Combining selective inhibitors of nuclear export (SINEs) with chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cells for CD19-positive malignancies
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Abstract. Chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cells directed against CD19 (CD19.CAR T cells) have yielded impressive clinical responses in the treatment of patients with lymphoid malignancies. However, resistance and/or relapse can limit treatment outcome. Risk of tumor escape can be reduced by combining treatment strategies. Selective inhibitors of nuclear export (SINEs) directed against nuclear exportin-1 (XPO1) have demonstrated anti-tumor efficacy in several hematological malignancies. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the combination of CAR T cells with the SINE compounds eltanexor and selinexor. As expected, eltanexor and selinexor were toxic to CD19-positive malignant cells and the sensitivity of cells towards SINEs correlated with the levels of XPO1-expression in ALL cell lines. When SINES and CAR T cells were simultaneously combined, SINES exerted toxicity towards CAR T cells and impaired their function affecting cytotoxicity and cytokine release ability. Flow cytometry and western blot analysis revealed that eltanexor decreased the cytoplasmic concentration of the transcription factor phosphorylated-STAT3 in CAR T cells. Due to CAR T-cell toxicity, sequential use of SINES and CAR T cells was evaluated: Cytotoxicity of CAR T cells increased significantly when target cells were pre-treated with the SINE compound eltanexor. In addition, exhaustion of CAR T cells decreased when target cells were pre-treated with eltanexor. In summary, whereas the concomitant use of SINES and CAR T cells does not seem advisable, sequential use of SINES and CAR T cells might improve the anti-tumor efficacy of CAR T cells.

Introduction

Chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cells are individualized living drugs which combine the properties of T lymphocytes with the specificity of antibodies. They represent potent weapons to treat malignancies (1,2). CAR T cells directed against CD19 (CD19.CAR T cells) have shown remarkable clinical results in heavily pre-treated patients with relapsed or refractory (r/r) lymphoid malignancies (3-6), including acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) (7,8), chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) (9,10) and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL) (11,12). In fact, several CAR T-cell products have been approved by the regulatory authorities and have been adopted as standard of care within the labelled indications (13-16). However, antigen-negative as well as antigen-negative relapses and resistance to treatment are commonly observed in ALL (17-19), CLL (10) and NHL (20) patients following CD19.CAR T-cell treatment. Considering that cancer therapy against only a single target may facilitate the development of resistance, combining antigen-specific CAR T cells with less specific anti-tumor agents may overcome resistance to treatment, prevent disease relapse and enhance anti-tumor responses in patients.

Exportin-1 (XPO1), also termed chromosome region maintenance 1 (CRMI), is a nuclear export receptor involved in the transportation of proteins such as histones, polymerases, transcription factors and/or RNA from the nucleus into the
cytosol (21). Notably, the export of tumor suppressor proteins (TSPs) depends on XPO1 (22,23). Hematological as well as solid malignancies overexpress XPO1 (24-31) to limit nuclear TSP effects and evade inherent tumor control. XPO1 overexpression has been observed in aggressive diseases, and elevated XPO1 levels have been associated with poor clinical outcome in numerous neoplasms (32-36). Thus, downregulation of XPO1 constitutes an interesting therapeutic strategy. Notably, inhibiting XPO1 by selective inhibitors of nuclear export (SINEs) has been shown to restore and enhance the function of TSPs (21), and anti-tumor efficacy of SINEs has been demonstrated in hematological malignancies including multiple myeloma (MM) (32), ALL (33), NHL (34,35), acute myeloid leukemia (AML) (36) as well as in solid tumors (24-26). The SINE compound selinexor was approved for the treatment of adults with r/r MM by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in September 2019, and by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) in December 2019 (37). Moreover, selinexor is currently under clinical evaluation for treatment of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL; NCT02227251), r/r AML and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS; NCT03071276) as well as advanced liposarcoma (NCT02606461).

Given that protein transport regulation through XPO1 across the nuclear membrane is essential to normal cells (36), SINEs disturb normal immune homeostasis resulting in side effects such as cytopenia. Selinexor can cross the blood-brain-barrier (BBB) and cause central anorexia with associated weight loss and malaise (36,38). The second-generation SINE eltanexor has a reduced effect on hematopoietic stem and progenitor (HSPCs) cells (39) and an approximately 30-fold lower capacity to penetrate the BBB than selinexor (32). Therefore, eltanexor has a more favorable side effect profile when compared to selinexor, while maintaining anti-tumor efficacy. Eltanexor has shown potent anti-lymphoblastic activity in pre-clinical patient-derived T- and B-ALL xenograft models (32).

The aim of the present study was to investigate the impact of the SINE compounds selinexor and eltanexor on tumor cells as well as third-generation CAR T cells and to evaluate potential combinatorial effects of eltanexor and CAR T cells.

Materials and methods

Peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMCs). Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) of seven healthy donors (HDs) were collected at the Heidelberg University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany. Sample collection and analysis were approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Heidelberg (S-254/2016) and all donors signed a written consent prior to treatment. All experiments were performed in accordance with the convention of Helsinki.

Cell lines. Burkitt lymphoma cell lines Daudi and Raji as well as ALL cell lines Nalm-6 and Reh [German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (DSMZ)] were used as CD19-positive CAR T-cell target cells. Chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML) cell line K562 (DSMZ) was used as CD19-negative control cell line. 293T cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 37°C and 5% CO₂.

Cell culturing. Due to the experimental design, co-culturing experiments of CAR T cells (effector cells) with tumor cell lines (Nalm-6, Daudi, Raji and Reh) and eltanexor were performed using two different culture conditions: i) simultaneous co-culturing of CAR T cells, target cells and eltanexor, or ii) pre-treatment of target cells with eltanexor (0.05, 0.1 and 0.5 µM) and washing-out of eltanexor prior to addition of CAR T cells.

CAR T-cell generation

Retrovirus generation and CD19 CAR transfection. The third-generation retroviral vector RV-SFG.CD19.CD28.4-1BB.CD3zeta used in a CD19.CAR T-cell clinical trial conducted at the University Hospital Heidelberg (EudraCT 2016-004808-60; NCT03676504) comprising CD28 and 4-1BB (CD137) as costimulatory domains (40) was used for CAR T-cell manufacturing. Retroviral supernatant was generated via transfection of 293T cells with three plasmids: i) plasmid RV-SFG.CD19.CD28.4-1BB.CD3zeta (3.75 µg) containing the CD19-specific CAR transgene, ii) packaging plasmid PegPam3 containing gag-pol (3.75 µg) and iii) the envelope plasmid RDF plasmid containing env (2.5 µg). SFG.CD19.CD28.4-1BB.CD3zeta, PegPam3 and RDF plasmids were kindly provided by Professor Malcolm Brenner, Center for Cell and Gene Therapy, Houston, TX, USA. Details of retrovirus generation and transfection have been previously described (41,42).

CAR T-cell manufacturing. CAR T cells were manufactured as previously described (41,42). In brief, on day 0, cryopreserved PBMCs from HDs were thawed and seeded on anti-CD3- and IL-2 (Kpit8602) (Selleck Chemicals) were dissolved in DMSO to a concentration of 10 µmol/l. In brief, on day 0, cryopreserved PBMCs from HDs were thawed and seeded on anti-CD3- and anti-CD28 coated 24-well plates (Corning). On day 3, activated T cells (ATCs) supplied with retroviral supernatant were transferred into 24-well plates (Corning) previously coated with retronectin (Takara Bio). Efficacy was evaluated on days 7, 10, 14 and 17 after transduction using flow cytometry.

SINE compounds. Selinexor (KPT330) and eltanexor (KPT8602) (Selleck Chemicals) were dissolved in DMSO to a stock concentration of 10 mmol/l.

CellTiter-Glo assay. Viability assay CellTiter-Glo (Promega, Fitchburg) was used for cell number titration as well as subsequent compound titration. After adding CellTiter-Glo buffer to the CellTiter-Glo substrate (Promega) to reconstitute the lyophilized enzyme/substrate mixture, CellTiter-Glo reagent was aliquoted and stored at -20°C until use. CellTiter-Glo experiments including cell number titration and SINE compound titration were performed sequentially.

Cell number titration. To obtain cells growing in the logarithmic phase at 48 h, tumor cell lines (Daudi, Raji, Nalm-6, Reh), CAR T cells, and non-transduced T cells (negative control) were added to 384-well plates (Greiner Bio-One) and diluted 1:1.5 with RPMI-1640 medium (tumor cell lines) or with complete medium (T cells).
Compound titration on tumor cell lines and CAR T cells. To assess the effects of SINEs on tumor cells as well as CAR T cells, effects of selinexor and eltanexor on cell viability were analyzed.

The therapeutic window of SINEs was assessed via compound titration: Following cell number titration, selinexor and eltanexor or DMSO as control were added at concentrations from 10 to 0.001 µM (dilution of eltanexor and selinexor performed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at ratios of 1:3) to Daudi, Raji, Nalm-6, Reh, CAR T cells as well as non-transduced T cells in 384-well plates (Greiner Bio-One) and the half-maximal inhibitory concentrations (IC50) determined.

Tumor or CAR T cells with added SINEs were cultivated for 48 h in 384-well plates (Greiner Bio-One). After cultivation, 12 µl of CellTiter-Glo reagents, i.e., CellTiter-Glo and substrate (Promega), were added into each well of the culturing system. The mixture of the solution was incubated for 15-20 min at room temperature (RT) and luminescence was recorded by the EnSight Multimode Plate Reader (PerkinElmer). Relative viability of serial dilutions was used to calculate the IC50.

Flow cytometric analysis. According to the location of expression of analyzed markers, surface marker staining or intracellular staining (ICS) was performed using the FoxP3 staining buffer set (cat. no. 130-093-142, Miltenyi Biotec) at 4˚C for 6 h. For all staining procedures, dead cells were excluded using the LIVE/DEAD fixable near-infrared (IR) dead cell stain kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Anti-human goat F(ab) IgG (H+L) PE antibody (cat. no. 109-116-088; Dianova) was used to distinguish CD19-specific CAR T cells from non-transduced T cells. After staining, all the samples were measured on the flow cytometer LSRII (BD Biosciences) and data were analyzed using FlowJo.

Surface marker staining. The following antibodies were used for surface marker staining: Anti-human goat F(ab) IgG (H+L) PE antibody (cat. no. 109-116-088) from Dianova; anti-CD3-PE eFluor 610 (cat. no. 61-0038-42), and anti-CD4-Alexa Fluor 700 (cat. no. 560049-42) from eBioscience, San Diego; anti-CD8-PerCP (cat. no. 344708), anti-CD10-APC (cat. no. 312210), anti-human CD223-APC (LAG-3, Cat. 369212), anti-PD-1-Alexa Fluor 488 (cat. no. 329935), anti-human CD366 (Tim-3), anti-CD4-Alexa Fluor 610 (eBioscience), anti-human CD223 (LAG-3), anti-CD3-APC (BD Biosciences) and anti-CD4-Alexa Fluor 700 (cat. no. 61-0038-42) from BD Biosciences.

ICS for evaluation of cytokine release by CAR T cells. For cytokine release assessment, CAR T cells with or without the addition of different doses of eltanexor were stimulated by incubation with CD19-positive target cells for 6 h in 96-well U-bottom microplates (Greiner Bio-One) in the presence of Brefeldin A (Biolegend). The cell mixture was fixated and permeabilized using the FoxP3 staining buffer set (cat. no. 130-093-142, Miltenyi Biotec). Cells were incubated for 30 min at RT in the dark for fixation with fixation/permeabilization solution (fixation/permeabilization solution 1: Fixation/permeabilization solution 2:1:4) and 15 min at RT for permeabilization with the FoxP3 permeabilization buffer. ICS was performed with anti-interferon (IFN)-γ-Alexa Fluor 488 (cat. no. 502515; Biolegend) and anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α-BV421 (cat. no. 562783; BD Biosciences).

Flow cytometric analysis used to evaluate cytotoxicity of CAR T cells towards Nalm-6 cells. As Nalm-6 cells have a low Chromium 51 (51Cr) release (arelease=maximum release–spontaneous release), Cr release (mentioned in a section below) is an inadequate method to assess the cytotoxicity of CAR T cells towards Nalm-6 cells. Consequently, flow cytometric analysis was used to evaluate cytotoxicity of CAR T cells towards Nalm-6 cells. After either simultaneous co-culturing (CAR T cells, Nalm-6 cells and eltanexor) or culturing of CAR T cells with pre-treated Nalm-6 cells, cells were collected and stained with the following antibodies: Anti-human goat F(ab) IgG (H+L) PE antibody (Dianova), anti-CD3-PE eFluor 610 (eBioscience), anti-human CD223 (LAG-3), anti-CD3-APC (BD Biosciences) and anti-CD4-APC (BD Biosciences). Relative viability of serial dilutions was used to calculate the IC50.
membranes. Prior to incubation with a primary antibody at a dilution of 1:200 (anti-exportin-1/CRM1, anti-phosphorylated-STAT3 (only for CAR T cells) or 1:500 [anti-β (β) actin (as internal reference), anti-total STAT3 (only for CAR T cells)]) at 4°C overnight, the membranes were blocked for 1 h at RT with 5% milk in Tris-buffered saline with Tween-20 (TBST). Appropriate horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (anti-mouse IgG or anti-rabbit IgG, HRP-linked antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Frankfurt) were used at a dilution of 1:2,000. Proteins were visualized in an Amersham Imager 600 (GE Healthcare). Quantification of the of protein bands was performed using software ImageJ.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Software Inc.). P-values were calculated using the parametric two-way t-test between two groups, and the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test for three or four groups. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. When not otherwise indicated, results were represented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). IC50s were presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Graphs and tables were designed using GraphPad Prism 6.

Results
Sensitivity of tumor cells towards selinexor and eltanexor and measuring of XPO1 protein levels. In order to improve CAR T cell efficacy and overcome refractory disease, we evaluated the combination of CAR T cells with the SINE compounds selinexor and eltanexor.

Sensitivities of Reh, Nalm-6, Daudi and Raji cells to SINEs were analyzed assessing IC50 of selinexor and eltanexor. Selinexor and eltanexor effectively inhibited viabilities of Reh [IC50: Selinexor: 0.16±0.01 µM (Fig. 1A), eltanexor: 0.05±0.01 µM (Fig. 1B)] and Nalm-6 cells [IC50: Selinexor: 0.30±0.02 µM (Fig. 1A), eltanexor: 0.14±0.03 µM (Fig. 1B)]. Daudi cells showed medium sensitivity [selinexor: 0.60±0.09 µM (Fig. 1A), eltanexor: 0.30±0.03 µM (Fig. 1B)], whereas Raji cells exhibited the lowest sensitivity to SINEs [IC50s: Selinexor: 1.33±1.16 µM (Fig. 1A), eltanexor: 0.23±0.03 µM (Fig. 1B)].

Sensitivity of ALL and NHL tumor cells towards selinexor and eltanexor was associated with the protein levels of the SINE target CRM1/XPO1: ALL cells Reh (2.10±0.01) and Nalm-6 (1.86±0.01), that had shown the highest sensitivity to SINEs, displayed higher relative XPO1 protein levels...
compared to the NHL cells Daudi (0.44±0.01) and Raji (0.81±0.01) (Fig. 1C and D) (P<0.05).

Tumor cells were more sensitive to eltanexor, suggesting a superior toxicity profile of eltanexor compared to selinexor (32,39). Consequently, eltanexor was chosen as SINE compound to perform further experiments.

Cytotoxicity of CAR T cells was abrogated when CAR T cells and tumor cells were cultivated concomitantly with eltanexor. Cytotoxicity of CAR T cells towards tumor cells was addressed when they were cultivated simultaneously with target cells and eltanexor. Nalm‑6, Reh, Daudi and Raji cells were co‑cultured with eltanexor at their respective IC50 (0.05 and 0.1 µM for Nalm‑6 and Reh; 0.1 and 0.5 µM for Daudi and Raji) as well as CAR T cells. Simultaneous co‑culturing decreased cytotoxicity of CAR T cells towards Nalm‑6 (Fig. 2A, assessed via flow cytometry), Reh (Fig. 2B, assessed via 51Cr release assay) (0.05 µM eltanexor used), Daudi (Fig. 2C, assessed via 51Cr release assay) as well as Raji (Fig. 2D, assessed via 51Cr release assay) (0.1 µM eltanexor used) cells compared to DMSO (Nalm‑6: 1:1 ratio: 72.3 vs. 69.2%; 1:2 ratio: 62.3 vs. 58.3%; 1:4 ratio: 43.1 vs. 47.8% (Fig. 2A); Reh: 10:1 ratio: 30.4±1.6 vs. 30.7±1.9%, 5:1 ratio: 25.4±1.5 vs. 25.5±3.4%, 1:1 ratio: 1.7±3.0 vs. 1.8±1.8% (Fig. 2B). Daudi cells: 10:1 ratio: 44.2±4.2 vs. 55.2±7.6%, 5:1 ratio: 26.5±4.2 vs. 30.7±1.4%, 2.5:1 ratio: 12.2±0.7 vs. 15.0±0.3% (P=0.0088), 1:1 ratio: 4.6±1.6 vs. 5.1±2.0% (Fig. 2C) and Raji cells: 10:1 ratio: 46.3±10.5 vs. 58.8±3.2%, 5:1 ratio: 26.1±3.4 vs. 48.8±6.1%, 2.5:1 ratio: 23.8±5.4 vs. 23.8±3.9%, 1:1 ratio: 8.2±5.0 2% vs. 8.5±3.4%) (Fig. 2D).

XPO1 protein levels and the sensitivity of T cells towards SINEs.

Besides tumor cells, selinexor and eltanexor also inhibited viabilities of T cells, i.e., CAR T cells [IC50: Selinexor (0.20±0.04 µM) (Fig. 3A, red line), eltanexor (0.06±0.02 µM) (Fig. 3B, red line)] and non-transduced T cells [IC50s: Selinexor (0.28±0.08 µM) (Fig. 3A, orange line), eltanexor (0.04±0.05 µM) (Fig. 3B, orange line)]. The protein levels of XPO1 in CAR T cells and non-transduced T cells were quantified by western blot analysis: The relative expression of XPO1 protein of CAR T cells was 0.71±0.01 and of non‑transduced T cells 0.96±0.01 (Fig. 3C).

Effects of eltanexor on CAR T cells were pronounced when CAR T cells were cultivated concomitantly with Daudi cells and eltanexor. Lower cytokine secretion of TNF‑α and IFN‑γ by CD4‑ and CD8‑positive CAR T cells after stimulation with Daudi cells and eltanexor (0.1 and 0.5 µM) was observed when compared to the DMSO control: CD4 TNF‑α+: 39.2±5.5%, 38.0±3.0% vs. 46.9±2.3%; CD4+ IFN‑γ+: 14.8±11.8%, 15.6±10.4% vs. 19.4±12.2%; CD8+ TNF‑α+: 25.7±2.7% (P=0.0007), 25.5±3.1% (P=0.0012) vs. 39.6±2.6%; CD8 IFN‑γ+: 31.3±8.3%, 21.1±14.1% vs. 36.6±7.5% (Fig. 4A).

Phosphorylated‑STAT3 (p‑STAT3) levels of CAR T cells when co‑cultured with eltanexor were assessed via flow cytometry: p‑STAT3 decreased significantly when eltanexor was used within the culture [0.1 and 0.5 µM eltanexor vs. DMSO control: 18.3±7.4% (P=0.0244), 13.5±4.8% (P=0.0094) vs. 37.1±8.1% (Fig. 4B)]. To further verify the decrease of p‑STAT3 in the cytoplasm of CAR T cells, difference in protein levels of total STAT3 and phosphorylated STAT3 was quantified by western blot analysis. Total STAT3 protein levels showed no difference (Fig. 4C). However, compared to the DMSO control, 0.1 and 0.5 µM of eltanexor demonstrated
a decreased phosphorylated STAT3 protein expression in the cytoplasm: 0.1 and 0.5 µM vs. DMSO: 0.8±0.1% (P=0.2932), 0.4±0.2% (P=0.0281), vs. 0.9±0.3% (Fig. 4D).

**Cytotoxicity of CAR T cells was improved when tumor cells were pre-treated with eltanexor.** Pre-sensitizing of tumor cells with eltanexor before CAR T-cell exposure was addressed: Nalm-6, Reh, Daudi as well as Raji cells were pre-treated with eltanexor (0.05 and 0.1 µM for Nalm-6 and Reh; 0.1 and 0.5 µM for Daudi and Raji) and eltanexor was removed by additional washing with culturing medium before CAR T cells were added. Pre-treated Nalm-6 cells were cultured with CAR T cells for 24 h. Assessment of cytotoxicity of CAR T cells was performed via flow cytometry as ^51^Cr release assay was not adequate to evaluate the toxicity of CAR T cells towards Nalm-6 cells (Fig. S1). Toxicity of CAR T cells on Reh, Daudi and Raji cells was assessed via ^51^Cr release assay. Pre-treatment with 0.05 µM eltanexor significantly increased cytotoxicity of CAR T cells towards Nalm-6 and Reh cells as compared to DMSO [Fig. 5A and B: Nalm-6: 1:1 ratio: 75.1±2.5 vs. 59.3±4.1% (P=0.0025); 1:2 ratio: 66.7±2.7 vs. 45.8±0.9% (P<0.0001), 1:4 ratio: 54.5±3.3 vs. 33.3±1.7% (P=0.0004); Reh: 10:1 ratio: 52.4±8.0 vs. 40.3±2.4% (P=0.0163), 5:1 ratio: 44.3±5.4 vs. 36.1±1.5% (P=0.0472), 2:5:1 ratio: 38.3±4.3 vs. 27.8±1.8% (P=0.0130), 1:1 ratio: 31.6±2.9 vs. 16.9±4.5% (P=0.0025)]. The increase of cytotoxicity of CAR T cells was also observed when Daudi cells pretreated with 0.1 µM eltanexor were used as target cells [10:1 ratio: 76.3±5.3 vs. 69.9±2.3% (P=0.0278), 5:1 ratio: 70.3±5.2% vs. 59.7±4.0% (P=0.0007), 2:5:1 ratio: 61.0±2.3% vs. 43.8±2.1% (P<0.0001), 1:1 ratio: 31.6±1.6% vs. 17.7±2.0% (P<0.0001) (Fig. 5C)]. However, improvement of toxicity was not observed for CAR T cells towards pre-treated Raji cells with 0.1 or 0.5 µM eltanexor (Fig. 5D) that had previously shown the lowest sensitivity to SINEs (Fig. 1A). However, pre-treating tumor cells with high concentrations of eltanexor reversed the cytotoxicity of CAR T cells [Reh: 10:1 ratio: 52.4±8.0 vs. 40.3±2.4% (P=0.0163), 5:1 ratio: 44.3±5.4 vs. 36.1±1.5% (P=0.0472), 2:5:1 ratio: 38.3±4.3 vs. 27.8±1.8% (P=0.0130), 1:1 ratio: 31.6±2.9 vs. 16.9±4.5% (P=0.0025)]. This effect of reversing cytotoxicity of CAR T cells was also observed for Nalm-6 cells pre-treated with high concentrations of eltanexor, although this was not statistically significant.
Cytokine release levels of CAR T cells increased when tumor cells were pre-treated with eltanexor. CD4- and CD8-positive CAR T cells displayed higher cytokine (TNF-α and IFN-γ) secretion levels after stimulation of CAR T cells with pre-treated Nalm-6 and Daudi cells when target cells had been pre-treated with eltanexor [Nalm-6: 0.05 µM eltanexor vs. DMSO]: CD4 TNF-α*: 66.7±2.0 vs. 40.9±5.9% (P=0.0157), CD4 IFN-γ*: 29.6±0.6 vs. 20.8±0.9% (P=0.0093), CD8 TNF-α*: 43.3±1.3 vs. 29.0±6.6% (P=0.1272), CD8 IFN-γ*: 53.1±0.2 vs. 46.3±0.8% (P=0.0056) (Fig. 6A, upper panel); Daudi (0.1 µM eltanexor vs. DMSO): CD4 TNF-α*: 38.8±1.9 vs. 32.1±1.0% (P=0.1805), CD4 IFN-γ*: 20.7±0.2 vs. 16.6±1.0% (P=0.0299), CD8 TNF-α*: 28.0±0.7 vs. 22.3±0.8% (P=0.0467), CD8 IFN-γ*: 46.2±0.4 vs. 39.4±1.1% (P=0.0167) (Fig. 6C, upper panel). Although a trend towards an increase in multi-cytokine release (IFN-γ and TNF-α double positive) was also observed after stimulation of CAR T cells with pre-treated Nalm-6 (0.05 µM eltanexor) and Daudi (0.1 µM eltanexor) cells, this was not statistically significant (Fig. 6A and C, lower panel). An increase in secretion of single cytokine or multiple cytokines was also observed for Reh cells pre-treated with 0.1 µM eltanexor, but this was without statistical significance when compared to DMSO (Fig. 6B). Improved cytokine secretion was not observed for CAR T cells stimulated with Raji cells (Fig. 6D).

The expression of exhaustion markers of CAR T cells was decreased when tumor cells were pre-treated with eltanexor. The expression of exhaustion markers of CAR T cells, such as LAG-3, PD-1 and Tim-3, was evaluated after co-culturing CAR T cells with pre-treated Nalm-6, Reh, Daudi and Raji cells. The expression was decreased when Nalm-6 and Reh had been pre-treated with eltanexor (0.05 µM) compared to DMSO [Nalm-6: LAG-3: 35.7±1.4 vs. 40.3±1.0% (P<0.0001), PD-1: 24.1±2.5 vs. 27.9±0.5% (P=0.0004), Tim-3: 50.1±1.0 vs. 54.3±0.8% (P=0.0225) (Fig. 7A); Reh: LAG-3: 31.0±0.6 vs. 32.3±0.8% (P=0.0335), PD-1: 15.4±1.5% vs. 20.0±0.5% (P=0.0317), Tim-3: 40.7±1.3 vs. 45.7±1.2% (P=0.0100) (Fig. 7B)]. Exhaustion marker expression on CAR T cells co-cultured with pre-treated Daudi cells (0.1 µM eltanexor) compared to DMSO was also decreased [LAG-3: 47.5±2.0 vs. 61.2±1.2% (P=0.0030), PD-1: 57.7±2.3 vs. 65.9±2.0% (P=0.0158), Tim-3: 41.5±1.1 vs. 61.6±0.8% (P=0.0060) (Fig. 7C)]. No difference in exhaustion marker expression was observed for CAR T cells co-cultured with pre-treated Raji cells (0.1 µM eltanexor) (Fig. 7D). The decrease in exhaustion markers was not observed when CAR T cells were cultivated simultaneously with Daudi cells and eltanexor (Fig. S2).

Discussion
In patients with lymphoid malignancies CAR T cells have mediated high response rates. However, relapses and resistance
after treatment with CAR T-cell therapy (10,45) constitute a challenge; to address this, several approaches are under investigation. To improve persistence and efficacy of CAR T cells, CAR T-cell production can be enhanced: For example, the PI3Kδ inhibitor idelalisib rendered enhanced in vivo function to CAR T cells that were manufactured from T-lymphocytes of CLL patients (42), a starting T-cell population with limited CAR T-cell responses due to functional characteristics of terminally differentiated lymphocytes (46). In addition, armored CAR T cells have been developed. Due to additional genetic modifications, these advanced CAR T cells intrinsically express additional costimulatory ligands or cytokines to augment CAR T-cell response (47). Furthermore, approaches that combine different mechanisms to target malignancies are of considerable interest in order to prevent escape of malignant cells from CAR T-cell treatment. Combination of CAR T cells with PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors has been shown to enhance CAR T-cell efficacy and improve the clinical outcome of treated patients (48,49). CAR T cells combined with reactive oxygen species (ROS) accelerators were able to overcome tumor microenvironment-mediated treatment resistance (43). In combination with ibrutinib, CAR T-cell proliferation and anti-tumor efficacy in a human xenograft model were enhanced (50) while occurrence of CAR T-cell toxicity, i.e., cytokine release syndrome (CRS), was reduced (51). Recent clinical studies confirmed these data rendering superior clinical responses to CLL patients treated concomitantly with ibrutinib and CAR T cells (52).

Due to their general anti-malignant effect, SINEs constitute interesting combination partners for CAR T-cell therapy. XPO1 promotes cell deregulation exporting TSPs involved in apoptotic-inhibition from the nucleus (53) and SINEs can disrupt this process and regain tumor control (33,35,53). In this study, we evaluated the potential of SINEs in combination with third-generation CD19.CAR T cells. The approved SINE compound selinexor as well as the second-generation SINE eltanexor mediated robust in vitro growth-inhibition of CD19-positive tumor cell lines. These data are in accordance with previous reports demonstrating that selinexor at a concentration up to 0.22 µM induced apoptosis in isolated MM cells (27). Eltanexor has been shown to mediate apoptosis in primary CLL cells and significantly inhibited proliferation of DLBCL cell lines (54). Moreover, eltanexor at a concentration of 0.15 µM has shown to induce apoptosis in AML cell lines but has displayed a better tolerability when compared to selinexor (55). With regards to the superior toxicity profile of eltanexor over selinexor as demonstrated also by others (39), we performed experiments addressing the combinatorial approach of SINEs and CAR T cells with eltanexor.

Our data demonstrate that sensitivity of tumor cells to SINEs correlated with the XPO1 protein levels in Nalm-6 and Reh cells. Besides confirming the anti-tumor efficacy of SINEs on malignant cells, the impact of SINEs on T cells, i.e., also CAR T cells, was assessed. It is known that in T cells XPO1-inhibition affects transcription factors that are crucial for T-cell functionality, e.g., NFATc1, p100 and p65 (subunits of NF-xB), cIAP1, stat1 and STAT3 (36,55,56). We confirmed this by observing that eltanexor decreased the levels of phosphorylated STAT3. The reduction of phosphorylated STAT3 in the
Figure 6. Cytokine release of CAR T cells after pre-treatment of tumor cells with the SINE compound eltanexor. Nalm-6 (A) Reh (B) Daudi (C) and Raji (D) cells were cultivated with eltanexor at different concentrations (0.05 µM: Blue, 0.1 µM: Orange, 0.5 µM: Red) or with DMSO as control (brown). After washing with culturing medium, CAR T cells were incubated with tumor cells for 6 h. Single cytokine release (IFN-γ and TNF-α) of CD4- and CD8-positive CAR T cells was assessed. Higher cytokine secretion levels were detected after stimulation of CAR T cells with Nalm-6 (pre-treated with 0.05 µM eltanexor, blue, A, upper panel) and Daudi cells (pre-treated with 0.1 µM eltanexor, orange, C, upper panel) when compared to the DMSO control (brown, A and C, upper panel). The increase was also observable when multi-cytokine secretion (IFN-γ and TNF-α double positive, A and C, lower panel) of CD4- and CD8-positive CAR T cells was measured, although this was not statistically significant. No alteration of cytokine secretion of CAR T cells towards pre-treated Raji cells (pre-treated with 0.1 and 0.5 µM eltanexor, D) was detected. Experiments were performed in triplicate. Results are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). *P<0.05 and **P<0.005 indicate statistical significance.

Figure 7. Expression of exhaustion markers of CAR T cells after co-culturing CAR T cells with tumor cells pre-treated with the SINE compound eltanexor. Nalm-6 (A) Reh (B) Daudi (C) and Raji (D) cells were cultivated with eltanexor at different concentrations (0.05 µM: blue, 0.1 µM: Orange, 0.5 µM: Red) or with DMSO as control (brown) for 24 h. After washing with medium, CAR T cells were added, and co-culturing was performed for 5 days. Expression of exhaustion markers LAG-3, PD-1 and Tim-3 on CAR T cells decreased when tumor cells had been pre-treated with eltanexor compared to DMSO control. No significant alteration of the expression of exhaustion markers was observed when CAR T cells were co-cultured with Raji cells previously pre-treated with eltanexor. (D) Experiments were performed in triplicate. Results are represented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). *P<0.05, **P<0.005, ***P<0.0005, ****P<0.00005 indicate statistical significance.
cytoplasm with unaltered levels of total STAT3 suggests that retention of STAT3 within the nucleus may impair anti-tumor function of CAR T cells. This is in line with previous findings that demonstrated that the XPO1 inhibitor leptomycin B decreased the levels of phosphorylated STAT3 in the cytoplasm by limiting the transport through the nuclear membrane and accumulating the inactive STAT3 conformation within the nucleus (57). In addition, CLL patients achieving a complete response after CAR T-cell treatment had higher activation levels of the IL-6/STAT3 pathway when compared to non-responding patients, suggesting that decrease of phosphorylated-STAT3 is associated with poor clinical outcomes (58). Moreover, a novel gene-edited CAR containing a JAK-STAT3 signaling domain mediated superior anti-tumor effects (59).

Despite identifying STAT3 as a relevant SINE target, further studies extending the analysis to other relevant proteins and transcription factors in CAR T cells are required to define CAR T-cell impairment by SINEs. Our study is limited by the fact that the effect of SINEs on CAR T cells was only assessed under artificial two-dimensional cell culture conditions, which do not reflect the dynamic activity of SINEs in tumor cells and in CAR T cells. Further evaluations in more complex culture conditions are required to clarify the mechanisms of the combination of SINEs and CAR T cells.

With regard to a potential combinatory approach, optimal synergistic effects of SINEs with CAR T cells should render effective inhibition of target tumor cells without affecting CAR T cells. Given that in this study SINEs impaired CAR T-cell function already at low concentrations, concomitant administration of SINEs and CAR T cells does not seem advisable. However, applying a pre-treatment strategy to protect the CAR T cells from SINEs seems to be promising. In fact, when used sequentially, pre-treatment with eltanexor mediated enhanced anti-tumor cytokine release. In contrast, lower SINE concentrations may together, this finding is clinically promising and supports the combinatory approach of SINEs and CAR T cells as a low dose of SINEs displaying a favourable toxicity profile may be sufficient to enhance CAR T-cell function.

In summary, this study has focused on the intrinsic toxicity of SINEs against malignant cells. The toxicity of SINEs, however, also affected CAR T cells and significantly impaired their function, thereby limiting the potential for the combination and concomitant application of SINEs and CAR T cells. Nonetheless, pre-sensitizing tumor cells with eltanexor was shown to be an effective strategy to improve anti-malignant effects. Therefore, sequential use of SINEs and CAR T cells is a potential option to improve the efficacy of CAR T-cell treatment and should be addressed in further trials.

**Acknowledgements**

We thank Amy Publicover for editing the text. We are grateful to Ulrike Gern and Stefanie Mechler as technicians supporting this study.

**Funding**

MLS was supported by the Olympia Morata Program of the Medical Faculty of Heidelberg. SW was supported by the China Scholarship Council.

**Availability of data and materials**

The datasets used and/or analyzed within this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. Original flow cytometry analysis data are displayed within the supplementary material (Figs. S3-S12).

**Authors' contributions**

SW, MLS, MS and LS designed the study; SW and HY performed the experiments; SW analyzed the data and wrote the primary manuscript; MLS, LS, TS and MS revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content; SW, LW, MLS, LS, BN, WG, SS, MN, CK, AS and CMT discussed the supplementary material (Figs. S3-S12).

**Ethics approval and consent to participate**

Not applicable.

**Patient consent for publication**

Not applicable.

**Competing interests**

MS received funding for collaborative research from Apogenix, Hexal and Novartis, travel grants from Hexal and Kite, financial support for educational activities and conferences from Bluebird Bio, Kite and Novartis, is an advisory board member for MSD and (co-)PI of clinical trials of MSD, GSK, Kite and BMS, as well as co-founder and shareholder of TolerogenixX, Ltd. AS received travel grants from Hexal and Jazz Pharmaceuticals, research grant from Therakos/Mallinckrodt and is co-founder of TolerogenixX, Ltd. AS is a part-time employee of TolerogenixX, Ltd. LS is a full-time employee of Takeda (current address: Oncology Business Unit, Takeda Pharma Vertrieb GmbH
References


