Figure S1. Representative images of H -score assessment. Cytoplasmic and nuclear staining of TFPI-2 in OCCC tissues were evaluated, respectively. H-sore was calculated as sum of 1 x (percentage of $1+$ cells), $2 \times$ (percentage of $2+$ cells) and 3 x (percentage of $3+$ cells). After enclosing tumor area (black line), Aperio's annotation software (Aperio Cytoplasm Algorithm) identified the cytoplasm and nucleus and classified the signal intensity into 4 levels from 0 (yellow) to $3+$ (brown) for cytoplasmic intensity and 0 (cyan) to $3+$ (dark blue) for nuclear intensity as shown at the bottom of the panels. Upper panels are IHC image and lower panels are images processed by the software. Left: A representative image of TFPI-2-negative staining (H-score: Cytoplasm 0, nucleus 0). Right: A representative image of TFPI-2-positive staining (H-score: Cytoplasm 57, nucleus 116). TFPI-2, tissue factor pathway inhibitor-2; OCCC, ovarian clear cell carcinoma.


Figure S2. A Venn diagram of TFPI-2 staining pattern in the OCCC cases. Of all 77 OCC cases, $10(12.9 \%)$ cases were nuclear positive (blue circle), 35 ( $45.5 \%$ ) cases were cytoplasmic positive (yellow circle) and 35 ( $45.5 \%$ ) cases were ECM positive (pink circle). Fifty-two cases (67.5\%) were positive in any one of the 3 locations. Twenty-five cases were negative in any locations. TFPI-2, tissue factor pathway inhibitor-2; OCCC, ovarian clear cell carcinoma; ECM, extracellular matrix.
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Figure S3. Relationship between cytoplasmic TFPI-2 level and 5-year overall survival evaluated by Kaplan-Meier analysis. TFPI-2 expression was evaluated in each fraction. (A) Cytoplasm. (B) Nucleus. (C) ECM. (D) Any fraction. TFPI-2, tissue factor pathway inhibitor-2; ECM, extracellular matrix.


Table SI. Multivariate analysis of 5-year overall survival for cases grouped according to the subcellular localization of TFPI-2 expression in samples analyzed by IHC.

| Localization | Variable | HR | 95\% CI | P-value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Cytoplasm |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age (year) | 1.013 | 0.974 to 1.053 | 0.526 |
|  | Staging (FIGO I/II vs. III/IV) | 6.268 | 2.688 to 14.613 | $<0.001$ |
| Nucleus | TFPI-2 expression (negative vs. positive) | 1.149 | 0.488 to 2.705 | 0.751 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age (year) | 1.011 | 0.972 to 1.051 | 0.593 |
|  | Staging (FIGO I/II vs III/IV) | 5.488 | 2.358 to 12.771 | $<0.001$ |
|  | TFPI-2 expression (negative vs positive) | 0.456 | 0.058 to 3.582 | 0.456 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1.016 | 0.975 to 1.059 | 0.440 |
|  | Age (year) | 6.251 | 2.728 to 14.325 | $<0.001$ |
|  | Staging (FIGO I/II vs. III/IV) | 1.382 | 0.582 to 3.282 | 0.463 |
|  | TFPI-2 expression (negative vs. positive) |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1.020 | 0.979 to 1.063 | 0.440 |
|  | Age (year) | 6.904 | 2.947 to 16.176 | $<0.001$ |
|  | Staging (FIGO I/II vs. III/IV) | 0.193 | 0.715 to 5.273 | 0.193 |

Cox regression analysis was used for multivariate analysis of 5-year overall survival for cases grouped into cytoplasm, nucleus, ECM or any location of TFPI-2 IHC positivity. Variables were age, FIGO staging and TFPI-2 expression. TFPI-2, tissue factor pathway inhibitor-2; IHC, immunohistochemistry; FIGO, Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; ECM, extracellular matrix; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.

Table SII. Relationship between clinicopathological characteristics and TFPI-2 nuclear expression.

| Characteristics | Negative ( $\mathrm{n}=67$ ) | Positive ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) | P-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age in years, medium (range) | 59 (36-75) | 52.5 (42-72) | $\mathrm{P}=0.091$ |
| Parity (\%) |  |  |  |
| No (0) | 31 (46.3) | 5 (50.0) |  |
| Yes ( $\geq 1$ ) | 36 (53.7) | 5 (50.0) | $\mathrm{P}=0.99$ |
| Menopausal status |  |  |  |
| Premenopause | 15 (22.4) | 3 (30.0) |  |
| Postmenopause | 52 (77.6) | 7 (70.0) | $\mathrm{P}=0.691$ |
| CA125 (U/ml) |  |  |  |
| <35 | 23 (34.3) | 1 (10.0) |  |
| $\geq 35$ | 44 (65.7) | 9 (90.0) | $\mathrm{P}=0.159$ |
| FIGO |  |  |  |
| I/II | 51 (76.1) | 10 (100) |  |
| III/IV | 16 (23.9) | 0 (0) | $\mathrm{P}=0.110$ |
| pT |  |  |  |
| pT1/2 | 52 (77.6) | 10 (100) |  |
| pT3 | 15 (22.4) | 0 (0) | $\mathrm{P}=0.195$ |
| pN |  |  |  |
| pN0 | 10 (14.9) | 2 (20.0) |  |
| pN1 | 1 (1.5) | 0 (0) |  |
| pNx | 56 (78.6) | 31 (88.6) | $\mathrm{P}=0.466$ |
| M |  |  |  |
| M0 | 64 (95.3) | 10 (100) |  |
| M1 | 3 (4.5) | 0 (0) | $\mathrm{P}=0.99$ |

Mann-Whitney U test was used for continuous variables. Fisher's exact test was used for non-continuous variables. TFPI-2, tissue factor pathway inhibitor-2; CA125, cancer antigen 125; FIGO, Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics.

Table SIII. Relationship between clinicopathological characteristics and TFPI-2 positivity in ECM.

| Characteristics | Negative ( $\mathrm{n}=42$ ) | Positive ( $\mathrm{n}=35$ ) | P -value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age in years, medium (range) | 58.5 (36-75) | 57 (39-72) | $\mathrm{P}=0.496$ |
| Parity (\%) |  |  |  |
| No (0) | 20 (47.6) | 16 (45.7) |  |
| Yes ( $\geq 1$ ) | 22 (52.4) | 19 (54.3) | $\mathrm{P}=0.99$ |
| Menopausal status |  |  |  |
| Premenopause | 10 (23.8) | 8 (22.9) |  |
| Postmenopause | 32 (76.2) | 27 (77.1) | $\mathrm{P}=0.99$ |
| CA125 (U/ml) |  |  |  |
| <35 | 14 (33.3) | 10 (28.6) |  |
| $\geq 35$ | 28 (66.7) | 25 (71.4) | $\mathrm{P}=0.805$ |
| FIGO |  |  |  |
| I/II | 33 (78.6) | 28 (80.0) |  |
| III/IV | 9 (21.4) | 7 (20.0) | $\mathrm{P}=0.99$ |
| pT |  |  |  |
| pT1/2 | 34 (81.0) | 28 (80.0) |  |
| pT3 | 8 (19.0) | 7 (20.0) | $\mathrm{P}=0.99$ |
| pN |  |  |  |
| pN0 | 5 (11.9) | 7 (20.0) |  |
| pN1 | 1 (2.4) | 0 (0) |  |
| pNx | 36 (85.7) | 28 (80.0) | $\mathrm{P}=0.53$ |
| M |  |  |  |
| M0 | 41 (97.6) | 33 (94.3) |  |
| M1 | 1 (2.4) | 2 (5.7) | $\mathrm{P}=0.588$ |

Mann-Whitney U test was used for continuous variables. Fisher's exact test was used for non-continuous variables. TFPI-2, tissue factor pathway inhibitor-2; CA125, cancer antigen 125; FIGO, Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; ECM, extracellular matrix.

Table SIV. Relationship between the clinicopathological characteristics and TFPI-2 positivity in any subcellular fraction.

|  | Negative ( $\mathrm{n}=25$ ) | Positive ( $\mathrm{n}=52$ ) | P -value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age in years, medium (range) | 60 (36-74) | 57 (39-75) | $\mathrm{P}=0.105$ |
| Parity (\%) |  |  |  |
| No (0) | 13 (52.0) | 23 (44.2) |  |
| Yes ( $\geq 1$ ) | 12 (48.0) | 29 (55.8) | $\mathrm{P}=0.627$ |
| Menopausal status |  |  |  |
| Premenopause | 4 (16.0) | 14 (26.9) |  |
| Postmenopause | 21 (84.0) | 38 (73.1) | $\mathrm{P}=0.393$ |
| CA125 (U/ml) |  |  |  |
| <35 | 9 (36.0) | 15 (28.8) |  |
| $\geq 35$ | 16 (64.0) | 37 (71.2) | $\mathrm{P}=0.603$ |
| FIGO |  |  |  |
| I/II | 19 (76.0) | 42 (80.8) |  |
| III/IV | 6 (24.0) | 10 (19.2) | $\mathrm{P}=0.765$ |
| pT |  |  |  |
| pT1/2 | 20 (80.0) | 42 (80.8) |  |
| pT3 | 5 (20.0) | 10 (19.2) | $\mathrm{P}=0.99$ |
| pN |  |  |  |
| pN0 | 4 (16.0) | 8 (15.4) |  |
| pN1 | 1 (4.0) | 0 (0) |  |
| pNx | 20 (80.0) | 44 (84.6) | $\mathrm{P}=0.538$ |
| M |  |  |  |
| M0 | 24 (96.0) | 50 (96.0) |  |
| M1 | 1 (4.0) | 2 (3.8) | $\mathrm{P}=0.99$ |

Mann-Whitney U test was used for continuous variables. Fisher's exact test was used for non-continuous variables. TFPI-2, tissue factor pathway inhibitor-2; CA125, cancer antigen 125; FIGO, Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics.

