In vitro proliferation and differentiation of adipose-derived stem cells isolated using anti-CD105 magnetic beads
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Abstract. The present study aimed to investigate the feasibility of isolating adipose-derived stem cells (ADSCs) by selecting cells that express the surface receptor CD105. Surface antigen expression of the unsorted cells was undertaken using FACS analysis. Primary adipose-derived cells were isolated. The second passage cells were incubated with anti-CD105 magnetic beads, and separated using a magnetic separator. Cell growth and colony formation was determined by counting and Giemsa staining, respectively. Cells were also subjected to histological immunohistochemical, and RT-PCR analyses to determine their chondrogenic, adipogenic and osteogenic potential. Increased cell proliferation and colony formation was observed in CD105-positive (CD105+) as compared to the CD105-negative (CD105-) cells (P<0.001). Following induction, the expression of type II collagen and the number of calcium deposits and lipid droplets in the CD105+ ADCs were markedly higher than in the CD105- ADCs. Furthermore, increased alkaline phosphatase (AKP), leptin and PPARY2 mRNA expression was detected in the CD105+ ADCs (P<0.01). Isolation of CD105+ ADSCs by MACS was feasible. Thus, CD105 can be used as a relatively specific marker for the selection of ADSCs. Although the chondrogenic, adipogenic and osteogenic potential of these cells is suggestive of their potential for use in tissue engineering treatments, further in vivo studies are necessary.

Introduction

Treatment of tissue defects and injury due to congenital causes, trauma and infection has been one of the challenges for plastic surgeons and trauma surgeons. The rapid development of tissue-engineering techniques provides strategies and potential sources of materials for the repair and regeneration following tissue injury.

The possible use of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) for tissue engineering therapies has been increasingly explored. Ideally, MSCs should be autologous, available in sufficient quantity, and easily obtained, with the ability to proliferate and differentiate in vitro (1).

Studies have confirmed the multipotentiality of adipose-derived stem cells (ADSCs) (2,3), which have advantages as seed cells for tissue engineering. For example, collection of tissue for ADSC isolation is relatively simple as compared to other sources; human adipose tissue, a rich source of ADSCs, can be obtained by suction-assisted lipectomy (i.e., liposuction) (4,5). This technique is minimally invasive, increasing the likelihood of patient acceptance. However, the chondrogenic potential of ADSCs has come into question (6-8). For example, the maturity and homogeneity of cartilage constructed using ADSCs was lower as compared to that constructed using bone marrow stem cells (BMSCs) (8). This may be attributed to the low proportion of MSCs and the presence of contaminant cells that are likely terminally differentiated.

ADSCs, in fact adipose-derived cells (ADCs), consist of a heterogeneous cell population, including fat precursor cells, hematopoietic cells, endothelial cells, vascular pericytes, and fibroblasts (9). Because some ADCs have directed differentiation and terminally differentiated cells have no chondrogenic potential, the maturity and homogeneity of cartilage is affected. Therefore, separation of ADCs prior to their application is necessary.

The traditional methods employed for separating stem cells include density gradient centrifugation, differential adhe-
sion, and culturing in serum. However, because these methods are largely nonspecific, the resulting cultures are often of low purity. In addition, these methods are complex, and the experimental period is relatively long. An alternative to the aforementioned methods includes separating the stem cells using stem cell-specific antigens with immunomagnetic beads or flow cytometry. These methods require a short experimental period and result in an increased purity in the stem cell cultures. However, these techniques have yet to be applied to ADSCs due to the lack of a specific surface marker.

To identify a possible ADSC-specific surface antigen, ADSCs were analyzed for the expression of commonly used MSC-related antigens, including endoglin (CD105), CD166 (activated leukocyte cell adhesion molecule, ALCAM) and STRO-1. In the present study, CD105, a relatively specific antigen for MSCs, was applied for the separation of ADSCs. CD105+ and CD105− ADC growth, colony formation, and differentiation potentials were assessed. These findings provide theoretical and practical evidence for the separation and purification of ADSCs.

Materials and methods

Isolation and culture of ADCs. Adipose tissues were collected from patients receiving suction lipectomy in the Department of Plastic Surgery, Ninth People's Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine. Of the 5 patients, 4 were female; the mean age was 28.5 years (range, 22-45 years), and the lipectomy sites were abdomen (n=2) and thigh (n=3).

The adipose tissues from five patients were separately washed twice in PBS and then digested in 0.075% collagenase NB4 (Serva, Heidelberg, Germany) for 1 h followed by centrifugation at 1,380 rpm for 10 min. The cell sediments were collected and resuspended in DMEM (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Hyclone Labs, Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA). The cell density was adjusted to 4x10⁵ cells/cm², and the ADCs were seeded onto a 24-well plate at a density of 1x10³ cells/ml, and the ADCs were collected and isolated by centrifugation at 1,500 rpm for 5 min. The cell pellets were washed in PBS, centrifuged, and 2 mg/ml dexamethasone (Sigma) followed by 10 µg transformation growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1) (R&D Systems), 50 µg insulin growth factor-1 (IGF-1) (R&D Systems), and 2 mg/ml dexamethasone (Sigma) followed by centrifugation at 1,380 rpm for 5 min. The cell pellets were maintained in the chondrogenic induction medium for 14 and 21 days. The cell pellets were replaced with DMEM alone.

For osteogenic induction the cells were digested and seeded onto a 24-well plate at a density of 1x10⁴ cells/well.
Table I. Reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) primers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene (product size)</th>
<th>Sense</th>
<th>Antisense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPAR(\gamma) (493 bp)</td>
<td>5'-GATCCAGTGTTGACAGATTA-3'</td>
<td>5'-GGTCAGCGGAAGGACCTTTA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLII (510 bp)</td>
<td>5'-TCCCCGCACTCTGGACTGAT-3'</td>
<td>5'-CTTGAGCACTCCTGGGACCTTTTA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKP (467 bp)</td>
<td>5'-CTGGTAGGCGATGTCCCTTA-3'</td>
<td>5'-ACGTGGAAGAAGTTCATC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptin (481 bp)</td>
<td>5'-CAAGGCTGGTCCCATCAAA-3'</td>
<td>5'-GGCGAGTCCCGCTCCTA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta)-actin (318 bp)</td>
<td>5'-ATCATGTGGTACACTCT-3'</td>
<td>5'-CATCCTGGTCAGATCCA-3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For induction, cells were maintained in osteogenic induction medium containing 10 nM vitamin D3 (Sigma) and 10 mM \(\beta\)-phosphoglycerol (ICN Biomedicals, Solon, OH, USA) and 0.1 \(\mu\)M dexamethasone for 14 and 21 days, and those in the non-induced groups were cultured in traditional DMEM.

For adipogenic induction the cells were digested and seeded onto a 24-well plate at a density of 1x10^4 cells/well. When cell confluence reached 80%, cells in the induced groups were maintained in the adipogenic induction medium containing 0.5 mM 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (IBMX), 200 \(\mu\)M indomethacin, 10 \(\mu\)M insulin and 1 \(\mu\)M dexamethasone (all from Sigma) for 14 and 21 days; those in the non-induced groups were cultured in traditional DMEM.

**Immunohistochemistry analysis.** As previously described in Liu et al (10), the cells were fixed in 10% formalin, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned into 5-\(\mu\)m slices. The sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) to evaluate histological structure. Analysis of collagen II expression was performed by 1% BSA blocked sections using 1:100 mouse anti-human type II collagen monoclonal primary antibody (Dako) at 4°C overnight, followed by 1:200 horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (Dako) at 37°C for 30 min. DAB was used as a substrate for HRP.

Alizarin Red and Oil Red staining was performed respectively to evaluate the formation of calcium deposits and lipid droplet.

**Reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analysis.** Total-RNA was extracted from the cells after multi-lineage induction using TRIzol (Invitrogen, CA). cDNA was obtained using previously described methods (11). RT-PCR was performed with different primers respectively. \(\beta\)-actin mRNA expression was quantified as an internal control. The primer sequences for each gene analyzed are listed in Table I.

**Statistical analysis.** Cell growth is presented as mean ± standard error (SE). Mean cell number over time were compared through repeated ANOVA measurements. Two-sample t-test at each time-point was performed to compare the differences between CD105+ ADCs and CD105- ADCs. Colony formation rates are presented as bar graphs, representing the mean ± SE and compared using two-sample t-tests. Collagen II, alkaline phosphatase (AKP), leptin, and PPAR\(\gamma\)mRNA expression was presented as bar graphs, representing mean ± SE. Data among four conditions (CD105+ induced and non-induced and CD105- induced and non-induced) were compared using one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni adjustment. Data within-conditions (change from Day 14-21) were compared using paired t-tests. All statistical assessments were two-tailed, and P<0.05 was considered significant. Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 15.0 statistics software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

ADC surface antigen expression. To determine which surface antigen may be useful for isolation of ADSCs from a mixed ADC population, ADCs in the first and second passage were analyzed for various stem cell-associated surface antigens. First passage ADCs were largely negative for CD14, CD106, STRO-1, and Flk-1 but positive for CD29, CD31, CD34, CD49d, CD105 and CD166 (Table I). ADCs in the second passage had significantly decreased CD34 expression and were negative for CD31 and CD49d but positive for CD14, STRO-1 and Flk-1. In the second passage, the expressions of CD14, CD105, CD166, STRO-1, and Flk-1 were markedly higher than observed in the first passage (Table II).

Inverted phase contrast microscopy was employed to observe the morphology of ADCs in the first and second passage as well as after separation (Fig. 1). The first passage ADCs consisted of different types of cells with diverse morphologies, including short-spindle shape, spindle shape, and flat shaped cells (Fig. 1, left panel). The second passage cells were largely spindle shaped (Fig. 1, right panel).

**Cell growth and morphology of ADCs separated by CD105.** Prior to separation by CD105, 30% of ADCs were positive for CD105. After enrichment for CD105 expression, the proportion of CD105+ cells was increased to 90%, suggesting that MACS successfully enriched CD105+ (Fig. 2A). After separation, <5% of the CD105+ population was positive for CD105 (Fig. 2A).

After separation, the morphology of CD105+ ADCs was fibroblast-like, and their growth was directional, reaching near 100% confluence after five to 6 days of culture (Fig. 2B, left panel). The growth of CD105+ ADCs was relatively slow and was characterized by clone-like growth; near 100% confluence was reached after 6-7 days. CD105- ADCs were largely long-spindle shaped with a fraction of cells that were short-spindle shaped, small-round or long-narrow-shaped (Fig. 2B, right panel).
CD105\(^+\) and CD105\(^-\) ADC growth was characterized by rapid growth after 3-4 days. CD105\(^+\) ADCs growth was continuous without a plateau. However, CD105\(^-\) ADC growth was suppressed after 6 days with a doubling time of 72 h (Fig. 2C).

**CD105\(^+\) cell colony formation.** Colony formation was assessed in both CD105\(^+\) and CD105\(^-\) cells (Fig. 3). After two weeks, single cell-derived colonies were observed, consisting of 50-100 cells. Cells within the colonies were long-spindle shaped, short-spindle shaped, small-round or long-narrow shaped (Fig. 3A). CD105\(^+\) ADC colonies enlarged gradually; the growth of CD105\(^-\) ADCs was slow, with some aging. After three weeks, Giemsa staining revealed significantly larger CD105\(^+\) ADC colonies as compared to CD105\(^-\) ADCs (P<0.001) with diameters up to 1.5 cm (Fig. 3B and C).

**Differentiation potentials of CD105\(^+\) and CD105\(^-\) ADCs.** The potential for CD105\(^+\) ADCs to differentiate into cartilage, bone and adipose tissue was assessed. At 14 days after chondrogenic induction, a round, pale, smooth, elastic mass was observed (Fig. 4A). The mass observed from induced CD105\(^+\) ADC (Fig. 4A, group 1) was larger and more elastic than those derived from induced CD105\(^-\) ADCs (Fig. 4A, group 3). In the non-induced groups (Fig. 4A, groups 2 and 4), the cells were irregular, grey, soft and non-elastic. Collagen II expression was observed in both induced groups; however, CD105\(^+\) ADCs had significantly greater collagen II expression as compared to CD105\(^-\) ADCs on Days 14 and 21 (Figs. 4B and C and 7A) (P<0.001). Collagen II expression was significantly increased in both induced groups from Days 14-21 (P<0.05). Both non-induced groups were negative for type II collagen (Fig. 4B and C).

Seven days after osteogenic induction, the long spindle-shaped cells became flat, and had flake-like growth, which was similar to osteocytes (Fig. 5A, groups 1 and 3). Fourteen days after osteogenic induction, dark brown nodule-like deposits were found to be sporadic. The number and volume of deposits were larger in CD105\(^+\) ADCs; no obvious changes in cell morphology were found in the non-induced groups (Fig. 5A, groups 2 and 4). Alizarin Red staining showed red, nodule-like calcium deposits in the induced groups, which were not found in the non-induced groups (Fig. 5A). AKP expression was observed in both induced groups; however, it was significantly higher in the induced CD105\(^+\) ADCs as compared to induced CD105\(^-\) ADCs (P<0.05) (Figs. 5C and 7B). AKP expression was significantly decreased in both induced groups from Days 14-21 (P<0.001).

### Table II. Stem-cell antigen expression in ADCs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>First generation (%)</th>
<th>Second generation (%)</th>
<th>P-value(^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD14</td>
<td>2.99±0.4</td>
<td>7.98±0.78</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD29</td>
<td>82.03±3.4</td>
<td>64.26±2.49</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD31</td>
<td>15.12±1.24</td>
<td>2.69±0.5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD34</td>
<td>20.99±1.84</td>
<td>6.02±0.56</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD49d</td>
<td>14.8±0.84</td>
<td>1.29±0.23</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD105</td>
<td>20.7±2.08</td>
<td>35.3±1.6</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD106</td>
<td>4.49±0.34</td>
<td>0.42±0.09</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD166</td>
<td>18.98±0.93</td>
<td>62.4±2.35</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flk-1</td>
<td>2.24±0.21</td>
<td>9.42±0.87</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRO-1</td>
<td>3.41±0.18</td>
<td>10.55±0.99</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data were summarized as mean ± SE and compared between first and second generation using paired t-test. \(^aP<0.05\).
At 3 days after adipogenic induction, both CD105⁺ and CD105⁻ cells transformed from long spindle-shaped to round-like. Seven days after induction, cell growth became slow, and the morphology was also altered; small lipid droplets were found in the cytoplasm; near mature adipocytes were observed after 14 days (Fig. 6A, groups 1 and 3). The number of adipocytes and lipid droplets was higher in the CD105⁺ ADC group than in the CD105⁻ ADC group. No obvious changes were observed in the non-induced groups (Fig. 6A, groups 2 and 4). Oil Red staining revealed lipid-containing cytoplasms in
induced cells, which were absent in the non-induced groups (Fig. 6B). Increased leptin and PPARγ2 expression was observed in both induced groups; their expression was negative in both non-induced groups (Fig. 6C). Induced CD105+ ADCs expressed significantly greater leptin and PPARγ2 expression as compared to induced CD105-ADCs (P<0.01) (Fig. 7C and D). Leptin and PPARγ2 expression was significantly increased in both induced groups from Days 14-21 (P<0.05).

Discussion

Although the advantages of ADSCs (i.e., simple collection and high yield) are apparent, the maturity and homogeneity of cartilages constructed using ADSCs were inferior to those using BMSCs (8). This may be attributed to the low proportion of MSCs in the isolated ADSCs. In an effort to isolate ADSCs from a mixed-cell ADC population isolated using suction-assisted lipectomy, ADCs were separated based upon CD105 surface expression. CD105+ ADCs displayed increased cell proliferation and colony formation as compared to the CD105- cells. Upon induction, CD105+ ADCs differentiated into chondrocytes, osteocytes, and adipocytes to a greater extent than that observed for CD105- ADCs, which is similar to other reports demonstrating their ability to differentiate into chondrocytes (8), osteoblasts (12), endothelial cells (13), muscle cells (14,15), and even hepatocytes (16).

Because culturing can change the expression of ADSCs in mice (17), ADCs in the first and second passage were analyzed for various stem cell-associated surface antigens to determine which surface antigen may be useful for isolation of ADSCs. Mitchell et al (18) similarly investigated the surface markers
on cells obtained from fat aspirates; the surface markers on these cells included CD13, CD29, CD44, CD63, CD73, CD90, CD105 and CD166, which were similar to those observed on BMSCs. The expression of these markers, including CD49D, CD44, CD90, CD105, CD13 and CD71 were stable for up to 7 passages and 3 months in culture (19). Izadpanah et al (20) proposed that both ADSCs and BMSCs were positive for the embryonic stem cell antigens, Oct-4, Rex-1 and Sox-2. In the present study, ADCs were positive for the MSC-related surface antigens, CD105, CD166 and STRO-1; the percentage of cells expressing these markers increased from the first to the second passage. In addition, ADCs expressed hematopoietic and endothelial cell-related surface antigens, including CD34 and CD31. These findings suggest that ADCs contain MSCs as well as contaminant cells and possibly other non-MSCs.

To address the need for a simple method of obtaining and purifying ADSCs from a mixed ADC population, we employed an immunomagnetic bead assay with MACS similar as in Jiang et al (21). Griesche et al (22) compared the various methods of obtaining homogeneous MSC populations from adipose tissue, reporting a minor advantage of using immunomagnetic isolation with the disadvantage of lower yield. Because the proportion of CD105+ cells was relatively stable between the first and second passages, it was selected as the marker for separation; the proportion of CD105+ cells was >90% after separation, which is similar to that obtained using FACS (21). Thus, separation of ADCs using the immunomagnetic bead assay can achieve a target cell population of high purity.

CD105 is a relatively specific marker for MSCs. Within a population of BMSCs, CD105+ cells have been shown to exhibit stronger stemness as compared to CD105- cells (23). In addition, CD105+ cells isolated from human (24) and rat (25) synovial fluid, human bone marrow (26) as well as mouse adipose-derived vascular fraction (27) have been reported to exhibit strong chondrogenic potential.

To determine whether the separated cells had the characteristics of stem cells, their morphology, self-renewal capacity, and multipotentiality were assessed. CD105+ ADCs were largely long spindle-shaped with a small fraction of cells being short spindle-shaped, small round, and long narrow-shaped. The majority of CD105-ADCs were long spindle-shaped with few processes; they were also larger. In addition, large (>1 cm) colonies were observed from the CD105+ADCs after 3 weeks. Few single-cell colonies were found in CD105-ADCs; the majority did not grow due to the presence of aging cells, suggesting that the CD105-ADC population contained differentiated cells.

Furthermore, CD105+ ADCs displayed significantly greater proliferation as compared to CD105- ADCs. These results differ from those published by Jiang et al (21). In their study ADCs were separated based upon CD105 expression using FACS analysis; no differences in proliferation or colony formation were noted. This may be caused by differences in the tissue source, culture condition, and most importantly the separation methods. Using magnetic beads might improve the purity of the isolated cells, but parallel experiments of these 2 methods should be performed to prove this hypothesis.

The adipogenic, osteogenic, and chondrogenetic potentials of the CD105+ cells were confirmed. Dramatic differences in the chondrogenetic and osteogenic potentials were observed between the CD105+ and CD105- ADCs, which is similar to that observed by Jiang et al (21). Specifically, lacuna-like structures were rarely found in cell micromass of CD105+ ADCs, and the amount of type II collagen was relatively small. Differences in the adipogenic potential were relatively small.

Figure 7. Expression of differentiation markers on induced CD105+ ADCs. (A) Collagen II, (B) AKP, (C) leptin, and (D) PPARγ2 mRNA expression was determined in CD105+ and CD105- ADCs with or without differentiation-inducing reagents. Data were presented as mean ± SE. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001 indicated significantly different among conditions. Significant changes from Days 14-21 were indicated using the following: †P<0.05, ††P<0.01 and †††P<0.001.
between the two cell populations, which may be attributed to the presence of fat precursor cells in the CD105⁺ ADCs. Because the differentiation potential of MSCs is mainly reflected in their chondrogenetic and osteogenic potentials, we speculate that the proportion of MSCs in the CD105⁺ ADC population is higher than that in CD105⁻ ADCs. The limited chondrogenetic and osteogenic potential observed for the CD105⁻ cells may be due to the following: i) a fraction of CD105⁺ cells were present in the CD105⁻ cell population; ii) not all ADC-derived MSCs express CD105, and ADSCs may consist of different cell types; and iii) the presence of precursor cells, such as fat precursor cells, precursor chondroblasts and precursor osteoblasts, in the CD105⁻ ADCs cannot be ruled out. However, further studies are required to determine the therapeutic efficacy of using the CD105⁺ ADC population for tissue engineering.

The mechanism by which CD105 promotes MSC chondrogenesis has yet to be determined. It is a member of the TGF-β receptor superfamily, that binds to TGF-β1 and TGF-β3 by associating with the TGF-β type II receptor and modulating cellular responses to TGF-β (28). Therefore, CD105 may mediate TGF-β-induced chondrogenesis (29,30). However, further studies are necessary to determine the mechanism by which CD105 influences MSC chondrogenesis.

The present study has its limitations. Firstly, isolated ADSCs differentiated into chondrocytes, osteocytes, and adipocytes all of which are mesodermal in origin. The capability these isolated cells to differentiate to other germ layers needs further analysis. Also, the differentiation potentials of these cells was only assessed using in vitro studies; therefore, further in vivo studies are necessary to confirm their utility for tissue engineering purposes.

In conclusion, CD105⁺ ADCs were isolated using MACS. The colony-formation ability and the chondrogenetic, osteogenic, and adipogenic potentials of CD105⁺ ADCs were superior as compared to CD105⁻ ADCs. This study provides theoretical and practical evidence for the identification of new stem cell-specific markers and the use of MACS for the separation and purification of ADSCs.
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