Antiviral activity of *Isatis indigotica* root-derived clemastanin B against human and avian influenza A and B viruses in *vitro*
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Abstract. Clemastanin B, 7S,8R,8'R-(−)-lariciresinol-4,4'-bis-O-β-D-glucopyranoside, is one of the major lignans extracted from *Isatis indigotica* root (IIR). In this study, the anti-influenza activities of clemastanin B were evaluated in *vitro*. Clemastanin B was found to inhibit different subtypes of human (H1N1, including swine-origin H1N1; H3N2 and influenza B) and avian influenza viruses (H6N2, H7N3, H9N2) at different magnitudes of activity (IC50 0.087-0.72 mg/ml) while this compound was inactive against respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), adenovirus 3 (ADV3), parainfluenza virus 3 (PIV3), enterovirus 71 (EV71) and human rhinovirus (HRV). An apparent virus titer reduction was detected when MDCK cells were treated with clemastanin B after viral infection, particularly at the early stage, and the ribonucleoprotein (RNP) of the influenza virus was retained in the nucleus after treatment with clemastanin B. These results demonstrated that clemastanin B targets viral endocytosis, uncoating or RNP export from the nucleus. Furthermore, treatment with clemastanin B did not easily result in the emergence of viral drug resistance. The effects of clemastanin B demonstrated in this study may promote the antiviral study of IIR, but additional studies are required to define the anti-influenza mechanism(s).

Introduction

Influenza A viruses are a major cause of morbidity and mortality globally. The most severe pandemic human influenza outbreak occurred in 1918–1919, when the Spanish influenza caused more than 20 million deaths worldwide (1). In June 2009, the pandemic H1N1 virus spread rapidly throughout the world, and was characterized by a unique triple re-assortment of gene segments that had never before been identified in humans, pigs or birds (2). The rapid worldwide spread of the virus in less than two months led the WHO to raise the alert level of influenza pandemic from phase 3 to 6 (3). Although vaccination has been extremely successful in reducing the human cost of influenza virus infection, the search continues for effective therapeutic or prophylactic agents that may further diminish the threat of widespread outbreaks. Currently, adamantane derivatives (amantadine and rimantadine) and neuraminidase inhibitors (zanamivir and oseltamivir) are used for the prophylaxis and treatment of influenza (4,5). However, viruses resistant to these drugs have emerged due to mutations at amino acid residues encoding the M2 ion channel or active sites of neuraminidase (6,7). Another neuraminidase inhibitor, peramivir, has exhibited potent antiviral activity against influenza A and B viruses, and viral resistance has not been reported. Yet, due to the safety and overall experience, peramivir has not been approved by the FDA (8). For these reasons, alternative methods and therapies to prevent and overcome these obstacles have been suggested.

*Isatis indigotica* root (IIR, *Radix isatidis*), also known as Ban-Lan-Gen in Chinese, belongs to the family Cruciferae and is widely distributed in northern and central China. This medicinal plant has been traditionally used for the treatment of influenza, viral pneumonia, mumps, pharyngitis and hepatitis (9). Over the last decade, more than 50 chemical constituents have been identified in IIR. The antiviral compounds isolated from IIR including: 2,4(1H,3H)-quinazolinedione (10), (3H)-quinazolinone (11), lignan glycoside A, 8'R-(−)-lariciresinol-4,4'-bis-O-β-D-glucopyranoside (clemastanin B), 5-hydroxymethylfurural, 5-hydroxymethyl-furoic acid, epigotrin (12,13), banlangen polysaccharide (14), uridine, hypoxanthine, anthranilic acid, phenylformic acid and banlangen lectin (15). Among these, the antiviral monomers have received much attention, such as...
epigoitrin, 2,4(1H,3H)-quinazolinedione, 4(3H)-quinazolinone, clemastin B and banlangen polysaccharide.

Clemastin B was first isolated from the roots of Clematis stans by Kizu et al. (16). Our research has focused on the antiviral effects of clemastin B, which is one of the major lignans (content 0.04%) extracted from IIR. Previously, He et al (17) reported that clemastin B exhibited antioxidant bioactivities against free radicals, protected cells and inhibited HSV-1, HSV-2 in vitro; yet, its anti-influenza activity in vitro and the underlying mechanism have not been clarified to date in any published literature. The aim of the present study was to investigate the anti-influenza activities of clemastin B in more detail against a variety of influenza strains (human and avian) in vitro and to evaluate its potency against the emergence of resistant strains in comparison with M2 inhibitors.

Materials and methods

Plant material, cells, reagents and viruses. IIR, cultivated in Good Agricultural Practice (GAP) farms in Fuyang, An-Hui Province, was obtained from Hutchison Whampoa Guangzhou Baiyunshan Chinese Medicine Co., Ltd. It was authenticated by Professor Ye Huagu at the Chinese Medicine Research Institute.

Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK), HEp-2, LLC-MK2, VERO-E6 and MRC-5 cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). Influenza virus A/PR/8/34 (H1N1), A/FM/1/47 (H1N1), A/Aichi/2/68 (H3N2), parainfluenza virus 3 (PIV3) and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV, long strain) were purchased from ATCC and seasonal influenza virus A/Guangzhou/GIRD/02/09 (H1N1), B/Guangzhou/GIRD/08/09, novel swine influenza virus (A/Guangzhou/GIRD/07/09, H1N1, GenBank Accession no. HM014332.1), adenovirus type 3 (ADV3), enterovirus 71 (EV71) and human rhinovirus (HRV) were isolated from routine clinical specimens. Avian influenza strains of H6N2 (A/Duck/Guangdong/2009), H7N3 (A/Duck/Guangdong/1994) and H9N2 (A/Chicken/Guangdong/1996) were a kind gift from Dr Jianxin Chen (South China Agricultural University). The influenza viruses were propagated and passaged in MDCK cells. HEp-2 cells were used as the host for RSV and ADV3. LLC-MK2 cells were used for culturing PIV3. VERO-E6 and MRC-5 cells were used to culture EV71 and HRV viruses. All cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) with 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS). D101 macroporous resin, MCI gel and Sephadex LH-20 were purchased from Beijing H&E Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China).

Extraction and isolation of clemastin B. Initially, 10 kg IIR were dried and ground to a power and extracted three times with 10 times water (v/w) for 2 h. The extractions were combined and condensed to a proper volume under reduced pressure. Second, the solution was transferred to the D101 macroporous resin column and eluted with water, 10% EtOH and 30% EtOH, respectively. Third, the 30% EtOH elution was collected and condensed to a proper volume under reduced pressure, transferred to the MCI gel column and eluted with water, 10% MeOH and 25% MeOH, respectively. Fourth, the 25% MeOH elution was collected, condensed and dried under reduced pressure, dissolved in MeOH and purified using the Sephadex LH-20 column. Finally, the material was crystallized with MeOH to produce a yellow-white powder (2.5 g), which was identified as clemastin B (Fig. 1).

Cytotoxicity assay. MDCK cells were left untreated or treated with the indicated amounts of clemastin B. Cell viability was measured using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-3,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT; GBC Bio Co., Ltd) assay. Briefly, cells were treated with 5 mg/ml thiazole blue tetrazolium bromide in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated for 3 h at 37˚C. The reaction product was dissolved in DMSO and cells were further incubated for 20 min at 37˚C. The absorbance was measured using a microplate reader at 570 nm (18).

Viral infections. For infection, cells were washed with PBS, incubated with virus diluted in serum-free MEM containing 100 U/ml penicillin and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin for 1.5 h at 34˚C at the indicated multiplicities of infection (MOI). The inoculums were aspirated, and the cells were incubated with MEM supplementing with 2 µg/ml TPCK-trypsin.

Treatment of viruses and cells with clemastin B. MDCK cells (0.8-1.0x10^4) were seeded into each well of 12-well plastic plates and cultured at 37˚C for 24-48 h. For the anti-influenza activity assay and identification of the affected viral life cycle, cells were treated with clemastin B using three different protocols (19-21) (Fig. 2). First, before viral adsorption, the cells were pre-incubated with clemastin B for 2 h at 37˚C. The treated cells were then washed and inoculated with the virus (MOI, 0.01) for 2 h in the absence of clemastin B and further cultured for 48-72 h. Second, cells were inoculated with the virus at 37˚C for 2 h, and then washed and cultured for 48-72 h in the presence of clemastin B. Third, diluted clemastin B was mixed with the virus and incubated at 37˚C for 30 min, and the mixture was added to the cells and further cultured for 48-72 h. The amount of progeny virus was determined using plaque assay crystal violet staining.

Time course assay (time-of-addition). MDCK cells in 24-well plates were prepared, then infected with virus (A/PR/8/34, 0.01 MOI) for 1 h. After infection, the medium was discarded and cells were washed with PBS three times. Next, MEM was added to the cells, and incubation was carried out in a CO incubator at 37˚C. Clemastin B was added 1 h before infection, or at the same time with the virus or at indicated time points post-infection. At 12 h post-infection, the supernatants were collected and infectious titers were determined by plaque assay.

Activities against non-influenza viruses. The activities of clemastin B against RSV (22), ADV (23), PIV3 (24), EV71 (25) and HRV (26) were evaluated. A total of 100 TCID₅₀ (50% tissue culture infective dose) of the viral infective titer was allowed to adsorb to the appropriate confluent cell lines for 2 h, followed by washing of each virus with serum-free medium. Then, the test medium containing the desired concentration of clemastin B was added. After appropriate periods of incubation, the cytopathic effect (CPE) in the virally infected cells was observed microscopically, and the TCID₅₀ and the 50% inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) were determined using methods described by Reed and Muench (27).
**Virus resistance assay.** MDCK cells were infected with amantadine-sensitive influenza A virus (A/FM/1/47, 0.01 MOI) and left untreated or treated with clemastin B (1 mg/ml), amantadine (0.03 mg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich) or ribavirin (0.03 mg/ml) for 24 h. The post-infection supernatants were removed and used for infection in the second round of investigation. After infection, cells were left untreated or treated again with the indicated amount of clemastin B or amantadine. This procedure was repeated six times. Supernatants were assayed for progeny virus yields by plaque assays. Virus yields of mock-treated cells were arbitrarily set as 100% (18).

**Localization of influenza viral NP protein.** MDCK cells (0.8-1.0x10⁵) grown on glass coverslips were cultured at 37°C for 24-48 h, then infected with virus (A/PR/8/34, 1 MOI) for 2 h. After infection, the medium was discarded and cells were washed with PBS three times. Next, clemastin B was added to the cells and incubated in a CO₂ incubator at 37°C. At 8 h post-infection, cells were washed with PBS three times and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min, and 1% Triton X-100 at room temperature. After blocking, fixed cells were incubated with NP-specific antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) overnight, washed with PBS three times, and cells were incubated with FITC-labeled goat anti-mouse antibody for 30 min. Lastly, cells were incubated with DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) DNA stain for 5 min and observed by fluorescence microscopy (28).

**Statistical analysis.** All experiments were carried out in triplicate and were representative of at least three separate experiments unless otherwise mentioned. Statistical significance of the data was determined by the one-way ANOVA method using SPSS 12.0 software. A P-value of <0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant result.

**Results**

**Structural identification.** The identification of the obtained materials was carried out by IR, MS, ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra as follows. Yellow-white power, mp 201-202°C. IR νKBr cm⁻¹: 3401(OH), 1261, 1595, 1510. ESI-MS m/z: 707[M+Na]⁺, 1391[2M+1]⁺, ESI-MS²(m/z 707) m/z: 545[M+Na-Glc]⁺, 361[M-2Glc]⁺. ¹H NMR: (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, DMSO-d₆+MeOD) δ: 7.195 (1H, d, J=1.6 Hz, H-2), 7.31 (1H, d, J=8.4 Hz, H-5), 7.074 (1H, dd, J=8.4, 1.6 Hz, H-6), 5.024 (1H, d, J=6.4 Hz, H-7), 2.537 (1H, m, H-8), 7.102 (1H, d, J=1.6 Hz, H-2'), 7.289 (1H, d, J=8.4, H-5), 6.959 (1H, d, J=1.6 Hz, H-7α), 2.82 (1H, dd, J=11, 3.8, H-7β), 2.791 (1H, m, 8'-H), 4.05 (9H, s, 3x-OCH₃), 5.089 (1H,
Table I. Anti-influenza virus activities of clemastanin B, amantadine and ribavirin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virus type and strain</th>
<th>IC₅₀ (mg/ml)ᵃ</th>
<th>Clemastanin Bᵇ</th>
<th>Clemastanin Bᶜ</th>
<th>Clemastanin Bᵈ</th>
<th>Amantadineᵉ</th>
<th>Ribavirinᵉ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A/PR/8/34 (H1N1)</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/FM/1/47 (H1N1)</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Guangzhou/GIRD07/09 (H1N1)</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Guangzhou/GIRD02/2009 (H1N1)</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Aichi/2/68 (H3N2)</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Duck/Guangdong/2009 (H6N2)</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Duck/Guangdong/1994 (H7N3)</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Chicken/Guangdong/1996 (H9N2)</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/Guangzhou/GIRD08/09</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃMean of results from two or three independent experiments. ᵇTreatment before viral adsorption; ᶜtreatment after viral adsorption; ᵈdrug and viral reaction before viral adsorption.

![Figure 3](image1.png)

Figure 3. MDCK cells were infected with virus (A/PR/8/34, 0.01 MOI) for 1 h. After infection, the medium was discarded and cells were washed with PBS. MEM was then added to the cells and incubated in a CO₂ incubator at 37°C. Clemastanin B was added 1 h before infection and then again at 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8 and 10 after infection. At 12 h after infection the supernatants were collected and infectious titer were determined by a plaque reduction assay. The mean difference is significant (*P<0.01).
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Figure 4. MDCK cells were infected with influenza A virus (FM1, 0.01 MOI) and were left untreated or treated with the drugs (1 mg/ml clemastanin B, 0.03 mg/ml ribavirin, 0.03 mg/ml amantadine). At 48 h post-infection, supernatants were removed and MDCK cells were infected for the second time. After infection the cells were left untreated or treated with the drugs. This procedure was repeated six times. The supernatants were assayed for progeny virus by plaque titration. The mean difference is significant (*P<0.01).

d, J=7.6 Hz, H-1'); 5.122 (1H, d, J=7.6 Hz, H-1''). ¹³C NMR: (125 MHz, DMSO-d6+MeOD) δ: 136.88 (C-1), 111.46 (C-2), 150.70 (C-3), 147.18 (C-4), 117.41 (C-5), 119.49 (C-6), 83.56 (C-7), 54.09 (C-8), 60.37 (C-9), 136.88 (C-1'), 114.39 (C-2'), 150.69 (C-3'), 117.72 (C-5'), 122.12 (C-6'), 33.63 (C-7'), 43.64 (C-8'), 73.53 (C-9'), 56.77 (OCH₃), 102.59 (C-1''), 74.87 (C-2''), 56.77 (C-3''), 71.27 (C-4''), 78.26 (C-5''), 62.37 (C-6''), 102.45 (C-1''''), 74.87 (C-2'''), 56.77 (C-3'''), 71.27 (C-4'''), 77.99 (C-5'''), 62.37 (C-6''''). These data were consistent with the literature (16,29). The purity was >98%, as determined by HPLC analysis.

Cytotoxicity. Clemastatin B was tested for cytotoxicity against MDCK, HEp-2, LLC-MK2, VERO-E6 and MRC-5 cells. The MTT data indicated that clemastatin B did not negatively affect the viability of the cells. The TC₅₀ of MDCK, HEp-2, LLC-MK2, VERO-E6 and MRC-5 cells was 7.5, 6.2, 7.5, 6.3, 7.5 and 7.5 mg/ml, respectively.

Anti-influenza activity of clemastanin B. Clemastatin B was tested using a plaque assay for inhibition against a series of human and avian influenza viruses at different magnitudes of activity. The mode of action of clemastatin B was studied. When MDCK cell lines were pre-treated with clemastatin B before viral adsorption or with the influenza virus pre-incubated with clemastatin B, no protective effect was observed (Table I). However, when MDCK cell lines were treated with clemastatin B after virus incubation, a pronounced titer reduction of progeny virus was detected.

Time course assay (time-of-addition) in influenza virus-infected cells. The antiviral mechanism of clemastatin B was determined by a time course assay in a single infectious cycle using an A/PR/8/34 (H1N1) infection model. A notable and significant reduction was observed when clemastatin B was applied between 0 and 2 h after viral adsorption, which indicated that clemastatin B targets the early viral replication.
Spectrum of antiviral activity. The spectrum of antiviral activity of clemastanin B was investigated. It did not inhibit the CPE caused by RSV, ADV, PIV3, EV71, and the HRV IC_{50} values in all cases were >10 mg/ml.

Tendency to induce viral resistance. A multi-passage experiment to detect the emergence of resistant viruses in cell culture was set up as a reference (30). Virus titers from cells treated with amantadine were at the same levels as untreated cells after five passages, showing that this pool of viruses had become fully resistant to the drug (Fig. 4). This was different from infected cells treated with clemastanin B, where viral titers did not rise with increasing passage numbers, indicating that the influenza virus was not resistant to clemastanin B.

Clemastanin B treatment results in NP distribution in the nuclei. The viral NP produced by influenza A/PR/8/34 was evident and its expression persisted thereafter. NP was distributed not only in the cytoplasm but also in the nuclei of the infected cells (Fig. 5). However, in the clemastanin B-treated cells, the results showed that NP production was only distributed in the nuclei of the infected cells.

Discussion

The Chinese herbal medicine, *Isatis indigotica* root, has been traditionally known to have an effect on fever and sore throats. Many chemical compounds have been isolated from IIR, including indigotin, indirubin, tryptanthrin, isatin, isaindigotidione, epigoitrin, 2,4(1H,3H)-quinazolinedione, clemastanin B, 4(3H)-quinazolinone and others. Among them, tryptanthrin, indigo and indirubin are usually selected as markers for quality control due to their unique pharmaceutical activities. Indirubin has been shown to have potent anti-influenza viral activities by inhibition of RANTES (also known as CCL5) expression (31). Yamada (32) previously reported a glycoprotein from *Radix isatidis* that showed useful antiviral activities in the therapy and prevention of viral infection in vitro and in vivo. Clemastanin B, a major lignan in IIR, has been isolated using conventional methods. However, evidence of the inhibition of influenza virus by clemastanin B from IIR has not been previously reported and its mode of action remains elusive.

Herein, we found that clemastanin B showed inhibitory effects against different subtypes of human or avian influenza viruses at different magnitudes of activity in vitro. Among these, clemastanin B inhibited the swine-origin H1N1 and avian influenza virus H7N3 with an IC_{50} of 0.087 and 0.088 mg/ml, respectively, indicating potent effects against
such pathogens. Further investigations are required to determine this specificity.

In contrast, clemastanin B showed no antiviral activity against RSV, PIV3, ADV3, EV71 and HRV. These results suggest that clemastanin B exhibits a broad range and selective antiviral activity against various subtypes of influenza viruses. However, previous reports had shown that clemastanin B had antiviral activity against HSV-1 and HSV-2 in vitro, but no such activities resulted from our experimentation. These contradictory results may be due to different assays or specificity to strains.

Generally, the influenza virus replication cycle can be divided into six steps: adsorption, endocytosis, uncoating, packaging, budding and release (33). To determine at which step clemastanin B acts in the viral life cycle, its mode of action was observed. Pretreatment of cells following viral infection or at late stages of viral infection did not affect viral replication. This indicated that the viral adsorption, budding or release were not affected by clemastanin B. Our results also revealed that clemastanin B did not inhibit the influenza virus hemagglutinin specificity as determined by the hemagglutinin inhibition assay and the NA protein by NA inhibition (data not shown). Clemastanin B displayed antiviral properties when the virus and drugs were added to cells simultaneously, as well as post-infection, particularly in the early replication stage. These results suggest that an early stage of viral replication, for example endocytosis or uncoating, were inhibited. In addition, the RNP remained in the nucleus of clemastanin B-treated cells, which suggests that clemastanin B may interfere with RNP export from the nucleus at an early stage and persists throughout the rest of the infection. It was reported that there were several cell signaling pathways related to RNP export: Raf/MEK/ERK signaling (34), PKCa (35), PI3/akt (36) and NF-κB pathways (37). These pathways influence the expression of cytokines and chemokines. The Raf/MEK/ERK signaling pathway induced by influenza virus is essential for viral RNP export. Thus, we cannot exclude the possibility that clemastanin B may affect these pathways.

It has been reported that amino adamantine-resistant viruses readily emerge and were already prevalent worldwide during the recent seasonal H1N1 and H3N2 influenza pandemics (38,39). The swine-origin H1N1 pandemic in 2009 was already amino adamantine-resistant (40). This feature was also replicated in our in vitro study, as the viruses in the presence of amantadine were resistant to amantadine at passage five, while the viruses passaged in the presence of clemastanin B did not result in the emergence of viral drug resistance until the sixth passage. These results demonstrated that clemastanin B did not easily result in the emergence of viral drug resistance in vitro and may possess unique mechanisms against influenza viruses that are different from the existing antiviral drugs such as M2 or neuraminidase inhibitors. To our knowledge, this is the first report showing that clemastanin B has antiviral effects against various influenza A and B viruses.

Given that its probable mechanism of action differs from current antiviral agents and it is derived from a common TCM medicinal plant, clemastanin B could be developed as a moderate antiviral drug candidate via inhibition of viral replication after infection, particularly in the early replication stage, although it was not a very potent antiviral compound when compared with ribavirin or amantadine.

Additionally, clemastanin B did not easily result in drug resistance compared with M2 inhibitors. The effects of clemastanin B illustrated in this study promote the antiviral study of IIR. However, additional studies are required to define the anti-influenza mechanism(s).
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