Abstract. Androgen-independent prostate carcinoma is characterized by a high proliferation rate and by a strong metastatic behavior. We have previously shown that GnRH agonists exert a direct and specific inhibitory action on the proliferation of androgen-independent prostate cancer cells (DU 145). These compounds mainly act by interfering with the mitogenic activity of growth factors, such as the insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I). The present experiments were performed to clarify whether GnRH agonists might also affect the migratory and the invasive behavior of androgen-independent prostate cancer cells and to define their mechanism of action. First we showed that the GnRH agonist Leuprolide reduces the migration of DU 145 cells towards a chemoattractant and their ability to invade a reconstituted basement membrane. Experiments were then performed to clarify whether the GnRH agonist might act by interfering with the pro-metastatic activity of IGF-I. We found that, in androgen-independent prostate cancer cells, Leuprolide: a) interferes with the IGF-I system (receptor protein expression and tyrosine-phosphorylation); b) abrogates the IGF-I-induced phosphorylation of Akt (a kinase previously shown by us to mediate the pro-metastatic activity of IGF-I in prostate cancer cells); c) counteracts the migration and the invasive activity of the cells stimulated by IGF-I; d) abolishes the effects of IGF-I on cell morphology, on actin cytoskeleton organization and on αvβ3 integrin expression/cellular localization. These data indicate that GnRH agonists, in addition to their well known antiproliferative effect, can also exert a significant inhibitory activity on the migratory and invasive behavior of androgen-independent prostate cancer cells, expressing the GnRH receptor. GnRH agonists act by interfering with the pro-metastatic activity of the growth factor IGF-I.

Introduction

Prostate cancer is the most frequent malignancy and the second leading cause of male cancer deaths in Western countries (1). In its earlier stages, the disease is androgen-dependent and, therefore, androgen-deprivation therapy represents the first-line treatment for prostate cancer patients (2). After an initial phase of remission, prostate carcinoma almost inevitably progresses towards a condition of hormone-resistance, which is characterized by a high proliferation rate, by a strong metastatic behavior, and by the refractoriness to classical chemotherapy (3). Growth factors, either locally produced or exogenous, the insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) in particular, have been widely shown to be deeply involved in the growth and progression of prostate carcinoma (4-6). Prostate cancer cells produce IGF-I, express IGF-I receptors (IGF-IR) and exhibit a significant responsiveness to the mitogenic activity of IGF-I in vitro (7-10), while IGF-IR inhibition by means of antisense RNA reduces prostate cancer cell proliferation (11). In in vivo studies (performed in SCID mice), the progression of androgen-dependent prostate cancer xenografts (derived from the LAPC-9 and LNCaP cell lines) towards a phase of androgen-independence is associated with increased levels of both IGF-I and IGF-IR (12); similarly, prostate IGF-I mRNA expression was found to increase during prostate cancer progression in TRAMP mice and to be elevated in the accompanying metastases (13). Finally, high serum levels of IGF-I were reported to be associated with an increased risk of prostate cancer in men (14-16); the level of expression of IGF-IR, which is up-regulated in primary prostate cancer, seems to persist in the metastatic disease (17,18). Recently, IGF-I has been reported to increase both the invasive (19) and the migratory (20) behavior of androgen-independent prostate
cancer cells, indicating that this growth factor might regulate not only the growth but also the progression of prostate cancer towards the highly aggressive metastatic phase.

Gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonists, through the blockade of the pituitary-testicular axis and the consequent suppression of testosterone secretion, represent the most widely utilized therapy for hormone-responsive prostate carcinoma (2). Moreover, in our (21.22) and in other (23) laboratories it has been demonstrated that GnRH agonists can exert a specific antiproliferative effect on androgen-dependent prostate cancer cells, suggesting that, when utilized for treating patients, these drugs might also exert an additional and more direct antitumor activity. Expression of GnRH receptors and significant antiproliferative effects of GnRH analogs have also been reported for androgen-independent prostate cancer cells, both in vitro (24) and in vivo when inoculated into nude mice (23,25,26). These observations suggest that GnRH agonists might exert an anti-tumorigenic action also on hormone-refractory prostate cancer and, therefore, implicate that their clinical application might also be extended to this stage of pathology. In the present study, we investigated whether GnRH agonists might affect the migratory and the invasive properties of androgen-independent prostate cancer cells, in particular of DU 145 cells, and whether they might interfere with the pro-metastatic activity of IGF-I; the molecular mechanisms of this interaction were clarified.

Materials and methods

Materials. The GnRH agonist Leuprolide (D-Leu6-Des-Gly10-GnRH-N-ethylamide) acetate was kindly provided by Takeda (Japan). Human recombinant IGF-I was purchased from Peprotech EC Ltd. (London, UK); mouse anti-avß3 antibody was obtained from Chemicon (Temecula, CA) while vitronectin was from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO).

Cell cultures. The cell line DU 145, derived from a brain metastasis of a human hormone-unresponsive prostate carcinoma, and the PC3 cell line, derived from bone metastasis of a human hormone-independent prostate cancer, were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD). DU 145 cells (passages 60-74) and PC3 cells (passages 20-35) were routinely grown in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco, Paisley, Scotland, UK) (5% for DU 145 and 10% for PC3 cells), glutamine (1 mM) and antibiotics (100 IU/ml penicillin G sodium and 100 μg/ml streptomycin sulphate). Cells were incubated at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2/95% air.

Cell proliferation studies. Cell growth studies were performed on exponentially growing cells. DU 145 cells were plated at a density of 500 cells/cm² in 100-mm dishes; they were allowed to attach and to start growing for 3 days. The media were then changed and cells were treated daily with Leuprolide (10⁻¹⁻¹⁰⁻⁶ M, 500 μl), as previously described for different GnRH agonists (24). After 7 days of treatment, cells were harvested and counted by hemocytometer. Each experimental group consisted of 6 replicates and each experiment was repeated 3 times. The data were analyzed according to the Bonferroni's test after one-way ANOVA.

Western blot analysis of IGF-IR, IGF-IR phosphorylation and Akt phosphorylation. IGF-IR protein expression. IGF-IR expression was analyzed by Western blotting. DU 154 cells were seeded at a density of 5x10⁵ cells in 100-mm dishes in RPMI-1640 medium with 5% FBS and treated with Leuprolide (10⁻⁴ M) for 24 h. At the end of the treatment, cells were harvested using RIPA buffer (0.05 M Tris-HCl pH 7.7, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.8% SDS, 10 mM EDTA, 100 μM NaVO₄, 50 mM NaF, 0.3 mM PMSF, 5 mM iodoacetic acid) containing leupeptin and aprotinin (1 μg/ml). The extracts were centrifuged to remove insoluble material. Protein contents were determined using the BCA method. Equal amounts of proteins (30 μg) for each experimental sample were resuspended in sample buffer (0.5 M Tris-HCl pH 6.8, glycerol 20%, SDS 10%, 28-mercaptoethanol 0.2%, blue bromophenol 0.05%) and heated at 95°C for 5 min. Following separation by 7.5% SDS-PAGE, proteins were electrotransferred to nitrocellulose, and membranes were then blocked with 3% BSA. Filters were incubated with the IGF-IR antibody (1.5 μg/ml, 2 h at room temperature) (Chemicon). After repeated washing, membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies. Proteins were finally visualized by chemiluminescence (SuperSignal Chemiluminescence Detection System, Pierce Biotechnology Inc., Rockford, IL). A monoclonal antibody against actin (actin, Ab-1 kit, Oncogene Research Products, San Diego, CA) was used to control for equal loading.

Tyrosine phosphorylation of IGF-IR. To study the possible interaction of GnRH agonists with the IGF-I-induced tyrosine phosphorylation of IGF-IR, DU 145 cells were plated at a density of 5x10⁵ cells in 100-mm dishes in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 5% FBS. After 3 days, cells were refed with serum-free medium for 24 h and then pretreated, for 1 or 2 h, with Leuprolide (10⁻⁶ M) before IGF-I stimulation (10 nM, 3 min), as previously described (10). At the end of the treatment, the experimental medium was removed, the cell layer was rinsed with PBS and cells were then harvested in 1 ml RIPA buffer on ice for 10 min. Cell lysates were centrifuged at 15,000 x g for 20 min; supernatants were immunoprecipitated with 2 μg/20 μl of the monoclonal antibody IGF-IR antibody (1.5 μg/ml, 2 h at room temperature) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Santa Cruz, CA), raised against IGF-IR, for 15 min in the presence of 10 μl rabbit anti-mouse IgG. Protein Sepharose (75 μl) was added at room temperature for 30 min, and samples were then centrifuged at 4,000 x g for 5 min. Precipitated proteins were electrophoresed by SDS-PAGE on a 7.5% polyacrylamide gel and blotted onto a nitrocellulose filter. Filters were incubated with antiphosphotyrosine monoclonal antibody (IgG2b, Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY) (1 μg/ml) for 1 h at room temperature and then with an anti-mouse IgG at the final concentration of 1:5000. Antibody bound to phosphotyrosine was detected by chemiluminescence.

Akt phosphorylation. DU 145 cells were seeded at a density of 5x10⁵ cells in 10-mm dishes in RPMI-1640 medium with 5% FBS. After 3 days, the medium was changed to serum-free medium and cells were treated with Leuprolide (10⁻⁴ M) for 1 or 2 h, either in the absence or in the presence of IGF-I (10 nM) during the last 30 min of incubation. At the end of the treatment, the cell layer was rinsed with PBS and cells...
were harvested using RIPA buffer, Western blot analysis was performed as described above. The following primary antibodies were used at a dilution of 1:100 (overnight at 4°C): anti-phospho-Akt (SC 7985-R; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or anti-Akt (SC 5298, Santa Cruz Biotechnology). All these experiments were repeated 3 times.

**Cell migration assays.** Cell migration was analyzed by means of haptotactic assays, using a 48-well Boyden’s chamber (Neuroprobe, Cabin John, MD) in which the lower surface of the membrane had been precoated with vitronectin (5 μg/100 μl). After each specific treatment, prostate cancer cells were harvested, resuspended in 5% FBS added medium plus treatment, and cell suspensions (10^5 cells/50 μl, in culture media plus the specific treatment) were placed in the open-bottom wells of the upper compartment of the chamber. Each pair of wells were separated by polyvinylpyrrolidone-free polycarbonate porous membrane (8 μm pores) precoated with vitronectin. The lower compartment of the chambers was filled with serum-free medium. The chambers were then kept for 4 h in the cell culture incubator. After that, the cells migrated through the pores and adhered to the underside of the membrane were fixed, stained (Diff-Quick kit, Dade, Dudingen, CH) and mounted onto glass slides. For quantitative analysis, six random objective fields of stained cells were counted for each well (8 wells/experimental group) and the mean number of migrating cells/mm² was calculated.

Preliminary experiments were performed to evaluate the effects of the GnRH agonist on the migratory behavior of prostate cancer cells. To this purpose, subconfluent DU 145 cells, grown in serum-supplemented medium, were treated for 4 days with Leuprolide (10^-6 M) and harvested at the end of the treatment. Haptotactic assays were then performed as described above.

Subsequent experiments were performed to evaluate whether the GnRH agonist might interfere with the pro-migratory activity of IGF-I. DU 145 cells, cultured in the absence of serum, were treated with Leuprolide (10^-6 M) alone for 4 days, with IGF-I (10 nM) alone for 24 h, or with Leuprolide (4 days) in the presence of IGF-I during the last 24 h. Cells were then harvested by trypsinization, resuspended in their basal medium plus treatment, and seeded into the upper compartment of the invasion chamber at 350,000 cells/well in a volume of 2 ml; the lower compartment of the chamber was filled with 20% FBS-supplemented medium (3 ml). Cells were allowed to invade the Matrigel preparation and to migrate for 72 h at 37°C. At the end of the assay, non-invasive cells were removed from the upper surface of the membrane with a cotton swab. Cells that had invaded the Matrigel coating were fixed, stained (Diff-Quick kit, Dade), and counted. In each of these assays, triplicate wells were performed, and the assay was repeated 3 times. The data were analyzed according to the Bonferroni’s test after one-way ANOVA.

**Scanning electron microscopy.** For scanning electron microscopy, DU 145 cells (3x10^4 cells/coverslip) were seeded on vitronectin-coated coverslips and incubated in serum-free medium with IGF-I (10 nM) and Leuprolide (10^-6 M), either alone or in combination, for 30 min. Cells were then washed in PBS for 10 min and fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer and then washed in the same buffer. Coverslips were postfixed in aqueous 4% OsO₄ for 20 min, washed in distilled water for 10 min, immersed in aqueous 1% tannic acid for 20 min and washed in distilled water for 10 min. This procedure was repeated 3 times at room temperature. Cells were dehydrated in alcohol, immersed in isoamylacetate and dried by the critical-point method. Coverslips were sputter-coated with gold/palladium and observed under a scanning electron microscope (Autoscop Siemens). This experiment was repeated 2 times for 2 different preparations.

**Cytoskeletal organization.** The effects of IGF-I and the GnRH agonist, either alone or in combination, on the pattern of actin and tubulin distribution were analyzed by immunofluorescence staining. DU 145 cells were grown on 22-mm glass coverslips and treated, in serum-free conditions, with IGF-I (10 nM) and Leuprolide (10^-6 M), either alone or in combination, for 30 min. Cells were then fixed with 3% paraformaldehyde in 2% sucrose-PBS for 15 min and
permeabilized with 0.5% Heps/Triton buffer (20 mM Heps, 300 mM sucrose, 50 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 0.5% Triton X-100) for 1 min. For actin visualization cells were stained with tetramethylrhodamine B isothiocyanate (TRITC)-phalloidin (0.4 μg/ml) (Sigma Chemical Co.). For tubulin organization, fixed cells were incubated with an unlabeled monoclonal anti-tubulin primary antibody (1:500) (clone DM 1A, Sigma Chemical Co.), followed by TRITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor 488, Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). Labeled cells were examined under a Zeiss Axiotech 200 microscope with a 63x/1.4 objective lens. Images were acquired using the Metavue program. Each staining was repeated 3 times for 3 different preparations for each group.

Expression of integrin αβ3. The effects of IGF-I and Leuprolide, either alone or in combination, on the expression of the αβ3 integrin dimer were evaluated by immunofluorescence as well as by biotinylation and immunoprecipitation. This integrin was selected since its expression has been demonstrated to correlate with the aggressiveness and invasiveness of prostate cancer cells (28). The integrin αβ3 binds to different proteins of the extracellular matrix, including vitronectin, utilized for the migration assays in the present study (29).

Immunofluorescence analysis. DU 145 cells (3x10⁴ cells/coverslip) were grown on 13-mm glass coverslips and treated, in serum-free conditions, with IGF-I (10 nM) and Leuprolide (10⁻⁶ M), either alone or in combination, for 30 min. Cells were then fixed with paraformaldehyde (as described above) and incubated with an unlabeled anti-αβ3 primary antibody (Chemicon), followed by fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (Molecular Probes). Labeled cells were examined as described above for cytoskeletal proteins. Each staining was repeated 3 times for 3 different preparations for each group.

Biotinylation and immunoprecipitation. These experiments were performed as previously described (30). DU 145 cells, grown in serum-free medium, were treated with IGF-I (10 nM) and Leuprolide (10⁻⁶ M), either alone or in combination, for 24 h. The cells were then washed 5 times with Hank's buffer and incubated with 2 ml of Hank's buffer supplemented with 500 mg/ml sulfoconimidyl hexanoate-conjugated biotin (NHS-LC-Biotin, Pierce Biotechnology Inc.) to label cell surface proteins for 30 min at 4°C. Cells were washed 3 times and lysed in 0.5 ml RIPA buffer containing leupeptin and aprotinin (1 μg/ml). The extracts were centrifuged to remove insoluble material. Protein contents were determined using the BCA method. Equal amounts of protein (0.5 mg) for each experimental sample were incubated overnight at 4°C with the specific antibodies (mouse anti-αβ3, 2 μl; and rabbit anti-mouse, 10 μl). The antibody-integrin complexes were incubated for another 1 h with protein A-Sepharose (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden), washed 3 times in RIPA buffer and 3 times in purified water. Proteins were separated by 6% SDS-PAGE, electrotransferred to nitrocellulose, incubated with peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin and visualized by chemiluminescence (SuperSignal Chemiluminescence Detection System, Pierce Biotechnology Inc.). The experiment has been repeated 3 times.

Results

Leuprolide reduces the migratory and the invasive behavior of DU 145 cells. Preliminary experiments were performed to verify whether Leuprolide might affect the growth of androgen-independent DU 145 prostate cancer cells, as previously reported in our laboratory for other GnRH agonists (24). We were able to confirm that Leuprolide exerts a dose-dependent inhibitory effect on DU 145 cell proliferation, being significantly effective in the range of doses 10⁻⁸-10⁻⁶ M (Fig. 1A). On the basis of these results, the dose of 10⁻⁶ M was selected for all subsequent experiments. This dose corresponds to that of another GnRH agonist (Zoladex)
previously utilized in our laboratory to investigate the mechanisms of the antiproliferative action of GnRH agonists on DU 145 cells (10). These data are also in agreement with those previously reported with Leuprolide on other prostate cancer cell lines, both androgen-dependent and androgen-independent (23,31). Similarly, Sica and coworkers have demonstrated that Leuprolide counteracts the mitogenic activity of EGF on androgen-independent PC3 prostate cancer cells (32).

The effects of Leuprolide on the ability of prostate cancer cells to migrate towards an extracellular matrix ligand (vitronectin, 50 μg/ml) was investigated by means of a haptotactic assay. We observed that, when DU 145 cells (grown in serum-supplemented medium) were pretreated with Leuprolide for 4 days before being seeded in a vitronectin-coated Boyden's chamber, the number of the cells that migrated towards vitronectin was significantly decreased when compared to control cells (Fig. 1B).

Experiments were then performed to verify whether Leuprolide might also affect the invasive behavior of androgen-independent prostate cancer cells. The effects of Leuprolide were evaluated on the ability of DU 145 cells to invade a matrix of reconstituted basement membrane (Matrigel). DU 145 cells spontaneously form aggregates in Matrigel, when prepared by the hanging-drop technique. Fig. 1C (lane 2) shows that DU 145 cells, in serum-supplemented medium, spontaneously leave the aggregates and invade the Matrigel preparation at 4 and 9 days. Treatment of the cells with Leuprolide completely abrogated their migration at the two time intervals considered (Fig. 1C, lane 3).

Leuprolide inhibits the expression and the tyrosine-phosphorylation of IGF-IR. We have previously shown that, in DU 145 prostate cancer cells, the GnRH agonist Zoladex reduces the concentration of IGF-IR as measured by radioreceptor assay as well as the IGF-I-induced phosphorylation of the IGF-IR (10). Experiments were now performed to clarify whether similar effects might be elicited by Leuprolide. By Western blot analysis, we demonstrated that treatment of DU 145 cells with Leuprolide (10⁻⁶ M) substantially reduced the expression of the IGF-IR protein (Fig. 2A). To evaluate whether Leuprolide might also interfere with the phosphorylation of the IGF-IR, DU 145 cells were treated with Leuprolide (10⁻⁶ M) for 1 or 2 h, either in the absence or in the presence of IGF-I (10 nM) during the last 30 min of incubation. Lane 1, controls; lane 2, IGF-I (10 nM); lane 3, Leuprolide (1 h); lane 4, Leuprolide (2 h); lane 5, Leuprolide (1 h) + IGF-I; lane 6, Leuprolide (2 h) + IGF-I. Results from 1 out of 3 experiments performed, are reported.

Leuprolide inhibits Akt phosphorylation induced by IGF-I. We have recently reported that, in DU 145 cells, IGF-I exerts its pro-migratory activity through the PI3-K/Akt signaling pathway (20). Experiments were performed to verify whether Leuprolide might interfere with the phosphorylation of the Akt kinase induced by IGF-I. DU 145 cells, in serum-free medium, were treated with Leuprolide (10⁻⁶ M) for 1 or 2 h,
either in the absence or in the presence of IGF-I (10 nM) during the last 30 min of incubation. The level of Akt phosphorylation was determined by Western blot analysis using a phospho-Akt specific antibody. Fig. 3 (lane 1) shows that, in basal conditions, DU 145 cells express undetectable levels of phosphorylated Akt, confirming that, in the absence of serum, autocrine IGF-I is not sufficient to activate its receptor and the downstream signaling pathway. IGF-I induced a significant increase of phosphorylation of the protein (Fig. 3, lane 2), while Leuprolide was completely devoid of effect when given alone (Fig. 3, lanes 3 and 4 vs. lane 1). Pretreatment of the cells with the GnRH agonist substantially counteracted the IGF-I-dependent phosphorylation of Akt at both time intervals considered (Fig 3, lanes 5 and 6). These effects were specific since the level of expression of the unphosphorylated protein was found to be constant throughout the experiments (Fig 3).

Leuprolide counteracts the pro-migratory activity of IGF-I. We have recently shown that IGF-I (10 nM) stimulates the migration of DU 145 cells, and that this effect is accompanied by a rearrangement of the cytoskeleton components as well as by a change in cell morphology (as revealed by scanning electron microscopy) and in integrin expression (20). Experiments were then performed to clarify whether Leuprolide (10^5 M) might decrease the migratory properties of prostate cancer cells by interfering with the activity of IGF-I. DU 145 cells were treated with Leuprolide for 4 days, either alone or in the presence of IGF-I during the last 24 h of treatment. Cells were then seeded in the upper compartment of a Boyden’s chamber for the haptotactic assay. As expected, IGF-I significantly promoted the migration of DU 145 cells (in serum-free conditions) towards vitronectin. Leuprolide, when given alone, did not affect cell migration; on the other hand, the GnRH agonist significantly prevented the pro-migratory effect of IGF-I (Fig. 4A). Similar results were obtained with the androgen-independent prostate cancer cell line PC3 (Fig. 4B).

Leuprolide counteracts the pro-invasive activity of IGF-I. Grzmil and coworkers (19) have recently reported that IGF-I increases the invasive behavior of androgen-independent PC3 prostate cancer cells. Experiments were performed to verify whether Leuprolide might counteract the pro-invasive activity of IGF-I on DU 145 cells. Using the Matrigel invasion chamber, we found that IGF-I significantly increased the ability of the cells to invade the Matrigel preparation and to migrate towards the lower surface of the inserts. Leuprolide, given alone, had no effect; however, the GnRH agonist completely counteracted the pro-invasive activity of IGF-I (Fig. 5, upper and lower panels).

Effects of Leuprolide on IGF-I-modulated cell morphology, cytoskeleton organization and αvβ3 integrin expression. The metastatic behavior of tumor cells is usually associated with
changes in cell morphology, rearrangements of cytoskeletal proteins, as well as alterations of the expression of cell adhesion molecules, such as integrins. Scanning electron microscopy was performed on DU 145 cells treated with IGF-I and Leuprolide, either alone or in combination, for 30 min. (A) Untreated controls; (B) IGF-I; (C) Leuprolide; (D) IGF-I + Leuprolide. Results from 1 out of 2 experiments performed, are reported. The IGF-I-induced formation and extension of lamellipodia is counteracted by the GnRH agonist. Scale bar, 10 μm.

Immunofluorescence staining was then performed to clarify whether Leuprolide might affect the IGF-I-induced rearrangement of cytoskeletal components (actin and tubulin) in prostate cancer cells. Fig. 7, confirming our previous observations (20), shows that in DU 145 cells, IGF-I induced a change of cell morphology, with acquisition of lamellipodia-like protrusions and a redistribution of the actin cytoskeleton as shown by an increased intensity of the fluorescence in peripheral areas below the cell membrane and along the leading edge of lamellipodia. These effects are counteracted by Leuprolide. Neither IGF-I nor Leuprolide, either alone or in combination, affected tubulin immunofluorescence. Scale bar, 16 μm.
and an actin cytoskeletal organization similar to that of control cells (Fig. 7). Neither IGF-I nor Leuprolide, given alone or in combination, modified immunofluorescence staining for tubulin in DU 145 cells (Fig. 7).

We have recently reported that, in DU 145 cells, IGF-I increases the expression of αvβ3 integrin as evaluated both by immunofluorescence and biotinylation/immunoprecipitation followed by Western blot analysis (20). Herein, we studied whether Leuprolide might counteract the action of IGF-I. First of all, Fig. 8A confirms that, in IGF-I-treated cells, the staining of αvβ3 fluorescence is increased vs. controls (Fig. 8A, lane 2 vs. lane 1), with a clear localization of the integrin at the cell membrane. Leuprolide, given alone (Fig. 8A, lane 3), did not affect either the intensity of the fluorescence or the cellular localization of αvβ3. On the other hand, the effect of IGF-I was clearly abolished by the co-treatment of the cells with the GnRH agonist (Fig. 8A, lane 4 vs. lane 2).

These observations were further confirmed at the protein level. By biotinylation, immunoprecipitation and Western blotting (Fig. 8B) we were able to show that, as expected, αvβ3 protein levels increased after IGF-I treatment (Fig. 8B, lane 2). Leuprolide, given alone, did not affect integrin expression (Fig. 8B, lane 3); however, the GnRH agonist substantially antagonized the effect of the growth factor (Fig. 8B, lane 4).

Discussion

In this study, we have shown that the GnRH agonist Leuprolide reduces both the motility and the invasive behavior of androgen-independent prostate cancer cells. To investigate the possible mechanisms of this anti-metastatic action, we first analyzed whether the GnRH agonist might interfere with the activity of the growth factor IGF-I.

In a recent study, we have shown that IGF-I, through the activation of its specific receptor, exerts a significant pro-migratory activity on androgen-independent prostate cancer cells (DU 145 and PC3); this effect is mediated by the PI3-K/Akt signaling pathway (20). Moreover, the pro-migratory activity of IGF-I on DU 145 cells is accompanied by a change in cell morphology (induction of lamellipodia) and in actin cytoskeleton organization (20). IGF-I also acts by increasing the expression/activity of the αvβ3 integrin dimer (20), an integrin whose expression has previously been demonstrated to correlate with the aggressiveness and invasiveness of prostate cancer cells (28). The observation that IGF-I promotes the metastatic ability of androgen-independent prostate cancer cells is also underlined by Grzmil and coworkers (19) who have shown that IGF-I increases the invasive activity of PC3 cells.

In the present study, we have demonstrated that, in androgen-independent prostate cancer cells, Leuprolide reduces both the expression and the tyrosine phosphorylation of the IGF-I receptor, as previously shown for other GnRH agonists (10); in these cells, Leuprolide also substantially counteracts the IGF-I-induced phosphorylation of the Akt kinase. These data indicate that, in androgen-independent prostate cancer cells, GnRH agonists interfere with the IGF-I system in terms of both IGF-IR expression and activation. Moreover, we demonstrated that Leuprolide neutralizes the pro-migratory and pro-invasive activity of IGF-I by counteracting the effects of this growth factor on cell morphology, actin cytoskeleton organization and αvβ3 integrin expression. It is clear from these results that, in DU 145 cells, GnRH agonists may exert their anti-metastatic activity by eliciting both very early events (IGF-IR phosphorylation, Akt phosphorylation, changes of cell morphology, actin/integrin intracellular redistribution) as well as events that require a longer time before appearing (IGF-IR expression, changes in cell motility/invasion, integrin expression). Since the appearance of the early events elicited by Leuprolide only needs changes in the activity of specific pre-existing proteins, we believe that these events are likely mediated by the activation of the cAMP signal transduction pathway which also facilitates the translocation to the plasma membrane of a protein phosphatase (33-35). On the other hand, a longer time, and consequently a prolonged treatment with the GnRH agonist, might be required in order to induce more complex events, such as proliferation/migration/invasion, which obviously require de novo protein synthesis.
In line with the data reported herein, Gnanapragasam and coworkers (36) have shown that the GnRH agonist Buserelin substantially counteracts the pro-invasive effects of the fibroblast growth factor (FGF) on DU 145 cells. Moreover, Dondi and coworkers (31) have reported that Leuprolide exerts an anti-metastatic activity on androgen-independent prostate cancer cells, through the inhibition of the urokinase plasminogen activator (uPA) system.

However, the results seem to diverge, at least partially, from those recently published by Enomoto and coworkers (37). These authors have studied the effects of GnRH and of the second form of GnRH recently found in mammals (GnRH-II) (38-41) on the motility of two prostate cancer cell lines (TSU-Pr1 and DU 145), by utilizing a modified Boyden's chamber. They have reported that GnRH does not affect the migration of either TSU-Pr1 or DU 145 cells when the peptide is placed in the lower compartment of the chamber as a chemo-attractant. On the other hand, the addition of GnRH to the cells in the upper compartment appears able to modulate cell migration (inhibiting it in DU 145 cells, and, on the contrary, being stimulatory in TSU-Pr1 cells) when the conditioned medium of the same cell line is placed in the lower compartment. Similar results have been reported by these authors for GnRH-II. The reasons for the discrepancy between the data by Enomoto and coworkers (37) and those reported in the present study probably reside in the many differences encountered in the methodology applied. First of all, the migration assay, based on the Boyden's chamber technique, has been developed in different experimental conditions. In our studies, the ability of the GnRH agonist to affect cell motility has been evaluated by means of a haptotactic assay, using vitronectin as the chemoattractant. Enomoto and coworkers, in their first series of experiments, used an assay where GnRH and GnRH-II, placed in the lower compartment of the chamber, would act as the chemoattractant. In a subsequent experiment, GnRHs were able to affect the motility of the cells (in opposite fashions) when added in the upper compartment of the chamber and when the conditioned media of the same cells were placed as chemoattractants in the lower compartment. A more important difference resides in the fact that Enomoto and coworkers used native GnRHs rather than GnRH analogs. It is known that the half-life of GnRHs is very short (in the range of minutes) and, consequently, it is expected that very little, if any, GnRHs might be present at the end of the experiment (hours). This obviously does not happen with GnRH analogs. Finally, we have shown that Leuprolide decreases the migration of both DU 145 and PC3 cell lines, which are well studied and are usually considered the best example of androgen-independent cells. On the other hand, Enomoto and coworkers, while confirming an anti-migratory activity of GnRHs on DU 145 cells which is comparable to that presented herein, reported an opposite effect of the peptides on the TSU-Pr1 cell line, which is another experimental model of androgen-independent prostate cancer. These authors speculate that the opposite effects of GnRH on the migration of TSU-Pr1 and DU 145 cells are linked to different types of GnRH receptors which might be present on the two cell lines.

In support to our observations, an anti-metastatic activity for GnRH agonists has been previously reported also for cancers not related to the reproductive system, such as melanoma (42) and epidermoid carcinoma (43), expressing the GnRH receptor. Moreover, GnRH agonists have been consistently shown to be inhibitory on the proliferation of both hormone-related (breast, endometrium, ovary, prostate) (34,44-49) and hormone-unrelated cancer cells (42,43).

The results shown in the present study, such as those by Gnanapragasam and coworkers (36) indicate that GnRH agonists inhibit the metastatic behavior of prostate cancer cells, at least partially, by interfering with the stimulatory action of growth factors. This conclusion also seems to be supported by Yates and coworkers (50), who reported that the GnRH antagonist Cetrorelix reduces the invasive profile of DU 145 cells engineered to overexpress the epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor. To this purpose, it must be noted that Cetrorelix behaves as an antagonist at the GnRH receptor on pituitary gonadotropes and as an agonist at the same receptor on cancer cells (51-55).

In a previous study, we have shown that GnRH agonists reduce the growth of DU 145 cells by interfering with the mitogenic activity of the IGF-I system; these compounds act, as reported also in this study, by decreasing the concentration of IGF-I binding sites and by counteracting the tyrosine phosphorylation of these receptors induced by IGF-I (10). These observations, taken together with those presented in this study, indicate that, in androgen-independent prostate cancer cells, GnRH agonists might exert a direct antitumor effect (by reducing both the proliferation and the metastatic behavior of the cells) acting, at least partially, by interfering with the activity of the growth factor IGF-I.

As it is very well known, GnRH agonists are widely and successfully used for the treatment of androgen-dependent prostate carcinoma, on the basis of their ability to suppress the function of the pituitary-gonadal axis (2). The present data strongly suggest that these compounds might be considered also as a possible treatment for the most advanced hormone-resistant prostate carcinoma. Also the recent study by Gnanapragasam et al (36), based on clinical observations, supports this hypothesis. In this study, prostate cancer patients expressing high levels of GnRH receptors in the tumoral tissue, were divided into two groups of treatments: GnRH agonist therapy or surgical castration. The authors report that patients who received GnRH agonists had a longer survival than those who were surgically castrated. The authors propose an interesting hypothesis to explain the direct antitumor activity exerted by GnRH agonists in prostate cancer. According to this hypothesis, the direct antitumor activity of these compounds might not be apparent in the androgen-dependent phase of the pathology since this is masked by the indirect inhibition induced by the suppression of testosterone secretion. On the contrary, in androgen-independent prostate cancers which express high levels of GnRH receptors, GnRH agonists may exert their "pure" direct anti-mitogenic and anti-metastatic activities which will be associated with a prolongation of the life of the patient.

A general practical conclusion which may be derived from the present study is that the level of expression of GnRH receptors in prostate cancers might represent a novel molecular...
marker able to help in deciding whether the treatment with GnRH analogs might be useful also in the more advanced phase of pathology.
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