Abstract. The hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) pathway has been well documented as playing a vital role in the progression and development of many different types of human cancers; as such this pathway is usually tightly regulated. In cancer cells, the regulation of this pathway has been shown to be disrupted, allowing an increase in activation of pro-HGF to active HGF. There are a number of molecules capable of activating pro-HGF, such as matriptase-1, a type II transmembrane serine protease, or hepatocyte growth factor activator, and in turn, these are also subject to regulation. In the current study we examined the importance of hepatocyte growth factor activator inhibitor-1 (HAI-1) which is known to inhibit a number of HGF-activating molecules. We reduced the expression of this molecule in both PC-3 and DU-145 cell lines using hammerhead ribozyme technology, and we examined various important characteristics associated with cancer progression and development in vitro. Prostate cancer cells, after loss of HAI-1, had a significantly increased in vitro invasiveness together with an increase in cellular motility. Notably, loss of HAI-1 resulted in a slower rate of cell growth over a prolonged period (5 days). This in vitro evidence collectively suggests that the suppression of HAI-1 expression gives rise to a more aggressive cancer cell phenotype. This implies that therapies inducing the overexpression of HAI-1 or delivering an exogenous source of HAI-1 protein may hold potential as a treatment to slow the progression of prostate cancer.

Introduction

Hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) was originally identified in 1984 as a new factor present in the serum of partially hepatectomized rats and in the lysate of rat platelets which was capable of stimulating DNA synthesis and promoting hepatocyte growth (1-3). Since its identification, HGF has become a molecule of great interest due to its links with cancer. Hepatocyte growth factor/scatter factor (HGF/SF) has been shown to induce angiogenesis in vivo (4), to stimulate proliferation, migration and morphogenesis in endometrial epithelial cells (5) and to induce or promote invasiveness in a number of different carcinoma cell lines (6). All of these processes are important in cancer progression, and targeting of the HGF/SF molecule or its receptor c-MET has been associated with a less aggressive cancer cell (7,8).

In order for the inactive pro-HGF to exert these effects, it must first be activated via proteolytic cleavage from inactive single-chain pro-HGF to the active heterodimer (9,10). HGF/SF can be processed by proteases such as hepatocyte growth factor activator (HGFA) (11,12) and matriptase-1 (13), which are in turn regulated and inhibited by other molecules. This processing of HGF/SF is a key regulatory step and is often imbalanced in various cancers.

Two potent inhibitors of HGFA were identified from the conditioned medium of the MKN45 stomach carcinoma cell line and were termed hepatocyte growth factor activator inhibitor type 1 and type 2 (HAI-1 and HAI-2). HAI-1 and HAI-2 are Kunitz-type inhibitors and both contain two Kunitz-type inhibitory domains and a transmembrane domain at the C-terminus end. Although HAI-1 and HAI-2 are structurally similar molecules, they differ in a number of regions. HAI-1 contains a low-density lipoprotein receptor-like domain between its two Kunitz domains which is not present in the HAI-2 molecule (14,15). Similar findings have been seen following cloning and characterisation of the HAI-1 and HAI-2 genes, which were mapped to chromosome 15q15 and 19q13.11 respectively (16). Since its initial discovery as a potent HGFA inhibitor, HAI-1 has demonstrated the ability to inhibit a number of other serine proteases including hepsin (17), trypsin and matriptase-1, where the specificity of this inhibitor is largely due to Kunitz domain 1 (18). However, HAI-1, as well as inhibiting matriptase-1, may also play a role in the activation of matriptase-1 as co-expression of HAI-1 mutated in the low-density lipoprotein receptor class A domain prevented matriptase-1 activation from occurring (19).
HAI-1 expression has been shown to be altered in regenerating and injured tissues (20,21). Together with its links to the HGF pathway, this suggests that this molecule is normally involved in the process of tissue regeneration and repair. However the key role of HAI-1 in the HGF pathway and its inhibitory effects on enzymes associated with cancer progression such as matriptase-1 and hepsin, suggest that this molecule is likely to play a role in regulating cancer progression. The aim of this study was to examine the effects of reducing the expression of HAI-1 in two prostate cancer cell lines, PC-3 and DU-145. Reduction of HAI-1 expression was accomplished by using a hammerhead ribozyme transgene which specifically targeted and cleaved the HAI-1 messenger RNA. This transgene was then transfected into the PC-3 and DU-145 cell lines. Once knockdown of HAI-1 expression was confirmed, a number of in vitro functional assays were used to examine the cellular properties linked with key stages in cancer development and progression.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and culture conditions. PC-3 and DU-145 prostate cancer cell lines were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Rockville, MD, USA). Cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (PAA Laboratories Ltd., Somerset, UK) supplemented with penicillin, streptomycin and 10% foetal calf serum (PAA Laboratories Ltd., Somerset, UK). Cells were incubated at 37˚C in 5% CO₂.

Materials and methods

Generation of HAI-1-suppressed prostate cancer cell lines. PC-3 and DU-145 cell lines demonstrating suppressed HAI-1 levels were generated using a hammerhead ribozyme transgene system. This system was previously employed and reported by our group (7,22,23). In brief, ribozyme transgenes that specifically recognize and cleave HAI-1 mRNA were designed based on the predicted secondary mRNA structure as previously reported (23). The ribozymes were designed as sense/antisense strands (Table I) and were combined using touchdown PCR. Following this, the transgenes were cloned into the pEF6/V5-His-TOPO vector and amplified in Escherichia coli. The plasmids were then subsequently extracted, purified, verified for correct size and correct sequence orientation and electroproporated into both PC-3 and DU-145 prostate cancer cell lines. Insertion of the ribozyme transgene into these cells gave rise to the PC-3 \(^{\text{pEF6}}\) and DU-145 \(^{\text{pEF6}}\) cell lines respectively. In addition, a pEF6 control plasmid (no ribozyme sequence) was also electroproporated into wild-type cells to give rise to the PC-3 \(^{\text{pEF6}}\) and DU-145 \(^{\text{pEF6}}\) controls and used in comparison to the knockout cells in the functional assays.

RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis. RNA was extracted from cells cultured in 25 cm\(^2\) tissue culture flasks to confluency using the ABgene Total RNA Isolation Reagent (Advanced Biotechnologies Ltd., Surrey, UK). Following extraction, RNA concentration was determined using a UV spectrophotometer (WPA UV 1101, Biotech Photometer, Cambridge, UK) and normalised to 200 ng of RNA for use in cDNA synthesis using an Enhanced Avian RT-PCR-100 kit with anchored oligo(dt) primers (Sigma, Dorset, UK). Following reverse transcription, cDNA quality was checked using ß-actin (Table I) before specific probing of HAI-1 expression using HAI-1-specific primers. PCR was carried out using a T-CY thermocycler (Creacon Technologies Ltd, The Netherlands) and REDTaq® ReadyMix™ PCR reaction mix (Sigma). PCR conditions were as follows: a 1-min denaturation step at 94˚C, a 2-min annealing step at 55˚C and a 3-min extension step at 72˚C. Extension was conducted over 38 cycles. PCR products were loaded onto a 0.8% agarose gel and electrophoretically separated before being stained with ethidium bromide and visualised under UV light. All PCR primers used were designed using Beacon Designer (Palo Alto, CA, USA) and were synthesised by Invitrogen (Paisley, UK).

SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. Cells were grown to confluence in a 75 cm\(^2\) tissue culture flask before being detached using a cell scraper and pelleted. The cell pellet was then lysed in HCMF buffer plus 0.5% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM CaCl\(_2\), 100 µg/ml phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mg/ml leupeptin, 1 mg/ml aprotinin, and 10 mM sodium orthovanadate on a rotor wheel for 1 h before being spun at 13,000 x g for 15 min to remove insolubles. The lysed protein was then quantified using the Bio-Rad DC Protein Assay kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, CA, USA). The protein samples were then normalised to a standard final concentration of 1.25 mg/ml following the addition of sample buffer, Laemmli 2X concentrate (Sigma), in a 1:1 ratio. Samples were boiled for 5 min prior to loading onto a 10% polyacrylamide gel. Following electrophoresis, proteins were blotted onto a Hybond-C Extra nitrocellulose membrane (Amershams Biosciences UK Ltd., Bucks, UK), blocked in 10% milk and subjected to specific antibody probing. Antibodies specific to HAI-1 were generated in-lab as

Table I. PCR primer sequences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer set</th>
<th>Sense</th>
<th>Antisense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HAI-1 ribozyme</td>
<td>ctgcagggccgagctgacagaggacagcagctgtatcagctgtacagtactccggggctgggtggt</td>
<td>actagtcctgcgccgaggccgcgccttcgctttcccaggacgtacttcagggggcgggggt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA-1 RZIf vs HAI-1 RZ1r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAI-1 probe</td>
<td>gattacgtatgctgccatccaacc</td>
<td>gatgatataatcagggggcgggggtg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAI-1 SNABF vs HAI-1 EcoRVR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ß-actin probe</td>
<td>atgatatagccgccgcctca</td>
<td>cgcgtccgtggagatccctca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BACTF vs BACTR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
previously described (24). An anti-ß-actin antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., CA, USA) at a concentration of 1:500 was used to probe for ß-actin. Probing with primary antibody was followed by probing with peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit (HAI-1) or anti-goat (ß-actin) antibody (Sigma) at 1:1000 concentrations. Supersignal West Dura Extended Duration Substrate chemiluminescent system (Perbio Science UK Ltd., Cramlington, UK) was used to visualise protein bands which were detected using a CCD UVI Prochemi system (UVItec Ltd, Cambridge, UK). Using UVIsoft, UVIband software (UVItec Ltd) protein bands were quantified and normalised using the ß-actin control run in order to allow semi-quantitative analysis of protein expression.

**In vitro growth assay.** Control and test cells were seeded into triplicate 96-well plates at a seeding density of 3,000 cells per well. Plates were incubated for 1, 3, and 5 days before being fixed in 4% formaldehyde (v/v), washed and stained with 0.5% (w/v) crystal violet. Cell density and growth were then measured by extracting the crystal violet stain using 10% acetic acid (v/v) and measuring the absorbance on a Bio-Tek EL x800 multiplate reader (Bio-Tek Instruments Inc., Winooski, VT, USA).

**In vitro invasion assay.** An in-vitro invasion assay was set up as previously described and modified by our group (25) to assess the invasive potential of the cells. In brief, 24-well plate inserts containing 8.0-μm pores (Greiner Bio-One Ltd., Gloucestershire, UK) were each coated with 50 μg of BD Matrigel Matrix Basement membrane (BD Biosciences, Oxford, UK), air dried and rehydrated before being seeded at a density of 15,000 cells per insert and incubated for 72 h. Following incubation, cells that had invaded through the Matrigel layer and through the insert were fixed in 4% formaldehyde (v/v), rinsed and stained with 0.5% (w/v) crystal violet before counting any cells that had invaded through in random fields under x40 objective magnification.

**In vitro adhesion assay.** The ability of the cells to adhere to an artificial Matrigel basement membrane was tested using an adhesion assay adapted from a previously described method (26). In brief, 45,000 cells were seeded into the wells of a 96-well plate which had been coated with 5 μg/well of Matrigel. The cells were incubated for 45 min before being washed vigorously several times to remove unbound cells, fixed in 4% formaldehyde and stained with 0.5% (w/v) crystal violet. Random fields of adherent cells were then counted under x40 objective magnification.

**In vitro motility assay.** Cellular motility was assessed using a cytodex-2 bead motility assay as described previously (27). Briefly, 5x10⁵ cells for each cell type were incubated in 10 ml of growth medium containing 100 μl of cytodex-2 beads (GE Healthcare, Cardiff, UK) for 3.5 h to allow the cells to adhere to the beads. Following this, dead cells were removed with two washes of the beads in 5 ml of growth medium. After the final wash, the beads were re-suspended in 1 ml of growth medium before adding 200 μl of this to a 24-well plate containing a further 800 μl of medium and were incubated overnight. Following overnight incubation, cells that had migrated from the cytodex-2 beads and had adhered to the base of the well were fixed in 4% formaldehyde (v/v) for
5 min, stained with 0.5% crystal violet (w/v), and random fields were counted under x40 objective magnification following removal of cytodex-2 beads through extensive washing.

**Statistical analysis.** Experimental procedures were repeated independently at least three times. In all assays the cell lines showing reduced HAI-1 expression were compared to the plasmid controls (cells containing closed pEF6 plasmid only) using a two-sample, t-tailed, t-test. The presented values represented the mean value ± SEM, and values of p≤0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Creation of PC-3 and DU-145 cell lines showing suppressed HAI-1 expression.** The ability of the ribozyme transgene to knock out/knockdown HAI-1 expression in both the PC-3 and DU-145 cell lines was examined at both the messenger RNA and protein level (Fig. 1). RT-PCR was used to test for suppressed expression at the mRNA level and showed a large reduction of HAI-1 expression in both the PC-3 and DU-145 knockout cell types (PC-3 HAI-1 KO and DU-145 HAI-1 KO) compared to the respective controls (PC-3 pEF6 and DU-145 pEF6). β-actin was used as a control. Similarly reduced expression of HAI-1 was also seen at the protein level using Western blotting. This reduction was found to be significant following protein band quantification using UVIband software (PC-3 pEF6 vs PC-3 HAI-1 KO, p=0.001 and DU-145 pEF6 vs DU-145 HAI-1 KO, p=0.030).

**Suppression of HAI-1 reduces the growth rate of PC-3 and DU-145 cell lines.** Notably, suppressing HAI-1 expression in the PC-3 and DU-145 cell lines was found to reduce the growth rate of both cell lines (Fig. 2) when compared to PC-3 or DU-145 cells containing the closed pEF6 plasmid alone (pEF6 controls). This reduction was found to be significant in both the PC-3 (p=0.01) and the DU-145 (p=0.004) cell lines after a 5-day incubation period; no reduction in growth was seen after a 3-day incubation.

**Suppressed HAI-1 levels promote invasiveness in PC-3 and DU-145 cells.** Cells demonstrating reduced HAI-1 expression levels (PC-3 HAI-1 KO and DU-145 HAI-1 KO) were both found to have a greater ability to invade through an artificial Matrigel basement membrane (Fig. 3). This increased invasiveness was found to be highly significant when compared to the respective pEF6 control cell lines (PC-3 HAI-1 KO vs PC-3 pEF6, p<0.001 and DU-145 HAI-1 KO vs DU-145 pEF6, p<0.001). As these two cell lines produced very weak to negligible levels of HGF (data not shown), this increased invasion was likely due to the inhibition of matriptase-1, which has been demonstrated to play a dual role in invasion due to potential involvement in the uPA system (28), or through inhibition of other molecules important in invasion but not solely involved in the HGF pathway. Importantly, this result suggests that a decrease in HAI-1 contributes to a more invasive cancer phenotype which strongly implies HAI-1 in the regulation and inhibition of cancer cell invasion, a key step in the process of metastasis.

**Suppression of HAI-1 increases the ability of prostate cancer cells to adhere to an artificial basement membrane.**
Adhesion assays testing the ability of PC-3 HAI-1 KO and DU-145 HAI-1 KO to adhere to an artificial Matrigel basement membrane showed an increase in adhesive ability in both of the HAI-1-suppressed cell types (Fig. 4). This increase was found to be highly statistically significant in both cases when compared to the pEF6 control cell types (PC-3 HAI-1 KO vs PC-3 pEF6, p<0.001 and DU-145 HAI-1 KO vs DU-145 pEF6, p<0.001) (following log10 transformation of DU-145 data sets to normalise data). Again, these data suggest that HAI-1 is involved in inhibiting the adherence of cancer cells to a basement membrane, another important stage in the metastatic process.

HAI-1 suppression increases motility of both the PC-3 and DU-145 cell lines. Suppression of HAI-1 in the PC-3 cell line was found to increase cellular motility (Fig. 5), the rate of cell dissemination from the cytode-2 beads, and adherence to the tissue culture plate surface (PC-3 HAI-1 KO vs PC-3 pEF6, p<0.001). Similarly, suppression of HAI-1 in the DU-145 cells also significantly increased cellular motility and dissemination (DU-145 HAI-1 KO vs DU-145 pEF6, p=0.005). This suggests that HAI-1 is involved in the regulation of motility and the dissemination rate of prostate cancer cells.

Discussion

The HGF pathway is known to contribute to cancer aggressiveness due to its ability to promote angiogenesis, migration, cell morphogenesis, proliferation and its ability to enhance cell invasiveness (4-6). Hence as HAI-1 plays a role in the regulation of this pathway through inhibition of key pro-HGF activators, it is highly likely that this molecule also contributes to regulating cancer aggressiveness associated with pro-HGF activation.

Disruption of the normal expression ratio between HAI-1 and associated proteases such as matriptase-1 or HGFA is believed to play a key role in cancer aggression, where more aggressive cancers have low levels of the inhibitor and higher protease levels. This disruption allows larger amounts of the protease to act in signalling pathways or matrix breakdown in an uninhibited manner. The disruption of this expression ratio was demonstrated in a study by Oberst et al in ovarian cancer. The study showed that matriptase-1 expression was statistically more likely to occur in stage I/II ovarian tumours than in stage III/IV tumours, with HAI-1 expression similarly being more likely in stage I/II than stage III/IV tumours and that late-stage tumours expressing matriptase-1 were more likely to do so in the absence of HAI-1 (29). The importance of this expression ratio was further shown in a study by List et al where transgenic mice demonstrating a modest orthotopic overexpression of matriptase-1 in their skin were shown to more frequently develop spontaneous squamous cell carcinoma and were found to be dramatically more susceptible to carcinogen-induced tumour formation. Crossing of the matriptase-1 overexpressing line with an HAI-1 overexpressing transgenic line resulted in double transgenic mice showing overexpression of both matriptase-1 and HAI-1. In these mice the overexpression of HAI-1, in addition to matriptase-1, completely negated the oncogenic effects of matriptase-1 (30). Disruption of this expression ratio has also been demonstrated in colon cancer, where a
significantly different matriptase-1/HAI-1 mRNA ratio was seen between both adenomas and carcinomas and the corresponding normal tissue. Dysregulation of the mRNA expression ratio was shown to occur during early carcinogenesis (31).

Throughout this study we have shown that targeting of HAI-1 expression using a ribozyme transgene resulted in prostate cancer cells that were more invasive, more motile and more adhesive, though strangely these cells also demonstrated a reduced growth capacity over a period of five days. These results are largely consistent with similar studies conducted in our laboratories targeting HAI-1 expression in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells, where suppression of HAI-1 also resulted in a more invasive and motile phenotype, though these cells were found to have increased proliferative rates. Generation of fibroblast MRC5 cells where HAI-1 expression was induced resulted in a reduced capacity of these cells to produce bio-active HGF and to promote breast cancer cell invasion in a co-culture system. Similar trends were also seen when HAI-1 recombinant protein was added to a co-culture system (32). Taken together, these data suggest the involvement of HAI-1 in the regulation of cancer development and progression, linking it to several key traits associated with cancer metastasis. In these two studies, altering the expression of HAI-1 in breast and prostate cancer cells was associated with a change in aggressiveness of the cancer cells. This is consistent with the current theory that the expression ratio between key proteases such as matriptase-1 and HGFα and HAI-1 is a key factor in regulating cancer progression, and that disruption of this expression ratio is important in determining the aggressiveness of the cancer.

In conclusion, suppression of HAI-1 results in a more aggressive, invasive cancer cell phenotype. This increased aggression is most likely through a disruption in the levels of proteases and associated inhibitors in the system allowing greater activation of key growth factors such as HGF. However, though HGF activation undoubtedly contributes to an increased aggression in some systems, it is unlikely that it is the only factor involved as the PC-3 cells used in this study exhibited no HGF expression at the PCR level (data not shown). This study suggests that HAI-1 plays an important role in prostate cancer development, progression and metastasis, and that it is capable of regulating pathways other than HGF activation through its regulation of serine proteases such as matriptase-1 which has been shown to be involved in the uPA pathway and PAR-2 signalling (13,33).
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