Dual effect of metformin on growth inhibition and oestradiol production in breast cancer cells
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Abstract. Evidence has been accumulating for a role for metformin in reducing breast cancer risk in post-menopausal women. It inhibits growth of breast cancer cells via several mechanisms, primarily the AMPK/mTOR signalling pathway. Another possible protective mechanism may be the ability of metformin to inhibit aromatase activity. In the present study, we investigated the effects of metformin on the basal growth of MCF-7 cells, after oestradiol (E₂) stimulation and after the inhibition of mTOR by rapamycin. Secondly, we investigated the effects of metformin on the activity of a number of steroidogenic enzymes and the mRNA expression of aromatase and steroid sulphatase (STS). High doses of metformin significantly inhibited both basal and oestrogen-stimulated cell division. Low-dose rapamycin (10⁻⁷ M) did not inhibit growth, but the addition of metformin induced a significant reduction in growth. High-dose rapamycin (10⁻⁴ M) inhibited growth, and this was further attenuated by the addition of metformin. Exposure to low (10⁻⁷ M) and high (10⁻⁴ M) doses of metformin for 7-10 days significantly reduced the conversion of androstenedione (ANDRO) and testosterone (TESTO) (both requiring aromatase), but not the conversion of oestrone or oestrone sulphate (ES) via 17β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase/sulphatase to E₂. This attenuation was via a downregulation in the expression of total aromatase mRNA and promoter II, whilst the expression of sulphatase was unaffected by metformin. In conclusion, plasma levels of metformin have a dual therapeutic action, first by directly inhibiting cell proliferation which can be augmented by rapamycin analogues, and secondly, by inhibiting aromatase activity and reducing the local conversion of androgens to E₂.

Introduction

Metformin belongs to the biguanide class of oral hypoglycemic agents and is widely used in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus (1). Early observational studies have suggested a link between metformin use and a reduced risk of cancer (2) and, since then, evidence has been accumulating for an anti-neoplastic role for metformin (1,3-5). The evidence that metformin reduces the risk of breast cancer has been mixed, although a recent meta-analysis supported a protective effect of metformin on breast cancer risk in post-menopausal women with diabetes (6).

Several studies have shown the metformin inhibits the growth of breast cancer cells both in vitro and in vivo (7-10), although high doses of metformin are required for this growth inhibition. Various mechanisms have been attributed to the anti-proliferative action of metformin (11), but perhaps the best investigated is the energy sensor pathway, the AMPK/mTOR signalling pathway (12). mTOR signalling is increased in many types of human cancer, causing malignant progression (13), but metformin inhibits mTOR by activating AMPK which negatively regulates mTOR (11). There is evidence that metformin also reduces HER-2 protein expression (overexpressed in 20% of breast cancer cases) via mTOR (14). Other actions attributed to metformin are the inhibition of cyclin D1, the activation of the tumour suppressor gene, p53, and the apoptosis and inhibition of the IGF-1 signalling pathway (11).

Metformin may also reduce breast cancer risk through its ability to inhibit aromatase expression and activity in breast tissue. Breast tissue can convert circulating androgens of adrenal origin to estrogens and >60% of oestrogen-dependent breast carcinomas have higher levels of aromatase mRNA expression and activity compared with non-malignant tissue (15). This increase is due to an increase in the transcription of alternative promoters, primarily promoter II (PHI) and promoter I.3 (PL.3) (16). Third generation aromatase inhibitors, such as anastrozole and letrozole, are commonly used in the treatment of post-menopausal breast cancer (17); however, acquired resistance to these drugs is a recurring problem in
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treatment (18). Metformin has been shown to inhibit aromatase (CYP19a1) expression in human breast adipose stromal cells through the activation of AMPK (19), and subsequent studies have shown that metformin also reduces PII/PL3 promoter-specific transcripts for aromatase (20).

The aim of this study was two-fold: firstly, to investigate the effects of metformin on the basal and oestradiol (E$_2$)-stimulated growth of MCF-7 cells and the combined action of the mTOR inhibitor, rapamycin, and metformin on cell growth. Secondly, to investigate the effects of low-dose metformin (one that would be achieved during metformin therapy) on both the expression and the activity of a number of steroidogenic enzymatic pathways. These included aromatase, which converts androstenedione (ANDRO) and testosterone (TESTO) to E$_2$ and the steroid sulphatase (STS) pathway which converts circulating oestrone sulphate (ES) into oestrone (E$_1$), which is then converted to E$_2$ by 17β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (17β-HSD) (Fig. 1).

Materials and methods

**MCF-7 cell culture.** ER-positive MCF-7 cells were purchased from the European Collection of Cell Cultures, Salisbury, UK (in partnership with Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham, Dorset, UK). The initial stocks of MCF-7 cells were between passages 8 and 10. They were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), 2 mM glutamate, 100 IU/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (all reagents from Sigma-Aldrich) at 37°C in a fully humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO$_2$. Experiments were performed using 2.5% charcoal-stripped FCS. The diluents used to make up stock solutions were added to the control wells at the appropriate concentrations.

**Cell growth assays.** Growth was assessed by MTT assay as previously described (21). Cells were plated (day 1) in 96-well plates at a concentration of 5,000 cells/250 µl medium, a density previously determined to be the optimal value to obtain good growth curves without reaching confluence during the culture time (22). The optical density was measured on day 2 in 8 wells using a plate reader (EL808; BioTek UK, Potton, Bedfordshire, UK) and drugs were added to triplicate wells in a standard 10 µl volume/dose. On day 5, the final measurement was made. Growth was quantified by taking the ratio of the mean optical density measured on day 5 to the mean optical density measured on day 2, and the data were normalized to the appropriate controls. Metformin was added at 6 different doses (10$^{-2}$-10$^{-6}$ M) with or without E$_2$ (10$^{-7}$ M), and at 4 different doses (10$^{-2}$-10$^{-8}$ M) in the presence of rapamycin (10$^{-8}$ M or 10$^{-9}$ M). All drugs were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

**Steroid enzyme assays.** Steroid enzyme activity was determined using an ‘in-house’ radioimmunoassay (RIA) to measure the conversion of ANDRO, TESTO, ES and E$_1$ to E$_2$ on days 3, 7 and 10 of cell culture cells with/without metformin. The cells were cultured at a density of 5x10$^4$ cells/well in 12-well dishes and left overnight. Metformin at 10$^{-7}$ and 10$^{-4}$ M was added the following day followed by incubation for the relevant culture time. On days 3, 7 and 10, the steroid substrates (all at a concentration of 10$^{-8}$ M) were added for 4 h to 6 wells before the removal of the medium for the measurement of E$_2$ levels. These were measured in duplicate as previously described (23,24). The cross-reactivity of the E$_2$ antiserum with E$_1$ and ES was 19.88 and 9.2%, respectively, and appropriate corrections for the cross-reactions were made.

**Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) assays.** RT-qPCR assay was performed to assess the effect of metformin on aromatase and STS mRNA expression. The cells were plated at 1x10$^5$ cells/well into 3 culture plates (6-well) and left overnight. The following day, duplicate wells were treated with metformin at 10$^{-7}$ and 10$^{-4}$ M and left for 3, 7 and 10 days, with medium and treatments replenished on those days. On the relevant days, the medium was removed, the cells were washed with sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and lysed, and the RNA extracted and reverse transcribed into cDNA as previously described (25). qPCR was performed using the Bio-Rad CFX real-time cycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Duplicate PCR reactions were performed with SYBR®-Green I master mix (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) using L19 (mitochondrial ribosomal protein L19) as the reference gene. All primers (obtained from Sigma Custom Products, Haverhill, Suffolk, UK) were annealed at 60°C, and the procedures for the PCR assays and L19 and aromatase sequences were as previously described (26). Sequences for the STS primers were: forward, 5’-ACTGCAACGCTACTTTAATG-3’ and reverse, 5’-AGGGTCTGGGTTGTTCGTC-3’ (NM_000351) (27). Sequences for PII primers were as previously described (25), and were used at a primer concentration of 300 nM and annealing temperature of 59°C.

Statistical evaluation. All data represent the means ± SEM of duplicate/triplicate observations from a minimum of 3 or more independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined by ANOVA followed by post-hoc Tukey’s multiple comparison tests, multiple unpaired t-tests, or unpaired t-test were used when 2 groups were compared (depending on the design of the experiment). When comparing to normalized control values, a one-sample t-test was used. Statistical significance was set at P≤0.05. Relative gene expression from the RT-qPCR data were analysed using the ∆∆Ct method if the amplification efficiencies of the reactions were 100±5%. For reactions where the amplification efficiency fell outside this range, the Pfaffl method was used (28).

Results

**Effect of metformin on basal and E$_2$-stimulated growth of MCF-7 cells.** Metformin at the 2 highest doses [10$^{-2}$ M (1.65 g/l) and 5x10$^{-3}$ M (828 mg/l)] inhibited the basal growth of MCF-7 cells (p=0.0004, p=0.001, Tukey’s multiple comparison; Fig. 2A). E$_2$, as expected, significantly stimulated the growth of MCF-7 cells (p=0.0098, one-sample t-test). Metformin at 10$^{-2}$ M significantly reduced the E$_2$-stimulated increase in growth to below basal levels (p=0.001, unpaired t-test) and the next highest dose of metformin (5x10$^{-3}$ M) also attenuated E$_2$-induced growth to basal levels (p=0.01, unpaired t-test; Fig. 2B).

These doses of metformin are much higher than those measured in plasma. These have been reported to be 1.7 mg/l
(10^{-5} \text{ M}) 3 \text{ h} after an oral dose of 850 mg metformin, but being undetectable in plasma after 24 \text{ h} (29), and a mean steady state of 0.576 \text{ ng/l (3.5x10^{-9} \text{ M})} after 1 \text{ g metformin} taken twice daily for several months (30). Either higher doses of metformin may be required \textit{in vitro} to maintain sufficient levels of the drug over a period of days or the growth inhibition is simply a pharmacological effect of the drug.

**Effect of metformin on rapamycin-dependent inhibition of MCF-7 growth.** Low-dose rapamycin (10^{-10} \text{ M}) reduced the basal growth of MCF-7, although not significantly (Fig. 3A); however, the combination of rapamycin and metformin induced a significant reduction in basal cell growth (p<0.05, one-sample t-test; Fig. 3A), even with doses of metformin that on their own (5x10^{-3} \text{ M} and 10^{-4} \text{ M}) had no effect on growth (Fig. 2A). High-dose rapamycin (10^{-8} \text{ M}) significantly inhibited growth (p=0.003, one-sample t-test), and in combination with 10^{-5} \text{ M} metformin produced an additive inhibition of growth compared to rapamycin alone (p=0.007, unpaired t-test; Fig. 3B). This effect of metformin at all doses was even more pronounced with the higher concentration of rapamycin (10^{-5} \text{ M}) (p<0.0001 ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparison t-test; Fig. 3B).

**Effect of metformin on MCF-7 steroid activity.** Metformin at both doses had no effect on the conversion of E$_1$ (Fig. 4A) or ES (Fig. 4B) to E$_2$; by contrast, however, metformin inhibited the conversion of ANDRO (Fig. 4C) and TESTO to E$_2$ (Fig. 4D). Very low-dose metformin (10^{-7} \text{ M}) decreased E$_2$ levels by days 7 and 10 with both ANDRO (Fig. 4C) and TESTO (Fig. 4D) as substrates (p<0.005, multiple t-tests). Metformin (10^{-4} \text{ M}) also inhibited the conversion of ANDRO (Fig. 4C) and TESTO (Fig. 4D) to E$_2$, but this was more marked (particularly for TESTO) and occurred sooner, i.e., the decrease in E$_2$ was noticeable by day 3 (p<0.0005, multiple t-tests; Fig. 4C and D). Interestingly, the overall levels of E$_2$ were higher in the experiments where E$_1$ or ES were used as substrates compared to ANDRO or TESTO.

**Effect of metformin on the mRNA expression of steroid enzymes.** Metformin, particularly at the dose of 10^{-4} \text{ M}, had a marked effect on aromatase mRNA expression, with signifi-
cant attenuation on days 3 and 10 (p<0.05, one-sample t-test and paired t-test) but an unexplained increase (although statistically insignificant) on day 7, thereby mirroring the effect on the activity assay (Fig. 5A). This downregulating effect on total

Figure 4. Linear graph showing the levels of oestradiol (E\textsubscript{2}) produced from cells cultured with different substrates over period of 10 days and in the presence of metformin at 10\textsuperscript{-7} M (▲) or 10\textsuperscript{-4} M (◼) (n=6 experiments for each substrate). The medium was sampled on days 3,7 and 10 of culture and E\textsubscript{2} levels were measured by radioimmunoassay (RIA). (A) Oestrone (E\textsubscript{1}) (10 nM) was used as the substrate in measuring the conversion to E\textsubscript{2}. The addition of metformin at either dose did not alter the levels of E\textsubscript{2} produced. (B) Oestradiol sulphate (ES) (10 nM) was used as the substrate in measuring the conversion to E\textsubscript{2}. The addition of metformin at either dose did not alter the levels of E\textsubscript{2} produced. (C) Androstenedione (ANDRO) (10 nM) was used as the substrate in measuring the conversion to E\textsubscript{2}. Metformin at 10\textsuperscript{-7} M significantly reduced E\textsubscript{2} levels ~50-fold at days 7 and 10 in culture (*p<0.05, multiple t-test). The higher dose of metformin (10\textsuperscript{-4} M) also reduced E\textsubscript{2} levels >50-fold by the end of culture time (day 10), but was more effective sooner (day 3) (**p<0.005, multiple t-tests). (D) Testosterone (TESTO) (10 nM) was used as the substrate in measuring the conversion to E\textsubscript{2}. Metformin at 10\textsuperscript{-7} M significantly reduced E\textsubscript{2} levels ~75-fold on days 7 and 10 in culture (*p<0.05, **p<0.005, multiple t-test). The higher dose of metformin (10\textsuperscript{-4} M) also reduced E\textsubscript{2} levels by more than 75-fold throughout the culture time but, similar to ANDRO, was more effective sooner (day 3) (**p<0.0005, multiple t-tests).
human breast cancer stem cell population. In a previous study, grown in 3-dimensional mammospheres, which represent a tissue, thereby exceeding therapeutic levels determined in plasma. Of particular importance is that doses of metformin may exceed that measured in plasma, it does not preclude the supposition that metformin may accumulate locally in the tissue, as was the mRNA expression of this enzyme. The conversion of ES and oestrone was not affected by metformin nor was the expression of oestrone sulphatase.

High doses of metformin have been shown to inhibit the growth of breast cancer cells (7-10), and our results confirm this. Whilst the effective dose of metformin used in vitro may exceed that measured in plasma, it does not preclude the supposition that metformin may accumulate locally in the tissue, thereby exceeding therapeutic levels determined in plasma. Of particular importance is that doses of metformin completely reversed the 50% increase in growth induced by E2 in MCF-7 cells. This agrees with studies on MCF-7 cells grown in 3-dimensional mammospheres, which represent a human breast cancer stem cell population. In a previous study, metformin reduced the number and size of mammospheres as well as the expression of oestrogen-stimulated OCT4 expression, a marker for cancer stem cells (31). Another study showed that metformin interacts additively with tamoxifen to reduce cell growth and decrease the expression of oestrogen receptor α (ERα) (32). This effect of metformin on attenuating E2-driven growth is of particular significance, since oestrogens predominantly regulate the proliferation of ER+ epithelial cells in breast tumours (33).

The National Cancer Institute finding of the antitumour activities of the mTOR inhibitor, rapamycin, have led to numerous studies on its potential use as an anti-proliferative agent in breast cancer (34). Therefore, the finding of an inhibition in the growth of MCF-7 cells by rapamycin in our study was as expected. However, what is novel is that rapamycin potentiated the anti-proliferative effects of metformin, even at a dose of 10^-4 M of rapamycin, which had no effect on growth. Rapamycin acts directly to inhibit mTOR and dephosphorylate the downstream proteins, p70S6 and 4EBP1, thereby inhibiting the translation and synthesis of proteins involved in growth, proliferation and survival (34,35). Metformin (at similar doses used in this study) has been shown to inhibit mTOR through AMPK, thereby decreasing the phosphorylation of these downstream proteins (36). Therefore, the fact that both rapamycin, GSK and metformin inhibit mTOR, but though different signalling pathways (3,37), highlights a potential therapeutic role for metformin to be used in conjunction with rapamycin analogues as anti-neoplastic agents.

The importance of oestrogen blockade in breast cancer treatment has been demonstrated by the clinical success of using aromatase inhibitors to treat ER-positive breast cancer (17,33). The results of the assays for detecting steroido-genic enzyme activity showed that metformin significantly reduced the conversion of ANDRO and TESTO to E2, both conversions involving aromatase. This was achieved at both the low dose (10^-7) and the high dose (10^-4) of metformin, and it must be emphasised that the low dose of metformin is well within plasma levels (38), demonstrating the effectiveness of metformin as an aromatase inhibitor. This was supported by the reduction in total aromatase mRNA levels via an attenuation of PII mRNA expression. The inhibition of metformin

Discussion

This study demonstrated that metformin reversed the effects of E2 on cell growth and potentiated the effects of rapamycin on the inhibition of cell growth. The activity of aromatase, which converts androgens to oestrogens, was significantly inhibited as was the mRNA expression of this enzyme. The conversion of ES and oestrone was not affected by metformin nor was the expression of oestrone sulphatase.

Figure 5. (A) Bar chart showing the mRNA levels of total aromatase measured relative to L19, after the cells were cultured for 10 days with/without metformin at 10^-7 M (dotted bar) and 10^-4 M (hatched bar) (n=3 experiments). RT-qPCR was carried out on days 3, 7 and 10 following exposure to metformin. Metformin at the higher dose (10^-4 M) significantly reduced the total aromatase mRNA levels on days 3 and 10 of culture (*p<0.05, paired t-test), whilst the lower dose had no effect. (B) Graph showing mRNA levels of promoter II (PII) relative to β-actin, after treatment with the high dose of metformin (10^-4 M) (*p<0.05, one-sample t-test).

Figure 6. Bar chart showing the mRNA levels of steroid sulphatase (STS) relative to L19, after cells were cultured as described in Fig. 5A. Metformin at either dose had no effect on STS mRNA expression.

Figure 6. Bar chart showing the mRNA levels of total aromatase measured relative to L19, after the cells were cultured for 10 days with/without metformin at 10^-7 M (dotted bar) and 10^-4 M (hatched bar) (n=3 experiments). RT-qPCR was carried out on days 3, 7 and 10 following exposure to metformin. Metformin at the higher dose (10^-4 M) significantly reduced the total aromatase mRNA levels on days 3 and 10 of culture (*p<0.05, paired t-test), whilst the lower dose had no effect. (B) Graph showing mRNA levels of promoter II (PII) relative to β-actin, after treatment with the high dose of metformin (10^-4 M) (*p<0.05, one-sample t-test).
on $E_2$ production was more marked when TESTO was used as a substrate compared to ANDRO, which probably reflects the fact that the conversion of ANDRO to $E_2$ also requires 17β-HSD either for the conversion of ANDRO to TESTO and/or ANDRO to $E_1$ prior to its final conversion to $E_2$ (Fig. 1). Metformin appears to have a limited effect on 17β-HSD activity, which is supported by the observation that metformin had no effect on $E_1$ levels compared to basal when $E_2$ is used as a substrate. Likewise, there was no reduction in STS activity by metformin, as measured by the conversion of ES to $E_2$, nor in STS mRNA expression. This is in agreement with other studies showing that metformin inhibited aromatase expression both in breast tissue (19,20) and in the ovaries (25). Interestingly, non-diabetic patients with breast cancer and taking 1,500 mg metformin/day have shown a 38% reduction in free $E_2$, but also a 29% reduction in free TESTO, again suggesting that metformin reduces steroid synthesis (39,40).

Notably, in the experiments where $E_1$/ES were used as substrates, the basal levels of $E_2$ were considerably higher than when either ANDRO or TESTO was used. This highlights the importance of sulphatase and 17β-HSD pathways in the production of ES/$E_1$/ES in breast cancer (27,41,42), and suggests that it may not be sufficient to only reduce aromatase levels in breast cancer in order to achieve anti-oestrogenic effects.

It is postulated that the cross-talk between hormone signaling and growth-regulating pathways, such as PI3K/Akt/mTOR, may lead to the constitutive activation of cell growth pathways, rendering patients resistant to hormone treatment (43). In order to tackle this problem, phase III trials are currently underway with rapamycin analogues, such as everolimus, in combination with anti-oestrogens, such as tamoxifen or anti-aromatase inhibitors, such as anastrozole (17,34). An important role for anti-oestrogen in combination with anti-oestrogens, such as tamoxifen or anti-aromatase inhibitors, such as anastrozole (17,34). An important role for anti-oestrogen in combination with anti-oestrogens, such as tamoxifen or anti-aromatase inhibitors, such as anastrozole.
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