Increased expression of the prolactin receptor is associated with malignant laryngeal tumors
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Abstract. The altered expression of the prolactin receptor (PRLR) has been associated with the development of various types of cancer, particularly breast, prostate and endometrial cancer. However, in laryngeal tumors, the expression of PRLR has not yet been documented. The aim of this study was to determine the expression and localization of PRLR in laryngeal cancer (LC) in comparison with recurrent respiratory papillomatosis (RRP). PRLR expression was analyzed in 48 paraffin-embedded tissues (18 RRP and 30 laryngeal cancer tissues) by immunoperoxidase staining. Furthermore, PRLR expression was evaluated in ten samples from each group by Western blot analysis and quantitative real-time PCR. PRLR was observed in all laryngeal tumors at different intensities. PRLR overexpression was significantly associated (P<0.005) with LC. The staining pattern was homogeneous, mainly cytoplasmic, and confined to the tumor area. We found increased expression of different isoforms in LC in comparison with RRP. Our results suggest a possible role of PRL/PRLR in the development of LC. PRLR may be useful as a target for further investigations in laryngeal tissues.

Introduction

The larynx is a mucosal organ positioned at the divergence of the respiratory and digestive tracts. Laryngeal cancer (LC) is a very frequent malignant neoplasm of the head and neck region. It is responsible for approximately 159,000 new cases and 90,000 mortalities every year (1). Recurrent respiratory papillomatosis (RRP) is the most frequent benign laryngeal neoplasm, and is mainly caused by human papillomavirus types 6 and 11. Patients with RRP have exophytic airway lesions that may progress to respiratory insufficiency. It is estimated that between 1,500 and 2,500 new cases of RRP occur in the United States each year, and 3,870 cases are expected in the Mexican infantile population (2,3). Smoking is considered to be the main trigger factor of LC, and in association with alcohol consumption the risk of cancer increases (4). LC is characterized by high proliferative potential mediated by an increase in the expressions of cyclin D1 and Ki67 (5). However, the mechanisms underlying the proliferation of this form of cancer are not yet fully understood. Alterations in the expression of prolactin (PRL) and certain signaling intermediate levels can contribute to the development and progression of certain types of cancer, particularly in hormone-dependent organs (e.g., breast, endometrium, prostate), but most likely at other primary sites as well (6-8). The diverse activities of PRL are mediated by its receptor (PRLR) and it involves the activation of a number of signaling pathways including Jak2-STAT (9), PI3K (10) and MAPK (11,12). There are multiple isoforms of the PRLR in humans, the long form of 70-90 kDa (LF), intermediate form of 40-50 kDa (IF), and two short forms of 42-56 kDa (SF1a) and 32-42 kDa (SF1b) which are produced by alternative splicing (13). To date, there have been no studies focusing on the analysis of PRL or PRLR expression in laryngeal tumor lesions. The objective of this study was to determine the PRLR expression and its association in RRP and LC in order to identify the possible participation of PRLR in laryngeal tumors.

Materials and methods

Patients and tissue samples. We evaluated 48 tissue samples from male patients obtained from the Pathology Department at the Civil Hospital of Guadalajara ‘Fray Antonio Alcalde’, and the Departments of Oncology and Pathology at the Mexican Social Security Institute, Guadalajara, Mexico. Tissues were fixed in 4% formalin and embedded in paraffin. All samples
were evaluated and characterized by an experienced pathologist. A total of 30 LC samples from patients aged 44–81 years (mean age, 60.1 years) and 18 samples of RRP from patients aged 3–58 years (mean age, 8.2 years) were included for analysis by immunohistochemistry. A total of 20 samples were included in the PRL/PRLR Western blot analysis and quantitative real-time PCR assays. All samples were obtained in accordance with the Guidelines of the Mexican Official Standard (Norma Oficial Mexicana, NOM) and the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki.

**Immunohistochemical staining.** Serial sections from the formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded blocks were used for the detection of PRLR by immunohistochemistry. Sections were deparaffinized by successive immersions in 100% xylene, 100% ethanol, 96% ethanol and 70% ethanol for 10, 10, 5 and 5 min, respectively. Endogenous peroxidase activity was inactivated with peroxidase blocking reagent (S2001; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) for 10 min. Antigen retrieval was achieved by exposure to 10 mM citrate buffer (pH 6.0) and autoclaving at 121°C for 15 min. Following blockade with 50 µl of 1% BSA (Sigma, USA) in TBST buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 300 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20) for 5 min at room temperature, the sections were incubated overnight with 40 µl of anti-PRLR primary antibody (clone H-300; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) prediluted 1:100 in TBST at 4°C in a humidified chamber. The sections were then washed with TBST and incubated with one drop of secondary antibody conjugated with HRP (K4061; Dako) for 60 min at room temperature. Following washing, the sections were incubated with one drop of chromogenic 3,3’-diaminobenzidine (DAB) substrate (K3468; Dako) for 15 min at room temperature. Sections were counterstained with Mayer’s hematoxylin and mounted on a hydrophilic medium (VectaMount AQ). Additionally, all sections were developed in parallel with a negative control reaction omitting the primary antibody. No signal was observed.

**Western blot analysis.** Proteins were extracted from tissue samples with 300 µl of RIPA buffer [50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate and 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)], protease inhibitors (pestatin, leupeptin, aprotinin, quinostatin, antipain and PMSF) and phosphatase inhibitors (Na2VO4, and NAF) were added, and were clarified by centrifugation at 4°C for 20 min. Protein concentration was determined by the bicinchoninic acid method (BCA Protein Assay Reagent; Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA). Total protein (40 µg) was mixed with loading buffer, electrophoresed on 7.5-10% SDS-polyacrylamide gels and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Bio-Rad, CA, USA). Non-specific binding was blocked with 5% milk and 1% bovine serum albumin solution. Subsequently, the membranes were incubated with 2 µg/ml polyclonal PRL or PRLR antibody (clone H-300; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at 4°C overnight. HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody was used to reveal the immune detection system (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). As an internal control to confirm that similar amounts of protein were loaded for each lane, actin levels were determined using a monoclonal anti-actin IgG (Chemicon International, Temecula, CA, USA) at 1:10,000 and revealed with anti-mouse IgG peroxidase (Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

**Table I. Prolactin receptor expression in laryngeal cancer and recurrent respiratory papillomatosis by immunohistochemistry.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRLR immunohistochemistry</th>
<th>Laryngeal cancer a n (%)</th>
<th>RRP a n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>7 (23.3)</td>
<td>11 (61.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>17 (56.7)</td>
<td>7 (38.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>6 (20)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>30 (100)</td>
<td>18 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05, significative value.

**Microscopic analysis.** The immunostained slides were evaluated independently by two of the authors. When disagreements occurred between the two observers they were resolved using a double-headed microscope. The staining intensity was evaluated semiquantitatively as follows: negative (no immunolabeling), low, moderate and intense. Negative controls included omission of the primary antibody.

**Quantitative real-time PCR.** RNA was isolated from laryngeal tissues from various groups of patients with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Retrotranscription using 2 µg of total RNA was achieved using M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). Then, 2 µl of cDNAs were subjected to real-time PCR using a Rotor-Gene Thermocycler under the following conditions: 2 min at 50°C, 10 min at 95°C, and 45 cycles of 15 sec at 95°C and 1 min at 60°C. The reaction mixture included a 200 nM final concentration of both forward (5’agaccatgagatactgagga-3’) and reverse (5’ggaaagtggcgctcact-3’) PRLR-specific primers, and a 100 nM final concentration of the PRLR-specific probe (5’-tcgctgtcactgtgttta-3’) labeled with FAM reporter fluorescent dye designed for amplification of all three isoforms of PRLR (14). Gene amplification was normalized against 18S expression with the specific probe, human Hs03928985_g1 (Applied Biosystems Hammonton, NJ, USA), labeled with FAM. Relative quantification using the 2^(-∆∆CT) method was then carried out using the comparison to the control groups as an internal calibrator (15,16).

**Statistical analysis.** Data were either analyzed by the Student’s t-test or one-way ANOVA to determine statistical differences between the groups using Microsoft Excel and SPSS version 18.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Results were considered to be statistically significant at P<0.05.

**Results**

**Detection and localization of PRLR in the laryngeal tumors by immunohistochemistry and Western blot analysis.** PRLR expression was analyzed in 48 laryngeal tissues from males by the immunoperoxidase method. Immunolabeling of PRLR was observed in all malignant tumors; in 23 (76.7%) cases the immunoreactivity was moderate to intense and low in 7 (23.3%) cases. The staining pattern was confined to the tumor cells, and was mostly cytoplasmic. Cells adjacent to the tumor...
showed very low PRLR expression. The PRLR staining level was significantly higher in the LC than RRP tissues (P<0.005); in 7 (38.9%) RRP cases the staining was moderate and low in 11 (61.1%) cases. We did not observe intense expression of PRLR in any RRP samples. A representative example of each group is shown in Fig. 1. Table I presents a semiquantitative estimation of the immunolabeling studies of laryngeal tissues with anti-PRLR antibodies.

To evaluate whether there are different PRLR forms in RRP and LC samples, we determined the PRLR expression by Western blot analysis in ten samples from each group. In order to demonstrate the reactivity of the anti-PRLR antibody by Western blot analysis, we analyzed total proteins extracted from the MFC7 breast cancer cell line, which is known to express a high amount of PRLR. The presence of four different PRLR isoforms in the MCF7 breast cancer cell line of approximately 90-110, 65 and 42-45 kDa associated with long, intermediate, and short isoforms were observed. The expression levels of the PRLR isoforms were different for each sample group (LC and RRP) analyzed. In RRP, one PRLR isoform of 42 kDa was moderately expressed. However, the LC samples showed more prominent bands of 42-45 kDa than the RRP samples. Also, strong bands of 65 kDa, as well as weak bands of approximately 100 kDa, were detected in all cancer samples (Fig. 2).

PRLR mRNA expression by quantitative real-time reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR) in laryngeal tissues. qRT-PCR was performed in ten laryngeal tissue samples (five LC and five RRP samples). Gene amplification was normalized against 18S expression with the specific probe. We labeled the expression of PRLR mRNA in all these samples. The levels of PRLR mRNA in the LC samples were higher than those in the RRP samples (Fig. 3). LC results showed a ten-fold (10.79±1.27) increase with respect to RRP with a significance value of P<0.005.

Discussion

To date it is known that the amount of PRLR on the cell surface directs both the intensity and length of PRL signals in cells and
There is still a lot of work to be done, but these findings suggest that PRL and PRLR play a role in the development and progression of laryngeal tumors. The identification of novel biomarkers and therapeutic targets for these tumors is crucial for improving patient outcomes.
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