Growth and molecular interactions of the anti-EGFR antibody Cetuximab and the DNA cross-linking agent cisplatin in gefitinib-resistant MDA-MB-468 cells: New prospects in the treatment of triple-negative/basal-like breast cancer
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Abstract. Three prominent hallmarks of triple-negative/ basal-like breast carcinomas, a subtype of breast cancer gene phenotype associated with poor relapse-free and overall survival, are overexpression of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), hyperactivation of the MEK/ERK transduction pathway and high sensitivity to DNA-damaging agents. The cytotoxic interaction between EGFR inhibitors (monoclonal antibodies such as Cetuximab and small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors such as gefitinib) and DNA cross-linking agents (e.g. platinum derivatives) might represent a promising combination for the treatment of triple-negative/basal-like breast tumors that are dependent upon EGFR/MEK/ERK signaling. We evaluated the growth and molecular interactions of the anti-EGFR antibody Cetuximab (Erbitux®) and the DNA cross-linking agent cisplatin (cis-diammedichloroplatinum; CDDP) in the gefitinib-resistant MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cell line, an in vitro model system that shows many of the recurrent basal-like molecular abnormalities including ER-PR-HER2-negative status, TP53 deficiency, EGFR overexpression, PTEN loss and constitutive activation of the MEK/ERK pathway. Unlike other basal-like breast cancer models, MDA-MB-468 cells do not carry mutations of the key DNA repair gene BRCA1. Concurrent treatment with sub-optimal doses of Cetuximab significantly enhanced CDDP-induced apoptotic cell death. However, an isobologram-based mathematical assessment of the nature of the interaction revealed a loss of synergism when employing a high-dose of Cetuximab. Since BRCA1 depletion has been found to decrease DNA damage repair and cell survival in MDA-MB-468 cells when treated with DNA-damaging drugs, we employed ELISA-based quantitative analyses to measure BRCA1 protein levels in CDDP+Cetuximab-treated cells. Cetuximab as single agent was as efficient as CDDP at increasing BRCA1 protein expression. Interestingly, Cetuximab co-exposure significantly antagonized the ability of CDDP to up-regulate BRCA1 expression. Low-scale phosphor-proteomic approaches [i.e. phospho-receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) and phospho-mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) Array Proteome Profiler™ capable of simultaneously identifying the relative levels of phosphorylation of 42 different RTKs and 23 different MAPKs and other serine/threonine kinases, respectively] revealed the ability of Cetuximab, as single agent, to paradoxically induce hyper-phosphorylation of EGFR while concomitantly deactivating p42/44 (ERK1/ERK2) MAPK. Unexpectedly, ELISA-based quantitative analyses of EGFR protein content demonstrated that simultaneous exposure to Cetuximab and optimal doses of CDDP completely depleted EGFR protein in MDA-MB-468 cells. Although these findings preclinically support, at least in part, ongoing clinical trials for ‘triple-negative/basal-like’ metastatic breast cancer patients who are receiving either Cetuximab alone versus Cetuximab plus carboplatin (http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct/show/NCT00232505), the unexpected ability of CDDP to promote a complete depletion of the Cetuximab target EGFR further suggests that treatment schedules, Cetuximab/CDDP doses and BRCA1 status should be carefully considered when combining anti-EGFR antibodies and platinum derivatives in triple-negative/basal-like breast carcinomas.

Introduction

Gene expression profiling has revealed that, despite the enormous genetic diversity of breast cancer disease, each breast tumor can be classified into one of four major breast cancer subtypes: luminal [estrogen receptor-positive tumors that express ER-responsive genes and other genes that encode
characteristic proteins of luminal epithelial cells including the progesterone receptor (PR), human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2/ErbB2), which express high levels of genes located in the HER2 amplicon on 17q21, including HER2 and growth factor receptor-bound protein 7 (GRB7)), basal-like [ER-, PR- and HER2-negative (triple-negative) breast tumors expressing genes characteristics of basal epithelial cells and normal breast myoepithelial cells], and normal-breast like (breast tumors that express non-epithelial genes and genes in the basal cluster) (1-5). Basal-like breast carcinomas account for 15-25% of all breast cancer cases and, together with HER2 tumors, they associate with the shortest survival of all four breast cancer subtypes with early relapses within the first five years (6-10). Unfortunately, basal-like do not express ER or HER2 and are typically refractory to endocrine therapy and to trastuzumab (Herceptin®), a humanized monoclonal antibody targeting the extracellular domain of HER2 (11).

New molecular prospects for targeted treatment of basal-like breast cancer tumors have emerged recently. First, high expression of the epidermal growth factor receptor 1 (EGFR/HER1) has been reported in an important sub-set of basal-like breast tumors and, therefore, drugs directed against this receptor (e.g., monoclonal antibodies binding the extracellular ligand-binding domain of EGFR and small molecule inhibiting the intracellular tyrosine kinase domain of EGFR) may represent a promising approach for the treatment of basal-like breast tumors that are dependent upon exacerbated EGFR-signaling (6). Second, the observation that many of the gene products in the basal-like cluster have been linked to MEK/ERK activation suggests that this signaling pathway might have a central role in the pathogenesis of basal-like breast carcinomas (11-13). Moreover, the MEK/ERK pathway may play a more important role in resistance of basal-like breast cancer cells to EGFR inhibitors such as the tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) gefitinib (Iressa®) (14,15). Third, the striking similarities between sporadic basal-like and hereditary BRCA1-related breast carcinomas suggest that a fundamental defect in the BRCA1-regulated DNA repair pathway also occurs in basal-like tumors (16-18). Therefore, new therapeutic strategies combining potential DNA-damaging agents (e.g., DNA cross-linking agents such as platinum derivatives and agents inducing DNA double-strand breaks such as etoposide) and inhibitors of the EGFR/MEK/ERK pathway might be efficacious in the molecular management of basal-like breast carcinomas that are dependent upon EGFR overactivation and/or BRCA1 dysfunction.

We examined the growth and molecular interactions that occur upon concurrent treatment with the anti-EGFR antibody Cetuximab (ICM-C225, Erbitux®) and the alkylating agent cisplatin (CDDP) when employing the MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cell line, an in vitro paradigm of intrinsic resistance to EGFR TKIs that shows many of the recurrent basal-like molecular abnormalities including ER-PR-HER2-negative status, TP53 deficiency, EGFR overexpression, PTEN loss and constitutive activation of the MEK/ERK pathway.

Materials and methods

Reagents. Cetuximab (Erbitux®) and Cisplatin (cis-diaminedichloroplatinum; CDDP) were kindly provided by Hospital Universitari de Girona Dr Josep Trueta Pharmacy (Girona, Spain). Cetuximab was solubilized with 10 mM NaCl of potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.2 in bacteriostatic water for injection purposes (stock solution at 2 mg/ml), stored at 4°C and used within one month. Each ml of sterile, unpreserved solution of ‘Cisplatin Injection’ (stock solution at 1 mg/ml) contained 1.0 mg CDDP with 9 mg NaCl and 1 mg mannitol in water for injection purposes. Hydrochloric acid was added to adjust the pH. For experimental use, Cetuximab and CDDP were prepared freshly from stock solutions and diluted with growth medium. Control cells were cultured in medium containing the same concentration (v/v) as the experimental cultures with treatments. The vehicle solutions had no noticeable influence on the proliferation of experimental cells.

Cell lines and culture conditions. MCF-7, SKBR3 and MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and they were routinely grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Gibco) containing 10% heat-inactivated foetal bovine serum (FBS, Bio-Whittaker), 1% L-glutamine, 1% sodium pyruvate, 50 U/ml penicillin and 50 μg/ml streptomycin. Cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air/5% CO₂. MCF10A normal breast epithelial cells (ATCC) were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM):Ham's F-12 supplemented with 10% horse serum, 10 mM HEPES buffer, 10 ng/ml insulin, 20 ng/ml EGF, 100 ng/ml cholera toxin and 0.5 mg/ml hydrocortisone. Cells were fed twice a week and passaged on a weekly basis. Cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO₂. Cells were screened periodically for Mycoplasma contamination.

Metabolic status assessment (MTT-based cell viability assays). The ability of Cetuximab, CDDP and Cetuximab + CDDP to affect breast cancer cell viability was determined using a standard colorimetric MTT (3-4, 5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl-2, 5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide) reduction assay. Cells in exponential growth were harvested by trypsinization and seeded at a concentration of ~2.5x10⁴ cells/200 μl/well into 96-well plates and allowed an overnight period for attachment. Then the medium was removed and fresh medium along with various concentrations of Cetuximab, CDDP or combinations of compounds as specified, were added to cultures in parallel. Agents without agents were cultured using the same conditions with comparable media changes. Compounds were not renewed during the entire period of cell exposure. Following treatment (5 days), the medium was removed and replaced by fresh drug-free medium (100 μl/well) and MTT (5 mg/ml in PBS) was added to each well at a 1/10 volume. After incubation for 2-3 h at 37°C, the supernatants were carefully aspirated, 100 μl of DMSO were added to each well and the plates agitated to dissolve the crystal product. Absorbances were measured at 570 nm using a multi-well plate reader (Model Anthos Labtec 2010 1.7 reader). The cell viability effects from exposure of cells to each agent alone and their combination were analyzed as percentages of the control cell absorbances, which were obtained from control wells treated
with appropriate concentrations of the compound vehicles that were processed simultaneously. For each treatment, cell viability was evaluated as a percentage using the following equation: \( \frac{A_{570 \text{ treated}}}{A_{570 \text{ untreated}}} \times 100 \).

Breast cancer cell sensitivity to Cetuximab and/or CDDP was expressed in terms of the concentration of drug required to decrease by 50% cell viability (IC\(_{50}\) value). Since the percentage of control absorbance was considered to be the surviving fraction of cells, the IC\(_{50}\) value was defined as the concentration of Cetuximab and/or CDDP that produced 50% reduction in control absorbance (by interpolation), respectively. The degree of sensitization to CDDP by Cetuximab was evaluated by dividing IC\(_{50}\) values of control cells (CDDP alone) by those obtained when cells were simultaneously exposed to Cetuximab.

**Determination of synergism and antagonism: Isobologram analysis.** The nature of the interaction between Cetuximab and CDDP was evaluated by the isobologram technique, a dose-oriented geometric method of assessing drug interactions (19). In the isobologram method the concentration of one agent producing a desired (e.g., 50% inhibitory) effect is plotted on the horizontal axis; a straight line joining these 2 points represents zero interaction (addition) between two agents. The experimental isoeffect points are the concentrations (expressed relative to the IC\(_{50}\) value) of the two agents which when combined decreased cell viability by 50%. When the experimental isoeffect points fall below that line, the combination effect of the two drugs is considered to be supra-additive or synergistic, whereas antagonism occurs if the point lies above it. A quantitative index of these interactions was provided by the isobologram equation CI\(_{50}\) = \( \frac{a}{A} \) + \( \frac{b}{B} \), where, for this study, A and B represent the respective concentrations of Cetuximab and CDDP required to produce a fixed level of inhibition (IC\(_{50}\)) when administered alone, and a and b represent the concentrations required for the same effect when Cetuximab and CDDP were administered in simultaneous combination and CI\(_{50}\) represents a quantitative index of drug interaction (Combination Index). CI values of <1 indicate synergy, a value of 1 represents addition and values of >1 indicate antagonism. For all estimations of CI\(_{50}\), we used only isoboles where intercept data for both axes were available.

**Apoptosis assays.** Quantification of apoptosis-related cell death was assessed using the Cell Death Detection ELISA PLUS kit obtained from Roche Diagnostics (Barcelona, Spain). Briefly, cells (5 to 10x10^3/well) were grown in 96-well plates and treated, in duplicates, for 24 h with the indicated doses of Cetuximab, CDDP, or Cetuximab plus CDDP as specified. After treatment, the 96-well plates were centrifuged (200 x g) for 5 min. Supernatants were transferred into a clean test tube and sample content was determined against a standardized control using the Pierce Protein Assay kit.

**Phosphor-proteome profiling.** Cells were rinsed with cold-PBS and immediately solubilized in NP-40 lysis buffer [1% NP-40, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 137 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 2 mM EDTA, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 10 μg/ml leupeptin, 10 μg/ml leupeptin] by rocking the lysates gently at 4˚C for 30 min on ice. The lysates were cleared by centrifugation in an Eppendorff tube (15 min at 14,000 x g, 4˚C). Protein content was determined against a standardized control using the Pierce Protein Assay kit.

**EGFR- and BRCA1-specific enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays.** Determination of EGFR and BRCA1 protein content was performed with commercially available quantitative ELISAs (Oncogene Science, Bayer Diagnostics for EGFR and Assay Designs for BRCA1, respectively) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. To assess the effects of Cetuximab and/or CDDP on EGFR and BRCA1 protein concentrations, breast cancer cells, after a 24 h starvation period in media without serum, were incubated with Cetuximab, CDDP or combinations of these compounds as specified. After treatment, cells were washed twice with cold-PBS and then lysed in buffer (20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, 2.5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM β-glycerolphosphate, 1 mM NaVO\(_4\), 1 μg/ml leupentin, 1 mM sodiumorthovanadate) for 30 min on ice. The lysates were cleared by centrifugation in an Eppendorff tube (15 min at 14,000 x g, 4˚C). Protein content was determined against a standardized control using the Pierce Protein Assay kit. Serial dilutions (i.e., 1:50 and 1:500 for EGFR and 1:100 for BRCA1) of total cell lysates from Cetuximab-, CDDP- or Cetuximab + CDDP-treated and control untreated MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cells were used to quantitate EGFR and BRCA1 protein expression in cell cultures. A standard curve was generated by using standard solutions as per the manufacturer’s instructions. The concentrations of EGFR and BRCA1 in test samples (in nanograms of EGFR per milligram of total protein and pg of BRCA1 per milligram of total protein, respectively) were determined by interpolation of the sample absorbances from the standard curve. Each experiment was performed in duplicate wells.

**Statistics.** Two-group comparisons were performed by the Student’s t-test for paired and unpaired values. Comparisons of means of ≥3 groups were performed by ANOVA and the existence of individual differences, in case of significant F values at ANOVA, tested by Scheffé’s multiple contrasts.
Results

Cetuximab induces anti-proliferative effects in basal-like, but not in luminal and HER2+/ER- breast cancer cells. To primarily assess a relationship between breast cancer heterogenicity and breast cancer sensitivity to the EGFR inhibitor Cetuximab, we selected in vitro models of ER+, EGFR-, HER2- breast cancer (i.e. MCF-7 cells), ER-, EGFR-, HER2+ breast cancer (SKBR3 cells) and ER-, EGFR+, HER- basal-like breast cancer (MDA-MB-468) breast cancer phenotypes (Fig. 1). The immortal, non-transformed and EGFR-dependent MCF10A breast epithelial cells, which themselves already appear to display a basal-like gene expression profile (20), was employed as positive control in our experiments. These four cell lines were treated with a range of doses of Cetuximab (0-200 μg/ml) and cell numbers were measured using a tetrazolium salt-based (MTT) cell viability assay (Fig. 1). Cetuximab, as single agent, significantly decreased cell proliferation solely in EGFR-overexpressing MCF10A and MDA-MB-468 basal-like breast cancer cells with no significant effects occurring in EGFR-negative MCF-7 and SKBR3 breast cancer models.

Cetuximab synergistically enhances the pro-apoptotic effects of CDDP in MDA-MB-468 basal-like breast cancer cells. To evaluate how Cetuximab altered the efficacy of DNA cross-linking agents in triple-negative/basal-like breast cancer cells, MDA-MB-468 cells were exposed to increasing concentrations of CDDP (125, 250 and 500 nM) in the absence or presence of low (sub-optimal) and high (optimal) doses of Cetuximab (50 and 200 μg/ml, respectively). Apoptotic cell death was measured by a Cell Death ELISA kit that detects apoptosis-induced DNA-histone fragmentation, and the x-fold increase in apoptosis was calculated by comparing the ELISA optical density readings of treated samples, with the values of untreated control cells as 1.0-fold (Fig. 2). MDA-MB-468 cells were likewise exquisitely sensitive to the pro-apoptotic effects of CDDP (up to 5-5-fold increase in the presence of 500 nM cisplatin versus 1.0-fold in untreated control cells). Cetuximab, as single agent, failed to significantly induce apoptotic cell death. MDA-MB-468 cells exhibited the highest extent of apoptotic cell death following concurrent exposure to Cetuximab and CDDP (up to 11.6-fold increase when concurrently combining 50 μg/ml Cetuximab and 500 nM CDDP). Interestingly, no-dose dependency of Cetuximab was observed within this synergism. Indeed, 200 μg/ml Cetuximab (a 4-fold higher concentration of the anti-EGFR antibody) failed to further increase CDDP-induced apoptosis in MDA-MB-468 cells.
Cetuximab synergistically enhances the anti-proliferative effects of CDDP in MDA-MB-468 basal-like breast cancer cells. The above findings strongly suggested that Cetuximab-induced EGFR blockade can synergistically enhance CDDP efficacy against MDA-MB-468 basal-like breast cancer cells. To further elucidate this question, we monitored Cetuximab-induced changes in the degree of MDA-MB-468 cells sensitivity to CDDP by dividing the IC50 values for CDDP in the absence of Cetuximab by those obtained in cells concurrently exposed to increasing concentrations of Cetuximab. The sensitizing effects of Cetuximab on the anti-proliferative effects of CDDP are shown in Fig. 3a. Although the IC50 value of CDDP drastically dropped (up to 135-times) from 270 nM CDDP (95% CI 260-280 nM) in the absence of Cetuximab to 2 nM CDDP (95% CI 1-3 nM) in the presence of 200 μg/ml Cetuximab (p<0.001; two-sided ANOVA analysis), it should be noted that there was no dose-dependency in the decrease of CDDP IC50 values after co-exposure to graded amounts of Cetuximab (Fig. 3b).

To accurately delineate the nature of the cytotoxic interaction (i.e., antagonism, addition, synergism or protection) that occurred when simultaneously combining Cetuximab and CDDP, we performed isobologram transformations of the Cetuximab/CDDP cytotoxic effects. A representative transformation is presented graphically (isobologram) in Fig. 3c. The straight line drawn between the IC50 value for CDDP alone and the IC50 value for Cetuximab alone indicates the alignment of theoretical isoeffect data points for additive interactions. The true IC50 values (i.e., the experimental concentrations of Cetuximab and CDDP which combined produced 50% reduction in cell proliferation) were plotted and compared with the additive line. The experimental isoeffect mostly fell in the left side of the additive line, thus suggesting that a supra-additive (synergistic) effect appears to emerge when combining Cetuximab and CDDP in MDA-MB-468 cells. However, there was not statistically significant difference between the mean Combination Index50 value (mean CI50 = 0.701±0.251) and the null hypothesis for additivity (CI50 = 1.0). Indeed, the degree of synergism between Cetuximab and CDDP related to Cetuximab concentration (Fig. 3c). At low-dose Cetuximab (50 μg/ml), the amount of the two agents necessary to reduce cell proliferation by 50% was only 0.503 times (i.e., approximately half the dose of each agent) as much as it would be required if they demonstrated purely additive actions in MDA-MB-468 cells. This synergistic behavior disappeared when employing high-dose Cetuximab (200 μg/ml). In this scenario, the amount of the two agents necessary to reduce cell viability by 50% increased up to 0.983 times, thus denoting a loss of synergism and the appearance of only additive actions. Additive and antagonistic interactions occurred when MDA-MB-468 basal-like breast cancer cells were sequentially exposed to Cetuximab prior to CDDP (data not shown).

Cetuximab regulates BRCA1 protein expression in MDA-MB-468 basal-like breast cancer cells. Although MDA-MB-468 cells were exquisitely sensitive to CDDP and Cetuximab when applied individually, the above MTT-based cell viability findings strongly suggested that the combination of Cetuximab-induced EGFR blockade and CDDP-induced DNA damage was highly synergistic at low doses of each agent. Interestingly, Cetuximab treatment failed to induce any extent of apoptosis in MDA-MB-468 cell cultures whereas the combination of Cetuximab with high doses of CDDP was also found to be strongly synergistic when analyzing the extent of apoptotic cell death using ELISA-based detection of...
histone-associate DNA fragments. We hypothesized that Cetuximab-induced blockade of EGFR should result in the alteration of the DNA repair machinery in triple-negative/basal-like breast carcinoma cells.

A major contributing factor to the exquisite sensitivity of triple-negative/basal-like breast cancer agents to DNA-damaging agents including CDDP is decreased functional expression of breast cancer susceptibility gene 1 (BRCA1).
Of note, unlike other basal-like breast cancer models MDA-MB-468 cells do not carry mutations of the key DNA repair gene BRCA1 (24). When we employed ELISA-based quantitative analyses to measure BRCA1 protein levels in CDDP +/- Cetuximab-treated cells, we first observed that Cetuximab as single agent was as efficient as CDDP at increasing BRCA1 protein expression in MDA-MB-468 cells (Fig. 4a). Interestingly, Cetuximab co-exposure significantly antagonized the ability of CDDP to up-regulate BRCA1 expression in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 4b). This antagonism was more significant when combining Cetuximab with a high dose of CDDP.

Cetuximab treatment significantly alters the phospho-proteome of MDA-MB-468 basal-like breast cancer cells. Cetuximab's mechanism of action is thought to involve the binding of Cetuximab to the EGFR, preventing normal ligand binding and subsequent activation of the EGFR-associated tyrosine kinase activity. To simultaneously assess the activation status of multiple receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) in the absence or presence of Cetuximab, we took advantage of the recently developed Human Phospho-RTK and Human Phospho-MAPK arrays, two rapid, sensitive and semi-quantitative tools able to simultaneously identify the levels of phosphorylation of multiple RTKs and of intracellular kinases without performing numerous immunoprecipitation and/or immunoblotting analyses. Phosphor-RTK profiling of cell lysates from serum-starved MDA-MB-468 cells clearly revealed that this basal-like breast cancer model largely depends on EGFR activation to proliferate as EGFR was the sole receptor significantly phosphorylated among 42 different RTKs (Fig. 5). Surprisingly, long-term treatment (48 h) with high-dose Cetuximab (200 μg/ml) noticeably increased the activation status of HER1. This induction of EGFR after treatment with Cetuximab likely was due to receptor homodimerization and autophosphorylation because no significant changes were observed in the phosphorylation status of other members of the EGFR/HER network (i.e., HER2, HER3, HER4; Fig. 5). Immunoblotting procedures confirmed that treatment with Cetuximab led to EGFR activation due to hyper-phosphorylation of the tyrosine 1045 residue (data not shown).

Since Cetuximab treatment did not show the expected reduction or even loss of EGFR-phosphorylation but rather induction of receptor phosphorylation, it was relevant to evaluate the phosphorylation status of downstream intracellular kinases in the absence or presence of Cetuximab. Downstream of HER1, MDA-MB-468 cells exhibited constitutive activation (i.e., phosphorylation) of ERK1/ERK2, p38α MAPK and AKT (Fig. 5). Notably, Cetuximab treatment drastically reduced phosphor-ERK1/ERK2 whereas marginal inhibiting effects were observed in the activation status of p38α MAPK and AKT. Therefore, the anti-proliferative Cetuximab's mechanism of action against MDA-MB-468 basal-like breast cancer cells paradoxically appears to (up-stream) involve HER1 hyper-activation driven by HER1 homodimerization concomitantly with specific inactivation of the (downstream) MEK/ERK transduction cascade.

CDDP interacts with Cetuximab at decreasing EGFR protein expression in MDA-MB-468 basal-like breast cancer cells. Ligand-binding results in EGFR dimerization and autophosphorylation of its cytoplasmic COOH-terminal domain (25-28). Once activated (i.e. phosphorylated) EGFR can further activate
different transduction cascades such as the ERK/MEK leading to transcription of EGFR-dependent genes. After ligand-stimulation and internalization of EGFR, the receptor either recycles back to plasma membrane or is directed to the lysosomal compartment for degradation (25-28). In this regard, it is widely accepted that downregulation of EGFR due to internalization and subsequent lysosomal degradation is one mechanism that is targeted by diverse anti-EGFR therapies including Cetuximab (29,30). Given that treatment of MDA-MB-468 basal-like breast cancer cells with the EGFR-specific inhibitor Cetuximab paradoxically resulted in EGFR activation due to hyperphosphorylation with a concomitant reduction in ERK1/ERK2 phosphorylation levels, we finally sought to evaluate the influence that Cetuximab and/or CDDP have on EGFR protein expression in MDA-MB-468 cells. We assessed the expression levels of EGFR in whole cell lysates from MDA-MB-468 cells following treatment with Cetuximab in the absence or presence of increasing concentrations of CDDP (Fig. 6a). ELISA-based quantitative analyses showed that Cetuximab, as single agent, likewise reduced EGFR protein levels by 48% when compared to untreated control cells. Surprisingly, a high dose of CDDP (i.e. 500 nM CDDP) was as efficient as Cetuximab at decreasing EGFR protein expression (44% reduction). Moreover, simultaneous exposure to optimal doses of Cetuximab and CDDP completed depleted EGFR protein (97% reduction) in MDA-MB-468 cells.
concentrations. apoptotic cell death, EGFR expression/activation, BRCA1 expression and ERK1/2 activation in MDA-MB-468 cells as a function of C etuximab and CDDP like phenotype while diminished or absent expression occurs mutation carriers are likely to develop tumors of the basal-pathway in the basal-like subtype is such that BRCA1 implicated function of the BRCA1-dependent DNA repair of basal-like tumors overexpress EGFR as assessed by in the basal-like breast cancer subtype because at least 50% on the other hand, the EGFR-pathway has become a potential target treatment of triple-negative/basal-like breast tumors. On the been suggested to represent a promising combination for the DNA cross-linking agents (e.g. platinum derivatives) has to synergistic effects took place in the presence of an optimal concentration of CDDP (Fig. 6b).

Discussion

There is an urgent need for new therapies capable of managing basal-like breast carcinomas, a subtype of breast cancer-gene expression signature associated with poor relapse-free and overall survival that accounts for 15-25% of all breast-cancer cases (6-13). In this regard, the interaction between EGFR inhibitors (i.e. monoclonal antibodies such as Cetuximab and small molecule TKIs such as gefitinib) and DNA cross-linking agents (e.g. platinum derivatives) has been suggested to represent a promising combination for the treatment of triple-negative/basal-like breast tumors. On the one hand, the EGFR-pathway has become a potential target in the basal-like breast cancer subtype because at least 50% of basal-like tumors overexpress EGFR as assessed by immunohistochemistry (IHC). On the other hand, the implicated function of the BRCA1-dependent DNA repair pathway in the basal-like subtype is such that BRCA1 mutation carriers are likely to develop tumors of the basal-like phenotype while diminished or absent expression occurs in subgroups of sporadic basal-like breast tumors. Moreover, given that BRCA1-deficient cells exhibit an exacerbated sensitivity to CDDP when compared to BRCA1 wild-type cells, the combination of an EGFR inhibitor and a platinum drug is expected to promote strong anti-proliferative effects against basal-like breast cancer cells (16,17).

Although the above findings provide a compelling rationale for testing combinations of CDDP with agents (e.g. Cetuximab) that target specifically pathways that are essential for basal-like breast cancer cell maintenance (e.g. EGFR), it has been recently revealed that whereas functional loss of BRCA1 can lead to an accumulation of genetic events that result in cancer (e.g. basal-like breast carcinomas), once the disease is established, BRCA1 deficiency is no longer required or advantageous. Indeed, several recent studies examining how resistance to CDDP or inhibitors of the poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP), which selectively kill BRCA-deficient cells develops in primary cancers associated with dysfunctional BRCA1 have established that resistance correlates with restoration of detectable levels of BRCA1 protein as results of either secondary mutations that restore the reading frame of the proteins or restoration of this pathway through demethylation of one of the Fanconi Anemia genes (FANCF) (31-35). We tested the nature of the interaction (i.e. antagonism, addition and synergism) of Cetuximab combined with CDDP using MDA-MB-468 cells, the main ‘EGFR-positive’ breast cancer model of resistance to EGFR TKIs (14,15). Besides EGFR overexpression, the MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cell line shows many of the recurrent basal-like molecular abnormalities including ER-PR-HER2-(triple) negative status, TP53 deficiency, PTEN loss and constitutive activation of the intracellular signaling downstream of the EGFR (i.e. PI-3'K/AKT and MEK/ERK pathways). Unlike other basal-like breast cancer models, MDA-MB-468 cells do not carry mutations of the key DNA repair gene BRCA1 and they express relatively high levels of BRCA1 protein. Moreover, BRCA1 depletion has been found to decrease DNA damage repair and cell survival in MDA-MB-468 cells when treated with DNA-damaging drugs (24). Therefore, gefitinib-resistant MDA-MB-468 basal-like breast cancer cells offer a unique molecular scenario including all the triple-negative/ basal-like molecular features in the presence of a functional BRCA1 pathway.

Our findings not only agree with the observation that most antibody-based biologically targeted agents (e.g. trastuzumab, pertuzumab, etc.) typically show low anti-proliferative rates when tested alone (a modest 57% reduction in cell viability occurred in EGFR-overexpressing MDA-MB-468 cells upon exposure to doses of Cetuximab as high as 200 μg/ml) but further reveal that relative breast cancer cell sensitivity to the anti-EGFR antibody Cetuximab closely correlates with breast cancer cell type [i.e. triple-negative/basal-like (responsive) vs. luminal and HER2+ (non-responsive)]. In our hands, concurrent treatment with low doses of Cetuximab synergistically enhanced CDDP-induced apoptotic cell death. However, an isobologram-based mathematical assessment of the true nature of the interaction revealed a loss of synergism when employing a high-dose of Cetuximab. Using two ER-negative and HER2 non-amplified tumor derived cell lines, SUM149 and SUM102, which have been previously shown
to express EGFR and show basal-like expression profiles-Hoadley et al recently reported that the combination of Cetuximab and carboplatin was highly synergetic at low doses of each drug (13). Moreover, they found that short-term co-treatment of Cetuximab with carboplatin was antagonistic. Since the carboxyl-terminal domain of BRCA1 is required for the CDDP-induced recruitment of RAD51 to the DNA-damage site, a major contributing factor to breast cancer cell response to CDDP is decreased functional expression of BRCA1 (21-24). In the Hoadley basal-like tumor-derived cell lines, it has been reported that the SUM149 cell line has a BRCA1 mutation while the SUM102 cell line has barely detectable transcript levels of BRCA1. Accordingly, these BRCA1-deficient cells exhibited increased sensitivity to carboplatin compared to BRCA1-wild-type cells. Using an ELISA-based quantitative approach, we found that CDDP-hypersensitive MDA-MB-468 basal-like breast cancer cells express BRCA1 protein levels as high as those found in CDDP-resistant MCF-7 cells. Therefore, the functional status of BRCA1 does not appear to be the sole molecular determinant for CDDP sensitivity in EGFR-overexpressing basal-like breast carcinoma cells. Although we acknowledge that measurements of DNA adducts for the assessment of DNA damage repair were not performed in our study, BRCA1 up-regulation is associated with repair-mediated resistance to CDDP and ELISA-based quantitative analyses of BRCA1 protein levels might be viewed as an indirect measurement of DNA damage. Cetuximab as single agent was as efficient as CDDP at up-regulating BRCA1 protein expression. Interestingly, Cetuximab co-exposure significantly antagonized the ability of CDDP to up-regulate BRCA1 expression. These findings strongly suggest that Cetuximab might potentiate the CDDP-mediated cytotoxic effect as the result of inhibition of BRCA1-regulated nucleotide excision repair (NER) process triggered by CDDP-induced DNA lesions. In this regard, Balin-Gauthier et al recently reported that Cetuximab stimulates oxaliplatin-DNA adduct formation associated with reduced expression of the key enzyme (excision repair complementation group1: ERCC1) in the key repair process of oxaliplatin-DNA platinum adduct, the NER, both at the complementation group1: ERCC1) in the key repair process and thereby unable to exert downstream signaling events. Preliminary experiments in our laboratory suggest that subcellular compartmentalization of phosphor-EGFR in EGFR-dependent breast cancer cells strongly diverges in the absence or presence of Cetuximab (unpublished observations).

Our current evaluation of the growth and molecular interactions of Cetuximab and CDDP in the gefitinib-resistant MDA-MB-468 basal-like breast cancer cell line preclinically supports, at least in part, ongoing clinical trials of ‘triple-negative/basal-like’ metastatic breast cancer patients who are receiving either Cetuximab alone versus Cetuximab plus carboplatin (http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct/show/NCT00232505). However, our current findings further suggest that treatment schedule, Cetuximab and/or CDDP doses and EGFR/BRCA1 status should be carefully considered when combining Cetuximab and platinum derivatives in sporadic basal-like breast carcinomas (Fig. 7). Specifically, if Cetuximab enhances the chemoresponse of triple-negative/basal-like breast cancer cells by suppressing cellular DNA repair capacity, thereby prolonging the presence of CDDP-induced DNA adducts, it is reasonable to suggest that CDDP followed by an equiactive relative dose of Cetuximab is an appropriate combination of evaluation in triple-negative/basal-like breast carcinomas. Using human KYSE30 esophageal squamous epithelial cancer cells, an in vitro model of an EGFR-positive human epithelial cell line with a functional EGFR-dependent autocrine growth pathway, early studies by Morelli et al clearly demonstrated that only when KYSE30 cancer cells were first exposed to a cytotoxic drug (e.g., oxaliplatin) and then treated with Cetuximab a frankly synergetic growth inhibitory effect was observed (42). Pre-clinical and clinical studies are warranted to definitely evaluate whether the best treatment schedule when using EGFR targeted agents such as Cetuximab in a clinical setting would be a sequence in which cytotoxic drug treatment is followed by EGFR inhibitor administration.
Studies by Pietrus et al firstly showed that the use of the anti-HER2 monoclonal antibody trastuzumab (Herceptin®) in combination with CDDP in breast carcinomas cells in culture, human breast carcinoma xenografts and in patients with HER2-overexpressing metastatic breast cancer, results in tumoricidal effects higher than those reported for trastuzumab or CDDP alone. This synergistic therapeutic interaction, which is largely due to anti-HER2 receptor antibody-induced blockade of CDDP-DNA adduct repair, termed receptor enhanced chemosensitivity (REC) was suggested to specifically target HER2-overexpressing cancer cells (43-47). We previously demonstrated that this REC mechanism is similarly pronounced in breast cancer cells overexpressing the combinatorial HER3 ligand Heregulin (HRG), a trastuzumab-sensitive breast cancer subtype of EGFR-positive cells that do not overexpress HER2 (48). We now report that a clinically valuable Cetuximab-induced REC effect further occurs in EGFR-overexpressing triple-negative/basal-like breast carcinoma cells.
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