as a poor factor in this subtype of leukemia (1,11,20), to further clarify which exon mutation performs the key role, according to the mutant
exon, we divided the patients with c-KIT mutation into exon 8, 17 and exon 10 groups, and found that, agreeing with previous studies (4,21), c-KIT (exon 8, 17) but not exon 10 had an adverse effect on disease-free survival (DFS) (exon 8, 17 vs. exon 10 vs. WT: 28.2±5.1% vs. 55.6±7.5% vs. 55.0±6.1%, P=0.002) and overall survival (OS) (31.6±5.9% vs. 55.6±7.5% vs. 61.1±5.8%, P=0.007). Furthermore, univariate analysis supported the independent adverse effect of c-KIT (exon 8, 17) mutation on survival [DFS: HR 3.36 (1.54‑7.34), P=0.002; OS: HR 2.84 (1.20‑6.71), P=0.018]. Also the same result was observed when treatment regimens were taken into consideration.

The ASXL1 mutation has also been reported to occur frequently in AE-AML and is associated with a poor disease outcome. Krauth et al (4) reported that 11.5% patients with AE-AML presented with the ASXL1 mutation, and compared with WT, ASXL1-mutated patients had poorer RFS (de novo AML: 20 vs. 59.1%, P=0.011; total cohort: 28.6 vs. 56.7%, P=0.021). Micol et al (22) found that 11/110 (10.0%) patients with AE-AML presented with an ASXL1 mutation, and those with this mutation had a trend for increasing risk for relapse (54.6 vs. 25%, P=0.226). In the present study, ASXL1 mutation was the second most common mutation with an incidence of 15.6%, and was found to adversely impact RFS (51.3±4.8% vs. 23.4±6.7%, P=0.006) and OS (59.1±5.0% vs. 23.4±6.7%, P=0.001). In addition, univariate analysis data showed that the ASXL1 mutation was an independent adverse factor for survival [DFS: HR 3.13 (1.34‑7.32), P=0.009; OS: HR 2.84 (1.20‑6.71), P=0.003]. Also the same result was observed when the treatment regimens were taken into account, suggesting that the role of the ASXL1 mutation in AE-AML warrants further attention.

The MET (c-MET) and TP53 mutations were found to be two more important adverse factor for DFS and OS in the present study. It is known that MET plays an important role in cell proliferation and differentiation, and its aberrant expression, which could be activated by gene mutation, is found to be associated with promotion of tumorigenesis (23). The MET mutation has been reported to be involved in the development and metastasis of various solid cancers, and adversely affects the disease outcome (24,25). Autocrine activation of the MET signaling pathway was found in almost 40% of patients with AML (26,27), and increased MET activation was associated with leukemia relapse, especially in the t(15;17) and t(8;21) cytogenetic subtypes (28). However, the MET mutation in AML is rarely reported. To our interest, in this series, 8 out of 64 (12.5%) patients harbored MET mutation and presented a poor outcome, suggesting that MET aberrant activation in AE-AML may be associated with MET mutation; furthermore, MET mutation may act as a poor prognostic factor in AE-AML. TP53 is a central tumor-suppressor gene, which is involved in cell cycle regulation and apoptosis induction (29). It has been reported that AML1-ETO activates the p53 pathway and then sensitizes leukemia cells to DNA damage (34), while loss of the p53 response pathway is associated with disease progression. However, the incidence and biological effect of the TP53 mutation in AE-AML remains unclear. In this series, 5 (7.8%) patients were detected to harbor TP53 mutations; 2 presented with missense mutations and 3 with deletions, and these patients presented with poor DFS and OS.

In addition, in agreement with previous research (4), the number of gene mutations was important in regards to the prognostic effect in our study; an increasingly poor RFS and OS with an increase in the mutation number was observed in the whole cohort. Also, co-mutation of c-KIT (exon 8, 17) and
ASXL1 mutations conferred a worse effect on RFS and OS, as compared with a single mutation, further supporting the multi-oncogenic cooperation in cancer progression.

In summary, additional gene mutations play a critical role in AE-AML. This was demonstrated by the fact that 44/64 (68.8%) patients presented with recurrent mutations at
diagnosis and 6/11 (54.5%) cases underwent genetic alterations at relapse. The c-KIT mutation appears to be the most common molecular event in this subtype of leukemia, followed by ASXL1. c-KIT (exon 8, 17) but not exon 10, and also the ASXL1 mutation have a negative impact on survival; co-mutation of these two genes shows an even worse effect. The prognostic effect of MET and TP53 mutations in AML-AML warrants further study.
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