Table SV. Classification and evidence of 47 variants.

Well-established in vitro or in vivo				PVS1	PS3	PM1	PM	M2	PM3	PM4	PM5	PP1	PP3	PP5	BS3	BP4
PAR Service	Gene	AA change	Classification		vitro or in vivo functional studies supportive of a damaging effect on the	functional		genomes	variant detected in	length changing	change at an amino acid residue where a different missense change determined to be pathogenic has been seen	with disease in multiple affected family		source recently reports variant	vitro or in vivo functional studies show no damaging effect on protein function or	Multiple lines of computational evidence suggest no impact on gene or gene product
Part	TSHR	p.R450H	P				0.002597	0.0049					D	Yes		
1828 16-74 P	TSHR	p.K618*	P	Yes			0	0	Yes (this study)				D	Yes		
PRI 110Q PRI 110Q P	DUOX2	IVS28+1G>T	P	Yes			0.001537	0								
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	DUOX2	p.R1110Q	P				0.002597	0.0025	Yes (this study)				D	Yes		
DUOX2 p.R625* P Yes 0 0 Ves (this study) D DUOX2 p.G624fs P Yes 0.0004638 0 Yes (this study) D DUOX2 p.K530* P Yes Heme peroxidase-like 0.009274 peroxidase-like Yes (this study) Yes (this study) Yes (maruo et al.,2008) Yes DUOX2 p.R434* P Yes Yes Heme peroxidase-like peroxidase-like 0.000116 peroxidase-like 0 Yes Yes Yes (Vigone et al., 2005) Yes (Vigone et al., 2005) Yes	DUOX2	p.E879 K	P		Yes(Jin et al., 2014)	EF hand	0.000954	0	and Fu et al.,				D	Yes		
$ \frac{DUOX2}{DUOX2} = \frac{1}{9}.K530^{8} = \frac{1}{9} = \frac{1}{9}. \frac{1}{$	DUOX2	p.T803fs	P	Yes			0	0					D	Yes		
$ \frac{1}{DUOX2} \text{p.K530*} \text{P} \text{Yes} \frac{1}{\text{Heme}} \frac{1}{\text{peroxidase-like}} \frac{1}{\text{peroxidase-like}} \frac{1}{\text{Yes} \text{(this study)}} \frac{1}{\text{Al.,2008}} \frac{1}{\text{Yes}} \frac{1}{\text{Yes}} \frac{1}{\text{Yes}} \frac{1}{\text{Yes}} \frac{1}{\text{Peroxidase-like}} \frac{1}{Per$	DUOX2	p.R625*	P	Yes			0	0	Yes (this study)				D			
DUOX2 p.K530* P Yes 0.009274 0.00924 Yes (this study) Yes	DUOX2	p.G624fs	P	Yes			0.0004638	0	Yes (this study)				D			
DUOX2 p.R434* P Yes 0.000116 0 D peroxidase-like Theme Yes (Vigone et DUOX2 p.R434_S440del P Yes 0 0 YES D peroxidase-like al., 2005)	DUOX2	p.K530*	P	Yes			0.009274	0.009244	Yes (this study)					Yes		
DUOX2 p.R434_S440del P Yes 0 0 YES D peroxidase-like al., 2005)	DUOX2	p.R434*	P	Yes			0.000116	0					D			
<i>TPO</i> p.E757* P Yes 0.00159 0 Yes(Niu et D Yes	DUOX2	p.R434_S440del	P	Yes			0	0		YES			D			
	TPO	p.E757*	P	Yes			0.00159	0				Yes(Niu et	D	Yes		

al.,2002)

TG	p.E955fs	P	Yes	type-1 8	0.00005798	0	Yes (this study)				D		
TG	p.L2282fs	P	Yes	ACHE-like domain	0	0	Yes (this study)				D		
DUOX2	p.G1521*	LP		NADPH binding	0.001044	0.000809	Yes (this study)						
DUOX2	p.Y1415C	LP		NADPH binding	0.00008334	0	Yes (this study)				D		
DUOX2	p.R432H	LP		Heme peroxidase-like	0.0004769	0.0007	Yes (this study)				D		
DUOX2	p.D137E	LP		Heme peroxidase-like	0	0	Yes (this study)				D		
TSHR	p.C176R	LP		Leucine-rich repeat domain	0	0	Yes (this study)				D		
TSHR	p.R528S	LP			0	0	Yes (this study)					Yes	
DUOX2	p.A1206T	LP	Yes (Liu et al., 2016)		0.0001739	0							
DUOX2	p.R885L	LP		EF hand	0.005777	0.0049	Yes (this study)	Ye	es	Yes(Zheng et al.,2017)		Yes	
DUOX2	p.R376W	LP		Heme peroxidase-like	0	0	Yes (Vigone et al., 2005)			Yes (Vigone et al., 2005)		Yes	
			Yes										
TPO	p.R361L	LP	(Yoshizawa-Ogasawara,		0.009273	0.0194							
			et al., 2016)										
DUOX2	p.V779M	VUS			0.004094	0.0056							
DUOX2	p.F591S	VUS			0.00007081	0					D		
DUOX2	p.V407F	VUS		Heme peroxidase-like	0	0							
DUOX2	p.E389K	VUS		Heme peroxidase-like	0	0							В
DUOXA2	p.R94C	VUS			0	0	Yes (this study)						
SLC5A5	p.Q639*	VUS			0	0							В
TPO	p.S309P	VUS			0	0							
TPO	p.S571R	VUS			0	0							

TPO	p.R846W	VUS		0.00159	0.0049				
PROP1	p.G51V	VUS		0.002151	0				
SLC26A4	p.Y78H	VUS		0	0	Yes (Guo et al., 2010)	D		
			SLC26A/SulP						
SLC26A4	p.A434T	VUS	transporter	0	0				
			domain						
SLC26A4	p.Y578H	VUS	STAS domain	0	0		D		
TRHR	p.I168M	VUS		0.00212	0.0049				
TG	p.N212S	VUS	type-1 3	0.002122	0				
TG	p.R896Q	VUS	type-1 7	0.0007431	0.0049				
TG	p.V1738I	VUS	Type IIIB	0.001325	0.0097				В
TG	p.S1912N	VUS	Type IIIA	0	0				В
TG	p.I1931V	VUS	Type IIIA	0.002391	0.0146				В
TG	p.I2394M	VUS	ACHE-like	0.00005798	0				
10	p.12394W	vus	domain	0.00003798	U				
TG	p.R2585W	VUS	ACHE-like domain	0.005379	0.0049				
						Yes (Ma et al.,		В	
TPO p.P8	p.P883S	LB		0.005409	0.0146	2015)		(Yoshizawa-Ogasawara,	В
						•		et al., 2016)	

P, pathogenic; LP, likely pathogenic; VUS, variants of uncertain significance; LB, likely benign; D, damaged; B, benign; CHB, Han Chinese in Beijing, China; PVS1, null variant (nonsense, frameshift, canonical ±1 or 2 splice sites, initiation codon, single or multi-exons deletion) in a gene where LOF is a known mechanism of disease; PS3, well-established in vitro or in vivo functional studies supportive of a damaging effect on the gene or gene product; PM1, located in a mutational hot spot and/or critical and well-established functional domain; PM2, Absent from controls (or at extremely low frequency if recessive) in public population databases; PM3, for recessive disorders, detected in trans with a pathogenic variant; PM4, protein length changes as a result of in-frame deletions/insertions in a non-repeat region, or stop-loss variants; PM5, Novel missense change at an amino acid residue where a different missense change determined to be pathogenic has been seen before; PP1, Cosegregation with disease in multiple affected family members in a gene definitively known to cause the disease; PP3, multiple lines of computational evidence support a deleterious effect on the gene or gene product (detailed prediction results shown in Tables S6); PP5, Reputable source recently reports variant as pathogenic, but the evidence is not available to the laboratory to perform an independent evaluation; BS3, Well-established *in vitro* or *in vivo* functional studies show no damaging effect on protein function or splicing; BP4, Multiple lines of computational evidence suggest no impact on gene or gene product (including conservation, evolutionary and splicing impact).