Association between hepatitis B virus infection and diabetes mellitus: A meta-analysis
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Abstract. Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection has been shown by certain studies to be associated with diabetes mellitus (DM); however, the results of these studies were controversial. For that reason, a meta-analysis of the literature was performed in order to determine the association between HBV infection and the prevalence of DM more accurately. The PubMed, Embase, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure and Wan Fang databases, as well as the Chinese Science and Technology Journal Database, were searched for literature published until June 2014. The reference lists of all relevant articles were also searched. The summary odds ratios (ORs) and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) were calculated based on a random-effects model. Heterogeneity was assessed using the I² statistic. Subgroup analyses were conducted based on study type and region for the purpose of assessing the factors that could potentially affect the outcome. A total of 15 eligible studies (in 14 articles) were selected for the meta-analysis, involving 12,974,690 HBV-infected patients and 231,776,232 controls. The OR for the prevalence of DM was 1.33 (95% CI, 1.09-1.62; P=0.005) between the patients with HBV infection and the controls. The subgroup analysis based on study type revealed a significantly higher prevalence of DM in the HBV-infected group than that in the control group in both case-control (OR, 1.89; 95% CI, 1.08-3.30; P=0.025) and cross-sectional (OR, 1.41; 95% CI, 1.04-1.90; P=0.027) studies. The subgroup analysis based on region revealed a significantly higher prevalence of DM in the HBV-infected group than in the control group in the Asia-Pacific region (OR, 1.67; 95% CI, 1.08-2.58; P=0.022). Compared with uninfected patients, the pooled results suggest that HBV-infected patients have a higher risk of developing DM; however, given the fact that this is a meta-analysis of observational studies, further randomized controlled trials are required in order to reach a more accurate conclusion.

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a disease with one of the fastest growing incidences worldwide. By 2030 developing countries will face an increase of 69% and industrialized countries of 20% of the number of patients with diabetes compared with 2010. For Africa >18 million, according to some estimations even 24 million, diabetic patients are predicted for the year 2030 (1). It has recently been suggested that diabetes increases the risk of a variety of cancers, including breast, pancreatic, colorectal and kidney cancer (2). Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is one of the most severe infections and constitutes a major risk factor for mortality from cirrhosis and liver cancer (3). A number of studies have identified an association between HBV infection and the prevalence of DM; however, the results of those studies were inconclusive. Certain studies have supported the increased risk of DM in HBV-infected patients when compared with non-HBV-infected controls (4-9) and certain studies have had different results (10-17). To the best of our knowledge, no meta-analysis has ever focused on assessing the association between HBV infection and the risk of DM. The present meta-analysis was conducted in order to gain an enhanced understanding of the association between the conditions.

Materials and methods

Identification and eligibility of relevant studies. The PubMed, Embase, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure and Wan Fang databases, as well as the Chinese Science and Technology Journal Database, were used to perform a comprehensive literature search for relevant articles published until June 2014. The following keywords were used: ‘Hepatitis B virus’ or ‘HBV’ or ‘hepatitis B’ and ‘diabetes’, ‘diabetes mellitus’ or ‘DM’. The search was limited to human studies and publication in either English or Chinese. The literature search was conducted independently by two reviewers. An additional manual search of the reference lists of all relevant articles for all available review articles and primary studies was also performed.

Study selection criteria. The inclusion criteria for this study were as follows: i) Evaluation of the association between
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HBV infection and the risk of DM; ii) presence of at least one group without HBV and hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection; iii) studies with accessible full texts and iv) DM confirmed based on a) self-reported DM (i.e., diagnosed by a physician), b) fasting plasma glucose levels ≥7.0 mmol/l on two separate occasions or c) impaired fasting glycaemia of 6.1-7.0 mmol/l without insulin medication. Where available, hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) and/or antibody against hepatitis B core antigen (anti-HBc) and/or HBV DNA were detected to confirm HBV infection.

The exclusion criteria for this study were as follows: i) Gestational DM; ii) observational studies without control groups and case reports; iii) subset of a published article with the same data and by the same authors; and iv) studies involving patients with chronic liver disease with alternative etiologies, such as autoimmune hepatitis, steatohepatitis, cirrhosis, primary biliary cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma and primary cholangitis.

Data extraction. The necessary information was extracted from all eligible studies by two independent investigators, according to the aforementioned inclusion criteria. Disagreements were resolved by consensus or with the assistance of a third reviewer. The following information was collected: i) First author's name, ii) year of publication, iii) country of origin, iv) age of the patients, v) HBV detection method, vi) reported odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) and vi) number of cases and controls.

Statistical analysis. The fixed-effect or random-effects model was selected as appropriate, depending on the heterogeneity among the studies included in the present meta-analysis. The degree of heterogeneity among the studies was assessed using the I² test. An I² value >50% was considered to represent substantial heterogeneity. The assumption that the OR in a case-control study approximates the relative risk in a cohort study was used. The fixed-effect model was used in the absence of significant heterogeneity (I²<50%), whereas the random-effects model was selected in the presence of significant heterogeneity (I²>50%). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference for all included studies. Subgroup analyses were performed based on study types and ethnic groups. Begg's funnel plot and Egger's weighted regression method were used to test for publication bias. All analyses listed above were conducted using Stata software (version 12.0; StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Description of studies. As summarized in Table 1, 14 articles (4-17), which were published between 2000 and 2013 and included 15 independent studies with 12,974,690 HBV-infected patients and 231,776,232 controls in total, were assessed in the present meta-analysis. Among these 15 studies, 8 were cross-sectional studies, 5 were case-control studies and 2 were cohort studies. Six studies showed a significantly higher prevalence of DM in HBV-infected patients than in the controls (4-9), 7 studies lacked evidence of a significant association between DM and HBV infection (6,12-17) and 2 studies revealed a lower prevalence of DM in patients with HBV (10,11). The 15 studies involved 244,750,922 attendees and reported a total DM prevalence of 7.40% (18,118,293/244,750,922). The cumulative sample size of HBV-infected patients was 12,974,690, of which 1,064,171 also suffered from DM (8.20%). Out of the 231,776,232 controls, 17,054,122 had DM (7.36%). The OR for the prevalence of DM in the patients with HBV infection was 1.33 (95% CI, 1.09-1.62; P=0.005), when compared with the controls (Fig. 1).

Subgroup analysis. The results of the present meta-analysis demonstrated that the HBV-infected patients had a higher risk of developing DM when compared with the uninfected patients (OR, 1.33; 95% CI, 1.09-1.62; P=0.005); however, the heterogeneity between the two groups was high (I²=93.8%). Subgroup analyses based on study type and region were performed to investigate the factors that could impact the overall results. The results of the study type-based subgroup analysis indicated that the prevalence of DM in the HBV group was significantly higher than that in the control group, both in case-control (11.45 vs. 9.30%; OR, 1.89; 95% CI, 1.08-3.30; P=0.025) and cross-sectional (8.20 vs. 7.36%; OR, 1.40; 95% CI, 1.04-1.90; P=0.027) studies; however, the prevalence of DM in the case group was lower than that in the control group in the cohort studies (9.40 vs. 11.87%; OR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.71-0.84; P<0.001) (Fig. 1). The results of the region-based subgroup analysis showed that the prevalence of DM in the HBV group was significantly higher than that in the control group in the Asia-Pacific region (OR, 1.67; 95% CI, 1.08-2.58; P=0.022); however, no significant difference was identified between the two groups in the USA (OR, 0.97; 95% CI, 0.73-1.30; P=0.86) (Fig. 2).

Publication bias. Funnel plot analysis did not reveal any evidence of publication bias in the 15 studies [Begg's test z=0.99 (continuity corrected); P=0.322] (Fig. 3). The Egger's test also indicated a lack of significant publication bias (P=0.906).

Discussion

Liver disease of various etiologies, including HCV infection, has been implicated as a cause of DM in several previous studies (18-20). A hypothesis that there may be an association between HBV infection and DM incidence has been proposed (8,9); however, the results remain controversial. In order to resolve this controversy, 15 studies were selected for the present meta-analysis, the aim of which was to comprehensively evaluate the association between HBV infection and the prevalence of DM.

The present study indicates that patients with HBV infection are at higher risk of developing DM when compared with patients without HBV infection. The findings of this study are in accordance with those of a large-sample, cross-sectional study in the USA (5). According to the aforementioned results, HBV infection could comprise a potential risk factor for the development of DM. Several mechanisms may be involved in the association between HBV infection and the prevalence of DM. First, the liver is an organ that plays a key role in the regulation of glucose homeostasis by
Table I. Main characteristics of the 15 studies included in the meta-analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year (ref.)</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Confirmation of HBV</th>
<th>Method of detection</th>
<th>Confirmation of DM</th>
<th>Type of DM</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spradling, 2013 (10)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>HBsAg</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clinically diagnosed</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>&gt;18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keyvani, 2013 (4)</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>Anti-HBc</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Patient reported</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>60.5±14.1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schillie, 2012 (5)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>Anti-HBc</td>
<td>ELISA/CLIA</td>
<td>Patient reported</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>18-70b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reilly, 2012 (12)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>HBsAg</td>
<td></td>
<td>Patient reported</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>44 (23-88)c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang, 2010 (13)</td>
<td>Chinese Taipei</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>HBsAg</td>
<td></td>
<td>FPG or 2-hPPG</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>52.7±0.73a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2010 (14)</td>
<td>Chinese Taipei</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>HBsAg</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>40-70b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imazeki, 2008 (15)</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>HBsAg</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>FPG</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>45.1±13.6a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li-Ng, 2007 (6)</td>
<td>USA (Asian Americans)</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>HBsAg</td>
<td></td>
<td>Random blood glucose</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>58 (30-79)c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li-Ng, 2007 (6)</td>
<td>USA (Pacific Islanders)</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>HBsAg</td>
<td></td>
<td>Random blood glucose</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>61 (52-76)c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan, 2006 (11)</td>
<td>Chinese Taipei</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>HBsAg</td>
<td>RIA</td>
<td>FPG</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao, 2006 (16)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>HBV DNA</td>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>45.68±25.38a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang, 2003 (7)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>HBsAg</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>45.7±11.9a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang, 2002 (9)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>HBsAg</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>30-50b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qureshi, 2002 (8)</td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>HBsAg</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Clinically diagnosed</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>42±13a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knobler, 2000 (17)</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>HBsAg and anti-HBc</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>45±15a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are presented as the ‘mean ± standard deviation’ ‘range or ‘mean (range); ‘American Diabetes Association criteria. CC, case-control; CS, cross-sectional; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; anti-HBc, antibody against hepatitis B core antigen; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; CLIA, chemiluminescence immunoassay; RIA, radioimmunoassay; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; 2-hPPG, 2-h postprandial blood glucose; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; WHO, World Health Organization.
balancing the storage and output of glucose. Liver damage caused by HBV infection may lead to a glycometabolism disorder (21,22), and persistent inflammatory activities in the liver may cause defective glucose homeostasis. Inflammatory mediators, such as tumor necrosis factor-α and nitric oxide, have been shown to impair the metabolic action of insulin in the liver, which results in hepatic dysfunction and, in turn, leads to insulin resistance (23-26). Furthermore,
inducible nitric oxide synthase expression has been shown to be elevated in the liver of patients suffering from chronic HBV infection (27). Secondly, several studies (28,29) have found HBV infection in the pancreas. The replication of HBV in extrahepatic sites, such as the pancreas, is responsible for β-cell damage and may ultimately lead to diabetes (28,29). In addition, insulin resistance may be involved in the pathogenesis of hepatogenous diabetes. Ji et al (30) reported that the pre-S2 protein of HBV decreased the expression of the insulin receptor gene, leading to insulin resistance.

In the present meta-analysis, it was notable that the subgroup analysis showed the prevalence of DM in the HBV group to be significantly higher than that in the control group, both in the case-control and cross-sectional studies; however, the prevalence of DM in the case group was lower than that in the control group in the cohort studies, which included a study performed on subjects from the USA. Region-based subgroup analysis revealed that HBV infection was associated with an increased risk of DM in the Asia-Pacific region, while no significant difference was found in the prevalence of DM between the HBV-infected patients and the controls in the USA. The low incidence of HBV infection and high prevalence rate of DM in the USA may explain these results. The overall prevalence of chronic HBV infection in the USA is 0.4%, whereas 10-15% of Asian Americans/Pacific Islanders suffer from chronic HBV infection. In most Asian regions, the prevalence of HBV infection is >8.0% (29). By comparison, ~12.4% of the US population had been diagnosed with DM up to 2010 (31), while the prevalence of DM in Asia has been reported to be 8.7% (32).

Of note, the Keelung Community-Based Integrated Screening Study (14), conducted in Chinese Taipei, found that the DM prevalence was lower among HBV-infected patients than that among uninfected patients. Two more studies (11,12) from Chinese Taipei also showed a lower prevalence of DM among HBV-infected patients, although the differences were not statistically significant. The reason for this finding is unclear but may be due to dietary, genetic or other environmental differences among these ethnic groups.

Despite the considerable efforts made and resources employed to test the association between HBV infection and the DM prevalence, the present meta-analysis had certain limitations. First, HBV infection was diagnosed by different serum markers across the included studies. The markers included the HBsAg, anti-HBc, HBV DNA or a combination of more than one serum marker, making it impossible to distinguish between past and the ongoing HBV infections. Furthermore, the severity of the hepatitis was not known, since only a few studies had reported the aminotransferase levels. Secondly, three different types of studies were involved in the present meta-analysis: Case-control, cross-sectional and cohort studies. The various types of study designs could have been partially responsible for the heterogeneity across the studies. In addition, the majority of the studies included were retrospective, making them susceptible to recall and selection bias. No evidence for an association between HBV infection and the prevalence of DM was provided by the 2 cohort studies; however, the results should be considered with caution since the number of patients included was relatively small. Thirdly, the selection of the controls varied among studies. Some studies used a healthy population as controls, while others used hospitalized patients without HBV infection as controls; therefore, it is possible that selection bias existed, since these studies may have included controls at different risks of developing DM. Furthermore, heterogeneity was significant across studies, which decreased the reliability of the summary OR estimates. Finally, data were only obtained from papers published in English or Chinese, which could have also contributed to selection bias.

In conclusion, the present study indicates that patients with HBV infection are at higher risk of developing DM compared with uninfected patients and that HBV infection may be a potential risk factor for DM development. Due to the considerable heterogeneity across the studies and the limitations of this meta-analysis, further research is required to investigate the possible association between HBV infection and the prevalence of DM.
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