ABO and RhD matching in platelet transfusions: Real-world data

SERENA VALSAMI¹, ASPASIA ARGYROU², ABRAHAM POULIAKIS³, MARIA GAVALAKI⁴, GEORGIOS DRYLLIS¹ and ELISAVET GROUZI⁵

¹Hematology Laboratory-Blood Bank, Aretaieion Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, 11528 Athens;

²Blood Transfusion Department, 'Agioi Anargyroi' Hospital, 14564 Athens; ³2nd Department of Pathology,

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, 'Attikon' University Hospital, 12462 Athens;

⁴Blood Transfusion Department, Laiko General Hospital, 11527 Athens; ⁵Department of Transfusion Service

and Clinical Hemostasis, 'Saint Savvas' Oncology Hospital of Athens, 11522 Athens, Greece

Received May 12, 2023; Accepted October 5, 2023

DOI: 10.3892/wasj.2023.211

Abstract. ABO and Rhesus D (RhD) matching in platelet transfusions varies widely worldwide, since it does not preclude good clinical responses. However, it has been shown that ABO and RhD matching selection for platelet transfusions raises efficacy and safety concerns. The present study aimed to assess and evaluate ABO and RhD matching in platelet transfusions. The present study was part of a national survey that evaluated the platelet units, and ABO and RhD blood group of patients, along with the number of platelet units produced and transfused from May to June, 2015. A total of 13,250 [12,061 random donor platelets (RDPs) and 1,189 single donor platelets (SDPs)] were evaluated. ABO identical platelets were transfused in 58.99±0.88% RDPs and 45.75±2.87% SDPs. ABO major incompatible platelets (with antigen incompatibility) were transfused in 15.92±0.66% RDPs and 24.05±2.47% SDPs. ABO minor incompatible platelets (with plasma incompatibility) were transfused in 19.70±0.71% and 22.96±2.43% RDPs and SDPs, respectively, while a combination of ABO major and minor incompatible platelets (combination of plasma and antigen incompatibility) in 5.38±0.41% and 7.23±1.51%, RDPs and SDPs respectively. A total of 6.69 and 12.29% of RhD-positive RDPs and SDPs were transfused in RhD-negative patients (both P<0.001). On the whole, the analysis of real-world data indicates variations in platelet ABO and RhD matching. Further studies are required to elucidate the real impact in the management of patients, while the upcoming centralization of blood services may also be a decisive step in this direction.

E-mail: serenavalsami@yahoo.com; svalsami@med.uoa.gr

Key words: platelet transfusion, ABO matching, survey, single donor platelets, apheresis platelets, transfusion reactions

Introduction

Platelet transfusions are currently performed worldwide mainly for the treatment of hypoproliferative thrombocytopenia. Since the early years of platelet transfusions, it has been shown that platelet ABO and Rhesus D (RhD) incompatibility does not preclude good clinical responses. However, platelet transfusions non-identical to ABO and RhD have been found to be associated with lower platelet counts increments following transfusion, as well as with adverse reactions such as hemolytic transfusion reactions and alloimmunization. Hence, despite the long-term application of platelet transfusions, there is still a lack of consensus guidelines, as well as a lack of specific recommendations on ABO and RhD matching (1-4).

A key factor, which also affects platelet transfusion practice regarding ABO and RhD matching, is their short self-life which is up to 5 days, and the resulting limited stock of this blood product, particularly in the absence of central inventory management. The need to ensure adequate platelet supply, along with the lack of strict transfusion guidelines as mentioned above, explains the wide variability in practices associated with the transfusion of ABO and RhD mismatched platelets by transfusion centers worldwide (2,5).

The present study was conducted to assess, elucidate and evaluate ABO and RhD matching in platelet transfusions in Greece, given the upcoming centralization of blood services.

Materials and methods

Data collection. The present study was carried out from May to June, 2015 by the Working Committee of Transfusion Medicine and Apheresis of the Hellenic Society of Hematology, as part of a national survey. An electronic data collection form (Excel 2016, Microsoft/Corp, WA, USA) was used, and all transfusion services in Greece were invited to participate in the study. Data collection was conducted using the aforementioned data forms that were filled by the participating centers (6). The study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Aretaieion Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens (Athens, Greece). Informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to ef8nrolment.

Correspondence to: Professor Serena Valsami, Hematology Laboratory-Blood Bank, Aretaieion Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, 76 Leoforos V. Sofias, 11528 Athens, Greece

Platelet donor (unit) RhD type	Patient's RhD type								
		RDPs		SI	DPs				
	RhD (-) (%)	RhD (+) (%)	Total (%)	RhD (-) (%)	RhD (+) (%)				
RhD (-)	158 (13.52)	1,011 (86.48)	1,169 (100)	30 (26.09)	85 (73.91)				
RhD (+)	729 (6.69)	10,163 (93.31)	10,892 (100)	132 (12.29)	942 (87.71)				
Total	887 (7.35)	11,174 (92.65)	12,061 (100)	162 (87.71)	1,027 (86.38)				

Table I. Platelet transfusion according to Rhesus D (RhD) type.

RhD incompatibility occurs in cases of different RhD type between the platelet donor (platelet unit) and the patient (platelet recipient). Only transfusion cases of platelets from RhD-positive donors to RhD-negative patients pose the risk of RhD alloimmunization in the recipient. The percentage of RhD-negative patients receiving RhD-positive platelets at least once was 82.19% (729/887) for RDPs and 81.48% (132/162) for SDPs. RDPs: random donor platelets; SDPs, single donor platelets.

The data collected comprised the number of platelet units produced, transfused and the platelet units and ABO/D blood group of the patients. Platelet units consisted of random donor platelets (RDPs), each of which was derived from a single whole blood donation prepared from platelet-rich plasma and of single-donor platelets (SDPs), that were prepared by apheresis.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using the Excel electronic spreadsheet data forms and using SAS software version 9.3 for Windows (SAS Institute Inc.). For descriptive statistics arithmetic data are presented as the mean value and standard deviation (SD), while the categorical data are presented the frequency of occurrence and the relevant percentage. The confidence interval of the various calculated proportions was based on normal approximation and the χ^2 test statistical test was applied for the comparisons of the percentages between groups. All tests were two-sided. A P-value <0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

From the 97 transfusion services located all over Greece that had been invited to join the study, 21 (21.6%) participated in the study. The total number of platelet units evaluated was 13,250; 12,061 RDPs and 1,189 SDPs.

Platelet transfusions by platelet product ABO blood group and patient ABO group are shown in (Fig. 1) ABO identical platelet transfusion was recorded in $58.99\pm0.88\%$ of the cases for RDPs and in $45.75\pm2.87\%$ for SDPs. Transfusion of platelets with major ABO incompatibility was recorded in $15.92\pm0.66\%$ of the cases for RDPs and in $24.05\pm2.47\%$ for SDPs. The transfusion of platelets with minor ABO incompatibility was reported in $19.70\pm0.71\%$ of the cases for RDPs and in $22.96\pm2.43\%$ for SDPs. However, a combination of major and minor ABO incompatibility occurred in $5.38\pm0.41\%$ and $7.23\pm1.51\%$ of the cases for RDPs and SDPs, respectively (Fig. 1).

As regards RhD, as shown in Table I, 729/10,892 (6.69%) RhD-positive RDPs were transfused in RhD-negative patients and accordingly, 132/1,074 (12.29%) RhD-positive SDPs were transfused in RhD-negative patients (both P<0.001). The percentage of RhD-negative patients receiving at least one RhD-positive platelet transfusion was 82.19% (729/887) for RDPs and 81.48% (132/162) for SDPs.

Discussion

The transfusion of platelets with major ABO incompatibility in the present study was 16% for RDPs and 24% for SDPs, which is lower than the 32.4% and the 21% previously reported for SDPs (5,7). The transfusion of platelets with minor ABO incompatibility (incompatible plasma) was 20 and 23% for RDPs and SDPs, respectively, in the present study, which is consistent with the percentage estimated in the USA (10-40%), but higher than the 12.6% reported by Dunbar *et al* (5) and the 15% reported by Adamidou *et al* (7). No acute hemolytic transfusion reactions related to platelet transfusion was recorded by the Greek Hemovigilance Scheme in 2015 (8). However, the fact that hemolytic transfusions are under-reported, cannot be overlooked (9,10). A s

The rather high rate of ABO non-identical platelet transfusion recorded in the present study mas be attributed to the lack of central inventory management. The geographical particularities of Greece may hinder adequate platelet supply in some cases. In addition, a number of transfusion services issue platelets for transfusion mainly, according to the first in/first out strategy to conserve resources and reduce wastage (1,11).

In the present study, ABO non-identical platelet transfusion was more prominent in apheresis platelets and this may also be attributed to the fact that SDPs are mainly provided from non-remunerated replacement donors recruited from the family and social environment of each patient. It is evident that it is not always feasible to exchange or replace such donations according to ABO in the absence of central inventory management (1,5,6).

The ABO and RhD distribution of platelets units transfused depicted in Fig. 1, reflects the ABO and RhD distribution in the Greek population (12). Additionally, it reveals the lack of an established policy to encourage A group apheresis platelet

	RDPs				SDP apheresis						
Platelet	Patient ABO blood group										
donor											
(unit)											
ABO	о	A	В	AB	Total	0	A	В	AB	Total	
blood											
group											
0	3,343	1,351	270	159	5,123	280	152	52	26	510	
0	(65.25%)	(26.37%)	(5.27%)	(21.66%)	(42.47%)	(54.90%)	(29.8%)	(10.20%)	(5.10%)	(42.89%)	
Δ	1,074	3,147	209	435	4,865	160	223	52	32	467	
	(22.08%)	(69.69%)	(4.29%)	(25.24%)	(40.34%)	(34.26%)	(47.75%)	(11.13%)	(6.85%)	(39.28%)	
В	383	440	483	161	1,467	64	34	32	11	141	
	(26.12%)	(29.99%)	(32.92%)	(26.11%)	(12.17%)	(45.39%)	(24.11%)	(22.7%)	(7.80%)	(11.86%)	
AR	157	213	94	142	606	28	21	13	9	71	
	(25.91%)	(35.15%)	(15.51%)	(65.25%)	(5.02%)	(39.44%)	(29.58%)	(18.31%)	(12.68%)	(5.97%)	

Figure 1. Transfusion of platelets according to the ABO blood group may be ABO-identical (green boxes), major ABO-incompatible-antigen incompatible (orange boxes), minor ABO-incompatible-plasma incompatible (blue boxes), or both major and minor incompatible (yellow boxes). In major ABO-incompatibility, donor ABO antigens are incompatible with recipient ABO antibodies (e.g., transfusion of group A platelets to a group O recipient). In minor ABO-incompatibility, donor ABO antibodies are incompatible with recipient ABO antigens. (e.g., transfusion of group O platelets to a group A recipient). In combined major and minor ABO-incompatibility, both donor ABO antigens are incompatible with recipient ABO antibodies and donor ABO antibodies are incompatible with recipient ABO antigens (e.g., transfusion of group B recipient). RDPs, random donor platelets; SDPs, single donor platelets.



Figure 2. Platelet transfusions, proposed mechanisms of ABO incompatibility, adverse events and suggested underlying mechanisms. PLT, platelet; RBC, red blood cell.

donors, ideally A2, that have a weaker A antigen expression on platelets and lower anti-B titers, instead of O group donors, as in other developed countries (5,11,13).

As regards RhD in the present study, 86.48 and 73.91% of RhD-negative patients received at least one unit of RhD-positive RDP or SDP, respectively, which is similar to the 83% reported by Dunbar et al (5), but slightly higher than the 60.6% recently reported by Gottschall et al (14). High immunogenic RhD antigen, although it is not expressed on platelets, RhD group status is labeled on platelet bags, as residual RBCs and microparticles can cause alloimmunization in RhD-negative patients after being exposed to as little as 0.5 ml of RhD-positive RBCs contaminating platelets (15,16). Whole blood derived RDPs (a dose) and pooled platelets have up to 0.3 ml of contaminating RBCs, while SDPs apheresis platelets have <0.001 ml (17). Thus, the risk of alloimmunization appears to be higher for whole blood derived RDPs than for SDPs produced by apheresis (18). In cancer patients however, older studies have indicated a rate of anti-D alloimmunization greater than 7% (19-21), although current studies suggest a much lower alloimmunization rate of $\sim 1\%$ (10,15,22). Nevertheless, anti-D alloimmunization is still particularly important for RhD-negative girls or women of child-bearing potential, due to the risk of hemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn. Immunoprophylaxis with RhIG should be given in the case of an inevitable RhD-positive platelet transfusion in this population. A standard 300 μ g dose provides prophylaxis for multiple transfusions of RhD-positive platelets over a 2-4-week period in RhD-negative individuals (23,24).

The present study represents a national survey regarding ABO and RhD matching in platelet transfusion that assessed 13,250 platelet units transfused. A limitation concerns the lack of pre-transfusion and post-transfusion platelet count to assess the impact of ABO major incompatibility, and the lack of the rate of anti-D alloimmunization due to platelet transfusion of RhD-positive platelet products to RhD-negative patients. A summary of the proposed mechanisms of ABO incompatibility, adverse events and suggested underlying mechanisms is illustrated in Fig. 2.

In conclusion according to the real-world data presented herein, ABO and RhD matching in platelet transfusion practice varies in Greece, as also demonstrated by other researchers (14,25), highlighting the necessity for further studies to clarify the real impact of platelet ABO compatibility in the management and outcomes of patients. Thus, the implementation of specific strategies, such as screening group O platelet donors for high titer ABO antibodies, and new initiatives may further improve the platelet transfusion practice.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge Dr Evagelia Triantafillou, Dr Evagelia Arvanitopoulou (both from the Blood Transfusion Center, University Hospital of Patras, Patras, Greece), Dr Fotios Girtovitis, Dr Virginia Voulgaridou (both from the Blood Transfusion Department, University Hospital of Thessaloniki Ahepa, Thessaloniki, Greece), Dr Aggeliki Megalou, Dr Paraskevi Chronopoulou (both from the Blood Transfusion Department, Evangelismos Hospital, Athens, Greece), Mr Andreas Papachronis, Mr George Sakellarakis (both from the Blood Transfusion Department, Laiko General Hospital, Athens, Greece), Dr Eleftheria Zervou10, Mrs Christina Batsi (both from the Blood Transfusion Department, University Hospital of Ioannina, Ioannina, Greece), Dr Kalliopi Fountouli (Blood Transfusion Department, University Hospital of Heraklion, Greece), Dr Aggelos Athanasopoulos (Blood Transfusion Department, Metaxa Oncology Hospital, Athens, Greece), Dr Elias Kyriakou (Laboratory of Hematology and Blood Bank Unit, 'Attikon' University Hospital, National and Kapodistrian Athens, Athens, Greece), Dr Afrodite Cheropoulou (Blood Transfusion Department, General Hospital Sismanoglio, Athens, Greece), Dr Anastasia Livada (Department of Transfusion Service and Clinical Hemostasis, 'Saint Savvas' Oncology Hospital of Athens, Athens, Greece), Dr Konstantinos Lebessopoulos (Blood Transfusion Department, 'Amalia Fleming' Hospital, Athens, Greece), Dr Maria Papakonstantinou (Blood Transfusion Centre, General Hospital of Nikea, Athens, Greece), Dr Anthi Gafou (Blood Transfusion Department, 'Agioi Anargyroi' Hospital, Athens, Greece), Dr Despina Katopi (Blood Transfusion Department, General Hospital Alexandra, Athens, Greece), Dr George Martinis (Blood Transfusion Department, University Hospital of Alexandroupolis, Alexandroupolis, Greece), Dr Ioanna Dendrinou (Blood Transfusion Department, General Hospital Nea Ionia 'Agia Olga', Athens, Greece), Dr Hrysanthi Katharopoulou (Blood Transfusion Department, 'Hatzikosta' General Hospital of Ioannina, Ioannina, Greece), Dr Marianna Politou (Hematology Laboratory-Blood Bank, Aretaieion Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece), Dr Margarita Papadopoulou (Blood Transfusion Department, General Hospital of Katerini, Katerini, Greece), Dr Paraskevi Papadopoulou (Blood Transfusion Department, General Hospital of Kavala, Kavala, Greece), Dr Ekaterini Manaka (Blood Transfusion Department, General Hospital of Messologgi, Messologgi, Greece), Dr Konstantina Paneta (Blood Transfusion Department, General Hospital of Pirgos, Pirgos, Greece), Dr Chrissoula Alepi (Blood Transfusion Department, General Hospital 'Tzaneio' of Piraeus, Athens, Greece), Dr Dimitra Moshandreou (Department of Transfusion Service and Clinical Hemostasis, 'Saint Savvas' Oncology Hospital of Athens, Athens, Greece) and Dr Konstantinos Stamoulis (Hellenic National Blood Transfusion Center, Athens, Greece) for contributing to the acquisition of and for recording the data. The present study was performed on behalf of the Working Committee of Transfusion Medicine and Apheresis of the Hellenic Society of Hematology.

Funding

No funding was received.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

SV conceived, designed and supervised the study, and wrote the manuscript. AA performed the data entry and evaluation, and wrote the manuscript. GD analyzed the data, was involved in the conception of the study and wrote a draft of the manuscript, MG and EG contributed to study design and analyzed the data in the study. AP performed the whole statistical analysis. SV and EG confirm the authenticity of all the raw data. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The present study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Aretaieion Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens (Athens, Greece). All procedures were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the1964 Declaration of Helsinki, and later versions. Informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Patient consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- Dunbar NM: Does ABO and RhD matching matter for platelet transfusion? Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program 2020: 512-517, 2020.
- Kaufman RM, Djulbegovic B, Gernsheimer T, Kleinman S, Tinmouth AT, Capocelli KE, Cipolle MD, Cohn CS, Fung MK, Grossman BJ, et al: Platelet transfusion: A clinical practice guideline from the AABB. Ann Intern Med 162: 205-213, 2015.
- guideline from the AABB. Ann Intern Med 162: 205-213, 2015.
 Kumar A, Mhaskar R, Grossman BJ, Kaufman RM, Tobian AA, Kleinman S, Gernsheimer T, Tinmouth AT and Djulbegovic B; AABB Platelet Transfusion Guidelines Panel: Platelet transfusion: A systematic review of the clinical evidence. Transfusion 55: 1116-1127, 1115, 2015.
- Lee EJ and Schiffer CA: ABO compatibility can influence the results of platelet transfusion. Results of a randomized trial. Transfusion 29: 384-389, 1989.
- Dunbar NM, Katus MC, Freeman CM and Szczepiorkowski ZM: Easier said than done: ABO compatibility and D matching in apheresis platelet transfusions. Transfusion 55: 1882-1888, 2015.
- Valsami S, Pouliakis A, Gavalaki M, Argyrou A, Triantafillou E, Arvanitopoulou E, Girtovitis F, Voulgaridou V, Megalou A, Chronopoulou P, *et al*: Platelets transfusion in Greece: Where, when, why? A national survey. Asian J Transfus Sci 14: 158-166, 2020.
- Adamidou D, Markantonatou A, Avramidou E, Oikonomou M, Filippou A, Chroni A, Papadopoulou C, Abatzoglou E, Sidi-Frankandrea V, Kourti M, et al: Efficacy of prophylactic single donor platelets (SDP) transfusion is related to the time of storage and not to the ABO compatibility in children with malignancy. In: Proceedings of the 20th Congress of the European Hematology Association. EHA, p407, Vienna, 2015.

- Politis C, Richardson C, Asariotou M, Grouzi EZ, E, Nomikou E, Katsarou O, Ganidou M, Martinis G, Hatzitaki M and Halkia P: Transfusion adverse events (TAEs) and errors/incorrect blood component transfused (IBCT) in Greece 2012-2017. Vox Sang 114 (Suppl): S228-S229, 2019.
- 9. Quillen K: Hemolysis from platelet transfusion: Call to action for an underreported reaction. Transfusion 52: 2072-2074, 2012.
- Schiffer CA, Bohlke K, Delaney M, Hume H, Magdalinski AJ, McCullough JJ, Omel JL, Rainey JM, Rebulla P, Rowley SD, *et al*: Platelet transfusion for patients with cancer: American society of clinical oncology clinical practice guideline update. J Clin Oncol 36: 283-299, 2018.
- Valsami S, Dimitroulis D, Gialeraki A, Chimonidou M and Politou M: Current trends in platelet transfusions practice: The role of ABO-RhD and human leukocyte antigen incompatibility. Asian J Transfus Sci 9: 117-123, 2015.
- Lialiaris T, Digkas E, Kareli D, Pouliliou S, Asimakopoulos B, Pagonopoulou O and Simopoulou M: Distribution of ABO and Rh blood groups in Greece: An update. Int J Immunogenet 38: 1-5, 2011.
- Asllani A, Culler E and Ettkin L: A simulation-based apheresis platelet inventory management model. Transfusion 54: 2730-2735, 2014.
- 14. Gottschall J, Wu Y, Triulzi D, Kleinman S, Strauss R, Zimrin AB, McClure C, Tan S, Bialkowski W, Murphy E, *et al*: The epidemiology of platelet transfusions: An analysis of platelet use at 12 US hospitals. Transfusion 60: 46-53, 2020.
- Curtis G, Scott M, Orengo L, Hendrickson JE and Tormey CA: Very low rate of anti-D development in male, primarily immunocompetent patients transfused with D-mismatched platelets. Transfusion 58: 1568-1569, 2018.
- Gunson HH, Stratton F, Cooper DG and Rawlinson VI: Primary immunization of Rh-negative volunteers. Br Med J 1: 593-595, 1970.
- Cid J and Lozano M: Risk of Rh(D) alloimmunization after transfusion of platelets from D+ donors to D-recipients. Transfusion 45: 453-454, 2005.
- Reckhaus J, Jutzi M, Fontana S, Bacher VU, Vogt M, Daslakis M and Mansouri Taleghani B: Platelet transfusion induces alloimmunization to D and non-D rhesus antigens. Transfus Med Hemother 45: 167-172, 2018.
- Baldwin ML, Ness PM, Scott D, Braine H and Kickler TS: Alloimmunization to D antigen and HLA in D-negative immunosuppressed oncology patients. Transfusion 28: 330-333, 1988.
- Goldfinger D and McGinniss MH: Rh-incompatible platelet transfusions-risks and consequences of sensitizing immunosuppressed patients. N Engl J Med 284: 942-944, 1971.
- McLeod BC, Piehl MR and Sassetti RJ: Alloimmunization to RhD by platelet transfusions in autologous bone marrow transplant recipients. Vox Sang 59: 185-189, 1990.
- 22. Cid J, Lozano M, Ziman A, West KA, O'Brien KL, Murphy MF, Wendel S, Vázquez A, Ortín X, Hervig TA, *et al*: Low frequency of anti-D alloimmunization following D+ platelet transfusion: the Anti-D alloimmunization after D-incompatible platelet transfusions (ADAPT) study. Br J Haematol 168: 598-603, 2015.
- 23. Ayache S and Herman JH: Prevention of D sensitization after mismatched transfusion of blood components: Toward optimal use of RhIG. Transfusion 48: 1990-1999, 2008.
- 24. Poston JN, Sugalski J, Gernsheimer TB, Marc Stewart F and Pagano MB: Mitigation strategies for anti-D alloimmunization by platelet transfusion in haematopoietic stem cell transplant patients: A survey of NCCN[®] centres. Vox Sang 115: 334-338, 2020.
- 25. Solves Alcaina P: Platelet transfusion: And update on challenges and outcomes. J Blood Med 11: 19-26, 2020.



Copyright © 2023 Valsami et al. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License.