

	<p>#7(TACE):ti,ab,kw OR (transcatheter arterial chemoembolization):ti,ab,kw OR (transcatheter):ti,ab,kw OR (chemoembolization):ti,ab,kw</p> <p>#8(TKI):ti,ab,kw OR (Tyrosine kinase inhibitors):ti,ab,kw OR (Tepotinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Capmatinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Avapritinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Gefitinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Anlatinib):ti,ab,kw OR (imatinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Sorafenib):ti,ab,kw OR (Erlotinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Apatinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Pralsetinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Icotinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Sunitinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Axitinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Fruquintinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Cobimetinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Selpercatinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Pematinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Pazopanib):ti,ab,kw OR (Tucatinib):ti,ab,kw OR (antinibe):ti,ab,kw OR (Brtanitinto):ti,ab,kw OR (Aimonertinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Binimetinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Alectemie):ti,ab,kw OR (Cabozantinib):ti,ab,kw OR (rotrectinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Aftinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Dacomitinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Entrectinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Anlotinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Neratinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Lenvatinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Erdstfinib):ti,ab,kw OR (patinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Selumetinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Glivec):ti,ab,kw OR (Rpredinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Taametinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Vandetanib):ti,ab,kw OR (Afatinib):ti,ab,kw OR (Regorafenib):ti,ab,kw OR (Pyrotinibo):ti,ab,kw OR (Osimertinib):ti,ab,kw</p> <p>#9 (Camrelizumab):ti,ab,kw</p> <p>#10 #6 AND #7 AND #8 AND #9</p>
Embase	<p>#1 'liver cancer'/exp OR 'liver cancer' OR 'liver cell carcinoma'/exp OR 'liver cell carcinoma'</p> <p>#2'carcinoma*':ab,kw,ti OR 'cancer*':ab,kw,ti OR 'tumor*':ab,kw,ti OR 'tumour*':ab,kw,ti OR 'malign*':ab,kw,ti OR 'neoplasm*':ab,kw,ti</p> <p>#3 'liver*':ab,kw,ti OR 'hepatic*':ab,kw,ti OR 'hepato*':ab,kw,ti</p> <p>#4 #1 OR (#2 AND #3)</p> <p>#5 'camrelizumab':ab,kw,ti</p> <p>#6 'TACE':ab,kw,ti OR 'transcatheter arterial chemoembolization':ab,kw,ti OR 'transcatheter':ab,kw,ti OR 'chemoembolization':ab,kw,ti</p> <p>#7'TKI':ab,kw,ti OR 'Tyrosine kinase inhibitors':ab,kw,ti OR 'Tepotinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Capmatinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Avapritinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Gefitinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Anlatinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'imatinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Sorafenib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Erlotinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Apatinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Pralsetinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Icotinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Sunitinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Axitinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Fruquintinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Cobimetinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Selpercatinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Pematinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Pazopanib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Tucatinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'antinibe':ab,kw,ti OR 'Brtanitinto':ab,kw,ti OR 'Aimonertinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Binimetinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Alectemie':ab,kw,ti OR 'Cabozantinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'rotrectinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Aftinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Dacomitinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Entrectinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Anlotinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Neratinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Lenvatinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Erdstfinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'patinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Selumetinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Glivec':ab,kw,ti OR 'Rpredinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Taametinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Vandetanib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Afatinib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Regorafenib':ab,kw,ti OR 'Pyrotinibo':ab,kw,ti OR 'Osimertinib':ab,kw,ti</p> <p>#8 #4 AND #5 AND #6 AND #7</p>

Table SII. Quality assessment using Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation.

Certainty assessment							N ^o of patients		Effect		Certainty	Importance
N ^o of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	T-T-C	T-T	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)		

overall survival

7	non-randomised studies	serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	all plausible residual confounding would suggest spurious effect, while no effect was observed	-/0	-/0	OR 0.42 (0.36 to 0.48)	0 fewer per 1,000 (from 0 fewer to 0 fewer)	⊕⊕ ○○ Low	CRITICAL
---	------------------------	---------	-------------	-------------	-------------	--	-----	-----	----------------------------------	---	-----------------	----------

progression free survival

7	non-randomised studies	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	all plausible residual confounding would suggest spurious effect, while no effect was observed	-/0	-/0	OR 0.37 (0.33 to 0.42)	0 fewer per 1,000 (from 0 fewer to 0 fewer)	⊕⊕ ⊕○ Moderate	CRITICAL
---	------------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	--	-----	-----	----------------------------------	---	----------------------	----------

objective response rate

Certainty assessment							N _o of patients		Effect		Certa inty	Importa nce
N _o of stud ies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsis tency	Indirec tness	Imprec ision	Other consider ations	T-T- C	T-T	Relat ive (95 % CI)	Absol ute (95% CI)		
7	non- rando mised studies	seri ous	not serious	not serious	not serious	all plausible residual confound ing would suggest spurious effect, while no effect was observed	354/ 960 (36.9 %)	431/ 838 (51.4 %)	OR 0.64 (0.52 to 0.78)	110 fewer per 1,000 (from 159 fewer to 62 fewer)	⊕⊕ ○○ Low	CRITIC AL

disease control rate

7	non- rando mised studies	seri ous	not serious	not serious	not serious	all plausible residual confound ing would suggest spurious effect, while no effect was observed	742/ 960 (77.3 %)	720/ 838 (85.9 %)	OR 0.63 (0.49 to 0.82)	66 fewer per 1,000 (from 110 fewer to 26 fewer)	⊕⊕ ○○ Low	CRITIC AL
---	-----------------------------------	-------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	---	----------------------------	----------------------------	--	---	-----------------	--------------

Grade≥3 Hypertension

Certainty assessment							N _o of patients		Effect		Certa inty	Importa nce
N _o of stud ies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsis tency	Indirec tness	Imprec ision	Other consider ations	T-T- C	T-T	Relat ive (95 % CI)	Absol ute (95% CI)		
6	non- rando mised studies	seri ous	not serious	not serious	not serious	all plausible residual confound ing would suggest spurious effect, while no effect was observed	92/8 75 (10.5 %)	64/6 88 (9.3 %)	OR 1.21 (0.86 to 1.72)	17 more per 1,000 (from 12 fewer to 57 more)	⊕⊕ ○○ Low	IMPORT ANT

Grade ≥3 Fatigue

4	non- rando mised studies	seri ous	not serious	not serious	not serious	all plausible residual confound ing would suggest spurious effect, while no effect was observed	14/2 08 (6.7 %)	7/13 5 (5.2 %)	OR 1.19 (0.48 to 2.93)	9 more per 1,000 (from 26 fewer to 86 more)	⊕⊕ ○○ Low	IMPORT ANT
---	-----------------------------------	-------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	---	--------------------------	-------------------------	--	---	-----------------	---------------

Grade ≥3 Pain

Certainty assessment							N _o of patients		Effect		Certa inty	Importa nce
N _o of stud ies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsis tency	Indirec tness	Imprec ision	Other consider ations	T-T- C	T-T	Relat ive (95 % CI)	Absol ute (95% CI)		
5	non- rando mised studies	seri ous	not serious	not serious	not serious	all plausible residual confound ing would suggest spurious effect, while no effect was observed	31/8 36 (3.7 %)	30/6 21 (4.8 %)	OR 0.69 (0.41 to 1.18)	14 fewer per 1,000 (from 28 fewer to 8 more)	⊕⊕ ○○ Low	IMPORT ANT

Grade ≥3 Diarrhea

4	non- rando mised studies	seri ous	not serious	not serious	not serious	all plausible residual confound ing would suggest spurious effect, while no effect was observed	12/6 33 (1.9 %)	4/58 7 (0.7 %)	OR 2.07 (0.72 to 5.95)	7 more per 1,000 (from 2 fewer to 32 more)	⊕⊕ ○○ Low	IMPORT ANT
---	-----------------------------------	-------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	---	--------------------------	-------------------------	--	--	-----------------	---------------

Grade ≥3 Hand-foot skin reaction

Certainty assessment							N _o of patients		Effect		Certainty	Importance
N _o of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	T-T-C	T-T	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)		
5	non-randomised studies	serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	all plausible residual confounding would suggest spurious effect, while no effect was observed	61/721 (8.5%)	48/735 (6.5%)	OR 1.26 (0.85 to 1.87)	16 more per 1,000 (from 9 fewer to 50 more)	⊕⊕ ○○ Low	IMPORTANT

Grade ≥3 Nausea and vomiting

4	non-randomised studies	serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	all plausible residual confounding would suggest spurious effect, while no effect was observed	19/784 (2.4%)	13/620 (2.1%)	OR 0.86 (0.40 to 1.82)	3 fewer per 1,000 (from 12 fewer to 17 more)	⊕⊕ ○○ Low	IMPORTANT
---	------------------------	---------	-------------	-------------	-------------	--	---------------	---------------	----------------------------------	--	-----------------	-----------

GRADEpro version GDT software (www.gradepr.org) was used to summarize the assessment results. CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; T-T-C, transcatheter arterial chemoembolization combined with tyrosine kinase inhibitors and camrelizumab; T-T, transcatheter arterial chemoembolization combined with tyrosine kinase inhibitors.