Clinical and immunological assessment in breast cancer patients receiving anticancer therapy and bovine dialyzable leukocyte extract as an adjuvant
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Abstract. Dialyzable leukocyte extract (DLE) is one of the immunological agents used as an adjuvant in cancer therapy; it has been associated with improved quality of life during cancer chemotherapy. Based on these previous findings and on the observed clinical benefits attributed to DLE in other types of cancer, we investigated its clinical and immunological effects as a therapy adjuvant on breast cancer patients who received only chemotherapy, as compared to patients administered bovine DLE (bDLE) as an adjuvant. This study included 43 breast cancer patients who were about to begin chemotherapy. This group was divided as follows: 25 received chemotherapy and bDLE as an adjuvant therapy, and 18 received only chemotherapy without the adjuvant. All patient clinical and immunological responses were monitored. Among patients in the group that received bDLE as adjuvant, 60% showed a complete response, 32% showed a partial response and 8% did not respond. By contrast, in the group without the adjuvant, 39% showed a complete response, 50% displayed a partial response and 11% were non-responders. In addition, bDLE treatment in combination with chemotherapy resulted in the enhancement of the Karnofsky performance scale during chemotherapy. Even though patients underwent several cycles of chemotherapy without bDLE, the lymphocyte population dropped to below the reference value. On the other hand, in patients with bDLE as adjuvant, the CD4+ and CD8+ lymphocytes and the B lymphocytes were maintained within the median range of the reference value. The number of natural killer cells also increased after chemotherapy treatment with bDLE as an adjuvant. In conclusion, bDLE treatment contributes to significant immunological recovery in patients that have undergone heavy chemotherapy, increasing the clinical response and quality of life during chemotherapy.

Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common malignancy and the principal cause of cancer-related death among women globally (1). Statistics show that each year there are over 1.1 million women newly diagnosed with breast cancer worldwide. Each year 410,000 women die from the disease (2). The total cost of illness for breast cancer has been estimated at $3.8 billion, of which $1.8 billion represents medical care due to side effects during treatment. Among women who received chemotherapy this equated to more than $1,200 in additional health care expenditures related to chemotherapy and more than $17,000 in additional costs for ambulatory care as compared to women who did not receive chemotherapy (3).

Today, it is well known that anticancer treatment by surgery, radiotherapy or chemotherapy has improved the prognosis of the disease and has increased survival. In breast cancer, antineoplastic chemotherapy has improved the overall clinical response. The administration of taxane has increased the response rate from 50 to 68%; with the combination of epirubicin and paclitaxel the overall response rate is 66% (4).

However, various side effects have been associated with chemotherapy and radiotherapy. These side effects, not only affect the tumor, but also target bone marrow activity and divide lymphocytes causing lymphocytopenia (5) which may induce subsequent clinical immunodeficiency (6). Chemotherapeutic drugs produce T-cell depletion, which is more severe in CD4+ than in CD8+ T lymphocytes, a decrease in the dendritic cell function and an alteration in the production of pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines.

Antineoplastic chemotherapy also induces side effects such as fatigue (7,8), skeletal muscle wasting and atrophy (9), as well as elevated levels of tumor necrosis factor, inactivity and weight loss. In 1948, Karnofsky developed a performance
status scale as a multi-measure assessment of the quality of life for cancer patients during medical treatment (10,11). Such investigations revealed that chemotherapy, not only generates medical benefits during the disease, but unfortunately also worsens the quality of life during treatment (12-15).

An improved immune response helps to prevent chemotherapy-induced side effects. An immunotherapy agent increases the populations of T-cells, dendritic and natural killer (NK) cells that are the most potent effectors in the host antitumor response. Immunotherapy agents are an alternative therapy used to boost antitumor immunity and to improve the clinical response to cancer chemotherapeutic treatment.

An immunological agent that has been considered in the context of cancer immunotherapy is the dialyzable leukocyte extract (DLE) or transfer factor, which has no reported side effects or toxicity. DLE was first described in 1955 by Lawrence and Borkowsky (16). In 1970, Kirkpatrick found that antigen-specific DLE therapy results in the induction of cell-mediated immunity and successful response to the corresponding antigen (17). Currently, DLE is defined as a diazylated heterogeneous mixture of low molecular weight (<10 kDa) substances released from disintegrated blood or tissue leukocytes. DLE is believed to transfer the ability to express delayed-type hypersensitivity and cell-mediated immunity from an immune donor to a non-immune recipient (18). DLE has been used as a therapeutic agent in the treatment of autoimmune diseases (19), bacterial diseases (20), asthma and allergies (19) (Luna-Baca GA, Linares M, Santacruz-Valdes C, et al: Immunological study of patients with herpetic stomal keratitis treated with dialyzed leukocyte extracts. 13th International Congress of Immunology, 2007). Such treatment has consistently led to improved prognosis.

Therefore, DLE represents an attractive alternative to complement chemotherapy, which can be used to enhance the immune system after disturbances resulting from the side effects of chemotherapy. DLE in vitro is effective in improving cellular immunity (18) and in regulating the production of different cytokines involved in tumor progression (21-25).

In breast cancer cell line assays, bovine DLE (bDLE) induced cytokine effects despite suppressing the expression of p53 mRNA, bab-1, c-myc, bax, bcl-2 and bad mRNA (26,27). In clinical trials, patients with advanced breast cancer were treated with pooled dialyzable transfer factor from healthy adult donors (non-specific) without chemotherapy or radiotherapy, after which the disease progressed (21,28). In other reports, the administration of DLE directly to the tumor was found to reduce tumor size and increase CD2\(^+\), CD4\(^+\), CD8\(^+\) and NK cell counts in rats with glioblastoma multiforme (29). DLE as an adjuvant of chemotherapy has been associated with tumor regression and temporary stabilization in several types of cancer (30), such as breast cancer, nasopharyngeal carcinoma (31), metastatic renal carcinoma (32), prostate cancer (33) and others (34).

Previously, we reported the use of bDLE as an adjuvant therapy to complement bevacizumab (Avastin), cetuximab (Erbitux), cytokines and cisplatin in transarterial chemoembolization (TACE). bDLE was shown to reduce tumor size in a lung cancer (stage III) patient and led to complete remission in 3 patients with primary pancreatic cancer (moderately differentiated). Furthermore, cellular immunity parameters were maintained within reference ranges after chemotherapy (Rodriguez-Padilla C, García de la Fuente A, Díaz R, et al: Intra-arterial chemo-immuno target therapy plus conformal XRT in brain tumors. 16th International Congress on Anti-Cancer Treatment Paris, France, 2005) (Rodriguez-Padilla C, Ixtepan L, García de la Fuente A, et al: Transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) with bevacizumab (avastin), cetuximab (erbitux) and immunomodulators and image-guided radiation therapy (IGRT) in patients with lung cancer. 19th International Congress on Anti-Cancer Treatment Paris, France, 2008). The quality of life, as measured by the Karnofsky performance scale, increased.

Based on our previous experience with bDLE, the main objective of the present study was to assess the clinical and immune responses with regard to quality of life in breast cancer patients who were undergoing standard chemotherapy and who also received adjuvant therapy (bDLE).

Patients and methods

Patients. A total of 43 women with confirmed histological diagnoses of breast cancer were included in the study. Female patients over 18 years of age were seronegative for human immunodeficiency virus, human T-cell leukemia virus type 1, hepatitis B and hepatitis C. Patients who were randomly selected for the treatment group had a Karnofsky performance status of ≥60%. None of the patients received cell proliferation stimulants during chemotherapy [Neupogen or granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF)], drugs to stimulate appetite or corticosteroids. The Institutional Review Board and Ethics Committee of the Universidad Autonoma de Nuevo Leon, Mexico approved the trial, and all patients gave their written informed consent.

The chemotherapy commonly employed for local disease includes doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide (AC), AC followed by paclitaxel, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin and fluorouracil (FAC), cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, fluorouracil (CMF), docetaxel, doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide (TAC). For metastatic disease the regimens may also include epirubicin, Navelvine, Aromasin or Xeloda.

Adjuvant therapy. The bDLE used in our study as an adjuvant therapy in patients who received chemotherapy was produced by the Laboratory of Immunology and Virology at the Universidad Autonoma de Nuevo Leon, Mexico, following a modified protocol described by Lawrence and Borkowsky (16). bDLE is a mixture of low molecular weight molecules acquired from the dialyzation of disintegrated bovine spleens. The bDLE was lyophilized, tested for endogenous pyrogens using the Limulus amoebocyte lysate assay (MP Biomedicals Inc.) and determined to be free of bacterial contamination by culturing in different media as well as by in vivo mouse inoculations.

Study assessment. The design of the study included 43 breast cancer patients divided as follows: 25 breast cancer patients monitored for clinical and immunological responses during chemotherapy treatment with bDLE as adjuvant therapy and a control group that included 18 breast cancer patients receiving chemotherapy without bDLE as adjuvant. The administration of bDLE lasted 9 months, starting with 1-week
administration of bDL-E alone prior to chemotherapy, with continued administration during the chemotherapy cycle (3-6 months) up to 1 month after the completion of chemotherapy. The dose administered to each patient was defined according to the patient's immunologic status. For the first 15 days, the daily administration of bDL-E was as follows: i) 1-3% of B lymphocytes, 5 oral units; ii) 4-6% of B lymphocytes, 4 units (2 oral/2 i.m.); iii) >6% of B lymphocytes, 1 unit alternating oral and i.m. daily. All patients began the bDL-E treatment before chemotherapy and continued with the daily treatment during all chemotherapy cycles and several months after the completion of the chemotherapy. If patients achieved a complete remission before 3 months with bDL-E and chemotherapy, treatment was limited to bDL-E until the follow-up appointment, at which point patients were evaluated immunologically based on their lymphocyte profiles.

**Evaluation of the immunologic response.** The immunologic parameters of the patients were monitored during chemotherapy in both groups. In addition, in the group that received bDL-E as adjuvant the cellular immune response before receiving bDL-E was evaluated also 1 month after finishing chemotherapy (description of the protocol design in Fig. 1). Monitoring involved obtaining complete and differential blood counts, as well as flow cytometric analysis of peripheral mononuclear cells. Flow cytometry was used to count NK cells, B lymphocytes and T lymphocytes. Flow cytometry was performed on a Beckman Coulter Altra No. AE47042. Data were obtained and analyzed using Software Expo 32 version 1.2.

bDL-E stimulates an immune response mediated by cytokines that indirectly stimulate the proliferation of hematopoietic progenitor cells in bone marrow, as reported used pig-DL-E in rats after radiotherapy (18). We evaluated several concentrations of IL-3 and IL-7 in serum with and without bDL-E as an adjuvant during chemotherapy using an ELISA assay according to the protocol by Peprotech Company.

**Table I. Patient characteristics.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>With bDL-E (%)</th>
<th>Without bDL-E (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total patients, 43</td>
<td>25 (60)</td>
<td>18 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>13 (52)</td>
<td>5 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>4 (16)</td>
<td>5 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>6 (24)</td>
<td>6 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25 (100)</td>
<td>18 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor markers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE</td>
<td>13 (52)</td>
<td>10 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP</td>
<td>8 (32)</td>
<td>7 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Her2</td>
<td>7 (28)</td>
<td>6 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25 (100)</td>
<td>18 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>2 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>24 (96)</td>
<td>16 (88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>13 (52)</td>
<td>18 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy</td>
<td>25 (100)</td>
<td>18 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiotherapy</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>7 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormonal</td>
<td>8 (32)</td>
<td>8 (44)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Evaluation of the clinical response.** A total of 43 patients were evaluated for the clinical response to cancer chemotherapy treatment with or without bDL-E as adjuvant, as determined by standard radiographic studies or PET-CT scan imaging. Clinical tumor response was compared to the control group (without bDL-E) according to the International Union Against Cancer Criteria. A complete response (CR) was defined as the disappearance of all clinical evidence of disease. A partial response (PR) was defined as a ≥50% decrease in the sum of the products of perpendicular diameters of all measurable lesions for at least 1 month with no increase in any lesion and no appearance of new lesions. Patients with mixed or minor responses or progressive disease were considered non-responders (NR) (38).

**Quality of life.** Quality of life was measured in the group with and without bDL-E using the Karnofsky performance scores before treatment with bDL-E and after 1 month following the end of the chemotherapy regimen.

**Statistical analysis.** A t-test was used to compare lymphocyte cell populations and Karnofsky performance scores obtained before and after bDL-E treatment. Statistical significance was established as P<0.05. Individual values given in the figures represent the mean of 25 patients ± SEM (for those who received adjuvant therapy) or 18 patients ± SEM (for those who did not receive adjuvant therapy).

**Results**

**Patient characteristics.** To establish a general screening assessment of the effect of bDL-E as an adjuvant during chemotherapy, 25 patients with a diagnosis of breast cancer were selected randomly. The study also included 18 breast cancer patients who did not receive adjuvant treatment with bDL-E during chemotherapy. In both groups, the patients who had disseminated metastasis were affected in a median of
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three organs/tissues, principal bones, liver and lung. Among patients who received bDLE during chemotherapy, 84% were positive for tumor markers. In the control group, 88% were positive for tumor markers. According to Karnofsky performance scale classification, 0 patients were able to work and 1 patient was not. All patients received a tailored oncology treatment scheme depending on disease stage (Table I).

Immunologic response. The median total white blood cell count before chemotherapy was $5,928\pm339$/$\text{mm}^3$. During chemotherapy, this measure was slightly reduced in the group that received bDLE as adjuvant to $5,554\pm374$/$\text{mm}^3$ and in the control group to $4,779\pm435$/$\text{mm}^3$. The percentages of monocytes, basophils, eosinophils and neutrophils were always reported to be in the reference range values for our laboratory, even during chemotherapy treatment.

No myelosuppression in lymphoid populations was observed in patients receiving the bDLE treatment, while in patients undergoing chemotherapy without bDLE the absolute numbers of $\text{CD4}^+$, $\text{CD8}^+$ and $\text{CD19}^+$ lymphocytes were reduced compared to the reference range values as shown in Fig. 2. Interestingly, a significant increase in the numbers of NK cells ($P<0.05$) and B lymphocytes ($P<0.05$) was observed 1 month after the completion of chemotherapy in patients receiving bDLE as an adjuvant (Table II). The proportion of lymphocytes was maintained at reference values during treatment with bDLE as an adjuvant. Levels in the control group were below reference values as reported by Mackall et al for patients undergoing chemotherapy (5).

In addition, IL-3 levels were reduced by 80% in the group that received chemotherapy without bDLE. In the group with bDLE as adjuvant during chemotherapy we observed that levels were reduced by only 34%. However, IL-7 levels were increased in the group that received bDLE as adjuvant (11%) prior to chemotherapy in combination with bDLE. This measure was reduced by 30% in the control group during chemotherapy as compared to before chemotherapy (Fig. 3).

Table II. Effect of bDLE treatment on the cellular immune response.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Leukocytes</th>
<th>$\text{CD4}^+$</th>
<th>$\text{CD8}^+$</th>
<th>$\text{CD19}^+$</th>
<th>$\text{CD56}^{16^+}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before bDLE</td>
<td>$5,928\pm339$</td>
<td>$713\pm50$</td>
<td>$458\pm46$</td>
<td>$123\pm17$</td>
<td>$157\pm27$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After bDLE</td>
<td>$5,554\pm374$</td>
<td>$877\pm95$</td>
<td>$475\pm102$</td>
<td>$314\pm69$</td>
<td>$271\pm48$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III. Clinical responses to treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Complete response (%)</th>
<th>Partial response (%)</th>
<th>No response (%)</th>
<th>Overall response for stage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>With bDLE</td>
<td>2 (100)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Without bDLE</td>
<td>2 (100)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>With bDLE</td>
<td>9 (70)</td>
<td>4 (30)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Without bDLE</td>
<td>4 (80)</td>
<td>1 (20)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>With bDLE</td>
<td>2 (50)</td>
<td>2 (50)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Without bDLE</td>
<td>1 (20)</td>
<td>3 (60)</td>
<td>1 (20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>With bDLE</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Without bDLE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5 (83)</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Effect of bDLE treatment on the cellular immune response. Absolute cell counts of $T$ ($\text{CD4}^+$) lymphocytes, $T$ ($\text{CD8}^+$) lymphocytes, B ($\text{CD19}^+$) lymphocytes and NK ($\text{CD56}^{16^+}$) cells: reference values (without chemotherapy) during bDLE and chemotherapy and after chemotherapy.
Clinical response. Clinical response was evaluated using standard radiographic studies or PET-CT scan imaging.

In the group with bDL-E as adjuvant therapy, 15 (60%) patients experienced a CR to treatment, 8 (32%) experienced a PR and 2 (8%) were NRs (Table III). Among stage I patients in this group, 2 (100%) patients experienced a complete response (CR); among stage II patients, 9 (70%) experienced a CR and 4 (30%) patients experienced a PR; for stage III patients, 2 (50%) experienced a CR and 2 (50%) patients experienced a PR; among stage IV patients, 2 (33%) experienced a CR, 2 (33%) experienced a PR and 2 (33%) patients experienced NR.

In the control group (without adjuvant treatment with bDL-E during chemotherapy), 7 (39%) patients experienced a CR to treatment (chemotherapy or radiotherapy), 9 (50%) experienced a PR and 2 (11%) were NRs (Table III). Among stage I patients in the control group, 2 (100%) patients experienced a CR; for stage II, 4 (80%) patients experienced a CR and 1 (20%) patient experienced a PR; among stage III patients, 1 (20%) experienced a CR, 3 (60%) experienced a PR and 1 (20%) patient exhibited NR; among stage IV patients, none experienced a CR, 5 (83%) patients experienced a PR (17%) and 1 patient experienced NR.

PET-CT imaging. We used PET-CT to evaluate both groups and observed that in the group with bDL-E as adjuvant the regression of metastatic lesions in diverse anatomic locations was obtained in less time than in the control group (without bDL-E). As shown in Fig. 4A, patients with metastatic breast cancer without adjuvant therapy had persistent thyroid lesions and a new lesion around the aorta (2 cm) after 2 years of chemotherapy. In another case (Fig. 4B), retroperitoneal retrohepatic metastases exhibited a PR, with the same metabolic activity (6 SUV) after only 4 months of receiving bDL-E treatment and 5 cycles of chemotherapy.

Quality of life. Quality of life was measured using the Karnofsky performance scores. In the patients who received bDL-E adjuvant therapy during chemotherapy, average Karnofsky scores increased from 70 to 90, which reflected
an overall clinical improvement in the health status of the patients (Fig. 5). Of the patients who received chemotherapy treatment without bDLE adjuvant therapy, the average final score was 80.

Toxicity. The administration of bDLE therapy was safe and well tolerated. None of the patients died during the reported period.

Discussion

DLE, commonly known as transfer factor, is an immunotherapy agent that has been reported to improve the immunological response in cancer patients (Rodríguez-Padilla C, García de la Fuente A, Díaz R, et al: Intra-arterial chemo immuno target therapy plus conformal XRT in brain tumors. 16th International Congress on Anti-Cancer Treatment Paris, France, 2005) (36). Various reports have used different clinical assays to investigate DLE as an adjuvant therapy. These studies have consistently reported improvement in the clinical response to treatment, but there is a lack of information about the clinical parameters that are improved in those patients (37-39). In this clinical study, we randomly sampled breast cancer patients to explore the immunological and clinical response to bDLE treatment as an adjuvant to chemotherapy. In particular, we focused on the clinical effects of bDLE as an adjuvant therapy during chemotherapy.

Myelosuppression is a common side effect of chemotherapy that is accompanied by lymphopenia, neutropenia and thrombocytopenia. (6). In this study, our results showed a protective effect of bDLE on CD4+ T lymphocytes, CD8+ T lymphocytes, CD16+ B lymphocytes and NK cells (Fig. 2). The absolute numbers of these lymphocytes in the bDLE-treated patients during chemotherapy (Fig. 2) were always higher than expected as compared to our control group and as reported by Mackall et al for patients undergoing chemotherapy (5). In addition, we observed that the levels of IL-3 and IL-7 were higher in the group that received bDLE as an adjuvant during chemotherapy as compared to the control group.

These factors likely underline the immunological protection afforded by bDLE during chemotherapy as reported by Vacek et al (18) using pig-DLE.

The administration of bDLE in this study resulted in an increased clinical response. The difference was principally observed in stage III and IV patients. The median survival reported after the appearance of metastases is approximately 20-25 months, hence the importance of obtaining a clinical response as rapidly as possible. We observed that those metastatic patients receiving bDLE exhibited improved clinical responses in 6-12 months, as compared to the group that did not receive adjuvant therapy with bDLE. In the latter group, the clinical response was as expected at approximately 2-3 years (data not shown).

Therefore, in future studies with bDLE as adjuvant chemotherapy, it will be necessary to focus specifically on the group that improved (patients with metastatic disease). To further verify enhancement due to bDLE treatment, we recommend a study with a larger population.

bDLE treatment in combination with chemotherapy resulted in an increase in the Karnofsky performance scores after several chemotherapy cycles; patients reached a 90 on the Karnofsky performance scores, which implies minor symptoms and the ability to work (10). By contrast, the average score for the control group (without bDLE) was 80. During the interviews, we observed that patients improved in their general health and state of mind even 1 month after chemotherapy. Therefore, adjuvant therapy with bDLE reduces economic losses as well as the physical incapacitation suffered by cancer patients.

In conclusion, our results pertaining to the administration of bDLE as an adjuvant therapy during breast cancer chemotherapy can be used for clinical decision-making and for improving the quality of life during treatment. We propose the use of bDLE as an adjuvant to complement conventional chemotherapies in cancer. bDLE would be particularly useful to improve immunological response, symptomatology and general patient prognosis.
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