PAI-1 induces cell detachment, downregulates nucleophosmin (B23) and fortilin (TCTP) in LnCAP prostate cancer cells
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Abstract. Plasminogen activator inhibitor (PAI-1) is an anticancer agent that inhibits plasmin driven proteolysis, limiting angiogenesis and metastasis. In low concentrations it could induce cancer cell motility by interacting with urokinase (uPA), its receptor (uPAR), vitronectin and integrins. Active PAI-1 binds to uPA forming a complex with uPAR, while the latent form of PAI-1 does not. PAI-1 is found in both forms in the circulation. It is not clear which form acts as an anticancer agent and how it interacts with malignant cells. To investigate how these forms reduce angiogenesis or metastasis, we have created PAI-1 cysteine mutants in the active conformation (VLHL PAI-1) with an extended half-life that reaches ~700 h and its R369A mutant, which has an active conformation but cannot bind to uPA (VLHLNS PAI-1). Both VLHL PAI-1s convert into the latent form when treated with a reducing agent (DTT) that breaks disulfide bridges. Unexpectedly, during routine investigation of LnCAP cell proliferation, we have found that cells detach from the culture vessels regardless of PAI-1 conformation or activity. Further investigation showed that treatment of cancer cells with VLHL PAI-1 downregulated nucleophosmin, while all forms of PAI-1 downregulated fortilin. These two proteins are implicated in important cellular processes (cell growth, cell cycle, malignant transformation). This suggests that PAI-1, in addition to its well-known anticancer properties, plays an important role in cell signaling. We hope that by exploring PAI-1’s structure and function we might be able to understand and separate the different effects of PAI-1 on cancer cells and develop more effective therapeutic strategies in cancer treatment.

Introduction

During carcinogenesis, malignant cells with abnormally high proteolytic activity degrade extracellular matrix proteins facilitating invasion and metastasis. In cancer-related angiogenesis, proteolytic activity is high at the tip of the capillary vessels, which allows the formation of a dense network of neovasculature in the proximity of the tumor mass to support cancer growth (1,2). Consequently, inhibition of proteolysis has been explored as a therapeutic option to limit invasion, metastasis and angiogenesis (3-5). Most of the attention has been concentrated on the inhibition of metalloproteinases and some of their inhibitors are in clinical studies (6-8). Much less consideration has been paid to inhibition of enzymes leading to plasmin formation. Such inhibitors have shown also strong anticancer activity in preclinical studies (9-11).

Plasmin is able to hydrolyze many extracellular proteins, either directly or through the activation of other matrix-degrading proteases. The plasminogen activation cascade contains several enzymes that control the generation of plasmin. Plasmin is produced from its abundant zymogen (plasminogen) by the plasminogen activators, urokinase plasminogen activator (6) and tissue plasminogen activator (tPA). Physiologically required plasmin activity can be controlled either directly by the inactivation of plasmin by antiplasmin or indirectly by plasminogen activator inhibitors. Several studies, including our own, have shown that inhibitors of uPA prevent plasmin formation and consequently limit cancer growth by blocking angiogenesis (4,13,14).

Two different methods of uPA inhibition are evident: 1) by small molecular inhibitors and 2) by plasminogen activator inhibitor. The first one is limited by a lack of specificity. These inhibitors bind to the specificity pocket that is highly conserved among serine proteases and consequently many uPA inhibitors bind to other enzymes as well. Plasminogen activator inhibitor type one (PAI-1) is more selective. However, this non-immunizing and highly specific uPA inhibitor is not a stable molecule and converts itself into the latent form with a half-life of t1/2=1-2 h. This conversion is associated with partial insertion of the reactive loop (P4-P10') into the PAI-1 molecule. In this conformation, P1-P1' and other sites are not accessible for reaction with uPA and latent PAI-1 is
not suitable for anticancer therapy. Several mutants have been produced to reduce or prevent insertion of the reactive loop into the PAI-1 molecule, extending its half-life from 2 to 6-168 h (15,16). We have produced several different PAI-1 mutants by replacing selected amino acids with cysteines in the goal of creating disulfide bridges, which could prevent insertion or make it more difficult. This has resulted in proteins with extended half-lives of serpin activity from 2 to over 700 h, depending on the mutant (9).

The literature on PAI-1 in cancer and angiogenesis reflects its potential for diverse biologic activity. There are reports that PAI-1 overexpression is a strong prognostic marker in many malignances (17). This fact and experiments done on a PAI-1 knockout and transgenic mice lead to the conclusion that PAI-1 is needed for cancer progression and malignant-associated angiogenesis (18,19). However, Dellas and Loskutoff report that the effect of PAI-1 on cancer depends largely on PAI-1 concentration. In low concentrations, PAI-1 is proangiogenic and procarcinogenic, but in high concentrations, it prevents angiogenesis and reduces tumor size in PAI-1-treated animals (19). Our own study has shown similar effects (4).

It is not completely clear what roles the active and latent forms of PAI-1 play in vivo. For example, it has been reported that all active PAI-1 in the circulation is bound to vitronectin, which stabilizes it, while the majority of PAI-1 in human platelets is in the latent form (4,20,21). Dellas and Loskutoff caution that PAI-1 exerts a broad spectrum of effects in tumor biology from the inhibition of uPA-induced proteolysis to effects on cell migration and angiogenesis, which might or might not be necessarily related to PAI-1 serine protease inactivation ability (19).

Thus, in attempt to explain the mechanism of PAI-1 action on cancer formation, we have used VLHL PAI-1 with a very long half-life and its newly created mutant (VLHLNS PAI-1) that does not bind to uPA but has an extended loop like VLHL-PAI-1. In a series of experiments, LnCAP cells were treated with these different forms of PAI-1 and unexpectedly we found that PAI-1 caused detachment of cells from the surface of culture vessels, followed by aggregation of these cells. This effect was observed for wild-type PAI-1, VLHL PAI-1 that binds uPA and its non-uPA binding mutant and all VLHL PAI-1s converted to the latent form. Further, we have found that VLHL PAI-1 treatment downregulated several proteins and that some of them (NPM, nucleoporosmin and TCTP, fortitin) are implicated in important cellular processes, such as cell growth, cell cycle progression and malignant transformation (22,23). These findings suggest that PAI-1, in addition to its well-known anticancer abilities based on its anti-uPA activity, possesses additional properties in cell signaling.

**Materials and methods**

**Molecular graphics.** SwissPDB, Chain version 7 and PyMOL viewers were used to display the three-dimensional structures of proteins and to generate POV-Ray scenes (24,25). Protein alignment was done using the program ALIGN (26).

**Recombinant PAI-1 with a very long half-life (VLHL).** The mutation of two amino acids (Gln197-Cys, Gly355-Cys) produced PAI-1 with a very long half-life of over 700 h as described by us before (9). The VLHLNS PAI-1 mutation (Arg369-Ala) was introduced by PCR. We have assumed that the VLHLNS construct would remain in the active conformation like VLHL-PAI-1, but would not have any inhibitory activity toward uPA. Each PAI-1 DNA construct was sequenced to confirm mutations by MWG-Biotech Inc., Mendenhall Oaks Parkway, NC 27265.

**Purification of PAI-1s.** We used a baculovirus expression system basically as described before (27). The supernatant from lysed cells was loaded onto a column packed with nickel resin (Invitrogen) at a flow rate of 0.3 ml/min (GradiFrac System, Pharmacia Biotech). The column was then washed with wash buffer containing 40 mM imidazole in native buffer at a flow rate of 1 ml/min until no proteins were detected. The protein was then eluted from the column using a gradient of 40-250 mM imidazole in native buffer at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. The peak fractions were dialyzed to remove imidazole and concentrated to a desired concentration for further analysis.

**Non-reducing gel electrophoresis.** The electrophoresis was performed at room temperature in gradient gels with 4-12% polyacrylamide, in the absence of β-mercaptoethanol. All gels were scanned, converted to black and white images, for which contrast and/or brightness were adjusted if needed. The following molecular weight standards were used: 191, 97, 64, 51, 39, 28, 19 and 14 kDa.

**2D gel electrophoresis.** The control and treated cells were harvested, washed five times in wash buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl and 5 mM magnesium acetate) and suspended in lysis buffer [8 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% chaps, 65 mM DTT, 40 mM Tris, 1% IPG buffer (pH 4.0-7.0, Amersham Biosciences)] on ice for 10 min, followed by sonication, centrifugation at 21,000 x g for 15 min. Supernatant was collected and protein concentration was measured by Bradford method (28). Five hundred μg of each sample in 350 μl was used to rehydrate the 18 cm, pH 4.0-7.0 or 3-10 IPG strip (GE Health care) for 18 h. Proteins were focused in an IPG-Phor system with the setting of 500 V (~2.5 h) and 3500 V (~17 h). Next, strips were equilibrated for 20 min in equilibration buffer (6 M urea, 1% SDS, 30% glycerol, 50 mM Tris-HCl, 32.4 mM DTT, pH 6.8) and then alkylated for 20 min in equilibration buffer containing 244.5 mM iodoacetamide. The proteins were then separated on 12% gel using Etan Dalt Six (24x20 cm) gel system at 10°C, constant current (30 mA/gel for initial 1 h followed by 50 mA/gel) until the tracking dye reached the bottom.

**Western blot analysis.** The PAGE gel was equilibrated in Transfer Buffer-TBS (24% methanol, 96 mM glycine, 12 mM Tris-HCl) for 5 min and proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane, washed in Blotting Buffer-TBST (PBS, pH 7.6 and 0.1% Tween-20), blocked in Blotting Buffer containing 5% non-fat dry milk for 1.5 h at 40°C. The membrane then was treated with rabbit anti-human PAI-1 (American Diagnostica, Inc., Stamford, CT; 2 μg/ml) overnight in TBST/milk solution at 40°C. The next morning, the membrane was washed 3 times in TBST for 5 min at room temperature. The second antibody was added (anti-rabbit IgG Sigma, Inc., in 1:4,000 dilution in TBST/milk solution for
1 h at room temperature followed by washing (3 times in TBST and once in TBS for 5 min).

**Spectrozyme assay of PAI-1 activity.** Activity assay of PAI-1 was done as described by the manufacturer (American Diagnostica Inc.) with minor modifications. Briefly, equal volumes (50 μl) of VLHL PAI-1 (diluted with H2O from the stock of 1.0 mg/ml in 20 mM HEPES, 250 mM imidazole, pH 8.0 to a concentration 0.06 mg/ml) and HMW uPA from American Diagnostica Inc. (0.3 mg/ml in water) were mixed and incubated for 15 min at room temperature, followed by the addition of 50 μl of the chromogenic substrate of uPA (Spectrozyme uPA® American Diagnostica Inc., final concentration of 1.7 mM). The absorbance was measured at 405 nm in a 96-well plate reader. Urokinase alone and wtPAI-1/uPA were used as controls.

**PAI-1/uPA complex formation assay.** PAI-1 and uPA mixed as described above and incubated for 15 min were run on a PAGE gel and stained.

**Staining of free -SH with fluorescent dye.** The purified VLHL PAI-1 and VLHLNS PAI-1 were incubated with TCEP [Tris (2-carboxyethyl) phosphine] up to a final concentration of 65 mM for 2 h at room temperature, followed by staining with 5-IAF dye (from Molecular Probes) at a final concentration of 1 mM for 2 h in the dark. This dye binds to -SH thiols but not to disulfide bridges of the VLHL PAI-1s. All samples were then processed for SDS-PAGE (performed in the dark). Protein bands were visualized under a UV transilluminator, photographed and then the same gel was stained with Coomassie blue.

**In-gel digestion with trypsin.** The proteins stained with Coomassie blue was excised from the 4-12% gradient SDS-PAGE gel and destained later with 30% methanol for 3 h at room temperature. In-gel proteinolysis with modified, sequencing grade trypsin (Promega, Madison, WI) was done essentially as previously described (29).

**PAI-1 and PAI-1/uPA complex identification by peptide sequencing using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometer (LC-MS).** The digest (2 μl) was separated on a reverse phase column (Aquasil C18, 15 μm tip x 75 μm i.d. x 5 cm Picofrit column, New Objectives, Woburn, MA) using an acetonitrile/1% acetic acid gradient system (5-75% acetonitrile over 35 min followed by 95% acetonitrile wash for 5 min) at a flow rate of 250 nl/min. Peptides were directly introduced into an ion-trap mass spectrometer (LCQ, ThermoFinnigan) equipped with a nano-spray source. The mass spectrometer was set for analyzing the positive ions and acquiring a full MS scan of a collision induced dissociation spectrum on the most abundant ion from the full MS scan (relative collision energy ~30%). Dynamic exclusion was set to collect 3 CID spectra on the most abundant ion and then exclude it for 2 min. CID spectra were manually verified by comparison with an in silico tryptic digest of published protein sequences using the MS-Digest and MS-Product provisions of Protein Prospector (http://prospector.ucsf.edu). All LC-MS experiments were done at Proteomics Laboratory, Program in Bioinformatics and Proteomics/Genomics at the Health Science Campus of the University of Toledo.

**Cell culture.** Cells (LnCAP) were seeded in T25 or T75 flasks in MEM media with 10% FBS and antibiotics and incubated until the cells reached ~70% confluence. Cells were treated in fresh media with different concentrations of PAI-1 (final concentrations in media: 1, 10, 50, 100 μg/ml) or an irrelevant protein.

**Cell proliferation assay.** Prostate cancer cells were seeded at a density of 5×10⁴ cells/well in MEM media with 10% FBS and antibiotics and incubated (96-well cell culture plate) until the cells reached ~70% confluence. LnCAp cells were treated for up to 72 h with different concentrations of PAI-1 (final concentrations in media: 1, 10, 50, 100 μg/ml) or an irrelevant protein or appropriate buffer in control samples. After treatment, the number of viable cells was determined using Promega's CellTiter 96 AQueous MTS Assay according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cell proliferation was determined as a fraction of the control sample and measured as absorbance at 540 nm. Each concentration or control results represent an average of 6 to 16 wells.

**Cell viability.** Viability of the cells was determined by trypan blue exclusion method.

**Removal of cell surface associated proteins.** An acid wash was done in a similar way as described before (30). Cells were treated with 3 ml of 50 mM glycine-HCl, 0.1 M NaCl, pH 3.0. Acid wash was quickly neutralized with 0.9 ml of 0.5 M Tris- HCl, pH 7.8. The samples were concentrated using Vivaspin 20 concentrators (10,000 MWCO from Vivascience Company, Inc.) to final concentration of total protein ranged from 1.0 to 2.6 mg/ml.

**Results and Discussion**

**Protein purification.** We have extensively studied mutations of PAI-1 to extend PAI-1 half-life by the introduction of Cys mutations as described in our previous report (9). As shown in Fig. 1, we assume that cysteines form bridges between A3 and A5 strands, preventing collapse of the reactive loop into the PAI-1 molecule and consequently preclude conversion of the active form to the latent form. One of these mutants has half-life of over 700 h (VLHL PAI-1) in contrast to 2 h for the wild-type PAI-1 (31). We chose this mutant for further study. To increase the yield, we have expressed VLHL PAI-1 in baculovirus. All VLHL PAI-1s DNA was sequenced, validating PAI-1 sequence with linker, purification tag and desired mutations.

The VLHL PAI-1s yielded ~95% pure protein (~18 mg/l) in a single step purification as determined by PAGE gel densitometry (Fig. 2). VLHL PAI-1 was produced in high purity (+95%), predominantly in the active conformation.

**Urokinase inhibitory activity by VLHL PAI-1s.** The active form of VLHL PAI-1 inhibits urokinase activity, as determined by a chromogenic assay with Spectrozyme. The PAI-1 mutant with Arg369→Ala in the P1 position (VLHLns PAI-1) shows
no activity against uPA, which is consistent with previous reports (15,32).

VLHL PAI-1 and VLHL\textsubscript{NS} PAI-1 were incubated with uPA and run on a PAGE gel. Active PAI-1 produces a band of protein of the molecular weight characteristic of PAI-1/ uPA, while VLHL\textsubscript{NS} PAI-1 mutant (Arg369\textsuperscript{g}Ala in the P1 position) does not. The protein band of uPA/VLHL PAI-1 was excised from gel and analyzed by MS-LC (Fig. 2b, Table I). No other peptides than those of PAI-1 and uPA were identified, further confirming the formation of the uPA/PAI-1 complex and VLHL PAI-1 activity.

Under oxidizing conditions when cysteines form disulfide bridges (Cys197 and Cys355), the RCL loop (reactive center loop) is immobilized and cannot be inserted into the PAI-1 molecule (Fig. 1). Consequently, reduction of the disulfide bond by reducing agent should restore A3, A5 and RCL mobility, making conversion of VLHL PAI-1s to the latent form possible. Purified VLHL and VLHL\textsubscript{NS} PAI-1s and wtPAI-1s were treated with DTT (10-65 mM) for up to 3 h. DTT treated VLHL and VLHL\textsubscript{NS} PAI-1s did not form complexes and did not express any uPA inhibitory activity. VLHL and VLHL\textsubscript{NS} mutants treated with DTT convert into
the latent form, as wild-type PAI-1 does, and migrate as a single band corresponding to the latent form of VLHL PAI-1 (Figs. 2 and 3).

Also, as shown in Fig. 3, VLHL PAI-1s were stained with a fluorescent dye to determine presence of free thiols in the PAI-1 molecule. VLHL PAI-1 in the active conformation showed no or very little fluorescence, while DTT treated, inactive VLHL PAI-1s in the latent conformation showed a strong signal of 5-IAF (5-iodoacetamidofluorescein) dye bound to the -SH of cysteine. The same was seen for VLHLNS PAI-1.

The wild-type PAI-1 purified from HT1080 cells, containing the full sequence of amino acids including Cys9 (the only Cys in this protein), showed strong florescence in both active and latent forms (data not shown). VLHL PAI-1s do not have this cysteine since the first 23 amino acids are truncated from the protein sequence.

It has been reported that wild-type PAI-1 migrates on PAGE gel as the upper band and the latent and RCC (cleaved reactive center loop) forms migrate below it (33,34). However, PAI-1 with cysteine mutations that form disulfide bonds migrate below its latent or RCC forms, which is completely contrary to the wild-type form of PAI-1 (Figs. 2 and 3). This phenomenon was reported by others (35). The exact mechanism is unknown, but Hagglom et al hypothesized that in PAI-1s with Cys mutations in the A3 and A5 strands, the reactive loop that forms a bulge on the left side of PAI-1 (when facing this protein) is shifted to the right side resulting in a more spherical molecule that moves easier through the gel.

From these experiments, we conclude that the disulfide bridge (Cys197, 355) freezes VLHL PAI-1s in the active conformation and VLHL PAI-1 and VLHLNS PAI-1 are most likely in the same conformation despite one point mutation (R369A) that causes their differences in uPA inactivation.

Table I. Sequence of peptides extracted from band of uPA/PAI-1 complex on PAGE gel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein accession no.</th>
<th>Protein names</th>
<th>Theoretical mass</th>
<th>Observed mass</th>
<th>Peptide</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P05121</td>
<td>Plasminogen activator inhibitor type one (PAI-1)</td>
<td>1144.53</td>
<td>1144.84</td>
<td>200-209</td>
<td>TFPDSSTHR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>859.46</td>
<td>859.54</td>
<td>178-185</td>
<td>GAVDQLTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1105.60</td>
<td>1105.26</td>
<td>42-51</td>
<td>VFQQVAQASK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1684.75</td>
<td>1685.02</td>
<td>215-230</td>
<td>SDGSTVSVPmmAQTNK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1108.52</td>
<td>1108.74</td>
<td>146-154</td>
<td>QVDSEVER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1583.75</td>
<td>1583.52</td>
<td>311-323</td>
<td>KPLENLGmTDmFR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>948.51</td>
<td>948.80</td>
<td>169-177</td>
<td>GmISNLLGK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1494.74</td>
<td>1494.92</td>
<td>112-124</td>
<td>DEISSTDAIFVQR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1208.61</td>
<td>1208.24</td>
<td>301-310</td>
<td>FSLETEVDLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1034.56</td>
<td>1034.86</td>
<td>157-164</td>
<td>FIINDWVK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P00749</td>
<td>Urokinase plasminogen activator</td>
<td>593.33</td>
<td>593.45</td>
<td>334-338</td>
<td>mTVVK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>935.49</td>
<td>935.14</td>
<td>404-411</td>
<td>DKPGVYTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1111.59</td>
<td>1111.94</td>
<td>156-165</td>
<td>KPSGPEELK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1198.60</td>
<td>1199.80</td>
<td>421-431</td>
<td>SHTKEENGLAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1001.56</td>
<td>1001.16</td>
<td>109-118</td>
<td>SDALQGLGLK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1241.68</td>
<td>1241.92</td>
<td>254-263</td>
<td>FEVENLILHK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1047.49</td>
<td>1047.94</td>
<td>350-358</td>
<td>1YYGSEVTTK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

m, oxidized methionine; 1non-tryptic.
cells was altered below that value. Promega’s CellTiter assay showed a reduction of cell proliferation reaching 73% for VLHL PAI-1 and 58% for VLHL<sub>NS</sub> PAI-1 at the highest concentration. Also, inhibition of cell proliferation was concentration dependent but at lower concentrations, the data were not statistically significant. Different forms of VLHL PAI-1s also reduced cell viability as is shown in Table II; at the highest concentration, only ~80% of cells were viable. It is not completely clear if lower proliferation and lower viability of cells was a direct result of PAI-1 presence or a consequence of reduced nutrient uptake by cells detached from flask surface and later aggregated in large clusters.

These effects of PAI-1 on cells have a very limited representation in the literature. Firstly, Balsara et al reported recently that regulation of endothelial cell proliferation by PAI-1 is dependent on interaction with low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein (LRP). They also reported that PAI-1 is a negative regulator of cell growth, exerting its effect on the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt pathway (36). Secondly, Czekay et al reported that detachment of HT-1080 cells treated with PAI-1 and a PAI-1 mutant did not bind vitronectin. Detachment was observed at a concentration of PAI-1 in a very similar range as in our experiment (40 μg/ml and higher). The authors concluded that PAI-1-induced cell detachment requires the presence of uPA-uPAR complexes on the cell surface and depends on the formation of complexes between integrins and the uPAR occupied by uPA (37). Our data possibly indicate a different mechanism. LnCAP cells express very little uPA on the surface. Also, we observed detachment of cells treated with PAI-1 in the active and latent conformation as well as by uPA binding and non-uPA binding PAI-1s. Furthermore, after detachment we observed cell aggregation that was not reported by Czekay et al. These facts suggest the possibility of an alternative and unknown mechanism of PAI-1 action.

In search of a possible explanation, we have harvested VLHL and VLHL<sub>NS</sub> PAI-1-treated cells and ran them on SDS-

Table II. Viability of LnCAP cells treated with different forms of VLHL PAI-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cells treated with buffer or 100 μg/ml of PAI-1</th>
<th>Viability in percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (buffer vs. non-treated)</td>
<td>99.5±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLHL PAI-1</td>
<td>87.8±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLHL&lt;sub&gt;NS&lt;/sub&gt; PAI-1</td>
<td>91.2±2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L VLHL PAI-1 (DTT treated)</td>
<td>83.7±3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 4. LnCAP cells treated with VLHL PAI-1s in different conformation and in different concentrations in comparison with control. No differences were seen between non-treated cells and treated with buffer only and inert protein.](image-url)
PAI-1, we have observed two bands in the ~40 kDa region, which are different between control and PAI-1-treated cells. In VLHL NS PAI-1-treated cells were washed with an acidic buffer. As shown in Fig. 5, the gel showed a different pattern compared to control. If PAI-1-derived from its ligands or complexes were not detected. All proteins detected were bovine in origin, some most likely dimerized (BSA) and some represented IgG fragments.

Two dimensional gel electrophoresis of cells treated with different PAI-1s. Since only limited information could be derived from 1D PAGE gels, we analyzed VLHL PAI-1-treated LnCAP cells by 2D PAGE. In a few places in the 2D gel of LnCAP cells treated with VLHL PAI-1, we have detected altered protein spots. Cells treated with other forms of VLHL PAI-1 (inactive mutant or DTT-treated PAI-1) converted into the latent form as well as wtPAI-1 that converts quickly into the latent form produced virtually the same 2D gels with the exception of one spot. The protein spots are shown in Fig. 6. Several proteins have been identified, but it seems that two of these are of importance in cancer. Both are down-regulated: one in VLHL PAI-1-treated cells the other in all PAI-1-treated cells. We have detected nucleophosmin (also known as NPM, nucleolar phosphoprotein B23, numatrin or nucleolar protein NO38) in the typical region for this protein (~32 kDa) but in two different spots, which could be the phosphorylated and unphosphorylated forms of NPM.

Another downregulated protein was detected in a second area in a much lower molecular weight (~20 kDa). All peptides detected by LC-MS from that region were in the proximity of nucleolar protein NO38) in the typical region for this protein (~32 kDa) but in two different spots, which could be the phosphorylated and unphosphorylated forms of NPM (39). These peptides in bands 2 and 3, respectively. These could be endogenously active, latent or VLHL PAI-1s. Unfortunately, none of the 5 to 9 sequences detected contain the Cys mutation that would make this distinction possible. In the case of VLHL NS PAI-1, these bands were absent and LC-MS did not detect PAI-1 but some other proteins were detected, mostly bovine in origin. This strongly supports the possibility that LnCAP cells bind active VLHL PAI-1 to the cell surface, most likely through uPA/uPAR and the LRP pathway.

Acidic wash of cells treated with different PAI-1s. If PAI-1 forms a complex on the surface of LnCAP cells, it should be dissociated from it by an acidic wash. Control and VLHL PAI-1- and VLHL NS PAI-1-treated cells were washed with an acidic buffer. As shown in Fig. 5, the gel showed a different pattern between control and PAI-1-treated cells. In VLHL PAI-1, we have observed a thin band at MW ~60 kDa. We have detected nucleophosmin (also known as NPM, nucleolar phosphoprotein B23, numatrin or nucleolar protein NO38) in the typical region for this protein (~32 kDa) but in two different spots, which could be the phosphorylated and unphosphorylated forms of NPM (39). Another downregulated protein was detected in a second area in a much lower molecular weight (~20 kDa). All peptides detected by LC-MS from that region were in the proximity of the C-terminus of the NMP protein, suggesting that a truncated fragment of ~20 kDa contains part of the NPM C-terminus.

NPM is an abundant phosphoprotein that resides in the nucleoli, although it shuttles rapidly between the nucleus and the cytoplasm. NPM takes part in various cellular processes including the transport of pre-ribosomal particles and ribosome biogenesis, the response to stress stimuli such as UV irradiation and hypoxia and the DNA-repair processes. However, nucleophosmin is also overexpressed in a variety of cancers and it has been proposed as a marker for gastric, colon, ovarian and prostate malignances. The level of its expression has been correlated in some cases with stages of tumor progression (39).

It has been implicated that the main biological effects of nucleophosmin overexpression are increased cell growth, proliferation and the inhibition of apoptosis (39). Recent studies by Slupianek et al showed that nucleophosmin/ALK activates phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) and its downstream effector of the serine/threonine kinase (Akt) (40). According to Balsara et al Akt is a key regulator of cell survival events, which targets a number of different cytoplasmic proteins, resulting in inactivation of the proapoptotic pathway (36). In the same study, the authors found that hyperactivation of Akt was observed in proliferating PAI-1-endothelial cells (EC). Also, exogenous PAI-1 diminished the levels of Akt, which is similar to the effect observed by us in the case of NPM. The authors suggested that PAI-1 is a negative regulator of cell growth, exerting its effect on the Akt pathway and that the regulation of proliferation is dependent on its interaction with low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein. We have not detected
changes in the Akt levels, which might be observed in more detailed studies in the future. However, it is very tempting to speculate that Akt downregulation was the direct result of downregulation of NPM. Indeed, this event can depend on interaction with LRP since changes in NPM levels were observed for PAI-1 that is able to bind to uPA and to form PAI-1/uPA/uPAR/LRP complexes.

The other protein attenuated after PAI-1 treatment and of importance in cancer is translationally-controlled tumor protein (TCTP, also called p23, histamine-releasing factor-HRF or fortillin), MW 19.6 kDa species shown in Fig. 6. Contrary to NPM, this protein has been downregulated in all PAI-1-treated cells. TCTP is an anti-apoptotic protein but is not related to the Bcl-2 family of proteins and protein inhibitors of apoptosis. The TCTP message is ubiquitous in normal tissues but especially high in the liver, kidney and small intestine. Also, TCTP is elevated in cancer cells compared to cell lines derived from normal tissue (41).

Tuynder et al described the concept of ‘revertants’ (42,43). These are cancer cells that were transformed with v-src (so-called flat revertant factor) or chemically-treated, which results in cells with decreased tumor-producing ability. By analyzing the gene expression profile between tumor cells and revertant counterparts, they found a significant downregulation of TCTP in the revertants (41-43). Furthermore, by transfecting cancer cells with antisense TCTP, they significantly increased the number of revertant cells (43).

Collectively, these surprising and unexpected observations demonstrate that PAI-1 may affect cells in diverse ways. PAI-1 may act as a negative regulator of cell proliferation and exert its effect on the NPM pathway, as well as in the independent TCTP pathway. The first one is most likely related to the non-proteolytic role of the uPAR/uPA/PAI-1/LRP complex, since both uPAR and LRP have been reported to be involved in cellular signaling pathways (44). The second described event is not related to uPAR/uPA/PAI-1/LRP complex formation as the inactive or latent forms of PAI-1 cannot bind to uPA.

Structural analysis of differences between active and latent conformers of PAI-1. During the conversion from the active to latent form, plasminogen activator inhibitor undergoes a substantial structural rearrangement. Cell detachment and downregulation of TCTP were observed after treatment with PAI-1 regardless of its conformation or serine activity. Thus, we have analyzed differences between the active and latent structures in hope of finding conserved regions of the PAI-1
molecule responsible for these events. Judging by the RMSD between the two different structures (1B3K, 1CSG), most conserved regions of PAI-1 are helices (hA, hB, hC, hD, hE, hH) and the loop between strand s1B and s2B. Thus, they constitute the most probable regions of PAI-1 responsible for the detachment of cells and downregulation of TCTP.

In conclusion, our study suggests that the events we have observed after treatment of LnCAP cells with various forms of PAI-1 are driven by independent mechanisms. First, the detachment of cells and their further aggregation and the downregulation of TCTP were unrelated to PAI-1 inhibitory capability or its latent or active conformation. These processes are driven by unknown mechanism(s) and in all probability involve a conserved part of the PAI-1 molecule. Second, downregulation of NMP was associated with active VLHL PAI-1, suggesting a non-proteolytic function of the uPAR/PAI-1/LRP complex.

Downregulation of NMP and TCTP by VLHL PAI-1s have not been reported and are novel observations that supplement the known anticancer activity of PAI-1. On the other hand, detachment of cells, which could be related to dissemination of cancer cells in vivo, should be considered a less desired effect of PAI-1. We hope that by altering PAI-1’s structure and function we might be able to understand and separate the different effects of PAI-1 on cancer cells and develop more effective therapeutic strategies in cancer treatment.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by grants from NIH: CA90524, CA109625, and Frank D. Stranahan Endowment Fund for Oncological Research. We thank Dr R. Hart (President of American Diagnostica Inc., Stamford, CT, USA) for his support and the chemicals used in this study.

References