Abstract. CCN1 plays diverse roles in cellular proliferation, survival, migration and angiogenesis. We determined the relationship between CCN1 protein expression and clinical factors that are important for the classification of breast cancer. CCN1 contains four functional domains; the contribution of each of the structural domains to the biological properties of CCN1 in breast cancer was investigated. We performed immunohistochemistry for CCN1 on a breast cancer tissue array, and conducted a detailed statistical analysis on the relationship between CCN1 protein expression and clinical factors that are important for the classification of breast cancer. The structure-function relationship was examined using four mutant constructs in which one of the modules (DM1-DM4) had been deleted. MCF-7 breast cancer cells were stably transfected with these constructs and their biological activity was tested in comparison to full-length CCN1. Staining of CCN1 in tumors was positively correlated with AJCC disease stage. A strong association also was found between lymph node involvement and high CCN1 expression in patients with invasive breast cancer; there was a significant increase in the breast cancer expression of CCN1 in patients with positive lymph nodes (P=0.004), and the levels of CCN1 correlated with the number of positive lymph nodes (P=0.0006). Deletion of module 4 rendered CCN1 unable to either bind heparin or associate with the extracellular matrix. Furthermore, MCF-7/DM4 cells demonstrated reduced cell spreading, migration and proliferation, indicating that module 4 of the protein is important for its ability to promote these activities. These findings indicate that CCN1 is involved throughout the clinical progression of breast cancer to an invasive phenotype. The multimodular structure of CCN1 enables it to fulfill multiple functions that may contribute to the different stages of cancer development, raising the prospect that specific regions of CCN1 could be targeted for therapeutic benefit to inhibit particular aspects of malignancy in breast cancer.

Introduction

The CCN1 gene encodes a matricellular protein that belongs to the CCN family (1,2), comprising CCN1/Cyr61; CCN2/CTGF (connective tissue growth factor); CCN3/NOV (nephroblastoma overexpressed); and CCN4-6/WISP1-3 (Wnt-inducible secreted proteins). CCN1 plays diverse roles in cellular proliferation, survival, differentiation, adhesion and migration, as well as regulation of chemotaxis and angiogenesis (3,4). Dysregulation of these processes is a common feature of tumorigenesis and cancer progression, associated with inappropriate expression of CCN1 in a variety of cancers. CCN1 has a complex role in tumorigenesis, demonstrating divergent functions and expression profiles in cancers from different tissues (5). For example, studies in our laboratory have detected high expression of CCN1 in breast cancers that were associated with increased tumorigenicity, but low expression in lung cancers where it was found to reduce cellular proliferation (6,7). The wide-ranging and often conflicting effects of CCN1 and other family members have been attributed in part to their multimodular structure, which enables different regions of the protein to fulfill distinct functions (8). In this regard, an N-terminal cleavage product of CCN1 produced by breast cancer cells has been found to stimulate endothelial cell proliferation (9).

CCNs are a conserved family of proteins containing an N-terminal signal peptide, followed by up to four functional domains that each share sequence similarities with other protein motifs (10). Thus, module 1 is termed the insulin-like growth factor binding (IGFBP) domain, module 2 the von Willebrand factor type C (VWC) domain, module 3 the thrombospondin type 1 (TSP) domain and module 4 contains the C-terminal growth factor cysteine knot (CT) domain. Each of the four modules is thought to act both independently and interdependently (8). Supporting this hypothesis are observations that binding partners of CCN1 interact with...
sites in different regions of the protein, such that module 2 contains binding sites for integrin αβ (11), module 3 binds integrin αβ, and binding sites for αβ, heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs) and integrin αβ are located in module 4 (13,14).

Integrin activation has been shown to play an important role in the development and progression of breast cancer, achieved through intracellular signaling via activation of downstream kinases such as ILK and MAPK (15-17). Over-expression of CCN1 in MCF-7 breast cancer cells leads to up-regulation of MAPK and NFκB signaling in an integrin αβ-dependent manner, promoting cell survival and chemoresistance (18,19). The diverse functions of CCN1 suggest that it may play a role at multiple stages of breast cancer, and elevated expression of CCN1 mRNA expression has been demonstrated in highly aggressive breast cancer cell lines and in samples from patients with more advanced stages of malignancy (6,20-22). Overexpression of CCN1 in breast cancer cell lines promotes their cell growth, migration and in vivo angiogenesis (6,23). Furthermore, CCN1 is thought to be an essential mediator of estrogen and progesterone-stimulated growth of breast cancer cells (21,24).

Previous studies have monitored transcript levels to examine the relationship between CCN1 expression and clinical factors (22,25). The present study uses immunohistochemistry to determine protein levels of CCN1 in a tissue array of 168 patient samples. We describe a strong relationship between CCN1 protein expression and progression of breast cancer to lymph nodes involvement, which is associated with a worse prognosis. Furthermore, by expressing deletion mutants of CCN1 in MCF-7 cells, we have been able to show that the CT domain is important for the ability of the protein to promote migration and proliferation of breast cancer cells. These findings provide novel information on the functionality of the different modular domains of CCN1, and offer the possibility that targeting specific regions of CCN1 may have therapeutic implications for the treatment of breast cancer.

Materials and methods

Patient samples and immunohistochemistry. Tissue microarrays (TMAs) were obtained from the Cooperative Breast Cancer Tissue Resource (CBCTR) at the National Cancer Institute (NCI) (http://cbctr.nci.nih.gov/tma.html). TMA blocks consist of 288 cores taken from paraffin-embedded specimens that represent 252 breast cancer and normal breast specimens, plus 36 controls consisting of cell lines and normal non-breast tissue. The 252 normal breast and cancer cell lines promote their cell growth, migration and normal non-breast tissue. The 252 normal breast and cancer cell lines promote their cell growth, migration and.

Immunochemistry staining for CCN1 was performed using a polyclonal antibody (H-78, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA). Heat-induced epitope retrieval was done with a pressure cooker and Tris buffer (pH 9.0) for 2 min. Staining was visualized with Dako Envision (Dako, Carpinteria, CA) conjugated to horseradish peroxidase followed by the diaminobenzidine reaction. Negative controls consisted of substitution of the primary antiserum with normal rabbit serum at the same dilution. Staining intensity was quantified using either integrated optical density or microscopic examination. Integrated optical density was quantified using Metamorph software (Universal Imaging) from images captured using a dual Xeon computer (Windows XP) and an Insight (Spot) 4-megapixel color CCD camera. Microscopic examination was performed by 2 pathologists and all samples were blinded. The staining intensity was scored on a scale of negative to strong as follows: 0=0% of reactive cells with the score of 0; 1, 1-25% of reactive cells with score 1; 2, 25-50% of reactive cells with score 2; and 3, >50% of reactive cells with score 3.

Statistical analysis. Specimens from which it was not possible to obtain data for the integrated OD measurements were excluded from the analysis. Spearman correlation coefficients were used to correlate the levels of CCN1 with the tumor size, American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) stage, number of positive lymph nodes and age of the patients. The ER status, PR status, T-, N- and M-stage were compared using t-tests and Wilcoxon rank sum tests. A P-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Cell culture. Breast cancer cell line MCF-7 was obtained from the American Type Tissue Culture Collection (Rockville, MD). Cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Life Technologies, Inc.) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Gemini Bio-Products, Calabasas, CA), 10 units/ml penicillin-streptomycin (Life Technologies) and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2. Deletion mutants of CCN1 were generated by PCR amplification using full-length CCN1 cDNA as a template. Fragments were cloned into pcDNA3.1 vector (Invitrogen) and MCF-7 cells were stably transfected with the constructs as described previously (6).

Cell proliferation assay. Cells (100 μl) were placed into 96-well plates at 1x104 cells per well. After incubation for 96 h, cell number was assessed by the MTT assay (Sigma). MTT is a water-soluble yellow salt that is catalyzed to an insoluble purple formazan by active mitochondrial enzymes of viable cells. The amount of purple crystals converted from MTT is proportional to the number of viable cells. MTT solution (10 μl) (5 mg/ml in PBS) was added to each well for 4 h, then all media were carefully aspirated from the crystals. DMSO (100 μl) was added to each well and plates were read at 540 nm with a plate reader.

Adhesion and cell spreading assay. The ECM proteins, collagen (type I), fibronectin and vitronectin were obtained from Sigma and diluted to a concentration of 50 μg/ml in PBS for use in adhesion and cell spreading assays. Wells of 96-well plates were coated with 35 μl of solution overnight at 4°C. Subsequently, wells were washed with PBS and blocked with 2.5% BSA in PBS for 2 h at room temperature. Cells cultured overnight in serum-free DMEM were detached from the culture dish by incubation with PBS containing 50 mM EDTA. Cells were resuspended in serum-free media with 0.5% bovine serum albumin and added to wells at a concentration 1x103 cells/well. After 30 min unattached cells were aspirated, and attached cells were washed twice with PBS and stained.
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with 0.25% crystal violet in 50% methanol for 15 min. Stained cells were photographed and dissolved in DMSO for quantitation in an ELISA plate reader at OD 540 nm.

Wounding and Boyden chamber assays for measurement of cell migration. For wounding assays, cells were grown to confluence and serum-starved overnight. Cells were cut with a sterile razor and images were captured at a fixed point along the cut surface. Boyden chambers (Nunc, Rochester NY) with 8 μm pore size were coated on the underside of the membrane with 50 μg/ml collagen for 1 h at room temperature. Cells were prepared as described for adhesion assays, and 2x10⁵ cells in 300 μl serum-free media were added to the top of each chamber with the lower chamber containing 300 μl of serum-free medium plus 0.5% BSA. Chambers were placed in 24-well plates, and cells were allowed to migrate to the underside of the top chamber for 12 h. The migratory cells that attached to the bottom surface of the membrane were stained with 0.25% crystal violet in 50% methanol for 15 min. The stained cells were extracted with 10% acetic acid. The number of migratory cells per membrane was determined by absorbance at 550 nm.

Western blotting and in vitro kinase assay. Protein from cells harvested for Western blotting was extracted with RIPA buffer (1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Molecular Biochemicals). Protein lysates (40 μg) were boiled in Laemmli sample buffer (Bio-Rad), resolved by electrophoresis on 4-15% SDS-polyacrylamide gels and transferred to PVDF membranes. Membranes were probed with antibodies (Santa Cruz) and developed using the enhanced chemiluminescence kit (Pierce). For heparin-pull down experiments, cells were lysed in IP Buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40), and 500 μg of protein was incubated with rotation at 4˚C overnight with heparin-agarose beads (Sigma). Beads were washed 3 times in PBS, boiled in Laemmli sample buffer and subject to Western blotting as described above. To extract proteins from the ECM, cells were first detached from the culture dish with PBS containing 50 mM EDTA. Dishes were then washed with PBS and the ECM left behind was extracted by incubating with Laemmli sample buffer at 90˚C for 5 min. For in vitro kinase assays, immunoprecipitated proteins on beads were washed twice with lysis buffer and twice with kinase buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 10 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM MnCl₂, 1 mM DTT). The beads were resuspended in 40 μl of kinase buffer containing 2 μg of myelin basic protein, 10 mM ATP and 5 mM [γ-³²P]-ATP. Labeled substrate was separated from free [γ-³²P]-ATP by 4-15% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. The gel was dried and exposed to X-ray film.

Immunofluorescence. Cells grown on coverslips were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, washed three times with PBS, and subsequently permeabilized in blocking buffer (0.6% Tween, 1% bovine serum albumin in PBS) for 20 min at room temperature. Coverslips were incubated with 1 μg/ml primary antibody in blocking buffer overnight at 4˚C, then washed with PBS and incubated with biotinylated secondary antibody (Dako) in blocking buffer for 30 min. The coverslips were washed with PBS and incubated with a 5% solution of RPE-avidin (Dako) before being mounted, and staining was visualized with a fluorescent microscope.

Results

CCN1 protein expression in breast tumors and relationship with clinical factors. Previous studies have demonstrated increased CCN1 mRNA expression in breast cancer compared to normal breast tissue. We performed a detailed statistical analysis to analyze carefully the relationship between CCN1 protein expression and clinical factors that are important for the classification of breast cancer. Tissue arrays containing samples from breast cancer and normal breast were immuno-
stained with an antibody recognizing CCN1. A total of 168 breast specimens were included in the analysis: 9 normal, 8 DCIS, 47 invasive node negative, 53 invasive node positive and 51 invasive with distant metastasis.

Only weak CCN1 staining could be found in normal epithelial cells. In contrast, tumor samples contained epithelial cells with prominent cytoplasmic staining (Fig. 1a). Staining intensity was assessed using both integrated OD measurements and by microscopic examination scoring from 0 to 3. Staining levels of CCN1 were increased at all AJCC stages of disease in comparison to normal when scored by microscopic examination (Fig. 1c). The AJCC stage I grouping consists of patients with DCIS, which is characterized by proliferation of epithelial cells with no evidence of invasion through the basement membrane into the stroma. DCIS patients have a 8-10-fold greater risk of invasive breast cancer compared with women with no carcinoma. CCN1 expression was also elevated in invasive breast cancer, with scores higher than normal in AJCC stages II-IV (Fig. 1c). Moreover, the CCN1-positive score correlated with the AJCC stage of disease (Spearman correlation coefficient = 0.22; P=0.0046) (Table I). Therefore, CCN1 expression can be detected at the early, proliferative stages of breast cancer, and is sustained throughout the time that the tumor invades the stroma, when lymph node involvement occurs, and when progression to distant metastasis happens.

In order to investigate the relationship between clinical factors and pathological measurements, further statistical analysis was conducted using samples from patients with invasive carcinoma (data shown in Table I). A significant correlation occurs between the CCN1 levels and the size of the tumor (Spearman correlation coefficient = 0.29;
A strong association also was found between lymph node involvement and high CCN1 expression. Using Wilcoxon rank sum test, a significant increase in the CCN1-positive score occurred in patients with positive lymph nodes (P=0.004). Furthermore, the levels of CCN1 correlated with the number of positive lymph nodes (Spearman correlation coefficient = 0.31; P=0.0006). CCN1 levels were neither correlated with ER or PR status nor age (Table I and data not shown).

These results indicate that CCN1 expression in breast cancer is associated with an invasive phenotype and progression of the disease. Overexpression of CCN1 in breast cancer cell lines has been shown to promote their proliferation and migration in vitro and in vivo. We next conducted a structure-function analysis utilizing the modular structure of CCN1 to determine the regions of the protein that are responsible for these effects.

Expression and localization of CCN1 deletion mutants in MCF-7 cells. To investigate the contribution of each of the structural domains to the biological properties of CCN1 in breast cancer, we generated four mutant constructs in which one of the modules (DM1-DM4) had been deleted (Fig. 2a). MCF-7 cells were stably transfected with these constructs, and a CCN1 antibody that recognizes the middle region of the protein could detect the presence of each of the mutants by Western blot (Fig. 2b). Characteristic doublets of protein bands were detected, as previously observed by other investigators (26). Amino-truncated variants of CCN3 have been shown to localize in the nucleus of cell lines (27). However, immunofluorescence analysis showed that deletion of any of the individual modules of CCN1 did not alter the cytoplasmic localization of the protein (Fig. 2c). Module 4 of CCN1 contains 2 heparin-binding domains that are required for its heparin-binding activity. Accordingly, deletion of module 4 of CCN1 prevented pull down of CCN1 by heparin-agarose beads (Fig. 2d). All mutant forms of CCN1 could be detected in the conditioned media of transfected MCF-7 cells, indicating that deletion of individual modules did not prevent their ability to follow the secretory pathway. However, module 4 was found to be important for the association of CCN1 with the ECM, as CCN1 missing this domain could not be detected in the ECM produced by MCF-7 cells (Fig. 2e).

CCN1 with deletion of module 4 is unable to promote MCF-7 cell adhesion, cell spreading, migration or proliferation. Cell motility during metastasis requires ECM attachment and cell spreading. Overexpression of full-length CCN1 promoted MCF-7 cell adhesion to both collagen I- and fibronectin-coated culture dishes compared to control cells (Fig. 3a). However, the adhesion of MCF-7/DM4 cells to these matrix proteins was diminished; it remained at control levels for adhesion to collagen, and was reduced to below levels found with MCF-7/Vec cells for adhesion to fibronectin (Fig. 3a). Deletion of modules 1-3 had no effect on the ability of CCN1 to promote adhesion to either substrate.

Spreading of MCF-7/FL cells on fibronectin could clearly be seen after 30-min incubation, with the appearance of pseudopodia-like processes extending from the cells (Fig. 3b). In contrast, both MCF-7/Vec and MCF-7/DM4 cells retained a rounded morphology under these conditions. Taken together, the observations raise the possibility that CCN1 binding to heparan sulfate proteoglycans in the ECM is important for its ability to promote cell-ECM interactions.

Forced expression of full-length CCN1 in MCF-7 cells increases their ability to migrate (6,23). We used monolayer wounding assays and Boyden chamber assays to investigate which regions of CCN1 are involved in promoting MCF-7 cell migration. MCF-7/Vec cells migrated poorly in a wound assay (Fig. 4a). Overexpression of FL-CCN1 increased the ability of MCF-7 cells to migrate across the wounded area, as...
did overexpression of DM1 and DM3. In contrast, MCF-7 cells expressing DM2 and DM4 behaved similarly to control

Figure 3. Deletion of module 4 reduces the ability of CCN1 to promote MCF-7 cell spreading and attachment on the ECM. (a) Serum-starved MCF-7 cells were detached with PBS-EDTA and resuspended in media with 0.5% BSA. The mixture was added to either collagen-coated or fibronectin-coated plates and incubated for 30 min. Attached cells were assessed by crystal violet staining. Each bar represents the mean ± SE of triplicate experiments. (b) Representative phase-contrast micrographs show the morphology of serum-starved MCF-7 cells seeded onto fibronectin-coated plates for 30 min. Spreading of MCF-7/FL cells on fibronectin could clearly be seen after 30-min incubation, with the appearance of pseudopodia-like processes extending from the cells. In contrast, both MCF-7/Vec and MCF-7/DM4 cells retained a rounded morphology under these conditions. Pictures were taken at x40 magnification and are representative of two experiments.

Figure 4. Deletion of modules 2 and 4 reduces the ability of CCN1 to promote migration of MCF-7 cells. (a) Confluent layers were wounded in serum-free media and cells were monitored for their ability to migrate across the cut line. Images were taken after 24-incubation. (b) Cells (2x10^5) were placed into collagen-coated Boyden chambers. Cells were allowed to migrate for 12 h and the number of cells that migrated through the membrane was determined by absorbance at 540 nm. Each bar represents the mean ± SE of triplicate experiments. *P<0.05 vs. MCF-7/FL.

cells. Comparable results were found using Boyden chamber assays (Fig. 4b). MCF-7/FL cells demonstrated enhanced migration in comparison to controls, and this enhancement was significantly decreased in MCF-7/DM2 and MCF-7/DM4 cells (P<0.05). Taken together, these results indicate that modules 2 and module 4 of Cyr61, play key roles in its ability to promote a metastatic phenotype in breast cancer.

As reported previously, MCF-7 cells expressing full-length CCN1 (MCF-7/CCN1) proliferated more rapidly than
those expressing empty vector (MCF-7/Vec) control cells (P<0.05). When either module 1 or module 2 was deleted, this enhanced proliferative rate in comparison to controls was maintained (P<0.05). In contrast, the growth of MCF-7/DM3 and MCF-7/DM4 cells was reduced to the level of MCF-7/Vec cells, indicating a role for these regions in contributing to the growth-promoting effects of CCN1 in breast cancer.

Cyr61-transfected MCF-7 cells overexpress integrins and have increased ILK and ERK activity. An increasing number of integrins have been identified as receptors for secreted Cyr61 (28). Therefore, we examined the mRNA expression of integrin subunits in MCF-7/Vec and MCF-7/CCN1 cells using the RNAse protection assay. Expression of integrin subunits was generally higher in MCF-7/CCN1 cells compared to MCF-7/Vec cells (Fig. 6a). Interestingly, levels of integrin subunits αv, α2, α6 and β3 were markedly increased when compared to controls, which is notable because of the ability of Cyr61 to interact with integrins αvβ3, αIIbβ3, αvβ5 and α6β1. ILK binds to the cytoplasmic domain of β1 and β3 subunits, and can promote growth in response to integrin activation. We analyzed the expression of ILK in MCF-7 cells, and determined its activity using myelin basic protein as a substrate. No difference in ILK protein levels existed between MCF-7/Vec and MCF-7/CCN1 cells (Fig. 6b). However, MCF-7/CCN1 cells possessed greater ILK activity than their control counterparts (Fig. 6b).

Integrin ligation and activation promotes cell motility, partly through up-regulation of the Ras-ERK pathway. We examined the phosphorylation status of ERK under conditions in which MCF-7/FL cells show enhanced motility (Fig. 6c). Levels of phosphorylated ERK were greatly increased in MCF-7/FL compared to MCF-7/Vec cells in both untreated and collagen-stimulated conditions. Phosphorylation of ERK was stimulated by plating MCF-7/Vec cells onto collagen; but for MCF-7/FL cells, no further increase phosphorylated ERK occurred beyond the high levels found in these untreated cells. Differences in phosphorylation of AKT were not observed, suggesting that migration stimulated by CCN1 in MCF-7 cells is associated with specific up-regulation of the ERK pathway.

Discussion

Tumor initiation and subsequent metastasis to distant sites is a multistep process controlled in part by changes occurring in the tissue microenvironment, including elevation of particular hormones and growth factors, decreased oxygen concentrations and alterations in matrix composition (29,30). Many of these signals up-regulate CCN1 expression, implicating CCN1 at multiple stages of cancer progression. In the current study, we have demonstrated that CCN1 protein is found at higher levels in breast cancer samples compared to non-tumor tissue. Statistical analysis revealed a significant correlation between CCN1 protein levels and tumor size, stage of disease and lymph node involvement. These findings are in concordance with previous studies in which mRNA levels were analyzed (6,22,25). Other investigators have demonstrated increased protein expression of CCN1 in breast cancer.
CCN1 is an angiogenic factor that can promote cellular proliferation, survival, adhesion and migration. Therefore, it might also be expected to be involved during progression of breast cancer to a more advanced state, as demonstrated by the high expression levels found at AJCC stages 2-4. The potential involvement of clinical metastasis was further validated by the finding that CCN1 protein was increased in lymph node positive cancer and that increased levels of CCN1 were correlated with an increased number of positive lymph nodes. Overexpression of CCN1 in MCF-7 breast cancer cells has previously been shown to promote a metastatic phenotype in vitro and in vivo (6,23). One way in which CCN1 might enhance metastasis is by up-regulation of integrin receptors and their downstream signaling pathways. Forced expression of CCN1 leads to increased cell surface expression of integrin αvβ3 (19,31). Here, we show that multiple integrin subunits are up-regulated in response to CCN1 overexpression, including αv, α5, α6, and β3, which make up several known receptors for CCN1: integrins αvβ6, αvβ5, αvβ3, and αvβ1 (14,33,32,33). Increased expression and activation of integrin receptors has been demonstrated in breast cancer and is associated with tumor metastasis (16,34,35).

In addition, MMTV-transgenic murine studies have shown that overexpression of the integrin signaling effector ILK in breast tissue induces mammary gland hyperplasia and tumor formation (36). The finding that CCN1 up-regulates expression of integrins and stimulates ILK and ERK activity indicates that this pathway mediates the oncogenic effects of CCN1 in breast cancer. Furthermore, it supports the hypothesis that CCN1 overexpression creates an autocrine signaling loop to promote tumorigenesis and cancer progression through up-regulation of its own receptors (19).

We did not find a correlation between both ER- or PR-status and CCN1 protein expression. Conflicting reports exist regarding the relationship between CCN1 expression and ER and PR status. CCN1 is expressed in both ER-positive and ER-negative breast cancer specimens (6,21-23). We have previously found a correlation between CCN1 mRNA levels and ER positivity (6,22). In contrast, other groups have not found a correlation in clinical samples (21,25), and an inverse correlation exists between CCN1 expression and ER positivity in breast cancer cell lines (6,20). In one study, CCN1 expression was correlated with ER positivity only when EGFR was also expressed (21) suggesting that additional factors regulate CCN1 expression in breast cancer. Indeed, CCN1 transcription is induced by multiple factors that promote breast cancer, including estrogen, EGF, hypoxia and TGFβ (6,21,37,38). The reason for the discrepancies found in the above studies is not clear. However, given that loss of ER status is a typical feature of breast cancer progression, and since CCN1 expression is higher in cancers with more advanced features, it is likely that the correlation between CCN1 overexpression and ER status will be restricted to certain subsets of patients.

CCN1 utilization of integrin receptors promotes the activities described in this study that are associated with breast cancer progression, namely increased cellular proliferation and motility. Binding sites for specific integrins have been identified within the different domains of CCN1, which mediate these effects in a cell-type and context-dependent manner. The CT domain contains binding sites for α5β1, αvβ1, and HSPG (13,14). Two consensus binding sites for integrin αvβ3 exist in module 4, mediating both fibroblast adhesion and smooth muscle cell migration (12). Our finding that module 4 is required for the ability of CCN1 to promote cellular adhesion, spreading, and migration of MCF-7 cells is consistent with these data. The functional interaction between CCN1 and integrin αvβ3 is HSPG-dependent, as adhesion to CCN1 peptides containing these sites can be disrupted by either soluble heparin or heparinase treatment of cells. The mutant DM4 was unable to bind heparin and could not be detected in the ECM, suggesting that pro-metastatic effects of CCN1 are dependent on association with HSPG in the ECM. Defective migration was also observed when module 2 containing the VWC domain was deleted from CCN1. This region contains a binding site for integrin αvβ3 that is important for mediating adhesion and migration of endothelial cells (11).

The ability of CCN1 to stimulate proliferation of MCF-7 cells was impaired upon deletion of either of the two C-terminal modules. This is in contrast to a previous report in which a CCN1 mutant that had the CT domain deleted was able to enhance basic fibroblast growth factor-induced DNA synthesis in fibroblasts to a degree similar to wild-type cells (33). However, in this case the mutant CCN1 did not enhance basal levels of proliferation, and many examples concerning the tissue-specific effects of CCN1 and other family members have been described in the literature (5). Accordingly, a 10-kDa C-terminal fragment of CCN2 present in uterine secretions that contained the CT domain was found to be mitogenic for fibroblasts and smooth muscle cells (39). In addition, a C-terminal fragment of CCN2 produced by plasmin cleavage, containing both modules 3 and 4, stimulated DNA synthesis in fibroblasts (26).

These observations offer the possibility that specific regions of CCN1 could be targeted for therapeutic benefit to inhibit particular processes under different circumstances. Results presented here indicate that the CT domain should be targeted to prevent CCN1-mediated stimulation of proliferation and metastasis of breast cancer. Alternatively, results described elsewhere suggest that targeting the N-terminal region might inhibit angiogenesis in CCN1-expressing breast cancers (9). Selective inhibition of either differentiation or proliferation of cultured fibroblasts has been achieved with antibodies raised against either the N-terminal or C-terminal portion of CCN2, respectively, demonstrating the potential of this approach (26). Furthermore, since expression of intact CCN1 is a potential tumor suppressor in lung and endometrial cancers (7,40), targeting identifiable regions of CCN1 to block pro-tumorigenic functions in a tissue-specific manner may reduce the possibility of unwanted side-effects to other organs.
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