SNPs in the aryl hydrocarbon receptor-interacting protein gene associated with sporadic non-functioning pituitary adenoma
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Abstract. Mutations in the aryl hydrocarbon receptor-interacting protein (AIP) gene have previously been associated with a predisposition to pituitary adenomas. However, to the best of our knowledge, mutations in AIP that relate specifically to sporadic non-functioning pituitary adenomas (NFPAs) have yet to be reported. Therefore, the present study aimed to identify single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the AIP gene that may be associated with NFPAs. Peripheral blood samples and the entire coding sequence of the AIP gene from 56 patients with NFPAs and 56 controls were analyzed in triplicate. Of the 56 patients with NFPAs, 9 patients (16.1%) were identified as harboring five different SNPs, although no germline mutations in the AIP gene were detected in any of the patients. Three different SNPs (7051C>T, 8012G>C and 8020G>C) were identified in exons 4 and 6 in 3 different patients (each in 1 patient). Two different SNPs (7318C>A and 7886A>G) were identified in exons 5 and 6, respectively, in 6 different patients (each in 3 patients). No SNPs or germline mutations in the AIP gene were identified in the controls. The results of the present study suggested that mutations in the AIP gene might not have an important role in the tumorigenesis of NFPAs. However, further studies are required in order to investigate potential molecular and genetic mechanisms that may underlie the involvement of AIP in NFPAs.

Introduction

Pituitary adenomas occur with an estimated prevalence of ~16.7% in the general population (1), and they account for ~15% of all intracranial tumors (2,3). Sporadic non-functioning pituitary adenomas (NFPAs) are a common subtype of pituitary adenoma (4,5), which account for 25% of all pituitary adenomas (6). They are not associated with clinical hypersecretory syndromes, rather with symptoms induced by an intracranial mass, including headaches, hypopituitarism or visual-field disturbances (6). Furthermore, they never show a genetic predisposition, as compared with familial NFPAs. Pituitary adenomas are rarely malignant; however, they may exhibit various invasive behaviors that have been associated with the particular pathological subtype (7) and with varying degrees of morbidity (1). Previous studies have investigated the molecular and genetic mechanisms underlying these tumors (2-6); however, the exact pathogenesis underlying the tumorigenesis of pituitary adenomas, in particular NFPAs, is currently unclear.

In a previous study, based on the detection of three clusters of familial pituitary adenomas in Northern Finland, germline mutations in the aryl hydrocarbon receptor-interacting protein (AIP) gene were associated with the oncogenesis of pituitary adenomas (8). This finding suggested that the AIP gene may act as a tumor suppressor in pituitary adenomas (8). Conversely, Yu et al (9) reported that mutations in the AIP gene were not prevalent among US patients with sporadic pituitary adenomas. Investigations of AIP mutations in patients with sporadic or familial pituitary tumors have been conducted in other populations worldwide, including: The Netherlands (10), Belgium (10,11), Italy (10,11,12), France (10,11), USA, Spain, Argentina, The Netherlands, Czech Republic (11), Germany, Turkey, Canada (12), Finland (13), UK (12,14,15), Brazil (11,16) and Ireland (17). Although the results of these studies suggested that the degree of germline mutations rate in the AIP gene varied, they also demonstrated that AIP has a significant role in the tumorigenesis of pituitary adenomas. A previous study has demonstrated that AIP forms a complex with the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) and two 90-kDa heat-shock proteins. Furthermore, mutations in AIP induced the downregulation of AIP and AHR, which lead to tumor predisposition (8). It has also been demonstrated that AIP is capable of interacting with at least two phosphodiesterase (PDE) isoforms, PDE2A and PDE4A5, peroxisome proliferation-activated receptor-α, survivin, translocase of the outer membrane of mitochondria 20, and thyroid hormone receptor β1. Therefore, mutations in AIP may have various important roles in the tumorigenesis of pituitary adenomas (13). However, to the best of our knowledge, mutations in AIP relating specifically to NFPAs have yet to be investigated. Therefore, the present study screened the genomes of 56 patients with sporadic NFPAs and 56 controls,
in order to identify single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the AIP gene that may be associated with the tumorigenesis of NFPAs.

Materials and methods

Patients. A total of 56 consecutive patients with sporadic NFPAs from the Department of Neurosurgery at the Fuxing Hospital (Beijing, China) were prospectively enrolled in the present study between September 2012 and May 2014. A total of 56 controls were also recruited. In the control group, age, gender, symptoms, physical examinations and medical history were recorded. All controls were healthy without any disease and lacked a familial history of related diseases. Enhanced head MRI was conducted and peripheral blood samples were collected from all controls in order to ensure they met the criterion of the study. The inclusion criteria for the patients were as follows: i) No familial history of pituitary adenomas; ii) the patient had not accepted treatment; and iii) laboratory tests for the growth hormones prolactin and adrenocorticotropic hormone were normal for both patients and controls. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Capital Medical University (Beijing, China; no ECCMU201105250263).

Materials. Peripheral blood samples were collected from the patients and controls prior to surgery or treatments and were immediately frozen at -80°C. Patients underwent either craniotomy or transsphenoidal surgical procedures, during which pituitary adenoma tissue samples were collected. Subsequently, tissue slices were fixed in formalin for light microscopy and immunocytochemistry, following staining with hematoxylin and eosin. The avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex technique was used to identify pituitary tumor cells. Patients with symptoms of an intracranial mass, including headaches, hypopituitarism or visual-field disturbances, were required to undergo an enhanced head MRI. Following the identification of occupying lesions in the saddle area, peripheral blood samples were harvested from corresponding patients in order to assess the pituitary hormone levels and in preparation for the detection of AIP gene polymorphisms. Upon classification of the tumors as pituitary adenomas via postoperative immunohistochemical pathology, peripheral blood samples were used in all subsequent experiments.

DNA extraction and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification. Genomic DNA was extracted from the peripheral blood samples using the Animal Blood Genomic DNA Extraction Magnetic Bead kit (Bioeasy Biotechnologies, Co., Ltd., Shenzhen, China), in order to conduct germline mutation analyses. Briefly, the exons and flanking intronic sequences of the AIP gene (GenBank accession number Hs. 412433) from patients and controls were amplified by PCR using the Applied Biosystems GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). The following primers were used: Exon 1 forward, 5'-CGCAGAGAAACCATTACCATC-3' and reverse, 5'-AACCCGAAGTCTGGC-3'; exon 2 forward, 5'-GGGAGACCTGAGTGGTGG-3' and reverse, 5'-CACAGGAGACTCCATCTCA-3'; exon 3 forward, 5'-CTCTGTCTTAAACGGA GTAGGGT-3' and reverse, 5'-AACAGTAGAAACAGACGTT GAAAA-3'; exon 4-5 forward, 5'-CTCTGTCTGCTGATTGTT GTGAT-3' and reverse, 5'-CATTCATGCTTCATTGGCA ACA-3'; and exon 6 forward, 5'-ATGTTGAGAGACATG TGAAG-3' and reverse, 5'-AACAGCCACCAAGTACCA-3' (Takara Biotechnology, Co., Ltd., Dalian, China). All primers included the entire coding region of the AIP gene, and extended from the exon/intron junction in opposite directions.

The PCR cycling conditions were as follows: Denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 32 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec, annealing at 55°C for 30 sec, and extension at 72°C for 45 sec, followed by a final extension step at 72°C for 7 min. Subsequently, DNA sequencing was conducted using the MegaBASE 4500 DNA analyzer (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Shanghai, China). The sequences were analyzed using Sequence Pilot software, version 3.1 (JSI medical systems GmbH, Ettenheim, Germany). All samples were analyzed in triplicate.

Results

Clinical data. In order to investigate whether specific mutations in the AIP gene were associated with NFPAs, the genomes of 56 patients with NFPAs and 56 controls were analyzed. The patient cohort consisted of 30 males (53.6%) and 26 females (46.4%); whereas the control group consisted of 29 males (51.8%) and 27 females (48.2%). The age average of patient group was 38.3±6.5 years old (range, 22-56 years), whereas the controls were 40.6±4.6 years old (range, 19-62 years). Of the 56 patients, 13 (23.2%) were diagnosed with microadenomas and 43 (76.8%) were diagnosed with macroadenomas (in the
Figure 3. Single nucleotide polymorphism (7886A>G) was identified in exon 6 of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor-interacting protein gene in 3 patients, indicating that the base sequence was of the A/G heterozygous genotype.

Figure 4. Single nucleotide polymorphism (8012G>C) was identified in exon 6 of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor-interacting protein gene in 2 patients and the base sequence was altered from G to C in some copies of the gene, suggesting that the base sequence was of the G/C heterozygous genotype.

Figure 5. Single nucleotide polymorphism (8020G>C) was identified in exon 6 of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor-interacting protein gene in 2 patients and the base sequence was changed from G to C in some copies of the gene, indicating that the base sequence was of the G/C heterozygous genotype.

SNPs in the AIP gene. Of the 56 patients with NFPAs, 4 were identified as harboring three SNPs, but no germline mutations, in the AIP gene. The 7051C>T SNP was identified in exon 4 of the AIP gene in 1 patient; the base sequence was changed from cytosine (C) to thymine (T) in some copies of the gene, indicating that the base sequence was of the C/T heterozygous genotype (Fig. 1). The 7318C>A SNP was identified in exon 5 of the AIP gene in 3 patients; the base sequence was changed from C to adenine (A) in some copies of the gene, indicating that the base sequence was of the C/A heterozygous genotype (Fig. 2). The 7886A>G SNP was identified in exon 6 of the AIP gene in 3 patients; base sequence was changed from A to guanine (G) in some copies of the gene, indicating that the base sequence was of the A/G heterozygous genotype (Fig. 3). Furthermore, the SNPs (8012G>C; 8020G>C) were each identified in exon 6 of the AIP gene in 2 different patients; the base sequences were changed from G to C in some copies of the gene, which suggested that the base sequences were of the G/C heterozygous genotype (Figs. 4 and 5). No SNPs or germline mutations were identified in the AIP gene of the 56 controls.

Discussion

Pituitary adenomas, which are relatively common intracranial tumors that are second only to gliomas and meningiomas, represent ~15% of primary intracranial neoplasms by histology (3). Although they are typically benign and seldom transform into malignant tumors, pituitary adenomas exhibit a collection of invasive behaviors that are associated with varying degrees of morbidity (7). These tumors grow aggressively, characterized by gross invasion of the surrounding tissues. Patients may experience vision and visual-field disturbances when the optic nerves are invaded. Patients may also experience diplopia, movement disorder and increased intracranial pressure; whereas nausea and vomiting are common in patients with pituitary tumors (6).

Vierimaa et al (8) identified germline mutations in the AIP gene in three clusters of familial pituitary adenomas from Northern Finland. In this isolated population, three AIP mutations were identified, which accounted for 16% of all patients diagnosed with pituitary tumors. The findings suggested that a predisposition to pituitary adenomas may be associated with mutations in the AIP gene, and that the AIP gene may act as a tumor suppressor in pituitary tumors (8).

The AIP gene is located on chromosome 11q13 and encodes a 330-amino-acid protein, which interacts with the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) and the heat shock protein 90 dimer (15,18). In a previous study, the α-helical carboxyl (C)-terminus of the AIP gene, which is adjacent to the tetratricopeptide repeat domain, was shown to be essential for binding to AhR, since deleting the five amino acids at the C-terminal resulted in a truncated protein that was unable to bind to the AhR; alanine-scanning mutagenesis confirmed the absolute requirement of the α-helical C-terminus domain for binding to AhR (18). AhR is a ligand-activated transcription factor involved in responses to hypoxia, cellular differentiation and cell cycle regulation (19). The AHR may bind to exogenous ligands, including carcinogenic and teratogenic hydrocarbons and their derivatives, such as dioxin, and can be activated by cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) via protein kinase A, which may cause the receptor to translocate to the nucleus and stimulate AhR-dependent gene expression (20). Heterozygous inactivating mutations are likely to downregulate expression of the AIP protein. In addition, AIP has been shown to bind to phosphodiesterase 4A5 and attenuate its effect (21). The consequences of AIP inactivation on CAMP signaling require further study.

At present, the mechanisms underlying the induction of oncogenesis by mutations in the AIP gene remain hypothetical. Subsequent to the initial study (8), a series of mutations in the AIP gene were discovered in patients with sporadic or familial pituitary adenomas from various populations (9-17). Yu et al (9) reported that AIP mutations were not...
prevalent among US patients with sporadic pituitary tumors. Daly et al (11) investigated the genetic and clinical features of 73 families with familial isolated pituitary adenomas, and demonstrated that 10 AIP mutations were present among these families, of which nine were novel mutations. Furthermore, Jennings et al (22) reported that familial isolated pituitary tumors in a large Samoan kindred population from Australia/New Zealand contained an R271W mutation that was associated with aggressive pituitary adenomas. In addition, Naves et al (23) reported the A195V mutation, Pinho et al (24) the E24X mutation, and Villa et al (25) the E216X mutation in the AIP gene. Tichomirowa et al (26) reported the existence of a germline mutation in the AIP gene in 11.8% of patients with pituitary adenomas, and Cazabat et al (27) detected AIP mutations in 16/443 patients, including 6/148 patients with acromegaly. However, to the best of our knowledge, mutations in the AIP gene specifically relating to sporadic NFPAs have not been reported previously.

The present study demonstrated that there were five different SNPs among exons 4, 5 and 6 of the AIP gene in 56 patients, although no germline mutations in the AIP gene were identified. Three SNPs (7051C>T; 8012G>C; 8020G>C) were identified in exons 4 and 6 in 3 different patients, each within 1 patient. Two SNPs (7318C>A; 7886A>G) were identified in exons 5 and 6 of 6 different patients, each within 3 patients.

In conclusion, the present study analyzed the occurrence of SNPs in the AIP gene from the genomes of patients with sporadic NFPAs. The results suggest that mutations or SNPs in the AIP gene are unlikely to have an important role in NFPAs. However, the present study had certain limitations, which were the lack of familial and other types of pituitary adenomas among the subjects, and the absence of any analysis of the mechanism underlying any effects of the AIP gene in the tumorigenesis of NFPAs. Furthermore, given the 7.1% prevalence of SNPs in the AIP gene, the molecular and genetic mechanisms underlying the involvement of the AIP gene in the tumorigenesis of NFPAs require further investigation.
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