Eosinophilic biomarkers for detection of acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with or without pulmonary embolism

QIONG-FANG YANG\textsuperscript{1}, TING-TING LU\textsuperscript{2}, CAI-MIN SHU\textsuperscript{1}, LAN-FANG FENG\textsuperscript{1}, HAO-TENG CHANG\textsuperscript{3,4} and QIAO-YING JI\textsuperscript{1}

Departments of \textsuperscript{1}Respiratory Medicine and \textsuperscript{2}Science Education, Affiliated Dongyang Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Dongyang, Zhejiang 322100, P.R. China; \textsuperscript{3}Graduate Institute of Basic Medical Science, China Medical University, Taichung 404; \textsuperscript{4}Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, Asia University, Taichung 413, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Received October 5, 2016; Accepted May 19, 2017

Correspondence to: Dr Qiao-Ying Ji, Department of Respiratory Medicine, Affiliated Dongyang Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, 60 Wuning West Road, Dongyang, Zhejiang 322100, P.R. China
E-mail: qyj195581@163.com

Key words: acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, pulmonary embolism, eosinophil cationic protein, eosinophil-derived neurotoxin, eosinophil peroxidase, major basic protein

Abstract. Eosinophilia has been implicated in the pathophysiology of acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD). However, the role of eosinophil activation in the development of AECOPD remains unclear. In the present study, the reliability of plasma levels of eosinophil activation markers, including eosinophil cationic protein (ECP), major basic protein (MBP), eosinophil-derived neurotoxin (EDN) and eosinophil peroxidase (EPX), were measured and used as diagnostic biomarkers of AECOPD with or without pulmonary embolism (PE). A total of 47 patients with AECOPD, 30 patients with AECOPD/PE and 35 healthy adults were enrolled in the present study. Plasma levels of ECP, EDN, EPX and MBP were measured using commercial ELISA kits. The mean concentrations of plasma ECP, EDN, EPX and MBP in the patients with AECOPD was significantly 2.87-, 3.06-, 1.60- and 1.92-fold higher, respectively, compared with the control group (P<0.05). Similar results were obtained in patients with AECOPD/PE, for whom plasma levels of ECP, EDN, EPX and MBP were significantly 2.06-, 2.21-, 1.42- and 2.42-fold higher, respectively, compared with the controls (P<0.05). No significant differences were observed in the levels of these proteins between patients with AECOPD or AECOPD/PE. Among the four potential markers, ECP was determined to be the optimal marker for distinguishing patients with AECOPD or AECOPD/PE from the controls. No significant correlation was observed between marker concentrations and gender, age or disease severity. The results of the present study may have clinical applications in the diagnosis of AECOPD using these novel biomarkers.

Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a chronic, progressive respiratory disease and, as the third largest cause of respiratory disease mortality, accounts for 23% of all respiratory disease mortalities (1). The diagnosis of COPD is changed to acute exacerbation of COPD (AECOPD) when respiratory symptoms worsen, beyond normal day-to-day variations, severely enough that changes in medication are required (2). AECOPD is associated with increased mortality and morbidity, and may have a profound impact on patients’ quality of life (3). The causes of acute exacerbation include infectious agents, pneumothorax, myocardial infarction and pulmonary embolism (PE) (2,4). The incidence of PE in patients with AECOPD is greater compared with those with stable COPD; for example, it is 5% greater in South Korea (5), 18% greater in Israel (6) and 29.1% greater in Turkey (7). COPD is an independent risk factor for PE (8), so once patients with AECOPD develop PE, respiratory and circulatory functions may deteriorate further, potentially becoming life threatening. Consistent with this observation, the mortality rate also significantly increases for patients with AECOPD/PE (9,10). Thus, the early prediction and diagnosis for patients with AECOPD, with or without PE, is crucial.

AECOPD and PE are associated with a wide range of inflammatory cells, mediators and cytokine network components, including P-selectin (11,12), inflammatory cytokines (13,14) and leucocytes (15,16). Previous studies have indicated that eosinophilic airway inflammation is also associated with the development of severe AECOPD (17-19). Blood eosinophilia has been reported to be associated with increased mortality in patients with COPD (20). When eosinophils are activated, four eosinophil secretory proteins are released systemically into
body fluids; eosinophil cationic protein (ECP), major basic protein (MBP), eosinophil-derived neurotoxin (EDN) and eosinophil peroxidase (EPX) (21). ECP has various biological activities, including antibacterial, antiviral, antiparasitic and neurotoxic functions, and it contributes to the regulation of fibroblast activity (21). ECP also induces airway mucus secretion and interacts with the coagulation and complement systems (22,23). Fiorini et al (24) concluded that serum ECP levels increased during AECOPD. Shahana et al (25) reported that MBP secreted by eosinophils induced the apoptosis of bronchial airway epithelial cells, leading to airway epithelial shedding and blister formation.

The role of eosinophil activation in the development of AECOPD with or without PE is unclear. Although ECP is increased in people with AECOPD (24), measuring this protein alone is insufficient to establish a correlation between eosinophil activation and AECOPD with or without PE. In the present study, the plasma levels of ECP, MBP, EPX and EDN were measured to assess and clarify the association between eosinophil activation and the development of AECOPD with or without PE. The plasma levels of these four proteins were measured in patients with AECOPD/PE, patients with AECOPD and control subjects. The results verified that eosinophils are activated in AECOPD; however, they were not significantly further activated in AECOPD/PE.

Materials and methods

Subjects. Demographic information for patients with AECOPD or AECOPD/PE and the normal control subjects is presented in Table I. A total of 30 patients with AECOPD/PE (male:female, 2:1), 47 patients with AECOPD (male:female, 30:17), and 35 age-matched healthy controls (male:female, 23:12) were recruited between April 2015 and December 2015 from the Affiliated Dongyang Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University (Dongyang, China). Informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to blood sampling, and all procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Affiliated Dongyang Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University. The age of the control subjects ranged from 62-87 years old (71.4±6.5 years); for patients with AECOPD/PE from 56-85 years old (72.2±7.7 years); and for patients with AECOPD from 57-89 years old (74.3±6.9 years). All patients were Han Chinese. The diagnosis of COPD was confirmed based on medical history, medical records and available spirometric data. The clinical severity of COPD was determined using the criteria of the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) (26). The clinical conditions of the patients with AECOPD and AECOPD/PE were evaluated on the same day that blood samples were taken, and patients were accordingly classified into one of four GOLD subindices (GOLD I-4).

Acute exacerbation was diagnosed when respiratory symptoms in patients with COPD worsened to the point where medication change was required. The diagnosis of PE was based on a computed tomography scan, which were performed after the patients' written informed consent was received and on the same day as blood sampling, and was defined by a sharply delineated pulmonary arterial filling defect present in at least two consecutive image sections and located centrally within the vessel, or with acute angles at its interface with the vessel wall. Upon arrival at the hospital, Emergency or Respiratory Department physicians evaluated all patients by taking their history and assessing the results of their physical examinations, chest radiographs and several routine laboratory tests, including routine blood testing to examine the blood chemistry and coagulation function. Patients with any specific issues identified in those examinations, including pneumothorax, congestive heart failure, cancer of any kind or a history of major surgery in the preceding 4 weeks were excluded from the present study. Patients undergoing mechanical ventilation, receiving anticoagulation therapy for any cause, presenting with azotemia (serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dl) or with a hypersensitivity reaction to contrast media were also excluded. Following exclusion, all remaining patients underwent computed tomography pulmonary angiography and indirect computed tomography venography within 24 h of admission to the hospital. All patients enrolled in the present study were given regular treatments, including antibiotics, bronchodilators, inhaled glucocorticoid hormones, expectorants and other conventional therapies at regular dosages.

Blood sampling and laboratory assays. Venous blood (10 ml) was collected from each participant into lithium heparin tubes. Plasma was separated by centrifugation at 3,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C, aliquoted and stored at -80°C until required. Peripheral blood counts were examined for leukocytes, eosinophils, neutrophils and lymphocytes, and the plasma concentrations of ECP, MBP, EPX, EDN, low density lipoprotein, high density lipoprotein, triglycerides, total cholesterol and albumin. ELISA kits were used to measure the concentrations of plasma ECP (SK00128-01; Aviscera Bioscience, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA), EDN (CODE7630; Medical and Biological Laboratories Co., Ltd., Nagoya, Japan), EPX (SEJ138Hu; Cloud-Clone Corp., Katy, TX, USA) and MBP (SEB650Hu; Cloud-Clone Corp.).

Statistical analysis. All data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism software (version 5.0; GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). The plasma concentrations of ECP, EDN, EPX and MBP among patients in the AECOPD, AECOPD/PE and control groups were compared using one-way analysis of variance with a post hoc Bonferroni test to determine the statistical significance of the differences between groups. The correlation between each GOLD index and ECP, EDN, EPX and MBP concentration was calculated using the Pearson correlation coefficient. The diagnostic accuracies of the ECP, EDN, EPX and MBP concentrations were analyzed using a receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve that was constructed by plotting the true-positive proportion (sensitivity) vs. the false-positive proportion (1-specificity) for each cut-off value. Thus, each ROC curve displayed the trade-off between sensitivity and specificity for all possible cut-offs. Diagnostic performance was measured as the area under a ROC curve. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Patient population. A total of 112 patients [age, 73.66±7.46; male, n=73 (65%)] were enrolled in the present study. Of these,
Table I. Clinicopathological characteristics of the patient groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Control (n=35)</th>
<th>AECOPD (n=47)</th>
<th>AECOPD/PE (n=30)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>71.40±6.50</td>
<td>74.30±6.90</td>
<td>72.20±7.70</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>23 (66)</td>
<td>30 (64)</td>
<td>20 (67)</td>
<td>0.9647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, n (%)</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
<td>6 (13)</td>
<td>5 (17)</td>
<td>0.8148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>11 (31)</td>
<td>16 (34)</td>
<td>8 (27)</td>
<td>0.2625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary disease, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>8 (27)c</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (10)</td>
<td>0.0148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTD, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>5 (17)c</td>
<td>0.0165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC count (x10⁹/l)</td>
<td>5.40±1.40</td>
<td>8.40±5.70</td>
<td>9.50±3.30</td>
<td>0.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophil count (x10⁹/l)</td>
<td>0.10±0.06</td>
<td>0.11±0.12</td>
<td>0.12±0.12</td>
<td>0.799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophil count (x10⁹/l)</td>
<td>3.49±0.97a</td>
<td>7.09±0.82b</td>
<td>8.43±0.61c</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocyte count (x10⁹/l)</td>
<td>1.43±0.46</td>
<td>1.84±0.68b</td>
<td>1.26±0.67</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mg/dl)</td>
<td>2.91±1.12a</td>
<td>2.30±0.85</td>
<td>2.27±0.62c</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mg/dl)</td>
<td>1.40±0.27a</td>
<td>1.12±0.36</td>
<td>1.12±0.37c</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>1.58±0.77a</td>
<td>1.04±0.41</td>
<td>1.09±0.44c</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC (mg/dl)</td>
<td>6.54±7.94a</td>
<td>4.04±1.06</td>
<td>4.05±0.72</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (g/l)</td>
<td>46.67±2.56a</td>
<td>36.44±8.59</td>
<td>37.44±3.48c</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aP<0.05 vs. AECOPD group; bP<0.05 vs. AECOPD/PE group; cP<0.05 vs. AECOPD/PE group. The determined P-value for each variable in the table were comparisons between all three groups. AECOPD, acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; PE, pulmonary embolism; AF, atrial fibrillation; CTD, connective tissue disease; WBC, white blood cell; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; TG, triglycerides; TC, total cholesterol.

77 (69%) patients underwent computed tomography pulmonary angiography and 30 were diagnosed with PE (39%). Baseline characteristics for the three groups are listed in Table I. No significant difference was observed in age or gender; however, significant differences were identified in PE risk factors, including atrial fibrillation and connective tissue disease, which were significantly more frequent in patients with AECOPD/PE compared with the control group. Furthermore, patients with AECOPD/PE or AECOPD had significantly lower blood lipid, apolipoprotein and albumin levels (P<0.05), suggesting that these patients had a relatively poor nutritional status. Leukocyte counts in patients with AECOPD/PE were significantly higher compared with those of the controls and patients with AECOPD (P<0.05); neutrophil counts displayed the same trend when comparing all 3 groups (P<0.05). Furthermore, patients with AECOPD/PE or AECOPD had significantly lower blood lipid, apolipoprotein and albumin levels (P<0.05), suggesting that these patients had a relatively poor nutritional status. Leukocyte counts in patients with AECOPD/PE were significantly higher compared with those of the controls and patients with AECOPD (P<0.05); neutrophil counts displayed the same trend when comparing all 3 groups (P<0.05); similarly, the difference between AECOPD/PE and AECOPD was significant (P<0.05).

Comparison of plasma levels of ECP, EDN, EPX and MBP. In patients with AECOPD/PE, the mean concentrations of plasma ECP, EDN, EPX and MBP were 12.40±8.29 and 52.72±30.77 ng/ml, and 3.82±2.47 and 1.74±0.83 µg/ml, respectively (Fig. 1). ECP (P<0.001), EDN (P<0.001), EPX (P<0.05) and MBP (P<0.001) levels were significantly greater in patients with AECOPD/PE compared with the corresponding values for the healthy controls (6.02±2.30 and 23.90±10.94 ng/ml and 2.69±1.71 and 0.72±0.27 µg/ml, respectively; Fig. 1); however, no significant difference was observed in these levels between patients with AECOPD/PE and patients with AECOPD (17.26±12.90 and 73.12±55.19 ng/ml, and 4.31±2.76 and 1.38±0.72 µg/ml, respectively). Similarly, the concentrations of plasma ECP (P<0.001), EDN (P<0.001), EPX (P<0.01) and MBP (P<0.001) were significantly greater in patients with AECOPD compared with the control group. These results suggest that ECP, EDN, EPX and MBP plasma concentrations may serve as biomarkers for the diagnosis of AECOPD with or without PE; however, a full evaluation of their effectiveness is required, including further comparisons involving other chronic inflammatory diseases that clinically resemble AECOPD.

Predictive performance of ECP, EDN, EPX and MBP for AECOPD. ROC curve analysis was employed to determine the performance of ECP, EDN, EPX and MBP as biomarkers for the diagnosis of AECOPD or AECOPD/PE. Cut-off values were used to distinguish patients with AECOPD or AECOPD/PE from the healthy controls, and the associated biomarkers for their performance were assayed by measuring the area under the relevant ROC curve (Fig. 2). In these analyses, using an AECOPD:control (AEC:c) cut-off of 36.44±8.59 ng/ml, EDN had 78.50% accuracy, 56.67% sensitivity and 100.00% specificity with an AUC of 0.8328 (Fig. 2A). Similarly, with an AECOPD/PE:control (AEC:c) cut-off of 33.31 ng/ml, EDN had 78.00% accuracy, 57.45% sensitivity and 97.14% specificity with an AUC of 0.7905 (Fig. 2A). No significant difference was observed between patients with AECOPD and patients with AECOPD/PE.

With an AEC:c cut-off of 33.31 ng/ml, EDN had 78.00% accuracy, 61.70% sensitivity and 100.00% specificity with an AUC value of 0.7954 (Fig. 2B). With an AEC/PE:c cut-off of 7.78 ng/ml, ECP had an accuracy, sensitivity and specificity of 81.50, 46.67 and 97.14%, respectively, and an AUC of 0.7954 (Fig. 2). In these analyses, using an AECOPD:control (AEC:c) cut-off of 6.98 ng/ml, ECP exhibited the best performance with an accuracy, sensitivity and specificity of 80.50, 57.45 and 97.14%, respectively, and an AUC of 0.8328 (Fig. 2A). Similarly, with an AECOPD/PE:control (AEC/PE:c) cut-off of 7.78 ng/ml, ECP had an accuracy, sensitivity and specificity of 80.50, 57.45 and 97.14%, respectively, and an AUC of 0.7954 (Fig. 2B). No significant difference was observed between patients with AECOPD and patients with AECOPD/PE.
100.00% specificity with an AUC of 0.7838 (Fig. 2B). With an AEC:c cut-off of 2.24 µg/ml, EPX had 67.70% accuracy, 23.33% sensitivity and 97.14% specificity with an AUC of 0.6210 (Fig. 2C). Finally, with an AEC:c cut-off of 4.07 µg/ml, EPX had 67.70% accuracy, 23.33% sensitivity and 97.14% specificity with an AUC of 0.6210 (Fig. 2C).
cut-off of 0.77 µg/ml, MBP had 72.00% accuracy, 74.47% sensitivity and 68.57% specificity with an AUC of 0.6277; and with an AEC/PE:c cut-off value of 0.73 µg/ml, MBP had 72.30% accuracy, 76.67% sensitivity and 68.57% specificity with an AUC of 0.6914 (Fig. 2D). These results indicate that plasma levels of ECP, EDN, EPX and MBP are useful indicators for distinguishing patients with AECOPD or AECOPD/PE from healthy controls.

Comparison of ECP, EDN, EPX, and MBP across AECOPD and AECOPD/PE patient characteristics. The patients in the AECOPD, AECOPD/PE and control groups were categorized based on gender, age and disease severity for further statistical analysis. Patient characteristics that correlated with increased levels of ECP, EDN, EPX and MBP were examined. When corrected for sex, the concentrations of all proteins were greater in the disease groups (P<0.05 vs. the control group; Fig. 3), with the exception of MBP in females (Fig. 3D). When corrected for age, the concentrations of ECP, EDN and MBP were significantly higher in the disease groups in the 55-69 and 70-79 years age brackets (P<0.05 vs. the control group; Fig. 4). Protein levels were not significantly different between groups in the 80-89-year age bracket (Fig. 4); however, this may be because there were so few cases in this age bracket in the control group.

Fig. 5 indicated that the plasma levels of ECP and EDN were significantly increased in the AECOPD group in GOLD 2 (P<0.01), 3 (P<0.05) and 4 (P<0.05), and the AECOPD/PE group in GOLD 2 and 3 (both P<0.05) compared with the controls (Fig. 5C and G). The concentration of MBP was just higher in AECOPD of GOLD 2 (P<0.01) and 3 (P<0.05), and AECOPD/PE of GOLD 3 (P<0.05; Fig. 5D and H). No significant correlation was observed between the protein levels and disease severity.

Discussion

The present study demonstrated that the plasma levels of ECP, EDN, EPX, and MBP secreted from activated eosinophil granulocytes, were significantly higher in patients with AECOPD or AECOPD/PE compared with healthy controls, suggesting that eosinophil activation is associated with AECOPD with or without PE. However, no significant differences in the plasma levels of eosinophil activation markers were observed between the AECOPD and AECOPD/PE groups. This suggests that the activation of eosinophils has a greater impact on the development of AECOPD in healthy subjects compared with the development of PE in existing AECOPD. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the association between eosinophil secretory proteins and AECOPD with or without PE.

A number of studies have addressed the association between neutrophils (27), eosinophils (18), T lymphocytes (28) and macrophages (29) and the pathogenesis of AECOPD. The factors that lead to the development of AECOPD from COPD remain unclear. Smoking, air
pollution, allergen inhalation, surgery, sedative drug use and infections have been reported to be correlated with the development of AECOPD (30). Clinical investigations have reported that eosinophils are increased in the sputum and bronchial biopsies of patients with AECOPD (31,32). Increased levels of ECP in serum (24,33), bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (34) and induced sputum (35) have been observed in patients with AECOPD. Hospers et al (20) proposed that peripheral blood eosinophilia may also lead to a higher mortality rate for patients with AECOPD. Fujimoto et al (36) further confirmed that the ECP level significantly increases in the transition from stable COPD to AECOPD. The results of the present study identified an increased leukocyte count and higher plasma levels of ECP, EDN, EPX and MBP in patients with AECOPD compared with the control group. These results suggest that during the exacerbation stage of COPD, eosinophils are activated and degranulated, and that the eosinophil secretory proteins ECP, EDN, EPX and MBP are released systemically into body fluids. Furthermore, these proteins have cytotoxic effects that damage airway epithelial cells, induce the secretion of airway mucus (21), reduce ciliary motility (37), mediate airway smooth muscle contraction (38) and ultimately lead to persistent airflow limitation (39). Siva et al (18) reported that minimization of eosinophilic airway inflammation is associated with a decrease in severe exacerbation of COPD. The investigation of plasma levels of ECP, EDN, EPX and MBP in the present study was therefore required to elucidate the role of eosinophil activation in AECOPD pathogenesis.

In addition to chronic inflammatory disorders, including asthma and COPD, a number of studies have addressed the involvement of eosinophils and their granule proteins in host resistance to parasites, their antimicrobial activities toward bacterial, viral and protozoan pathogens, their function as mediators of hypersensitivity and their interactions with the coagulation system (22,38). Case reports have stated that eosinophilia in patients may be accompanied by thromboembolisms (40-42). The secretion of ECP (21,43), EDN (43) and MBP (43,44) has been implicated in increasing the probability of thrombosis formation. MBP also inhibits the binding of thrombomodulin to thrombin and activates protein C, leading to decreased coagulation factor V degradation (44). ECP, EDN and MBP also damage vascular endothelial cells, expose tissue factors and activate coagulation factor XII to activate the extrinsic coagulation pathway causing hypercoagulability and thrombosis (43); hypereosinophilia may therefore be an important marker in the progression to hypercoagulability. However, no additional increase in the eosinophil count or plasma levels of eosinophil secretory proteins was observed in patients with AECOPD/PE in the present study, suggesting that eosinophils were not further activated during the progression from AECOPD to AECOPD/PE.

ECP and EDN were demonstrated to have high specificity and sensitivity as biomarkers for AECOPD and AECOPD/PE.

Figure 4. Levels of (A) ECP (*P<0.05 vs. AECOPD/PE at ECP in the 70-79 age group; **P<0.01 vs. AECOPD/PE at ECP in the 55-69 age group and AECOPD at ECP in the 70-79 age group; ***P<0.001 vs. AECOPD at ECP in the 55-69 age group), (B) EDN (*P<0.05 vs. AECOPD/PE at EDN in the 70-79 age group; **P<0.01 vs. AECOPD/PE at EDN in the 70-79 age group and AECOPD at EDN in the 70-79 age group; ***P<0.001 vs. AECOPD at EDN in the 70-79 age group), (C) EPX (*P<0.05 vs. AECOPD at EPX in the 70-79 age group) and (D) MBP classified by age in normal controls and patients with AECOPD/PE or with AECOPD (*P<0.05 vs. AECOPD/PE at MBP in the 55-69 and 70-79 age groups, and vs. AECOPD at MBP in the 55-69 age group; **P<0.01 vs. AECOPD at MBP in the 70-79 age group, ***P<0.001 vs. AECOPD at MBP in the 55-69 age group). Normal controls (ages 55-69, n=16; ages 70-79, n=16; ages 80-89, n=3); patients with AECOPD (ages 55-69, n=10; ages 70-79, n=21; ages 80-89, n=16); patients with AECOPD/PE (ages 55-69, n=12; ages 70-79, n=13; ages 80-89, n=5). ECP, eosinophil cationic protein; EDN, eosinophil-derived neurotoxin; EPX, eosinophil peroxidase; MBP, major basic protein; AECOPD, acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; PE, pulmonary embolism.
in the present study, whereas MBP had a lower specificity and EPX had a very low sensitivity for predicting AECOPD and AECOPD/PE. Although the plasma levels of ECP, EDN, EPX and MBP were upregulated in the AECOPD and AECOPD/PE
groups in the present study, ECP and EDN were the most potent biomarkers for predicting AECOPD and AECOPD/PE. Fiorini et al (24) reported that ECP measured by radioimmunoassay did not increase significantly in patients with stable COPD (24). This suggests that eosinophil activation is not involved in the stable stage of COPD; however, in the acute stage of severe COPD, eosinophil activation appears to be crucial and thus eosinophil secretory proteins, particularly ECP and EDN, are likely to be key clinical indicators for AECOPD.

In addition to patients with AECOPD, patients with AECOPD/PE were assessed for eosinophil activation in the present study. The results indicate that eosinophil secretory proteins are not differentially elevated in the plasma of patients with AECOPD/PE compared with those with AECOPD, suggesting that eosinophil activation is not associated with the progression from AECOPD to AECOPD/PE. These results indicate that eosinophils are activated in the acute stage of COPD and that eosinophil activation may then plateau, even in the event of exacerbation and development of AECOPD/PE.

In conclusion, the results of the present study suggest that critical eosinophil activation occurs during the development of AECOPD, and thus ECP, EDN, MBP and EPX may serve as biomarkers for disease prediction. Of these markers, ECP and EDN appear to be the most robust predictors and merit further investigation. The present study also demonstrated that eosinophils are not further activated in the transition from AECOPD to AECOPD/PE, and so the factors responsible for PE induction in AECOPD remain to be determined.

Acknowledgements

The present study was supported by an award from Dongyang People's Hospital (Jinhua, China; grant no. 2015-ZD001).

References


