Abstract. The bone metastasis of breast cancer cells causes significant mortality among women, presumably through the enrichment of the bone microenvironment with growth factors and the stimulation of osteoclastic bone resorption, which leads to large increases in local extracellular calcium concentration, \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o\). These large increases in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o\) are ‘sensed’ by the cancer cells as well as by osteoblasts, osteoclasts and monocytes. An extracellular calcium-sensing receptor (CaR) enables \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o\) to contribute directly to this vicious cycle by ‘transactivating’ an epidermal-growth factor receptor (EGFR) followed by the initiation of EGFR signaling and the up-regulation of parathyroid hormone-related peptide (PTHrP) in the breast cancer cells. Prostaglandins such as prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), which result from cyclooxygenase 2 (COX2) activity in stromal and breast cancer cells, also play an important role in the metastasis of breast cancer. The direct inhibition of the PGE2 receptor EP2 can serve as a better alternative to COX2 inhibition as a means for cancer prevention and treatment. Since EGFR can be ‘transactivated’ by both CaR and EP2, the resulting convergence of signaling cross-talk at the level of EGFR and PTHrP offers pharmacological interventional opportunities. We hypothesize that EP2 and CaR are coordinately involved in breast cancer cell proliferation, cell migration and bone metastasis. Our hypothesis suggests a rationale for therapeutic approaches directed against breast cancer metastasis to bone via combinatorial drug interventions of EP2 and CaR functions.
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1. Introduction
Breast cancer is the second leading cause of cancer-related death in women (1). The metastasis of breast cancer to bone that occurs in more than 80% of patients with advanced disease causes bone fractures, hypercalcemia and nerve compression (2). The osteolytic breakdown of the bone matrix by bone-resorbing osteoclasts is an important determinant of homing and metastasis of breast cancer cells to bone (2). Interactions of breast cancer cells with bone-forming osteoblasts and bone-resorbing osteoclasts may possibly result in a favorable environment for the invading breast cancer cells. Dynamic calcium fluxes caused by hyperactivity of osteoclasts and simultaneous loss of osteoblasts within bone serve as a favorable niche for the invading breast cancer cells? What does the excessive amount of calcium released during osteolysis have to do with the breast cancer cell that results in further osteolysis? To address these questions at the molecular level, one needs to consider the collective roles played by calcium sensing receptor CaR and the prostaglandin E2 receptor, EP2, in breast cancer cells.

2. Rationale and hypothesis
The breast cancer cells first detach from the primary tumor, migrate through the systemic circulation and then finally reach the bone (5). The vicious cycle that operates between breast cancer cells and bone enhances the growth of tumor upon metastasis to bone (2). The osteolytic breakdown of bone...
matrix releases very high concentrations of ionized calcium and phosphate from the dissolution of bone matrix (5-7). The activation of CaR by extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) (8) can in turn 'transactivate' epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and thus initiate EGFR signaling (Fig. 1) to activate mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK) and up-regulate synthesis and secretion of parathyroid hormone-related protein (PTHrP) from the breast cancer cells (1,9,10). The PTHrP produced by the breast cancer cells further stimulates osteoclastic bone resorption.

Prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) resulting from cyclooxygenase 2 (COX2) activity has been implicated in stromal-cancer cell interactions that promote tumor growth, neovascularization and metastatic spread of many highly prevalent cancers including breast cancer (11-13). The treatment with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) that inhibit COX2 can reduce the risk and incidence of many types of cancer including breast cancer (13). However, in contrast to the serious potential cardiovascular complications of COX2 inhibitors, the direct inhibition of G-protein-linked prostaglandin E2 receptor (EP2) could serve as a much better alternative to COX2 inhibition as a means for breast cancer prevention and treatment (14,15). EP2 couples to G\(_{\alpha s}\) and stimulates cyclic AMP (cAMP) accumulation, protein kinase A (PKA) activation and the phosphorylation of cAMP response element binding protein (CREB), as shown in Fig. 1 (16-18). EP2 activates matrix metalloproteinases (such as MMP1 and MMP2) that in turn act to release the EGFR ligands amphiregulin (AR) and transforming growth factor \(\alpha\) (TGF-\(\alpha\)), which then bind to EGFR and initiate EGFR-signaling (Fig. 1) (17-19).

CaR can signal through both G\(_{\alpha q}\) and Gi and activates phospholipase C (PLC), PLA2 and PLD. In breast cancer cells MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 signaling through the CaR increases the secretion of PTHrP (9,20). The PKC/MEK-1/ERK1/2 pathway representing the CaR signaling mechanism has been proposed in the production of PTHrP from MCF-7 breast cancer cells (21). CaR activation by locally high levels of \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) at sites of osteolytic metastases could exert pro-proliferative and anti-apoptotic effects and/or alter the cellular phenotype, thereby rendering the cancer cells metastasizing to the skeleton resistant to chemotherapy and/or radiation.

The development of allosteric activators (‘calcimimetics’) and antagonists (‘calcilytics’) of the CaR has allowed the CaR-based therapy of the disorders of the extracellular calcium homeostasis (22).

Since EGFR can be transactivated both by CaR and EP2, this convergence of signaling cross-talk at the level of MMPs, EGFR and PTHrP can provide a novel opportunity to address the issues related to breast cancer proliferation and metastasis from a fresh perspective that has not been studied previously. Hence, we propose a hypothesis guiding the molecular basis for the metastatic ability of locally aggressive primary breast tumors to bone, and a rationale for combinatorial therapeutic
interventions against metastasis. We hypothesize that ‘EP2 and CaR are collectively involved in breast cancer cell proliferation, cell migration and bone metastasis’. As a corollary to this hypothesis, it is therefore suggested that combinatorial inhibition of EP2 and CaR would attenuate proliferation, migration and bone metastasis of breast cancer cells.

3. Test of hypothesis

To provide tests for this hypothesis, one may carry out the following studies to answer four critical questions related to the metastasis of breast cancer cells to bone and potential pharmacological interventions to prevent it:

i) Do CaR and EP2 in breast cancer cells collectively facilitate cell proliferation and inhibit apoptosis? To address this question, one can use genetic, pharmacological and immunological approaches such as a) silence the expression of EP2 and CaR to analyze the compound knockdown by breast cancer cells, b) pharmacological inhibition of EP2 using EP2 antagonists and CaR inhibition by ‘calcilytics’ (15,22-25), and c) inhibition of EP2 and CaR by anti-EP2 and anti-CaR antibodies. The expression of CaR and EP2 can be decreased significantly by using short hairpin RNA (shRNA) in MDA-MB-231 cells. It is also possible to generate the compound knockdown cells in which both shRNA for CaR and EP2 can be expressed in a given cell population thereby silencing both these genes and their encoded products CaR and EP2. The efficiency of knockdown can be determined using quantitative RT-PCR and Western blotting. In order to understand the relationship between biological functions and biochemical pathways, the loss-of-function phenotype by using RNA interference (RNAi) could be very useful. The RNAi technology has been exploited in organisms for understanding gene function via suppression of gene expression (26). The expression of a simple, 29-bp hairpin from a U6 small nuclear RNA (snRNA) promoter can induce effective suppression of target genes when delivered either transiently or stably from integrated constructs (27). The cell proliferation and apoptosis of breast cancer cells can also be pharmacologically targeted using previously characterized doses of the anti-CaR and anti-EP2 antibodies. One may use NPS 2143 which acts as an antagonist to CaR (17) as a pharmacological agent, and use EP2 antagonist AH6809 (25). When used as single agents, these drugs should inhibit cell proliferation, inhibit the trans-endothelial migration (for the migratory capacity of MDA-MB-231 cells through an endothelial monolayer, see below), and increase the rate of apoptosis of MDA-MB-231 cells, thereby mimicking the effect of single genetic knockdown (i.e., either CaR or EP2 knockdown). However, consistent with genetic studies on combined knockdown of CaR and EP2, treatment with the anti-CaR and anti-EP2 combinations as well as AH6809 and NPS 2143 combination should reduce the rate of cell proliferation, reduce the trans-endothelial migration and increase the rate of apoptosis much more significantly. The rate of cell replication can be measured by the incorporation of 5-bromodeoxyuridine as defined previously (28,29). In order to study changes in the rate of apoptosis, cleaved caspase-3 can be quantified by using fluorescence microscopy in combination with monoclonal antibody conjugated with fluorescein-isothiocyanate (FITC) that specifically recognizes the active form of caspase-3 in cells.

Reduction of CaR or EP2 expression individually is expected to have statistically significant, yet limited, effects on cell proliferation and apoptosis. Nevertheless, silencing of CaR and EP2 genes is expected to increase cell death and decrease the rate of cell proliferation. By using genetic, pharmacological and immunological approaches, it is possible to determine if CaR and EP2 in breast cancer collectively facilitate cell proliferation and inhibit apoptosis. The pharmacological inhibition of EP2 using EP2 antagonists and CaR inhibition by ‘calcilytics’ can be carried out in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells.

It has been previously reported that when any of four lung-metastatic signature (LMS) genes is inactivated by genetic manipulation in breast cancer cells that are metastasizing to the lung, there is only a moderate inhibition of primary-tumor growth and lung metastasis (30). However, when combinations of these genes are inactivated, additive or synergistic effects are apparent, with an almost complete abrogation of both primary-tumor growth and lung metastasis when all four genes are inactivated. Gupta et al (30) also used pharmacological agents to specifically inhibit the products of the four genes. Combinatorial treatment of mice transplanted with the lung metastatic variant of the MDA-MB-231 cell line recapitulated the results of the genetic knockdown studies.

ii) Do CaR and EP2 in breast cancer collectively facilitate cell migration? The in vitro assay of trans-endothelial migration of MDA-MB-231 cells can be carried out by seeding human-umbilical vascular endothelial cells (HUVECs) into collagen-coated trans-well inserts and allowing them to grow to confluence. Breast cancer cells can then be loaded with a fluorescent cell tracker green dye before being conditioned overnight in cell culture media without growth factors. The next day, breast cancer cells can be seeded into trans-well inserts with or without a confluent HUVEC endothelial monolayer, and the wells can be fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde after 10 h. Cells on the apical side of each insert can then be removed and the trans-well membrane mounted onto slides. The migration of MDA-MB-231 to the basolateral side of the membrane can be visualized with a fluorescence microscope. The images of 6-10 random fields across three replicate wells can be acquired for quantification, and migration of the cells is plotted as a percentage of migrating MDA-MB-231 control cells.

It is expected that trans-endothelial migration, the migratory capacity of MDA-MB-231 cells through an endothelial monolayer, will be inhibited by the a) combined knockdown of CaR and EP2, b) anti-CaR and anti-EP2 antibodies, and c) pharmacological agents NPS 2143 and AH6809. This result should provide evidence that the expression of CaR and EP2 by cancer cells can collectively promote trans-endothelial migration, a step involved in metastatic intravasation and extravasation processes.

iii) Do CaR and EP2 in breast cancer cells collectively promote bone colonization? One can utilize 2- and 3-D cultures of MC3T3-E1, a murine preosteoblast cell line as an in vitro model of bone to study the collective roles of CaR and EP2 in
breast cancer cell colonization of the bone (6,7,31). It is feasible to use both 2- and 3-D cultures (using a specially designed bioreactor) of MC3T3-E1, a murine preosteoblast cell line as an in vitro model of bone to study breast cancer colonization of bone. By adding MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells to these 2- and 3-D cultures, one can show by using Z-stack sectioning and analysis of expression of differentiation proteins type I collagen, osteocalcin and osteonectin in the culture media that the combinatorial inhibition of EP2 and CaR by a) combined knockdown of CaR and EP2, b) antibodies against CaR and EP2, and c) pharmacological agents NPS 2143 a ‘calcilytics’ and AH6809 a EP2 antagonist, in breast cancer cells indeed inhibits bone colonization (7).

iv) Signaling cross-talk between EP2 and CaR at the downstream targets, MMPs and EGFR. An emerging body of evidence indicates that GPCRs are able to transactivate receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) including EGFR (Fig. 1) (10,32,33). The initial transactivation process involves stimulation of MMPs, which results in the extracellular release of a latent membrane-spanning precursor of a member of the family of ligands known to activate these groups of receptors (19,33). These ligands, either heparin-bound (HB)-EGF or TGF-α, then secondarily activate the EGFR to phosphorylate specific tyrosine residues residing in intracellular domains of EGFR, thereby activating downstream proteins such as MAPKs (10,34). Inhibiting MMPs by a broadly selective MMP inhibitor, GM-6001 and neutralizing heparin-bound EGF with a neutralizing antibody has been shown to prevent the CaR-mediated increases in phospho-ERK and PTHrP release, consistent with a triple-membrane-spanning signaling requirement for transactivation of the EGFR by the CaR (Fig. 1) (35). Similarly, EP2 can also promote the transactivation of EGFR expressed in cancer cells and thus initiate the EGFR-signaling network (Fig. 1) (17,18). It is possible to study convergence of signaling cross-talk both in control and in MDA-MD-231 cells a) silenced genetically by shRNA against EP2 and CaR, b) combinatorially inhibited by pharmacological agents of CaR ‘calcilytics’ and EP2 antagonist, and c) inhibited by antibodies directed against EP2 and CaR.

The downstream targets signaling cross-talks between EP2 and CaR can be determined at the level of MMPs and EGFR stimulation by both EP2 and CaR. In order to determine EGFR stimulation by EP2 and CaR, EGFR can be immunoprecipitated and probed for tyrosine phosphorylation by using polyclonal antisera against phosphorytosine and the EGFR. The silencing of CaR or EP2 gene expression individually is expected to cause a statistically significant decrease in transactivation of EGFR. Nevertheless, the combinatorial silencing of CaR and EP2 genes is expected to significantly decrease transactivation of EGFR further. Similarly, when used as single agents, the drugs anti-CaR or anti-EP2 or AH6809 or NPS 2143 alone should decrease the release of MMP1 and MMP2 further. Similarly, when used as single agents, the drugs anti-CaR or anti-EP2 or AH6809 or NPS 2143 alone should decrease the release of MMP1 and MMP2 thereby mimicking the effect of single genetic knockdown (i.e., either CaR or EP2 knockdown). However, consistent with genetic studies on combined knockdown of CaR and EP2, treatment with the anti-CaR and anti-EP2 combinations as well as AH6809 and NPS 2143 combination should lead to extensive decrease in the transactivation of EGFR.

Determination of MMP1 and MMP. For analysis of MMP1 and MMP2 secreted protein, MDA-MB-231 cells can be plated in cell culture medium. The experimental conditioned media can then be collected at defined intervals and MMP1 and MMP2 concentrations can be analyzed in conditioned media using ELISA kits. The reduction of CaR or EP2 expression individually is expected to cause a statistically significant decrease in the release of MMP1 and MMP2. Nevertheless, the combinatorial silencing of CaR and EP2 genes is expected to decrease the release of MMP1 and MMP2 further. Similarly, when used as single agents, the drugs anti-CaR or anti-EP2 or AH6809 or NPS 2143 alone should decrease the release of MMP1 and MMP2 thereby mimicking the effect of single genetic knockdown (i.e., either CaR or EP2 knockdown). However, consistent with genetic studies on combined knockdown of CaR and EP2, treatment with the anti-CaR and anti-EP2 combinations as well as AH6809 and NPS 2143 combination should decrease the release of MMP1 and MMP2 very significantly.

4. Clinical relevance

The present hypothesis provides a molecular basis for the metastatic ability of aggressive primary breast tumors, and a rationale for a therapeutic approach against metastasis via combinatorial interventions involving EP2 and CaR. As we progress towards the molecular understanding of the biological functions required for metastasis, it may become possible to develop antimetastatic strategies that target metastatic cells and their interactions with newly acquired microenvironments. The inhibition of EP2 and CaR via combinatorial therapies can abate metastatic progression in a clinically relevant model of breast cancer. The inhibition of EP2 and CaR activities would benefit breast cancer patients in a number of novel ways such as a) blocking the breast cancer cell proliferation at the primary site, b) blocking the trans-endothelial migration of breast cancer cells in the vasculature, and finally c) blocking the bone breakdown. These studies can provide novel insights as to how breast cancer cells become metastatic, specifically homing to and targeting bone. This knowledge will pave the way to develop clinical strategies to prevent breast cancer metastasis to bone.
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