High dose-rate brachytherapy of prostate cancer utilising Iridium-192 after-loading technique: Technical and methodological aspects
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\textbf{Abstract.} The aim of this study was to focus on certain characteristic problems associated with Iridium-192 high dose-rate brachytherapy (Ir-192 HDR-BT) in combination with external beam radiation therapy (EBRT) in the treatment of patients with localised prostate cancer. Over a period of 16 years, >2,000 patients with prostate cancer have been treated in Sweden with a combination of two fractions of 10 Gy Ir-192 HDR-BT and 50 Gy of fractionated EBRT. Although this treatment is usually well tolerated, there are biological and technical factors to be considered before and during the treatment of the patient to avoid side effects or under-treatment of the target volume. Some of the problems facing the doctors are transducer stability, needle deviation, target definition, target motion, pubic arch interference, concomitant diseases and tolerance doses for different organs at risk. These problems are discussed and possible solutions are presented in this study.

\textbf{Introduction}

Prostate cancer is the most common malignancy afflicting Swedish men (1,2). Annually, more than 9,000 new cases are diagnosed (3). Although health controls have been ardently discussed during recent years, no screening programmes have as yet been initiated in Scandinavia (4,5). Radiotherapy and radical prostatectomy are generally regarded as the two chief modalities suitable for curative intent treatment (6-12). The results of treatment by these modalities are equivalent, but the acute and long-term side effects which develop after the definitive treatment of prostate cancer differ. The main side effects after surgery are impotence and incontinence, while proctitis, colitis and cystitis are seen after radiotherapy (13).

The advantage of brachytherapy (BT) is the short irradiation range. This minimises the dose to organs at risk in the neighbourhood of the target, even though therapy requires that the irradiation source is placed inside or very near the target.

Prostate BT was first reported by Pasteau in 1911 (14). The complication rate was high, probably due to the application of the source through the urethra. In the years that followed, the treatment of prostate cancer has focused on radical prostatectomy and external beam radiation therapy (EBRT). However, two different techniques for obtaining a more homogeneous dose distribution in the prostate, which reduce the frequency of side effects, were reported by Flocks in 1964 (15), by Carlton (16) and by Whitmore \textit{et al} in 1972 (17). These techniques utilized permanent implants of low dose-rate (LDR) Gold-198 and Iodine-125 isotopes, respectively. These reports resulted in a renaissance for prostate BT. In 1977, Court and Chassangne (18) began treating prostate cancer with after-loading techniques, and since then several reports have been published (13,14,19-28). Although the data presented were encouraging, no randomised study has been published, and there are criticisms concerning some of the BT treatment studies (29,30). Randomised clinical trials are planned by national groups (31), and in Sweden a randomised study in which patients with localised prostate cancer were treated with either surgery or BT in combination with EBRT was recently closed (unpublished data).

Over the past 16 years >2,000 patients in Sweden have been treated with a combination of two fractions of 10 Gy Ir-192 HDR-BT and 50 Gy fractionated EBRT. During this time, several technical problems were observed. In this study, possible solutions to these problems are suggested. Unfortunately, one cannot completely depend on literature for determining results of BT since frequently a distinction has not been made between LDR and HDR techniques. However, some of the problems related to trans-perineal implants are common to both approaches.

\textbf{Materials and methods}

EBRT is administered in two 2.5-week sessions (2 Gy/day, 5 days/week), with an interval of 2 weeks. The rest period
begins and ends with the HDR-BT treatment. This technique has been described by Bertermann and Brix (32) and Lennermäes et al (EBRT) (8,26). The patients also receive 3-6 months of pre-treatment Flutamid (250 mg x 3/day) and Leuprolrelin (3.75 mg x 1/month).

More than 2,000 patients are included in this study. Pre-operative investigations consist of a trans-rectal ultrasound (TRUS), bone scanning and blood tests which includes a prostate specific antigen (PSA). In cases of poorly differentiated tumours and when the PSA is >10-20 ng/ml in well to moderately differentiated tumours, a lymph node dissection is always performed. Only patients with no lymph node or skeletal metastasis and stage T1-T3b (UICC 1992) are accepted for curative intent treatment. The maximum accepted pre-treatment size of the prostate is 60 cc, but this has been omitted in recent years.

Dose planning is performed 1-2 weeks before the first BT utilizing TRUS. During planning and treatment the patients are placed in a dorsal lithotomy position. Usually a catheter is placed in the urethra to assist in planning the treatment and to avoid over treating the urethra. No anaesthesia is used during planning. The ultrasound image of the prostate is divided into 5 mm thick slices using a step-section planometry mechanism (Fig. 1). Using the images the boundaries of the prostate gland and the rectum are outlined and information essential for dose planning is noted. The geometrical information is transferred to the computerised dose planning system, which calculates the planned co-ordinates of the needles, and the planned dose delivered by each source position in the needles. After 2003, pre-planning has not been performed in Gothenburg, but instead, planning is performed before and on-line prior to each treatment.

The target is defined as the prostate gland plus a 2-3 mm margin. The dose to the wall of rectum is limited to 6 Gy on a line of 2-3 cm through the rectum. The urethral volume should not be >8 Gy. When possible, a 5 Gy-boost (total 15 Gy) is given to a visible tumour in one lobe of the gland and 8 Gy to the other lobe.

Prior to the treatment, the patient is anaesthetised routinely with local spinal anaesthesia. The patient also received prophylactic antibiotic treatment before BT. Approximately 5-18 needles, which guide the irradiation source, are then inserted through a matrix in co-ordinates according to the dose plan. The positions of the needles and the rectum are connected directly to a point very near the transducer's tip. Consequently, movement of the transducer would influence the matrix thus producing a more firm relationship between the needle position and transducer. When using a rectal ultrasound transducer the matrix is connected directly to a point near the transducer's tip, which produces a firm connection between the needle position and the transducer.

When using non-rectal transducers the matrix is fitted to the stepping device (Fig. 1), and mechanical instability can occur. Thus, it is important to control the maximum possible movement of the stepping device and to develop mechanical solutions, which lock the transducer in a fixed position.

Results

Generally, the acute morbidity of this treatment is acceptable and comparable to definitive EBRT (>70 Gy) except for temporary nocturia, which can be as frequent as 6-8 times per night. No operative death occurred, nor does the frequency of late side effects differ from those of external beam irradiation (13,19,33).

Problems associated with the technique. It is well known that there is a marked learning curve associated with the use of BT, either LDR or HDR (34). The following section will address some of these and suggest possible solutions.

Stability of the ultrasound (US) transducer mechanics. The distance between the US transducer and the needle matrix must be constant throughout the treatment in order to reproduce the positions of the transducer, the needles and the tumour. When using a rectal ultrasound transducer the matrix is connected directly to a point very near the transducer's tip. Consequently, movement of the transducer would influence the matrix thus producing a more firm relationship between the needle position and transducer. When using rectal ultrasound transducer the matrix is connected directly to a point near the transducer's tip, which produces a firm connection between the needle position and the transducer.

When using non-rectal transducers the matrix is fitted to the stepping device (Fig. 1), and mechanical instability can occur. Thus, it is important to control the maximum possible movement of the stepping device and to develop mechanical solutions, which lock the transducer in a fixed position.

Deviation of needles. Deviation of needles is a common problem (35). Under-dosage of the target can be a serious problem, if the positioning of the needles is inaccurate. This can be calculated by the dose volume inhomogeneity corrected biological effective dose (DVI-CBED) formula, which has revealed that even small deviations and under-dosage of the target (5-10%) can have a serious impact on local control of the tumour (36). One advantage of the after-loading technique, in contrast to the permanent implant technique, is the possibility of repositioning the needles or even recalculating the entire dose plan before the start of treatment. However, it is not always possible to compensate for every small deviation of the needles, and it is therefore essential.
that the physician is aware of the allowable deviation of a needle. The operator must always consider the location of the tumour, the weight and number of the source positions in the needle and the planned margin in the vicinity of the needle when making final decisions.

The use of lanced needles. Fig. 2 shows one needle deviating by several millimetres in the prostate. This was done on purpose, since it was not possible during this treatment to insert the needle in the planned co-ordinates of the matrix. By using a lanced needle, the needle can be deliberately forced to deviate in a particular direction. In Fig. 2 the needle is visualised by a marker on the X-ray image. Since the position of the needle is determined on the bladder side of the prostate at the tips of the needles, the deviation of the needle and consequently the under-dosage in the target is minimised.

Since it is almost impossible to deviate from a needle channel with standard needles, the lanced needle can also be used for pre-penetration. These needles however have their limitations. In a prostate gland with much calcification, it is sometimes difficult to insert the needles in the desired position. In these situations, fast on-line dose planning systems for re-planning with the actual positions of the needles are necessary.

Problems associated with anatomy

Shape of the prostate. The shape of the prostate may change between dose planning and treatment. Generally the size is smaller and can be more pronounced anteriorly. Even when the time between dose planning and treatment is reduced to one day this can be observed. The reason is unclear. It is thought that the anaesthetic procedure may to some extent influence the size of the prostate directly or indirectly by lowering the tension in the pelvic region. However, with the introduction of on-line planning this problem is eliminated.

In the future, better ultrasound systems, or magnetic resonance imaging (37), may reduce the uncertainty of different target definitions, in the meantime we must be attentive to the problems of target definition.

Motion of the prostate. In Fig. 3, video printer images were taken while needles number 1, 3, 7 and 14 were inserted into the prostate during treatment. Remarkable contour changes of the prostate gland can be seen. However, the prostate gland may show a very steep margin in the cranial part and a small movement in the caudo-cranial direction can produce a large variation in the contour of the gland in the ultrasound image.

In these situations, transducers with the capacity to produce sagittal projections, such as the B&K 8551, can be most helpful. Other possible solutions are fixation of the prostate with dedicated needles (MD Tech, FL, USA) or by using a simple method of fixation described by Dattoli and Waller (38). The latter method uses two needles which are inserted trans-perineally and obliquely into the prostate.

Another ultrasound phenomenon is seen in Fig. 4 where a needle is inserted in the upper part of the gland (see arrow). When a second needle is inserted below the first needle, the echo of the first needle will sometimes disappear due to the strong reflection of the second needle. Thus, it is important to remember that needles in the more dorsal part of the gland can hide needles along a straight line from the transducer. As a consequence of this phenomenon, needles near the transducer should be inserted last.

The visualisation of the needles can be problematic and the authors’ experience is that changing the frequency of the ultrasound may improve the ability to detect a specific needle. Thus, multi-frequency ultrasound transducers can be helpful in needle detection and also in delineation of the prostate gland.
Prostate size and the pubic arch. Restricting the size of the prostate gland is recommended. Patients with a prostate volume of <40 cc seldom show pubic arch interference problems, whereas in patients with prostate volumes >60 cc, treatment can be difficult (39). However, if the gland can be covered by at least 8 Gy of HDR-BT combined treatment might still be a good choice. The greatest technical problem in the treatment of large glands is the insertion of needles into the upper lateral parts of the gland, due to pubic arch interference with positioning of the needles. Concerning small prostate volume, Loblaw et al have investigated the feasibility of BT with permanent implants, and found that a small volume per se was not a contraindication for BT (40).

Pubic arch interference can be avoided, to some extent, by rotation of the pelvis mechanically. This can be achieved by utilizing an extended lithotomy position. This procedure creates more space between the prostate and the pubic arch. However, some glands are located more anteriorly in the pelvis and are difficult to cover with a sufficient HDR-BT dose. The same problem is present in patients with a narrow pelvis or a large prostate. Tincher et al have stressed the importance of both rotating the pelvis and using an upward direction of the needles during treatment in order to avoid the pubic arch (41).

Online planning can also solve some of these problems. Kalapurakal et al have suggested that the CT scanning performed as a basis for EBRT planning or pre-implant, can be used for pubic arch interference analysis (42).

It has also been shown that neo-adjuvant hormonal therapy (NHT) may reduce the size of the prostate by 30-50% (43,44), although the impact on side effects or survival in BT is not clear (45,46). One must also bear in mind that volume estimation of the prostate gland is not exact, and it has been suggested that differences up to 25% should be expected between different non-planimetric estimates (47,48).

Potentially large individual differences have also been reported in other studies (48). The value of NHT for the outcome of the disease when using high dose radiation therapy has been questioned (49).

Variation in the volume of the prostate is a well known phenomenon during EBRT. Antolak et al (20) have noted variations of up to 3.5 cc (±1.6 cc) in the size of the prostate using repetitive CT scans during EBRT.

Narayana et al have reported that CT overestimates the volume of the prostate, thus, volume estimation based on CT scans is not recommended (50).

Another restriction is the presence of a lobus tertius of the prostate inside the bladder. These lobes can be difficult to treat with a sufficient dose during the treatment and should be accepted for treatment only after careful consideration. This stresses the importance of a pre-treatment assessment using a TRUS investigation for determining the volume, pubic arch and stage.

The BT equipment can also be used for implantation of gold fiducial markers (51). In cases where it is found that BT is not possible to perform with the patient positioned on the treatment table, it is feasible to implant gold markers and to convert the treatment to dose escalated EBRT. Concerning size and pubic arch interference a retrospective analysis in Gothenburg, of cases converted to gold marker technique shows that factors other than the size of the prostate are also important for pubic arch interference.

The urethra. The radiation dose to the urethra is a major concern. The urethra is a radiation sensitive organ, and severe side effects were reported in early BT treatments. Stricture development is a well-known problem (13,19). Dinges and collaborators reported on doses producing late 3-4 grade side effects. Five percent risk for severe side effects was noted at 91 Gy, and 50% risk at 160 Gy converted into 2 Gy fractions (23). Thus it is important to outline the urethra and at the same time, there is a problem in visualising the position of the urethra in the planning position. One solution is to mark the urethra with a catheter. It is also possible to inject air containing gel or contrast media for marking the urethra (52).

The position of urethra must be outlined before dose planning, in order to avoid excessive doses to the urethra. Waterman and Dicker have reported that the geometric centre of the prostate is an acceptable surrogate for the location of the urethra (53). Although this might be true for a majority of patients, the experience obtained in this study revealed that many patients have significant deviations of the urethra. In a
study by Stromberg et al, flexible cystoscopy was performed during treatment to ensure that no needles were inserted into the urethra or bladder neck (22).

Definition of the rectal wall and the prostate. Definition of the rectal wall as well as the prostate gland has been the subject of debate over the years. Since the dose to the rectum is defined on a transverse line of 2-3 cm (or later as a reference point in the rectum wall), it is important to outline the margin of the rectum correctly. Most often the distance between the rectum and the prostate is greater during treatment than during planning, possibly due to the anaesthetic procedure, which increases the safety of the treatment. If a water balloon is used to expand the rectum during planning and during insertion of the needles, the balloon should be collapsed during treatment, in order to increase the distance between the rectum and the prostate (Fig. 5).

One major problem is how to define the rectum wall. There are at least four answers to this question. One is to outline the endothelium of the rectum, or the inner portion of the muscle layer. Another approach is to outline the middle portion of the muscle layer of the rectal wall or the muscle layer outside the mucosa. This clearly illustrates the need for a prompt standardised approach to solve this issue. These variations of target definition are unsatisfactory and are subject for revision in Sweden.

Concomitant diseases, contraindications and follow-up. There are no additional contraindications when comparing BT to EBRT except for those associated with the local spinal anaesthesia and the prophylactic antibiotics given during BT treatment. A study by Dicker et al has questioned the use of prophylactic antibiotics in standard trans-perineal BT treatment (54).

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) such as Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis are generally contraindications for pelvic irradiation. However, Grann and Wallner have reported on prostate BT using I-125 in patients with IBD as a safe combination, although the information is limited (55). Dinges et al reported that 2 out of the 3 patients with severe side effects had IBD (23). These patients developed a rectourethral fistula after biopsies from the anterior wall of the rectum. A history of prostatitis is also a contraindication for BT. However, Aggarwal et al have reported that BT may be recommended even with a previous history of prostatitis (56). Urethral stents placed in the prostatic part of the urethra do not seem to be a contraindication for BT (40).

Follow-up schedules are no different for BT patients than for EBRT patients (57). However, it is important to remember that PSA bounce is common following BT, and a temporary PSA rise can be as high as 20 ng/ml post therapy. Critz et al (57) observed a PSA bounce in 35% of the BT patients with a median time to PSA bounce of 18 months, and a median PSA of 0.7 ng/ml. These authors also found no relationship between the occurrence or magnitude of the PSA bounce and recurrence of the disease (57). However, Hanlon et al found that PSA bounce was associated with a decrease in biochemical control rates (58). Nickers et al have presented PSA kinetics after EBRT (59). The graphs in their study can be useful in evaluation of treatment or of a specific patient.

Radiobiology. Recently the α/β ratio for the prostate has been the subject of discussion by Brenner et al (60), and Fowler et al (61,62), and the ratio suggested was as low as 1.5. There are indications that prostate cancer behaves more like late reacting tissue rather than acute reacting tissue with little or no proliferation occurring during a 6 to 8-week treatment course, and it has also shown that radiotherapy of prostate cancer is less sensitive to treatment time (63). A lower α/β ratio will result in a greater radiobiological effect per radiation dose. This means that BT schedules which were designed to be equal to 70 Gy in 2 Gy fractions should, in reality, be considered as dose escalated treatments. However, there are no studies on survival comparing different α/β ratios, and one must be cautious in using or designing new schedules based on an assumed low α/β ratio.

Figure 5. Change of position of the rectum wall after deflating the transducer probe (arrow at some geometric point). This anatomical change is also obvious if the transducer is removed before treatment, and this will obstruct investigations of radiobiological relationships between the planned dose-distribution and a certain side effect.
King published an overview on radiobiological models for both LDR- and HDR-BT (24). This can also be useful in the evaluation of these two modalities. In this review, the advantage of high dose per fraction and the possible advantage of treating prostate cancer with high doses and HDR-BT were outlined.

Discussion

The combination of HDR-BT and EBRT is increasingly used as a curative treatment option for localised prostate cancer. Hanks et al have presented dose response curves for prostate cancer based on pre-treatment PSA (7). From these data, it can be seen that a dose of 80-85 Gy is needed to reach biochemical, PSA, no evidence of disease (bNED) in approximately 90% of the patients. The corresponding dose in 2 Gy fractions of the combined treatment used in Sweden is 96 Gy (assumed α/β ratio = 4). However, as previously mentioned, this dose may be considerably lower, and it is well known that a small volume of under-dosage can have a considerable effect on the tumour control probability (36,64). Thus, the critical issue in HDR-BT of the prostate is a close match between the planned needle positions and the actual achieved positions during treatment.

This treatment combination of HDR-BT and EBRT is also advantageous if displacement errors of the EBRT are considered. Since the target receives a high dose, the treatment is located in the upper portion of the dose response curve (7). This means that the combined treatment is more resistant to displacement errors than EBRT only, which is located in the steep section of the dose response curve (70-80 Gy). It is unlikely that a higher proportion of patients will be cured by increasing the dose above 96 Gy, but this will certainly decrease the safety margin of the treatment. However, as pointed out, one must be aware of the limitations in both radiobiological calculations and parameter determinations. Furthermore, as pointed out by Martinez et al, it is not certain that neoadjuvant hormone therapy will increase survival or local control in these high dose treatments (49).

Although the treatment has relatively few side effects, it does have side effects and severe complications, primarily involving the rectum, such as rectal bleeding and chronic proctitis (13). Further development of this treatment is necessary to protect the organs at risk, without reducing the dose to the target. These include better target or anatomical structure definitions, on-line dose planning and a better understanding of the dose volume relationships of the organs at risk (rectum and the urinary bladder) (39).

In an analysis from Gothenburg (unpublished data), the dose to the urethra and rectum was retrospectively estimated at risk (rectum and the urinary bladder) (39). In this review, the advantage of high dose per fraction and the possible advantage of treating prostate cancer with high doses and HDR-BT were outlined.

In summary, the most important organs at risk are the rectum, urethra, urinary bladder, neurovascular bundle (NVB), hip, gut and skin. Tolerance doses for the first three are well known and the last three are seldom a problem. However, it is clear that the short range of HDR-BT decreases the dose to the surrounding organs at risk. The tolerance dose to the NVB still remains unknown.
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