Multiple courses of immunotherapy with different immune cell types for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma after microwave ablation
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Abstract. The aim of the present study was to investigate the therapeutic efficacy of immunotherapy after microwave ablation (MWA), which was used to improve liver function, reduce the recurrence rate and enhance survival period in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Between February 2009 and December 2010, 14 patients received immunotherapy after MWA (immunotherapy group) and 15 patients received MWA alone with no post-ablated adjuvant therapy (control group). Immune and liver parameters, recurrence rate and survival time were recorded. The absolute lymphocyte count in the immunotherapy group exceeded that in the control group after 3 courses of immunotherapy (P<0.05). No significant differences were detected in the lymphocyte subset distribution in the control and immunotherapy patients prior to ablation (P>0.05); however, certain cytotoxic subsets (CD3+/CD8+, CD8+CD28+ and CD3+CD16+CD56+ T cells) were over-represented and negative regulatory or helper subsets (CD4+CD28+, CD4+, CD4+CD25+) were under-represented in the immunotherapy group between 1 and 12 months after immunotherapy (P<0.05). After 2 courses of immunotherapy the proliferation rate of myeloid dendritic cells and T lymphocytes, including CD3+CD8+ lymphocytes, significantly increased (P<0.05 and P<0.01, respectively). In addition, the level of albumin in the immunotherapy group exceeded that in the control group after 3 courses of immunotherapy (P<0.05). However, the rate of disease-free survival and overall survival within 16 months of MWA did not differ significantly between the two groups (P>0.05). In conclusion, the results of the present study indicate that immunotherapy improves the immune status and liver function of patients with HCC.

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is among the most common cancer types worldwide, with a global incidence exceeding 600,000 new cases per year (1,2). Resection and ablation remain the primary treatments for HCC; however, recurrence is common after curative resection or ablation, and is responsible for the majority of patient mortality. Microvascular invasion and micrometastasis are frequently responsible for the post-operative recurrence of HCC (3,4). Drugs designed to limit HCC typically exhibit intrinsic hepatotoxicity that may further compromise liver function. In addition, HCC cells often express multi-drug resistant proteins, which reduce the efficacy of chemotherapeutics and small molecule drugs for preventing the recurrence of HCC (5).

Due to its favorable safety and efficacy profile, immunotherapy has increasingly been investigated as an innovative adjuvant treatment for the prevention of HCC recurrence. Four randomized controlled trials of HCC immunotherapy following treatments, including resection and transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) combined with radiofrequency ablation (RFA), have been conducted (6-9). These trials report that immunotherapy was able to increase the rate of recurrence free survival (RFS); however, immunotherapy did not significantly improve overall survival (OS) rates (10).

However, a number of issues concerning HCC immunotherapy remain unclear. The majority of published studies describe an immunotherapy treatment using a single type of immune cell (6-9). The combined effects of different types of successively-administered immune cells, which is more representative of the native immune response to tumor cells, remains to be determined. In addition, the immune cell infusion protocol may potentially be adjusted to complement the specific patient immune status. A dynamic protocol, applied throughout the recovery period, may achieve a more beneficial outcome compared with previously-published fixed protocols.
applied over a relatively short period of time (6-9,11). Finally, studying the precise changes in immune and liver function throughout the therapy may further clarify the impact of immunotherapy.

The present authors have previously reported the outcome of a phase I clinical study of combination therapy with microwave ablation (MWA) and immunotherapy in patients with HCC (12). Three courses of immunotherapy were applied, including immature dendritic cells (DCs), cytokine-induced killer (CIK) cells and cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs), within three months following radical MWA of the HCC. The preliminary conclusion of the study was that this adoptive immunotherapy was safe and successfully ameliorated the loss of peripheral lymphocytes (12). Immature DCs were injected into the marginal area of ablated tumors, myeloid dendritic cells (MDC) were injected into groin lymph nodes, DC-CIK cells and CTLs were injected into the abdominal cavity, and CIK cells were infused intravenously. The results indicated that immunotherapy soon after MWA is a safe procedure that is capable of reducing the viral load in >50% of the patients. The percentage of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T lymphocytes decreased significantly, while the percentage of CD8+ effector T cells was increased significantly in the peripheral blood following therapy (12).

In the present study, an adjusted protocol was employed, which involved a prolonged course of immunotherapy and an expanded range of observational indices. The aim of this study was to investigate the influence of immunotherapy on the immune and liver function, rate of tumor recurrence and mortality in patients with HCC.

Patients and methods

Study design and patients. This single-center, open-label phase II study of MWA followed by adoptive immunotherapy in HCC patients was approved by the Ethics Committee of Chinese PLA General Hospital (Beijing, China).

A total of 29 patients with HCC that were treated at the Department of Interventional Ultrasound in the Chinese PLA General Hospital between February 2009 and December 2010 were enrolled in this study. HCC was diagnosed and staged according to a previously-reported schedule (5). For each patient, a minimum of two contrast-enhanced ultrasound and computed tomography (CT)/magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) images were obtained and analyzed. The inclusion criteria were as follows: i) Single nodular hepatic tumor with a maximum diameter of 5 cm; ii) ≤3 nodular hepatic tumors with a maximum dimension of 3 cm; iii) absence of portal vein thrombosis or extrahepatic metastases; iv) Child-Pugh classification of A or B (13); v) tumor accessible via a percutaneous approach (14); vi) cirrhosis with chronic hepatitis B with a maximum dimension of 3 cm; iii) absence of portal vein thrombosis or extrahepatic metastases; iv) Child‑Pugh grading of A or B (13); v) tumor accessible via a percutaneous approach (14); vi) cirrhosis with chronic hepatitis B with a maximum dimension of 3 cm; and vii) >10 g/dl hemoglobin levels, a white blood cell count of ≥2×10^9/l, a platelet count of >75×10^9/l, a serum creatinine level of <110 μmol/l, <3 times the upper limit of aspartate aminotransferase (AST), <2.5 times the upper limit of serum bilirubin, and a prothrombin time of <19 sec. The exclusion criteria were as follows: i) Pregnant or breast-feeding; ii) psychiatric problems, addiction or any other disorder that prevented informed consent; iii) active uncontrolled infection; iv) concurrent systemic corticosteroid treatment; v) systemic autoimmune disease; vi) clinically significant ischemic heart disease or cardiac failure; vii) chemotherapy or radiotherapy within the preceding 6 months; and viii) <3 courses of immunotherapy. Written informed consent was obtained from all the subjects.

MVA treatment and grouping. All treatments were performed using sonographic guidance. The instruments, technique and protocol of MWA used in this study are the same as those previously reported (12). Among the 29 eligible patients, 14 were randomly selected to receive immunotherapy following MWA (immunotherapy group) and 15 patients not to receive any post-ablation adjuvant therapy (control group).

Immunotherapy protocol. In order to reduce the liver injury resulting from puncture that was reported in the previous study (12), the protocol was modified as follows: Immunocytes were isolated from 45 ml peripheral blood on the morning of the day of ablation. Tumor tissue was obtained by a sonography-guided biopsy (18G, BARD, Bard International Inc., Covington, GA, USA) using an 18-gauge needle prior to MWA for pathological diagnosis and lysate preparation. After 9 days, the MDCs were resuspended in 1 ml saline and injected into the bilateral groin lymph nodes (0.5 ml each) under sonographic guidance. After 12 days, DC-CIK cells were resuspended in 25 ml saline and injected into the right abdominal cavity under sonographic guidance. After 15 days CIK cells were resuspended in 50 ml saline and infused intravenously. This protocol was repeated after 1 month, and again after 3 months (Fig. 1). Thereafter, an additional course of immunotherapy was administered after 6, 9, 12, 18 and 24 months in the case that the results of blood tests did not meet the following conditions: i) Absolute lymphocyte count, ≥1.2x10^9/l; ii) CD3+/CD4+, CD3+/CD8+, CD8+/CD28+, CD8+/CD28 and CD3+/CD16+/CD56+ T lymphocyte subset counts within the normal range. These subsets were selected as they are associated with the immune state, and influence the prognostic of patients with HCC. The normal ranges were adopted based on our previous survey study on healthy volunteers (15-18).

Preparation of MDCs and effector cells from peripheral blood. Immunocytes were prepared by Beijing Yongtai Immune Application Technology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China) in a manufacturing practice-compliant facility. MDCs, DC-CIK cells and CIK cells were isolated in accordance with previously reported methods (12). MDCs and DC-CIK cell infusions were guided by ultrasound (Fig. 2). Ultrasound and contrast-enhanced ultrasound were performed using a Sequoia US system (Siemens Healthcare, Mountain View, CA, USA) with a 3.5-5.0-MHz linear multi-frequency transducer. The ultrasound contrast agent used was SonoVue (Bracco Imaging, Milan, Italy).

Clinical outcomes and follow-up. All the patients were diagnosed using histological analysis. Routine blood panel, tumor markers (including α-fetoprotein, carcinoembryonic antigen and carbohydrate antigen 19-9), hepatitis B/C viral load and hepatic and renal function, sonography, contrast-enhanced sonography, contrast-enhanced CT and/or contrast-enhanced MRI were performed prior to immunotherapy and at 1, 3, 6, 9, 12, 18 and 24 months after MWA. All CT studies were
conducted using a multi-detector row CT (Lightspeed 16; GE Medical Systems, Inc., Milwaukee, WI, USA) and contrast medium (iopromide, Ultravist 300; Schering AG, Berlin, Germany). All MRI studies were conducted using a 1.5-T unit (Signa Echo-Speed; GE Medical Systems), and Magnevist contrast medium (0.1 mmol/kg body weight; Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Leverkusen, Germany).

The disease free survival was defined as the period following immunotherapy when no disease was detectable within 16 months of MWA.

Statistical analysis. Data was analyzed using SAS statistical software, version 8.2 for Windows (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The continuous data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Multiple groups were compared using analysis of variance or rank sum test. Paired groups were compared by independent-samples t-test, rank sum test or χ² test. Patient survival time was compared between groups using a Kaplan-Meier survival curve and log-rank tests. Two-tailed P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Baseline characteristics of patients. A total of 29 patients with HCC were recruited in the present study from the Department of Interventional Ultrasound in the Chinese PLA General Hospital between February 2009 and December 2010. A group of 14 patients opted to receive immunotherapy after MWA (immunotherapy group), and 15 patients opted not to receive any post-ablation adjuvant therapy (control group). The baseline characteristics of patients in the two groups did not differ significantly (P>0.05; Table I). By December 2010, 64 courses of immunotherapy had been performed in 14 patients, including 3 courses of immunotherapy completed in 6 patients, 4 courses in 2 patients, 5 courses in 1 patient, 6 courses in 3 patients, 7 courses in 1 patient and 8 courses in 1 patient. One patient experienced severe abdominal pain 30 min after...
the sixth DC-CIK infusion into the right abdominal cavity; however, the symptom disappeared rapidly with anti-allergy treatment. No grade III/IV severe adverse events occurred as a result of the other 63 courses of immunotherapy (19,20). However, a fever of <39˚C occurred in 28 courses (43.1%), in 6 patients (42.9%), but all fevers were resolved within 4-24 h without intervention.

Immunotherapy improves the immunostatus and liver function of patients with HCC. The absolute lymphocyte counts of patients in the control and immunotherapy groups did not differ significantly prior to ablation (P>0.05). However, after 6 months and following 3 courses of immunotherapy, the mean absolute lymphocyte count in the immunotherapy group (2.10±0.93.10⁹/l) significantly exceeded that in the control group (1.36±0.70.10⁹/l; P<0.05; Table II).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lymphocyte subset</th>
<th>Pre-immunotherapy</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD3⁺</td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td>0.000⁺</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.432</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>0.462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD8⁺</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.026⁺</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD8⁺CD28⁺</td>
<td>0.323</td>
<td>0.004⁺</td>
<td>0.036⁺</td>
<td>0.873</td>
<td>0.022⁺</td>
<td>0.607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NKT</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td>0.038⁺</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.038⁺</td>
<td>0.004⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD8⁺CD28⁺</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>0.432</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>0.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4⁺CD25⁺</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>0.024⁺</td>
<td>0.025⁺</td>
<td>0.795</td>
<td>0.629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4⁺CD8⁺</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>0.008⁺</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>0.879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4⁺</td>
<td>0.674</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.027⁺</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td>0.311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3⁺CD16⁺CD56⁺(NK)</td>
<td>0.647</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.694</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD19⁺</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td>0.289</td>
<td>0.706</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁺T lymphocyte subgroup is higher in patients receiving immunotherapy compared with the control group; ⁺T lymphocyte subgroup is lower in patients receiving immunotherapy group compared with the control group. NK, natural killer.

Table IV. Comparison of liver function between immunotherapy and control groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serum parameter</th>
<th>Control group (n=15)</th>
<th>Immunotherapy group (n=14)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALT (µ/l)</td>
<td>Pre-immunotherapy</td>
<td>41.3±7.8</td>
<td>42.8±7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-immunotherapy</td>
<td>37.5±6.3</td>
<td>37.9±6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D-value of pre and post-immunotherapy</td>
<td>-3.7±7.2</td>
<td>-4.9±5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (µ/l)</td>
<td>Pre-immunotherapy</td>
<td>46.1±7.2</td>
<td>46.4±7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-immunotherapy</td>
<td>35.8±6.5</td>
<td>37.7±5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D-value of pre and post-immunotherapy</td>
<td>-10.7±8.8</td>
<td>-8.7±5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (g/l)</td>
<td>Pre-immunotherapy</td>
<td>39.27±4.89</td>
<td>41.3±3.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-immunotherapy</td>
<td>38.43±5.98</td>
<td>44.39±3.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D-value of pre and post-immunotherapy</td>
<td>-0.84±4.63</td>
<td>3.07±2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChE (µ/l)</td>
<td>Pre-immunotherapy</td>
<td>5503.49±1246.45</td>
<td>6043.28±1785.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-immunotherapy</td>
<td>5701.98±1303.10</td>
<td>6679.91±1480.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D-value of pre and post-immunotherapy</td>
<td>198.49±562.76</td>
<td>636.63±510.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D-value is the difference between the liver function index pre- and post-immunotherapy. ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ChE, cholinesterase.
in the immunotherapy group between 1 and 12 months after immunotherapy (P<0.05; Table III).

The alanine aminotransferase (ALT), AST, albumin and cholinesterase (ChE) levels of patients in the control and immunotherapy groups did not differ significantly prior to ablation. However, after 6 months and 3 courses of immunotherapy, the mean albumin level in the immunotherapy group (44.39±3.87 g/l) exceeded that in the control group (38.43±5.98 g/l; P<0.05; Table IV). By contrast, the mean ALT, AST and ChE levels did not differ significantly between the control and immunotherapy groups after 6 months (Table IV).

**Immunotherapy increased the proliferation of immune cells.** The proliferative activity of immune cells was compared after 1 and 3 courses of immunotherapy (Table V). The peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) count after the first course of immunotherapy (8.93±4.07x10⁷ cells/l) did not differ significantly from the PBMC count after the third course of immunotherapy (7.32±2.96x10⁷ cells/l; P=0.996). Furthermore, following *in vitro* culture, the absolute number of MDCs and CIK cells did not differ significantly between samples collected

---

**Table V. T cell count and different subgroup ratio after culture between third and first immunotherapy.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>After first immunotherapy (n=14)</th>
<th>After third immunotherapy (n=14)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PBMC (x10⁷/l)</td>
<td>8.93±4.07</td>
<td>7.32±2.96</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDC (x10⁷/l)</td>
<td>10.74±5.26</td>
<td>16.5±9.16</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIK (x10⁶/l)</td>
<td>2.65±2.29</td>
<td>3.23±1.46</td>
<td>0.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD83⁺</td>
<td>50.60±28.65</td>
<td>74.87±20.87</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD86⁺</td>
<td>62.69±29.50</td>
<td>86.71±12.77</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3⁺CD8⁺</td>
<td>68.70±15.73</td>
<td>81.80±9.26</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3⁺CD56⁺</td>
<td>29.59±11.42</td>
<td>35.82±8.92</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cell; MDC, myeloid dendritic cell; CIK, cytokine-induced killer.

---

**Figure 2.** (A) Myeloid dendritic cells (MDCs) injected into groin lymph nodes under sonographic guidance. Local slightly hyperechoic (thin arrow) indicates the MDCs injected into the lymphocyte node (thick arrow). (B) Dendritic cell-cytokine-induced killer (DC-CIK) cells injected into abdominal cavity under sonographic guidance. Color Doppler (thin arrow) shows the process of DC-CIK cells injected into the abdominal cavity (thick arrow, parietal peritoneum).

**Figure 3.** Comparison of disease free survival rates of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma between the immunotherapy and control groups.

---

**Figure 3.** Comparison of disease free survival rates of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma between the immunotherapy and control groups.
after the first (10.74±5.26 and 2.65±2.29, respectively) or third course of immunotherapy (16.5±9.16 and 3.23±1.46, respectively). However, the fraction of CD3\(^+\), CD8\(^+\) and CD3\(^+\)CD8\(^+\)cells was significantly increased between the first (50.60±28.65, 62.69±29.50 and 68.70±15.73, respectively) and the third courses of immunotherapy (74.87±20.87, 86.71±12.77 and 81.80±9.26, respectively; P<0.05).

Rate of recurrence and disease-free survival (DFS). Intrahepatic recurrence was detected in 1 case (7.1%) at 13 months after ablation, but no extrahepatic recurrences or fatalities were detected in the immunotherapy group. In the control group, intrahepatic recurrence was identified in 5 patients (33.3%) within 1-19 months of ablation, and extrahepatic recurrence occurred in 1 patient (6.7%). In addition, 3 patients in the control group succumbed to various causes at 7, 10 and 12 months after ablation (20%). The cause of mortality was upper gastrointestinal bleeding in 1 case, liver failure in 1 case and tumor progression in 1 case. However, due to the low rate of recurrence and mortality, the rate of DFS and overall survival (OS) was not significantly lower in the immunotherapy group compared with the control group (DFS, 92.86 vs. 66.67%, P=0.076; OS, 100% vs. 80%, P=0.126), as indicated by a Kaplan-Meier plot (Fig. 3).

Discussion

Immunotherapy has been demonstrated to increase the rate of recurrence-free survival following resection or ablation in patients with HCC (6-9,11). The aim of the present study was to assess the efficacy of an expanded immunotherapy protocol and to evaluate the precise differences in immune and liver function in order to clarify the impact of immunotherapy. In a previous study, the present authors reported the outcome of a phase I clinical study of immunotherapy, which included immature DCs, CIK cells and CTL following MWA of HCC (12). In the present study, a prolonged course of immunotherapy was administered to patients and an expanded range of observational indices were detected.

The absolute lymphocyte count in the immunotherapy group exceeded that in the control group after 3 courses of immunotherapy. Furthermore, certain cytotoxic subsets (CD3\(^+\)/CD8\(^+\), CD8\(^+\)/CD28\(^+\) and CD3\(^+\)/CD16\(^+\)/CD56\(^+\)) were over-represented, while negative regulatory or helper subsets (CD4\(^+\)/CD8\(^-\), CD4\(^+\)/CD16\(^-\)/CD56\(^+\) and CD4\(^+\)/CD25\(^+\)) were under-represented in the immunotherapy group between 1 and 12 months after immunotherapy. After 2 courses of immunotherapy the proliferation rate of MDCs and T lymphocytes, including CD3\(^+\)/CD8\(^+\) cells, was significantly increased. In addition, the level of albumin in the immunotherapy group was increased compared with the control group after 3 courses of immunotherapy, indicating the accelerated recovery of liver function. However, the rate of disease free survival and overall survival within 16 months of MWA did not differ significantly between the two groups.

The present results indicate that the immunotherapy protocol induced a wide spectrum of changes in the immune system. A number of studies have previously reported that an elevated ratio of lymphocyte to monocytes pre- or postoperatively is positively correlated with the outcomes of patients with various malignancies (21,22). Increased lymphocyte counts have been observed following immunotherapy, indicating elevated antitumor activity (23). The proliferative capacity of patient T lymphocytes was elevated after 2 courses of immunotherapy. The MDC, CD8\(^+\) and CD3\(^+\)/CD16\(^+\)/CD56\(^+\) T cell subsets appeared to be particularly enhanced (24,25). Enhanced levels of cytotoxic subsets (CD3\(^+\)/CD8\(^+\), CD8\(^+\)/CD28\(^+\) and CD3\(^+\)/CD16\(^+\)/CD56\(^+\)) were detected in the peripheral blood, within 12 months of treatment, indicating an enhanced antitumor immune response (26,27). Immune regulatory or suppressive subsets have been reported to be elevated in the peripheral blood at 3-6 months after ablation, relieving the immune response directed towards HCC (28). For the suppressive subset CD4\(^+\)/CD25\(^+\), the levels were reduced between 3 and 6 months after ablation, which partly mitigated the immunosuppressive response to HCC (28). In addition, reduced levels of the suppressive and immunoregulatory subsets (CD4\(^+\)/CD8\(^+\), CD4\(^+\) and CD4\(^+\)/CD25\(^+\)) were observed between 1 and 12 months after MWA. However, this difference may be attributed to the increase in the ratio in cytotoxic subsets.

In the current study, immunotherapy appeared to accelerate the recovery of circulating albumin levels, which is an indicator of liver function. However, no statistically significant differences were detected in other measures of liver function (including ChE, AST and ALT) between patients that received immunotherapy and those in the control group. The observed recovery of albumin levels in the present study demonstrates the improvement of liver cell status and indicates the improvement of the liver microenvironment.

The disease free survival and overall survival rate were not significantly higher in the immunotherapy group compared with the control group. However, it is possible that a larger study in which more patients are enrolled and followed-up for a longer time period (>19 months) may reveal the impact of immunotherapy of outcomes to be significant. Previous studies including longer follow-up periods (up to 5 years post-ablation) (6,7), or enrolling larger numbers of patients (6-8) have reported an impressive impact of immunotherapy on recurrence rates and outcome. Therefore, future studies involving more patients for a longer follow-up period are required. Furthermore, studies should investigate the cytotoxic capacity of immune cells ex vivo, in order to determine whether immunotherapy may exert the observed beneficial effects by enhancing the capacity of immune cells to destroy remaining or recurring HCC cells.

In conclusion, in the present study, an immunotherapy protocol employing multiple types of immune cells, administered after MWA, was found to improve the immune status and liver function in patients with HCC.
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