Aberrant epigenetic modifications in the CTCF binding domain of the IGF2/H19 gene in prostate cancer compared with benign prostate hyperplasia
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Abstract. Expression of the imprinted genes insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF2) and H19 depends on the methylation pattern of their common imprinting control region (ICR) located on chromosome 11p15. As the somatic imprinting pattern may be lost during tumorigenesis due to epigenetic alterations, in the present study, we analyzed the DNA methylation and histone modifications in the differentially methylated region (DMR) of IGF2/H19 in benign prostate hyperplasia (BPH) and prostate carcinoma (PCa). Sodium bisulfite sequencing was performed on frozen tissue collected after radical prostatectomy. Thirty tumors and 17 non-cancerous tissue samples were analyzed. Histological diagnosis was, in addition, confirmed by amplification of the epithelial tumor marker α-methylacyl coenzyme-A racemase. Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay (ChIP) was carried out on sonificated chromatin from fresh tissue samples from 10 PCa, 10 BPH using antibodies against trimethyl histone H3K9, dimethyl histone H3K9, trimethyl H3K27 and acetyl H3K9. The methylation pattern of 17 CpGs within 227 bp of the H19 fragment was characterized from each DNA sample. All (BPH) samples demonstrated >80% methylation of CpGs. In contrast, we found 41% of CpGs methylated in 9 out of 30 PCa specimens. We observed statistically significant differences in the methylation state between PCa and BPH groups, especially in the DMR of H19 (p<0.0001) and in the ICR (p=0.0034), which corresponds to CTCF binding domain. ChIP assay revealed that dimethyl H3K9 is associated with the ICR of IGF2/H19 in BPH, but not in PCa (p<0.0001). Our data demonstrate that DNA methylation and histone methylation analysis of the ICR within the DMR of IGF2/H19 provides important insights into early steps of carcinogenesis and, therefore, may contribute to improving diagnosis of PCa.

Introduction

Insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF2) and H19 are two reciprocally imprinted genes, which are implicated in the etiology of many solid tumors including prostate cancer (PCa). Expression of the IGF2 gene is achieved from the paternal allele, whereas the putative tumor suppressor gene H19 is expressed from the maternal allele (1,2) (Fig. 1). In a variety of tumors, however, this imprinting pattern may be lost due to epigenetic modifications (3,4). There is evidence that the differentially methylated region (DMR) which includes the imprinting control region (ICR), located from -2226 to -1999 upstream of the H19 transcriptional start site, is involved in regulating this parent of origin-specific expression by means of a methylation-sensitive binding of the CCCTC-binding factor (CTCF) (5). The transcription factor CTCF exhibits 11 zinc fingers that mediate specific binding to unmethylated CpG islands within the H19 DMR containing 7 potential CTCF binding sites. Furthermore, CTCF acts as a chromatin insulator that blocks the activity of the proximal IGF2 promoter by insulating it from the distal enhancers located downstream to H19. Thus, on the unmethylated maternal allele, CTCF binds to generate a boundary that prevents the IGF2 promoter from accessing the enhancer resulting in silencing of IGF2, but supports transcription of H19. The paternally inherited allele maintains a methylated ICR, which prevents CTCF binding, therefore, enhancers and transcription factors can be recruited to the IGF2 promoter providing the epigenetic switch of IGF2 (6-8).

It has been demonstrated that loss of methylation within the ICR of IGF2/H19 is correlated with loss of imprinting in Wilm’s tumors (9) osteosarcoma (10) colorectal cancer (11,12) and bladder cancer (13). LOI for IGF2 occurs with aging in histologically normal human prostate tissues and epigenetic alteration was determined to be more...
extensive in men with associated cancer (14). Besides CpG methylation in the ICR of imprinted genes, chromatin looping enables the expression of IG2F from the paternal allele by juxtaposing the distal enhancer with the IG2F promoter (15). Furthermore, the secondary chromatin structure with histone modifications has been proposed to be sufficient in establishing the imprinting state. However, the accurate mechanistic manner of histone regulatory effect on imprinting state still remains unclear.

Prostate cancer is a significant health problem in the Western countries because of its high incidence and mortality (16). Unfortunately, there is still a lack of effective prognostic markers to predict the behaviour of prostate cancer. Present biomarkers including preoperative prostate-specific antigen (PSA) and biopsy Gleason score have not proved to be accurate predictors of clinical outcome (17). About 25% of men with normal PSA may harbour PCa and PSA <20 ng/ml may not differentiate between PCa and benign conditions (18).

Successful therapy of PCa is based on the existence of prognostic biomarkers that are able to predict the behaviour of the cancer at an early stage of development so that appropriate treatments could be administered to the patient. The two features of early event in tumorigenesis and the potential reversibility make epigenetic modifications a promising target for diagnosis, risk stratification and treatment.

In the present study, we therefore determined possible changes in DNA methylation associated with tumorigenesis in the prostate analyzing the methylation pattern of the DMR of IG2F/H19 in both PCa and benign prostate hyperplasia (BPH). Finally, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays with antibodies to di-/tri-methyl H3K9, tri-methyl H3K27 and acetyl H3 were performed to determine possible changes in the histone modification within the ICR of IG2F/H19 as a possible clinical application in early diagnosis of PCa.

**Patients and methods**

**Clinical and pathological characteristics of prostate cancer patients.** Tissue samples from 30 PCa and 17 BPH surrounding tumors were obtained from patients who underwent either radical prostatectomy or cystoprostatectomy at the Department of Urology and Pediatric Urology, University of Giessen, Germany. All patients provided written informed consent for using the material for research purposes.

Prostate carcinoma grade (Gleason score) was determined by histological examination, Institute of Pathology, University of Giessen, Germany. The characteristics of all PCa patients including age of patient, preoperative level of prostate-specific antigen (PSA), Gleason score and tumor staging (pT) are shown in Table I.

PCa patients were between 56 and 79 years (mean = 65.5 years). The majority of patients revealed tumors with Gleason score 7 (8 of total 30 PCa patients = 26.6%). The level of serum PSA before radical prostatectomy was >20 ng/ml in 12 out of 30 patients. Organ-confined tissue T2 staging was diagnosed in 15 out of 30 patients and extra capsular T3 in 8 out of 30 patients (Table II).

**Human prostate tissue samples.** Only samples in which at least 70% of the cells represented cancer epithelial cells were selected for this study. Additionally, the expression of the tumor epithelial cell marker α-methylacyl-CoA racemase (AMACR) was determined to differentiate between PCa and BPH. For DNA methylation analysis, genomic DNA was isolated from frozen tissue sections using Qiamp DNA mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). For chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay, native, fresh tissue samples were subjected to crosslinking immediately after surgery and then stored at -80°C.

**RT-PCR.** AMACR expression was evaluated by semi-quantitative RT-PCR. Total RNA was extracted using RNasy mini kit, according to the manufacturer's instruction (Qiagen). cDNA was prepared from 2 μg of RNA using the Omniscript Reverse Transcription System (Qiagen) with random primers. cDNA (2 μl) was used for the PCR-reaction. Amplification was performed with 1 unit Taq DNA polymerase (Qiagen) in 50 ml volume for 40 cycles on a thermocycler (Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany) with AMACR and HALAS as housekeeping gene, as indicated in Table III. After an initial heating to 95°C for 4 min, each of the 40 cycles consisted of denaturing at 95°C for 45 sec, annealing at 63°C for 45 sec and elongation at 72°C for 90 sec except for the last extension which lasted 5 min. PCR products were separated on 1% agarose gels and stained with GelRed (Bioutium, Biotrend, Cologne, Germany).

**Immunohistochemistry.** For detection of primary antibodies, the labelled polymer-based EnVision+ system-HRP (Dako) was used. Slides from PCa patients were incubated in a dry oven for 1 h at 60°C, deparaffinised, rehydrated and incubated with 3% H2O2 for 6 min. After washing three times for 5 min in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), slides were incubated in 1X target retrieval solution pH 6.1 (Dako) for 30 min at 94°C in a steamer (Multigourmet F510, Braun, Kronberg, Germany). Unspecific binding sites were blocked by incubating the slides in freshly prepared 2% skim milk for 20 min (Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland). The primary monoclonal antibody AMACR (1:100, Biologo, Kronshagen, Germany) was added and incubated for 1 h at room temperature in a humidified chamber. After washing with PBS, the respective secondary antibodies coupled to the EnVision+ peroxidase-conjugated polymer (Dako) were added and the slides incubated for 30 min in a humidified chamber. After washing with PBS, detection was performed using 3,3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (K3468, Liquid DAB+, Dako) and monitored microscopically. Sections were then washed with PBS and counterstained with hematoxylin. Documentation of the results was performed with an Axivert 200 microscope equipped with an AxioCam digital camera and pictures were documented with MRGrab 1.0 (Carl Zeiss, Munich, Germany).

**Sodium bisulfite treatment and DNA sequencing.** Genomic DNA (2 μg) was treated with sodium bisulfite using EpTiTec Bislfit kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Sodium bisulfite converts unmethylated cytosines to uracils, whereas methylated cytosines are unaffected. Bisulfite-treated DNA was subsequently amplified using H19for (corresponding to nucleotides 6099-6121, GenBank accession no. AF087017) and H19rev (nucleotides 6326-6303) are listed in Table IV. These primers allow the amplification of
Both the methylated and the unmethylated alleles by spanning a region with 17 differentially methylated CpGs.

DNA was amplified in a 30 μl volume containing 10 μl of the extracted and bisulphite-treated DNA, PCR buffer gold, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 0.66 μM of each primer and 0.5 μl (2.5 U) of Amplitaq Gold polymerase (Qiagen). After activation of the polymerase at 95˚C for 10 min, DNA was amplified in 40 cycles for 45 sec at 95˚C, 56˚C and 72˚C followed by a final extension at 72˚C for 10 min. Each PCR product (5 ml) was analyzed on agarose gel and the remaining 25 μl were purified using QIAquick purification kit (Qiagen). The probes were then subjected to sequencing using forward primer by Scientific Research and Development GmbH, Oberursel, Germany. The sequencing results were analyzed using BiQ Analyzer, a software tool for DNA methylation available by free download from http://biq-analyzer.bioinf.mpi-inf.mpg.de/.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP). Immediately after surgery, native PCa and BPH tissue samples were used for analysis of the histone H3 methylation status. Prior to crosslinking, 100 mg of tissue was cut into ~3 mm³ pieces.
with a razor blade. Minced tissue was then placed into a 15 ml conical tube and 10 ml of PBS buffer was added. Crosslinking was carried out by addition of formaldehyde to a final concentration of 1% and incubation of samples for 15 min on a rotating platform at room temperature following a 15 min incubation with 0.125 M glycine in order to stop the crosslinking (19). Samples were then centrifuged at 200 x g for 5 min to pellet the tissue pieces, supernatant was removed. The tissue pieces were washed with cold PBS. After washing steps, supernatant was completely removed from the tube and fixed tissues were frozen at -20°C for storage. Tissue pieces in 2 ml of PBS buffer containing protease inhibitors were disaggregated by 20 strokes in an Ultra Turrax homogenizer (IKA, Staufen, Germany). The resulting mixture was centrifuged at 2,000 x g, the supernatant was decanted and the cell pellet was resuspended in 4 ml of ChIP lysis buffer (Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY). Homogenized tissue extract (1 ml pro tube) was sonicated 12 times on ice with a Branson 250 sonifier on setting 3, duty cycle 50% for 30 secs to an average length of ~500-1000 bp.

Sonicated chromatin was diluted 10-fold with provided dilution buffer and 1 ml of the probe was precleared with 40 μl of salmon sperm DNA/protein A agarose solution before overnight incubation at 4°C with antibodies and controls (no antibody immunoprecipitation). Ten percent of sonicated chromatin (100 μl) was saved for each sample to determine the input chromatin amount. Specific antibodies used for immunoprecipitation were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, UK) and recognized histone H3 (ab1791), di-methyl histone H3K9 (ab 7312), tri-methyl histone H3K9 (ab8898), tri-methyl histone H3K27 (ab6002) and acetyl histone H3K9 (ab4441). After addition of 40 μl of salmon sperm DNA/protein A agarose solution, samples were incubated for further 2 h. The beads were washed with the buffers provided by the kit. Chromatin was eluted twice with 250 μl elution buffer (1% SDS in 0.1 M NaHCO3). Crosslinks were reversed by adding 5 M NaCl and incubating at 65°C for 4 h followed by proteinase K digestion for 1 h at 45°C. DNA was extracted by phenol/chloroform treatment, ethanol precipitation with 3 μl glycogen as inert carrier, resuspended in 30 μl TE buffer and stored at -20°C. The enrichment of immunoprecipitated probe (IP) was analysed using quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR. The amplification of IP DNA was carried out in 25 μl reaction volume on the iCycler iQ real-time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad). The final reaction tube

Table II. Summary of clinical and pathological characteristics of PCa patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Prostate cancer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gleason score</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative serum PSA (ng/ml)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0-12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-20</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pT staging</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age in years (range)</td>
<td>65.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III. Primer pairs used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>Sequence (5'-3')</th>
<th>Annealing temperature (˚C)</th>
<th>Product size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primer sequences used for semiquantitative RT-PCR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMACR-F</td>
<td>ACGACGTGACCGCTTGGG</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMACR-R</td>
<td>GCCTTGGATTTTCGGCTGC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HALAS-F</td>
<td>AGGCCAAGGTCCAACAGACT</td>
<td></td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HALAS-R</td>
<td>ACCTCTTTTCTCAAGGGCATTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer sequences used for bisulfite DNA sequencing</td>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H19-F</td>
<td>GTATAGTATATGGATTTTTTGG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H19-R</td>
<td>CTATAAAATACCTATTCCTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer sequences used for chromatin immunoprecipitation</td>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H19-ChIP-F</td>
<td>GAGGCTTCCTCCTCGGTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H19-ChIP-R</td>
<td>GATAAAGCCGGACCTGAAGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH-F</td>
<td>TGGTATCTGGAAGGACTCAT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH-R</td>
<td>ATGCCAGTGAGGCTTCCGTTCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F, forward primer and R, reverse primer.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
contained 100 nM of H19 primers and house-keeping gene GAPDH (Table III) 12.5 μl iQ SYBR-Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) and 2 μl of DNA template. The PCR conditions were 94˚C for 3 min followed by 40 cycles for 30 sec, 60˚C for 30 sec and 72˚C for 1 min. Melting curves were generated for both genes after amplification. Negative controls were included in each run. The enrichment of the IP probe was calculated as fold enrichment relative to Input (10% of untreated chromatin): % relative enrichment of IP=2(Input -IP) x 10%. PCR-products were additionally electro-phoresed on a 3% agarose gel and visualized by GelRed reagent.

Statistics. Values from all experiments were used for calculation of the means and their respective standard errors of the mean (SEM). The non-parametric test of Mann-Whitney was used to determine significant differences between the different experimental groups by using GraphPad Instat 3 (GraphPad, San Diego, USA). P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

High expression level of AMACR indicates tumor cells in prostate tissue sections. To verify tissue homogeneity in both PCa and BPH sections, only PCa samples displaying >70% tumor epithelial cells in H&E-stained slides were included in this study. BPH samples that contained contaminations of tumor cells or high grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN) were excluded. In samples with controversial histological diagnosis, we performed both RT-PCR (Fig. 2) and immunohistochemistry (Fig. 3) for AMACR, which is known to be consistently up-regulated in PCa, but not in BPH. We demonstrated a 2-3-fold higher AMACR expression in 30 out of 38 analyzed PCa when compared with BPH. Exemplarily shown for 10 samples in Fig. 2. In contrast, 17 BPH tissues exhibited low AMACR expression when compared with the house-keeping gene HALAS. However, AMACR expression was upregulated in 4 BPH samples suggesting contamination with PCa cells as shown for 2 samples in Fig. 2.

Loss of methylation in the imprinting control region of the IGF2/H19 gene in PCa. Sodium bisulfite treatment followed by sequencing was performed on genomic DNA obtained from frozen tissue collected after radical prostatectomy. PCa samples (n=30) and BPH samples (n=17) were analyzed for the methylation of the DMR region containing 18 CpG nucleotides (Fig. 1). The methylation state of 17 CpGs within 227 bp of the H19 fragment was characterized from each DNA sample after sequencing. All BPH samples exhibited >80% methylation of CpGs (Fig. 4). Four out of 10 samples exhibited hypermethylation, where all CpGs were methylated. However, 3 unmethylated CpG islands were found in 3 out of 10 BPH samples. In contrast, none of the 30 PCa samples were completely methylated. Loss of methylation was indicated in at least 2 CpGs in the PCa group. One PCa sample revealed even 6 out of 17 unmethylated cytosines within the analyzed

![Figure 2. mRNA expression of AMACR in prostate tissue sections. BPH samples with high expression of AMACR were excluded from the study due to contamination of tumor cells (e.g. BPH 3). PCa demonstrates a 2-3-fold higher AMACR expression when compared with BPH. HALAS was used as a house-keeping gene.](image)

![Figure 3. Immunohistochemical analysis demonstrated an increased expression of AMACR in malignant prostate epithelia relative to benign epithelia. (A) Image of PCa sample, Gleason score 6 carcinoma showing punctate cytoplasmatic staining of AMACR in basal epithelial cells (indicated by arrows). (B) Negative staining of normal appearing prostate glands in a BPH sample.](image)
region. The other PCa samples displayed a lack of methylation in 2-5 CpG sites. The difference in methylation of DMR of H19 between BPH and PCa was statistically significant (p=0.0087). The average number of unmethylated CpG islands in DMR of the PCa group was 2-fold higher when compared with loss of methylation in the BPH group (Fig. 5). Moreover, we observed differences in the methylation state between PCa and BPH, especially in the ICR containing a core binding domain for the methylation-specific enhancer CTCF. The percentage of unmethylated CpGs in ICR of the PCa group was 3-fold higher than in ICR of the BPH group (p=0.0034) (Fig. 5).

Histone H3 modification at the IGF/H19 imprinting region in PCa compared with BPH. To determine, whether loss of DNA methylation within the IGF/H19 ICR in PCa, as demonstrated in this study, is in addition associated with changes in histone methylation, we studied the histone H3 methylation pattern at lysines 9 and 27, as well as H3 acetylation using ChIP assay. The enrichment of IP probe was measured using specific primers for H19 region and GAPDH by real-time PCR. Finally enrichment of the IP probe was expressed as percentage of untreated input chromatin. Our results revealed that dimethyl H3K9 is associated with the ICR of IGF2/H19 in BPH, but not in PCa (Fig. 6). The enrichment of anti-dimethyl H3K9 antibodies in IGF/H19 imprinting region was 3-fold higher in BPH, than in PCa (1.30%). The statistical comparison of PCa group (n=10) and BPH (n=10) was confirmed as highly significant (p<0.0001). High enrichment of anti-dimethyl H3K9 antibodies suggests that di-methyl H3K9 might be associated with the ICR of IGF/H19 in normal prostate tissue. In contrast, there was very low enrichment of anti-dimethyl H3K9 antibodies in PCa that suggests no binding of dimethyl H3K9 to IGF/H19 imprinting region in PCa tissue. We did not detect any differences in binding of trimethyl H3K9, to the IGF/H19 imprinting region, neither in PCa, nor in BPH. The same results were obtained using anti-tri-methyl H3K27 and anti-acetyl H3 antibodies (Fig. 6).

Discussion

In the present study, we demonstrated significant differences in the DNA methylation pattern of the CTCF binding sites within the H19 ICR in PCa when compared with BPH.

Five CpGs of the ICR revealed at least one unmethylated CpG island in the majority of the PCa samples, whereas no more than one unmethylated CpG island could be found in the BPH group (control). Besides the ICR, hypermethylation of the entire H19 DMR was observed in both the PCa and the BPH group. Our results raise the question of possible mechanisms underlying loss of imprinting (LOI) at the IGF2/H19 locus and progression of PCa. As LOI and biallelic expression of IGF2 and H19 has long been known as a common feature in many prostate cancer (20), we focused our investigations exclusively on methylation of these imprinted genes in tissue from PCa.

In somatic cells, it has already been demonstrated that the differentially methylated ICR upstream of the H19 gene regulates allelic IGF2 expression by means of a methylation-sensitive chromatin insulator function (21). The hypermethylated maternally inherited ICR binds the insulator protein CTCF at its binding domain and blocks the activity of the proximal IGF2 promoter by insulating it from its distal enhancers (22). CTCF binding to the H19 DMR, by contrast, is suppressed by DNA methylation (23). Similar results were obtained in BPH, where we demonstrated hypermethylation of the entire H19 DMR. In contrast, our results revealed loss of methylation in the ICR of PCa. These data support the hypothesis that loss of methylation in the H19 ICR in PCa may provoke binding of CTCF resulting in LOI and expression of the H19 gene from the paternal allele. A similar effect of reciprocal methylation changes at a critical CTCF-binding
Figure 4. Methylation analysis of H19 DMR in PCa and BPH using sodium bisulfite sequencing (SBS). Methylation pattern of H19 DMR in PCa and BPH samples (black circles correspond to methylated CpGs, open circles correspond to unmethylated CpGs, and small vertical lines without a circle correspond to non-CpG position where there is a CpG in the genomic sequence. Summary of the methylation status of each CpG in PCa and BPH.

Legend:  
- unmethylated  
- methylated  
- not present
site of the H19 locus was reported for the progression of osteosarcoma (10). Bisulfite sequencing data published by this group revealed that IGF2 LOI occurs with biallelic CpG methylation of the CTCF-binding site, while H19 LOI occurs with biallelic hypomethylation of this site. Moreover, IGF2 polymorphism analysis in tissues susceptible to age-related cancers, including PCa, demonstrated a complete conversion of the IGF2 imprinting status from monoallelic to biallelic. As an underlying mechanism, a 2-fold decrease in the binding of the enhancer blocking element CTCF within the intergenic IGF2/H19 region has been suggested to cause this switch in senescent cells. The forced down-regulation of the CTCF expression using RNAi in prostate cell lines resulted in an increase of the IGF2 expression and a relaxation of imprinting (14,15). Hypomethylation associated with LOI of Wilms Tumors 1 antisense regulatory region (WT1 ASS) and an alternative coding transcript AWT1 also occurs in the CTCF binding region and is apparent in nephrogenic rests suggesting an early impairment of methylation in kidney development followed by extensive demethylation during expansion of Wilms tumor (24). Abrupt hypomethylation of the CTCF-binding site in IGF/H19 gene has been also reported in human bladder cancer which is in agreement with our results obtained in prostate cancer (13). Although authors have analysed methylation status of only six informative human bladder cases, they concluded that demethylation in the paternal allele, which was rare in normal tissue, might play a role in overexpression of H19 in advanced stage bladder cancer previously reported by Cooper et al (25). Our study provided statistically significant differences in methylation status between 30 PCa and 17 BPH samples is sufficient for supporting the hypothesis that the aberrant methylation of the sixth CTCF-binding site may influence abnormal expression of either IGF2 or H19 in PCa. The same phenomenon might also contribute to development of bladder cancer. On the basis of our data and recent reports from literature we assume that hypomethylation of CTCF regions of various imprinting genes including IGF2/H19 accompany LOI and may lead to the activation of tumor suppressor genes followed by carcinogenesis.

![Figure 5](image1.png)

**Figure 5.** Correlation between CpG island methylation (%) of DMR and ICR of H19 in PCa and BPH samples. Non-parametric Mann-Whitney test was used to compare the average of methylation in H19 DMR and ICR in BPH and PCa.

![Figure 6](image2.png)

**Figure 6.** Epigenetic modification of histone H3 in PCa and BPH. ChIP assay using antibodies against dimethylated lysine-9 on histon H3 (H3K9 dimethyl), trimethylated lysine-9 on histon H3 (H3K9 trimethyl), trimethylated lysine-27 on histone H3 (H3K27 trimethyl) and acetylated lysine-9 on histone H3 (H3K9 acetyl) in chromatin from tissues of PCa patients (n=10) and BPH controls (n=10) was prepared. Anti-H3 antibodies were used as a positive control and rabbit IgG as a negative control. Input indicates not immunoprecipitated chromatin diluted 1:10. GAPDH amplification was used as reference gene. Enrichment of each antibody was obtained from Ct value and calculated as relative enrichment against input material. % IP enrichment = 2CtInput -CtIP ×10%. Amplification with H19 primers revealed that dimethyl H3K9 is associated with DMR of H19 in BPH, but not in PCa (p<0.0001, non-parametric Mann-Whitney test).
Interestingly, we were able to demonstrate a lack of methylation of a single CpG within the H19 ICR in 4 out of 17 BPH samples. As epigenetic changes occur in early steps of carcinogenesis, lack of methylation within the H19 ICR of some patients exhibiting BPH, in future, may advance our understanding of cancer development and may serve as prognostic biomarker to improve early diagnosis of PCa. However, any powerful prognostic factor for PCa should correlate with clinicopathological parameters. Although we were able to demonstrate high statistical difference in the methylation status of the imprinting control region of IGF2/H19 between PCa and BPH, we could not find any statistical correlation of loss of methylation of ICR in and DMR in PCa patients either to their Gleason scores or to preoperative PSA values. There is a lack of information especially on methylation frequency of imprinted genes in association with recurrence parameters of PCa, because the majority of studies provide studies on correlation of various promoter hypermethylation or hypomethylation to histological parameters (26). Possibly multiple analysis of methylation in more than one imprinted genes such as KCNQ1, L1T1, TSSC5, GRB10 and MEG3 may improve clinical significance. Studies presented by Ellinger et al (27) showed that hypermethylation at a single gene locus did not correlate with any clinicopathological variables. In contrast, hypermethylation at two genes (e.g., APC and TIG1, APC and GSTP1, APC and PTGS2, APC or MDR, GSTP1 or PTGS2) correlated significantly with the pathologic stage and/or Gleason score (p=0.033 to 0.045).

Recent studies demonstrated that epigenetic control mechanisms involving DNA methylation and histone methylation may lead to the formation of a chromatin environment that inhibits transcription of several imprinted genes (28).

As tri-methyl H3K9 and tri-methyl H3K27 are known to be associated with inactive genes located within the heterochromatin (29,30), our data confirm the hypothesis that this modification, in BPH, could be responsible for inactivation of H19 expression. Moreover, lack of DNA methylation in the ICR of IGF2/H19 together with no detectable level of dimethyl H3K9 in PCa might provoke binding of CTCF to this region resulting in enhanced expression of H19, the role of which in carcinogenesis is still unclear. Interestingly, recent study from Dobosy et al (31) provided evidence that folate- and methyl-deficient diet causes decrease in di-methyl H3K9 modification within H19 promoter in prostate tissue of mature mice which also has been observed in our ChIP assay on human PCa tissue. Further studies investigating the relationship between DNA methylation, chromatin structure and DNA accessibility will provide insights into the epigenetic regulation of the IGF2/H19 locus in PCa. Several studies on epigenetics in PCa revealed that the aberration in post-translational modifications of histones occur in cancer cells only at individual promoters and no statistically significant correlation to clinical outcome could be found (32-34). Seligson et al (35) used a combination of immunohistochemistry and tissue microarray to determine the level of H3K9ac, H3K18ac, H4K12ac, H4R3 dimethyl and H3K4 methyl in PCa tissue. Data indicated that 60% of the samples show positive staining with antibodies against di-methyl H3K4. However, histone modifications differed between individual tissues. Nevertheless, it could be demonstrated that the global histone modification pattern can predict the risk of PCa recurrence.

Due to the heterogeneity of PCa tissue, it is difficult to avoid contamination by stromal cells surrounding the tumor. This may explain contradictory results in the literature regarding changes in the methylation pattern in PCa. In order to avoid false-positive and false-negative results caused by contamination with cancer epithelial cells in controls, we improved our investigations using s-methylacyl coenzyme-A racemase (AMACR) as a molecular marker for PCa to support the histological diagnosis. AMACR catalysed peroxisomal β-oxidation of dietary branch chain fatty acids and C-27 bile acid intermediates (36) and was reported to be overexpressed in PCa cells when compared with BPH cells (37,38). Our immunohistochemical and RT-PCR data confirmed previous studies from Bull et al (39) and Luo (40) reporting that AMACR expression is ~4-fold higher in prostate cancer epithelial cells when compared with BPH and, therefore, represent an appropriate marker to differentiate tumor prostate sections from normal stromal cells.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrates significant differences in the DNA methylation pattern within the DMR of IGF2/H19. In particular, aberrant methylation of CpG nucleotides correspond to the CTCF binding domain of H19 in PCa. Furthermore, we demonstrated that dimethyl H3K9 is associated with the ICR of IGF2/H19 in BPH, but not in PCa. Understanding DNA methylation/demethylation and histone modifications in CTCF binding domain of imprinted genes in more detail will shed new light on carcinogenesis and will greatly help to improve prognosis and therapy of prostate cancer.
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