Allelic imbalance at 11q23-q24 chromosome associated with estrogen and radiation-induced breast cancer progression
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Abstract. Multiple genetic alterations are common in cancers including those of the breast. The mechanisms leading to these alterations such as point mutations, gene amplifications, deletions and replication error are often associated with frequent and consistent loss of heterozygosity (LOH) or microsatellite instability (MSI). Several cytological and molecular studies have shown high frequency loss of genetic information on the long arm of chromosome 11 (i.e., 11q) in various primary breast cancers. In the present study allelic alterations in a refined position on the long arm of chromosome 11 were studied to identify the spectrum of induced damage at different stages of malignant transformation of MCF-10F cell lines after exposure to high-LET radiation using α-particles and exposure to estradiol by using PCR-single strand conformation polymorphism (SSCP) and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) analysis. Microsatellite markers were selected from chromosome 11 (11q23-q24 loci) and it was found that frequency of allelic imbalance occurs at different stages of tumor progression with a range of 15-45% depending on the marker studied. These results strongly suggested the presence of several tumor suppressor genes in this critical region of chromosome 11 (11q23-q24). It also represents the first indication of allele loss at these loci in human breast epithelial cells induced by radiation and estrogen treatment suggesting a potential interventional target in breast carcinogenesis.

Introduction

Multiple genetic alterations are common in various cancers including those of the breast. Human cancers are thought to accumulate multiple genetic abnormalities as they progress from carcinoma in situ to metastatic phase (1). This process is often associated with frequent and consistent loss of heterozygosity (LOH) or microsatellite instability (MSI), which often seems to unmask recessive mutations in tumor suppressor loci (2). The involvement of tumor suppressor genes in neoplastic progression may be inferred by studies, which detect allelic losses in tumor DNA (3,4). Defining specific chromosomal regions that harbor biologically important suppressor genes may, therefore, have broad practical implications in the diagnosis and management of these tumors (5,6).

The development of breast cancer is the most common malignancy in women and the second leading cause of female cancer death, surpassed only by lung cancer (7,8). In breast cancer, most genetic changes are not inherited but rather are somatically acquired in breast epithelial cells (9). There is evidence that multiple mechanisms including point mutations, gene amplifications, deletions and replication errors account for the genomic instability and mutation associated with neoplastic transformation. Acquisition of such genomic instability may represent an early step in carcinogenesis (10). Accordingly a large portions of genetic alterations are associated with the multi-step carcinogenesis of breast cancer. It is unclear whether allelic imbalance is the cause or the result of carcinogenesis but it is probably the most common genetic factor associated with cancer.

There is evidence that a significant number of aberrations (i.e., allelic alterations) on chromosomal regions 1p, 1q, 3p, 6q, 7q, 11p, 13q, 16q, 17p, 17q and 18q are found in breast cancers (11,12). Ionizing radiation is effective in producing these chromosomal aberrations, which are characterized either by MSI/LOH or by multicolor fluorescence in situ hybridization (mFISH) analysis (13,14). Epidemiological data also indicates that women exposed to ionizing radiation (e.g., radiation therapy during diagnosis and treatment, A-bomb etc.) have an increased risk of breast cancer (15). The action of ionizing radiation as a DNA-damaging agent, and consequently as a mutagen, is widely considered to be the basis for its action as a carcinogen (16). Allelic alterations (MSI/LOH) are
believed to pinpoint the different locations of the recessive cancer genes to assess cancer risks.

Recently, several cytological and molecular studies have shown high frequency of loss of genetic information on the long arm of chromosome 11, particularly 11q23 in various primary breast cancers (17-19). Chromosome transfer experiments have also implicated chromosome 11q in breast cancer (20). These results strongly suggest the presence of at least one tumor suppressor gene in this critical region of 11q23 (17,19). Refinement of the minimal regions of LOH at 11q23 has helped to identify at least two independent regions of deletion in breast carcinomas: the LOH region 1, defined between loci D11S2000 and D11S897, with an estimated size of 8 Mb, and the LOH region 2, between loci D11S1345 and D11S1316 of about 1 Mb (20). Similarly, refined regions of deletion have also been identified in case of lung, ovarian and colorectal carcinomas (21-23).

At present, substantial information is available about chemically-induced cellular transformation and genetic alterations of breast tissues (24,25), but little is known about such alterations induced by ionizing radiation. Complex chromosomal aberrations, defined as involving three or more breaks in two or more chromosomes is known to be induced after exposure to high-LET radiation, mainly of α-particles (26-29). To have a better understanding of the cellular and molecular changes associated with these types of effects on a breast epithelial cell line, a recently developed, radiation-induced transformation model based on a spontaneously immortalized human breast epithelial (MCF-10F) cell line was utilized for this study (30). In our previous observations (31-33), the incidence of allelic imbalance at different chromosomal levels were reported. These imbalances involve over-expression of c-Ha-ras oncogene and altered expression of various other oncogenes (34). Differential expression of a series of other oncogenes/tumor suppressor genes were also observed at different stages of neoplastic progression when MCF-10F cell lines were induced by radiation and exposed to 17β-estradiol.

To date, the full cytogenetic complexity of these aberrations has not been revealed because standard fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) techniques are limited in the number of chromosomes that can be 'painted'. The development of multiplex-FISH (mFISH) (13), however, has allowed the discrimination of all of the human chromosomes by using combinational labeling of individual chromosomes with spectrally distinct fluorophores. With the exception of interchanges between homologues, it allows all of the chromosomes participating in each aberration, within any cell, to be observed, and the relationship between different aberrant chromosomes to be determined (27). In the present study, we have utilized this model to determine the incidence of allelic imbalance in a refined position on the long arm of chromosome 11. This study will also ascertain the possible translocation of this chromosome during neoplastic progression of the MCF-10F cell line. Since the transformed MCF-10F cell line underwent a series of phenotypic stages before becoming tumorigenic in nude mice (30), this information will help to understand the cellular and molecular targets involved during progression of radiation-induced breast carcinogenesis.

Materials and methods

Cell lines. The recently established radiation-induced, and estrogen-treated breast carcinogenesis model based on the MCF-10F cell line was used in these studies (30). The spontaneously immortalized human breast epithelial cell line, MCF-10F, was derived from the mortal human breast epithelial cell line, MCF-10M, and has a near diploid karyotype and is of luminal epithelial origin (35). These cells retain all the characteristics of normal epithelium in vitro, including anchorage-dependence, non-invasiveness and non-tumorigenicity in the nude mice (35,36). Cell lines were cultured on Dulbecco's modified Eagle's media (DMEM) as described previously (30,31,37). From this model, the following cell lines were used as controls: MCF-10F cell line; MCF-10F cell line treated with 17β-estradiol (E) (10⁻⁸ M) (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO), named MCF-10F + E, and MCF-7, as a positive control (30,38). The experimental cell lines were as follow: the MCF-10F cell line irradiated with a single dose of 60 cGy of α-particle, named 60 cGy (passage 45-50) which was anchorage-dependent and non-tumorigenic in nude mice (30). This cell line was also grown in presence of estrogen after irradiation, and named 60 cGy + E (passage 48). Another MCF-10F α-cell line was treated with double doses of 60 cGy of α-particle, and named 60 cGy/60 cGy (passage 44-48 as an early passage and passage 90-95 as a late passage). It was anchorage-independent but non-tumorigenic in nude mice (30). This cell line was also grown in presence of estrogen after double doses of irradiation, and named 60 cGy/60 cGy + E (passage 41). A final group of MCF-10F cell line was subjected to a double dose of 60 cGy of α-particle and treated with E before each radiation exposure; this line was named 60 cGy + E/60 cGy + E (passage 45) and was both anchorage-independent and produced tumors in three out of six nude mice injected (30). Tumor 2 (passage 30) (34), one of the three primary tumor cell lines derived from the previous tumorigenic cell line was also used for this study. All the developed cell lines were mycoplasma tested from time to time to prevent any type of contamination.

DNA isolation. All cell cultures were treated with 1 ml of lysis buffer (100 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 25 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate) with 200 μg/ml of proteinase K and RNase (100 μg/ml), and incubated overnight at 37°C with constant gentle agitation (39). Then they were purified following two extractions with a phenol: chloroform (1:1) mixture and the aqueous layer was adjusted to 0.75 M ammonium acetate and DNA was spooled from two volumes of 100% ethanol, dried, dissolved in TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0) and purified following the established procedure as described previously (40).

Microsatellite polymorphic marker selection. Eight polymorphic dinucleotide (CA), repeat microsatellite markers (Table I) (Research Genetics, Huntsville, AL) from chromosome 1q23-q24 (Fig. 1) were selected. They were selected on the basis of their maximum heterozygosity (more than 0.70) and their location near mapped, known, tumor suppressor genes, oncogenes, or other cancer related genes and in regions...
or near loci associated with cell-cycle regulation, DNA replication, DNA repair or signal transduction protein genes. The sequences and characteristics of microsatellite oligonucleotide primers were obtained from the GDB database (http://www.gdb.org) (Table II). We also tested D2S123 (2p16, 0.77, dinucleotide, 197-227 bp), a CA repeat marker linked to the HMMH2 gene, mapped at 2p16, where LOH is rarely encountered (data not shown).

**Table I. Characteristics of selected (CA)n repeat markers on chromosome 11q23-q24.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromosomal locus</th>
<th>Map position</th>
<th>Maximum heterozygosity</th>
<th>Type of sequence</th>
<th>Size range (base pairs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D11S29</td>
<td>11q23.3</td>
<td>0.792</td>
<td>Dinucleotide</td>
<td>143-163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D11S1340</td>
<td>11q23.3</td>
<td>0.670</td>
<td>Dinucleotide</td>
<td>188-200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3D</td>
<td>11q23.3</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>Dinucleotide</td>
<td>85-99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCAM</td>
<td>11q23</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td>Dinucleotide</td>
<td>94-138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D11S925</td>
<td>11q23.3-q24</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>Dinucleotide</td>
<td>173-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D11S1316</td>
<td>11q23.3-q24</td>
<td>0.510</td>
<td>Dinucleotide</td>
<td>200-208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D11S1328</td>
<td>11q23.3-q24</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td>Dinucleotide</td>
<td>151-165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D11S1345</td>
<td>11q23.3-q24</td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td>Dinucleotide</td>
<td>232-240</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Precise location of the markers on respective chromosomal arms.

Figure 1. Map of chromosome 11 showing the putative positions of the (CA)n repeat microsatellite markers used in this study. Bold black vertical lines indicate regions of possible map positions of the markers.

or near loci associated with cell-cycle regulation, DNA replication, DNA repair or signal transduction protein genes. The sequences and characteristics of microsatellite oligonucleotide primers were obtained from the GDB database (http://www.gdb.org) (Table II). We also tested D2S123 (2p16, 0.77, dinucleotide, 197-227 bp), a CA repeat marker linked to the HMMSH2 gene, mapped at 2p16, where LOH is rarely encountered (data not shown).

**PCR-single strand conformation polymorphism (SSCP) analysis.** PCR-SSCP was carried out in a total volume of 30 μl containing 50-100 ng of genomic DNA, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 200 μM of each dNTPs, 0.8 μM of each primer (Research Genetics), and 0.75 units of AmpliTaq polymerase (Perkin-Elmer Corp., Foster City, CA) (41). One of the primers was 5'-end-labeled with [\(\gamma\)-\(^{32}\)P]ATP at 3000 Ci/mmol (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech., IL) by T₄-polynucleotide kinase (Amersham Life Science, IL). After a 5-min pre-incubation period at 94°C, DNA was amplified for 35 cycles each comprising of 45 sec at 94°C, 45 sec at 55°C, and 1 min at 72°C, followed by a 7-min final extension at 72°C using the GeneAmp® PCR System 2400 (Perkin-Elmer Corp.). The PCR products were processed by diluting 1:1 in denaturing loading buffer (95% formamide, 20 mM EDTA, 0.05% xylene cyanol FF, and 0.05% bromophenol blue); denatured at 95°C for 5 min and then frozen at 4°C. About 2 μl of aliquot was loaded and electrophoresed in 6% polyacrylamide gels containing 8.3 M urea for 2-3 h at 40 W. The gel was fixed in 10% methanol-10% acetic acid, dried and exposed to Kodak X-OMAT-AR film (Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, NY) at -70°C with intensifying screen for 12-16 h as described previously (31). Every PCR reaction was repeated 2-3 times with different adjacent passages of cells to get consistent results.

**Assessment of allelic losses.** MSI and LOH were screened by PCR amplification of polymorphic microsatellite markers. An MSI was defined as a shift of a specific allelic band or a change (increase or decrease) in the broadness of a specific allelic band in the autoradiograms, whereas LOH was defined as a total loss (complete deletion) or a 50% or more reduction (in signal density) in one of the heterozygous alleles in autoradiograms. It was first scored by visual inspection of autoradiograms and then band intensity was quantified in a densitometric scanner (Model 300A, Molecular Dynamics) by using bioimage software Image Quant (version 3.3; Molecular Dynamics). The optical density range of 0.01-4.0 was chosen in O.D. units whereas resolution (spatial) selected at 100 points/cm in both X and Y direction. The resolution (signal) was selected at 4096 levels (12-bit) of optical density.
Multiplex FISH (mFISH) analysis. MCF-10F control, irradiated 60 cGy/60 cGy, tumorigenic 60 cGy + E/60 cGy + E and Tumor 2 cell lines were harvested at 40-48 h for metaphase preparations following a 2-h colcemid (0.1 μg/ml for 4 h) treatment using routine procedures. After hypotonic swelling (0.075 M KCl) for 15 min at 37˚C, the cells were fixed in a methanol:acetic acid (3:1) mixture. The cell suspension was then dropped onto a wet, clean slide for use in FISH. For long-term storage, the fixed cells were kept at -20˚C until use. mFISH 24-color chromosome-specific painting probes were used in a single hybridization. The detection of at least 24 different chromosome-painting probes was performed with five varicolored fluorochromes. Each paint was labeled with one of the five fluorochromes or a unique combination (combinatorial labeling) (14). The separation of different excitation and emission spectra is guaranteed by appropriate filter sets (DAPI, DYEAC, FITC, Spectrum Orange, Texas Red and Cy5) by following the manufacturer's procedure of the 24Xcyte mFISH kit (Metasystems, Germany) (42). The resulting unequivocal color signature for each chromosome enables the analysis of hidden or complex chromosomal aberrations as well as the composition of the marker chromosomes. Pretreatment consisted of RNase A (100 μg/ml) for 1 h at 37˚C and pepsin (50 μg/ml in 10 mM HCl) for 2 min at 20˚C. For hybridization, cells were denatured in 70% formamide/2X SSC at 72˚C for 3 min and dehydrated for 1 min each in 70/90/100% ethanol. Cells were left to hybridize for 36-48 h at 37˚C before being washed in 0.4X SSC/0.1% Igepal (Sigma Chemical Co.) for 71˚C for 1.5 min and then 2X SSC/0.1% Igepal at room temperature. Metaphase chromosomes were visualized using an Axioplan II imaging microscope (Zeiss, Germany) equipped with an HBO 100 mercury lamp and filter sets for DAPI, DEAC, FITC, Spectrum Orange, Texas Red and Cy5. Images were captured and processed using the isis/mFISH imaging system (Metasystems) (43). The software controls the motorized filter revolver as well as the excitation and emission filter wheels associated with the charged-coupled device (CCD) camera thus automating the capturing process. A cell was classified as being apparently normal along their appropriate combinatorial paint composition down their entire length when all the 46 chromosomes were clearly observed by this process, and subsequently confirmed by the Axioplan II imaging microscope and the isis/mFISH imaging system. Exchange aberrations involving three or more breaks in two or more chromosomes were classed as complex and assigned the most conservative C/A/B (minimum number of chromosomes/arms/breaks involved) (27,44).

**Table II. Sequence of sense and antisense primers of microsatellite markers.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromosomal locus</th>
<th>Primer name sense (5' ➝ 3')/antisense (5' ➝ 3')</th>
<th>Primer sequence sense (5' -3')/antisense (5' -3')</th>
<th>Important genes found with these markersa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D11S29</td>
<td>7.1/7.2</td>
<td>TCTAGCTCCACATCCTGTG/ACAACACACTGCACAAAGGAC</td>
<td>ATM and malignant melanoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AFM295xg5a/AFM295xg5m</td>
<td>GCTGAATGAGTCTGAGTAAT/AGCCTAGACTGTTTGGTG</td>
<td>Breast and ovarian cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3D</td>
<td>214/215</td>
<td>TAGCTGTCGCTAGAAGTCAAGA/TTAGTGGAAAGGCAAGGAGC</td>
<td>Tsg in breast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCAM</td>
<td>37.F1/37.F2</td>
<td>TATCTCCCAGTTTGCTTCT/GCTCTTCTTTGTCTTTATAG</td>
<td>Sfg and PgR in breast, L1 retro transposon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D11S925</td>
<td>AFM220yb6a/AFM220yb6m</td>
<td>AGAACCAAAGTTCGTAAAGTCA/TTAGACCATATGCGAAGGA</td>
<td>Breast melanoma and lung cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D11S1316</td>
<td>GGGCTAGAGTTCAAGGCCA/TTAGGTCCTCAGTGGAAGGCA</td>
<td>Tsg in breast and ZNF202 gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D11S328</td>
<td>TTAGGCCATCATACATGGTTG/AGGCCATCATACATGGTTG</td>
<td>LOH in breast and lung cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D11S345</td>
<td>TAGCCACAGTAATACATGGTTG/AGGCCATCATACATGGTTG</td>
<td>Tsg in breast</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aATM, ataxia telangiectasia; Tsg, tumor suppressor gene; Sfg, suppressor factor gene; PgR, progesterone receptor.

**Results**

A total of eight microsatellite markers from chromosome 11q23-q24 were used to assess the allelic alterations in different irradiated, tumorigenic and tumor cell lines by PCR-SSCP. The different degrees of allelic imbalance were expressed in the form of MSI or LOH. These changes were found to be more pronounced in cell lines exposed to double doses of radiation and treated with estrogen and directly correlated with the phenotypic characteristics of the cell lines as it progressed from early to late stage of transformation and became tumorigenic (30).
The MCF-10F cell line from a single 60 cGy dose of exposure was compared to control MCF-10F and a total of two loci were altered in the form of MSI at loci 11q23.3 (11S29) and 11q23.3-q24 (D11S1328), and no LOH was detected (Fig. 2a). When the cell lines were exposed to 60 cGy/60 cGy double doses of irradiation and compared at early stage with the parental MCF-10F cell lines, a total of four alterations were detected (Fig. 2b). Two of them were due to MSI at loci 11q23.3 (D11S29), 11q23.3-q24 (D11S1328). The remaining two alterations were due to LOH at loci 11q23.3-q24 (D11S925 and D11S1316). However, when late stage passage of this cell line (60 cGy/60 cGy) was compared with similarly passage control cells, four alterations were also identified, but in this case, three alterations were due to LOH and one due to MSI (Fig. 2c). Among these three LOHs, two were similar to the earlier stage (D11S925 and D11S1316) and the other one was at locus 11q23.3 (11S29). MSI was observed at 11q23.3-q24 (D11S1328) as in the early passage cultures. Similarly, by comparing the tumorigenic 60 cGy + E/60 cGy + E cell line with the non-transformed MCF-10F + E (Fig. 2d), a total of seven alterations were identified, four for LOH and the remaining three for MSI. Loss of heterozygosity was detected at loci 11q23.3 (D11S29, D11S1340 and CD3D) and 11q23.3-q24 (D11S925) whereas microsatellite instability was identified at loci 11q23 (NCAM) and 11q23.3-q24 (D11S1328 and D11S1345). A total of eight alterations were screened for MSI and LOH in the Tumor 2 cell line (Fig. 2e) and among them three were classified as MSI and remaining five as LOH. These three MSIs were similar to the tumorigenic 60 cGy + E/60 cGy + E cell line and among the five LOHs, four were...
similar to the tumorigenic 60 cGy + E/60 cGy + E cell line but a new alteration was detected at 11q23.3-q24 (D11S1316). The MCF-7, the positive control tumor cell line, was also compared with MCF-10F cell line and five alterations were identified. Among them, three LOHS were screened at loci 11q23.3 (CD3D), 11q23 (NCAM) and 11q23.3-q24 (D11S1328) and two MSIs at loci 11q23.3 (D11S29) and 11q23.3-q24 (D11S1345) were detected (Fig. 2f).

By using the multi-color mBAND FISH, various inter- and intra-arm chromosomal exchanges (damage and repair) were detected. Metaphase spread from control, irradiated, tumorigenic and tumor cell lines were used in a true or merged color profile by utilizing different fluorochromes (Fig. 3). Chromosomes are known to occupy discrete territories or domains during interphase, and it is expected that there is limited intermingling of chromatin strands. These results from intra- and inter-chromosomal association suggested that α-particle and estrogens induced multiple damage that could induce various intermingling of chromatin strands and could assemble the damaged chromatin strands. The damage of homologous chromosome pairs can also limit their discrimination into separate independent complex events. Complex chromosomal exchanges indicating the translocation of chromosome 11 were detected in irradiated (60 cGy/60 cGy), the tumorigenic (60 cGy + E)/60 cGy + E and the tumor (Tumor 2) cell lines compared to control MCF-10F cell line (indicated by arrow sign) (Fig. 3).

Discussion

The progression of breast cancer is a very complex and diverse process involving a broad spectrum of clinical and pathological characteristics reflecting the multiplicity and heterogeneity of the disease. An array of genetic anomalies during tumor progression increases the probability of random rearrangements, which not only favor chromosomal disintegration that leads to LOH, also favors mitotic recombination, which leads to MSI. In the present study various microsatellite polymorphic markers on loci 11q23-q24 were used to assess LOH/MSI in the parental MCF-10F cell line and cell lines in various stages of the neoplastic transformation process. The results showed that allelic alterations were more pronounced when MCF-10F cell lines were exposed to double doses of radiation and treated with estrogen in comparison to cell lines that were treated with a single dose of radiation without estrogen. Cell lines exposed to double doses of radiation without estrogen and analyzed at different passages also showed progressive changes. During the process of cellular transformation several phenotypic changes were observed, such as anchorage-independence and invasive capabilities, under the influence of radiation, either in the presence or absence of estrogen (30,32). The present report showed allele loss at 11q23-q24 loci in human breast epithelial cells induced by radiation and estrogen exposure. These alterations were probably not only due to an intrinsic level of genomic instability in these cell lines but also to cell division, thereby increasing the risk of genetic errors (45).

A progressive degree of allelic imbalance (MSI and LOH) was identified at 11q23-q24 in the early transformed stage (60 cGy), in the late transformed stage [60 cGy/60 cGy (early and late)], in tumorigenic stage (60 cGy + E/60 cGy + E) and in tumor cell lines established from a tumor (Tumor 2) using specific microsatellite markers belonging in this region. Aberrations in these markers are frequently associated with neoplastic progression due to their location in regions at, or near loci associated with cell-cycle regulation, DNA replication, DNA repair, or signal transduction protein genes (46,47).

In the present study it was observed that a distinct region on chromosome 11q23-q24 was susceptible for LOH/MSI during radiation-induced breast cancer progression.
Within this region, loci between D11S925 and D11S29, with an estimated size of 6 Mb and the LOH region 2, between D11S1345 and D11S1316 of about 1 Mb showed maximum alterations. Studies from other laboratories have already placed various putative tumor suppressor genes in this larger overlapping area (48,49), a finding that is consistent with our present observation. Also microcell-mediated chromosome transfer of an intact copy of chromosome 11 into the tumorigenic HeLa cells has provided additional support of the presence of a tumor suppressor gene in this chromosome (50).

LOH involving these regions not only coincides with regions implicated in the pathogenesis of breast cancer but with several childhood and adult cancers including acute lymphoblastic leukemia, ovarian, prostate and bladder cancers (51-54). Identification of the same region (11q23-q24 loci) of LOH by various independent laboratories supports the importance of this region in breast cancer. Although, the precise mechanism of the high rate of LOH in this particular region is not known, it is evident from different observations that more than one tumor suppressor gene is residing in this region (55,56).

It is known that some of the LOH seen on chromosome 11q23-q24 regions may represent key events during cancer progression, such as those affecting ATM or some gene(s) close or distal to it, whereas others would represent secondary mutations bearing less selective advantage (57). Cytogenetic analysis and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) also indicated an unbalanced translocation of chromosomes at 11q23-q24, resulting in partial monosomy due to humoral immunodeficiency or pancytopenia (58), preliminary mFISH analysis of different irradiated, tumorigenic and tumor cell lines also confirmed the translocation of chromosome 11 in this model. It was observed that samples treated with both radiation and estrogen have more alterations compared to samples treated with either of them. The findings on regions of chromosomal imbalance are consistent with those found in primary breast cancer, which highlights the relevance and usefulness of this model. Further refinement of this region should be conducted using more microsatellite markers and scoring of exchange aberrations involving three or more breaks in two or more chromosomes by mFISH analysis. This analysis will ultimately allow to delineate the locations of putative tumor suppressor genes. It is the first report of a detailed analysis of allelic imbalance associated with radiation-induced progression of breast carcinogenesis, which will ultimately help to understand the mechanism of progression and how estrogen directly influence such process. An estimation of the overall incidence of alterations (e.g., by SSCP and mFISH analysis) along the long arm (e.g., 11q23-q24) of chromosome 11 will allow to identify the appropriate target(s) for therapeutic intervention that contribute to the radiation-induced breast carcinogenesis.
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