Abstract. Local excision (LE) for rectal cancer is currently indicated for selected T1 stage tumors. However, preoperative chemoradiotherapy (CRT) for locally advanced rectal cancer not only improves local disease control, but also leads to a decrease in the stage and size of the primary mural tumor, along with a decrease in the risk of regional lymphadenopathy. The present study reports the outcome of a patient with T3N0M0 rectal cancer who was treated with LE following preoperative CRT. The distal pole of the tumor was located 2 cm from the anal verge. Preoperative pelvic radiotherapy of 50.4 Gy was administered in 28 fractions. Chemotherapy using 5-fluorouracil and leucovorin was administered during the first and last weeks of radiotherapy. The tumor response to CRT, was found to be marked at 7 weeks after CRT completion, and a complete response was presumed clinically. Transanal full-thickness LE was performed, and pathological examination revealed the absence of residual cancer cells. After 30 months of close follow-up, the patient was alive with no evidence of disease, and treatment-associated severe toxicities were not observed. Although a longer follow-up period is required, this case report suggests that LE may also be a feasible alternative treatment for T3 rectal cancer, which exhibits a marked response to preoperative CRT, particularly in elderly and comorbid patients contraindicated for radical surgery, or patients who are reluctant to undergo sphincter-ablation surgery.

Introduction

The incidence rates for colorectal cancer have continued to increase in Korea; in 2012, colorectal cancer was the second and third most frequently diagnosed cancer in males and females, respectively (1). For rectal cancer, an improvement in surgical techniques and the introduction of multimodality treatments (radiotherapy and chemotherapy) have improved treatment outcomes (2). The standard surgical procedure for the treatment of rectal cancer is abdominoperineal resection, low anterior resection or resection with coloanal anastomosis (3). However, local excision (LE) has been regarded as an alternative method for selected cases that fulfill stringent conditions of small-sized T1 tumors with no unfavorable histological features (4). LE has advantages in rapid postoperative recovery, avoiding sphincter ablation (permanent colostomy) and morbidities associated with radical resection, such as urinary and sexual dysfunction (4).

For locally advanced rectal cancer [LARC; also referred to as stage T3-4 or N+ rectal cancer, according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) Cancer Staging Manual (5), chemoradiotherapy (CRT) has been established as a standard preoperative treatment, rather than a postoperative treatment (6,7). Preoperative CRT leads to significant improvement in the local disease control of LARC (8). In addition, preoperative CRT and a 6-8-week interval prior to the surgical procedure result in a decrease in the stage and size of the primary mural tumors, along with a lower risk of regional lymphadenopathy (9). In a subset of patients exhibiting marked tumor response to CRT, preoperative CRT may transform LARC so that LE may safely replace radical surgery.

The present study presents the case of a stage T3 rectal cancer patient who was treated with LE following preoperative CRT, and discusses issues associated with this approach.

Case report

A 69-year-old male was referred to the Soonchunhyang University Hospital (Cheonan, South Korea) on 28th April 2013 for further evaluation and the treatment of rectal cancer, which was diagnosed during national medical check-ups. The patient had no specific symptoms associated with rectal cancer. The distal portion of the mass was palpated 2 cm from the anal verge on a digital rectal examination. Colonoscopy showed the presence of an ulcerofungating mass on the rectal wall (Fig. 1A). Pathological examination of a biopsy specimen revealed moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma. The serum levels of carcinoembryonic antigen were determined by a routine blood test and were 4.4 ng/ml (normal range,
Preoperative radiotherapy of 45 Gy in 25 fractions was delivered to the pelvis, followed by a boost of 5.4 Gy in three fractions within 5.5 weeks, according to guidelines (3). The patient underwent CT simulation in the prone position using a belly board. The target volume included the presacral space, mesorectum, gross tumor volume and regional lymphatics, including the presacral, internal iliac, distal common iliac and perirectal lymphatics. The boost target volume included the gross tumor volume and adjacent mesorectum. A three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy plan was created using the Eclipse Treatment Planning system (Varian Medical Systems, Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA). The three-field plan consisted of a 15-MV photon opposed lateral field with wedges of 45° and a 6-MV photon posterior-anterior field. Radiotherapy was performed using a Novalis Tx system (Varian Medical Systems, Inc.; BrainLab AG, Feldkirchen, Germany). Preoperative chemotherapy with 5-fluorouracil (Ildong Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Seoul, South Korea) and leucovorin (Samjin Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Seoul, South Korea) and Ultracet® tablets (37.5 mg tramadol HCl and 325 mg acetaminophen; Janssen Korea Ltd., Seoul, South Korea). Postoperative chemotherapy was not administered.

Follow-up evaluation consisted of physical and digital rectal examination, complete blood counts, liver function tests and measurement of carcinoembryonic antigen levels, every 3 months. Chest radiography and CT of the abdomen and pelvis were conducted every 6 months. Colonoscopy and PET-CT was performed every year. At 30 months post-treatment, the patient was alive with no evidence of disease and no severe complications.

**Discussion**

The current oncology practice guidelines describe the use of LE on rectal cancer appropriate only for highly selected patients with a good prognosis. The eligibility criteria for LE in the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines include the following: T1 tumor stage, tumor size of <3 cm, <30% bowel circumference, clear margin (>3 mm), mobile non-fixed, no lymphovascular or perineural invasion, well-to-moderate differentiation and no lymphadenopathy pre-treatment imaging (3). Stage T2-3 rectal cancer is excluded from LE indications. However, T2-3 rectal tumors are a heterogeneous group when assessed by CRT response. Patients with LARC were demonstrated to have various outcomes according to the degree of response to preoperative CRT (11,12). In a previous study, patients with an initial cStage II-III and post-treatment (y) pathological (p)Stage 0-I rectal cancer (staged according to...
the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual) (5), showed similar favorable outcomes as patients with pStage I cancer (7). Therefore, the current LE criteria for T1 rectal cancer may be expanded to include a subgroup of T2-3 rectal cancer that exhibits excellent tumor response to preoperative CRT.

Few prospective clinical trials have been conducted to address the feasibility of LE following preoperative CRT in T2-3 rectal cancer (13-15). In a previous trial in Italy, 63 patients with T2 (n=21) or T3 (n=42) rectal cancer were enrolled, and clinical lymphadenopathy was present in 39 patients (62%) (13). The criteria of observation following LE (ypStage T0-1 with no cancer cells on resected specimen margins) were satisfied in 43 patients (68%); among them, only 1 patient developed recurrence, which presented as distant metastases. In another trial in Poland, 86 patients with T1-3N0 rectal cancer received a short-course of radiotherapy or a long-course of CRT, both with a 6-week interval until LE (14). A total of 63 (71%) patients responded well to treatment, defined as ypT0-1 without unfavorable prognostic factors (positive margin, tumor fragmentation, grade 3, lymphovascular or perineural invasion) (14). In these patients, the Kaplan-Meier incidence of local recurrence at 2 years was 10%, with no significant difference between the two preoperative treatments (14). No solitary distant metastasis was observed.

To the best of our knowledge, only one randomized controlled trial has been conducted to date. In this trial by Lezoche et al (15), patients with rectal cancer of a small size (<3 cm), T2N0 stage, well-to-moderately differentiated and distal location were randomized following preoperative CRT, in order to receive transanal endoscopic microsurgery or laparoscopic total mesorectal excision. Long-term oncological outcomes, including local recurrence, distant metastasis and cancer-associated survival, were not significantly different between the two groups. Disease recurrence, local or distant, developed only in patients with low or no response to preoperative CRT in both treatment groups.

Although full-thickness LE occasionally retrieves 1-2 lymph nodes adjacent to the primary tumor, it can not address mesorectal lymph nodes as thoroughly as total mesorectal excision (16). Overall, without preoperative treatments, T1 rectal cancer has a 10-20% rate of lymph node metastasis (4,16). When excluding T1 tumors possessing high-risk clinical and histological features, this rate is <10%; this T1 subgroup constitutes the current inclusion criteria for LE (16). In LARC, post-CRT positive ypN rates in ypT0-1 have been reported to be <10% (17). Metastatic cancer cells on lymph nodes regress along the primary tumor regression by CRT. The clinical stage of the present case was determined to be T3N0; even when subclinical mesorectal lymph node disease was presumed to be present, CRT may have been sufficient for microscopic disease eradication. Significant tumor regression following CRT also represents favorable biological tumor behavior (12).

An obstacle in implementing this approach in patients with rectal cancer is the limited ability for preoperative clinical or radiological assessment of the post-CRT tumor status (18). Radiology investigations using PET and diffusion-weighted MRI are undergoing to improve the accuracy in evaluating the response of rectal cancer to CRT (19,20). However, the post-LE ypT status (ypT0-1) remains the most reliable parameter for determining whether to proceed with LE. Radical resection following initial LE in patients who exhibit a lower than predicted response was reported to not compromise long-term outcomes (21,22). Among the pretreatment patient or tumor characteristics, low serum levels of carcinoembryonic antigen (≤5 ng/ml) have been demonstrated to be independently associated with a good pathological response of LARC to CRT (23).

The patient discussed in the present study had carcinoembryonic antigen levels of 4.4 ng/ml, and a post-CRT pathological complete response.

LE requires strict adherence to an intense surveillance schedule to detect any recurrence early in order to provide salvage treatment. The present patient has been disease-free for 30 months post-treatment; however, further close follow-up is required as rectal cancer has a tendency for late recurrence following preoperative CRT (24).

In conclusion, the present case report supports that LE may be a feasible alternative for T3 rectal cancer treatment following significant response to CRT. The physician may need to discuss this treatment option with a patient suspected of a significant CRT response. Until further prospective and randomized clinical trials are conducted, this approach may be particularly valuable in elderly and comorbid patients for whom radical surgery, currently the sole standard treatment, includes high morbidity and mortality risks, or patients who are reluctant to undergo sphincter-ablation surgery.
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