Abstract. African-American (AA) men experience an increased risk of developing prostate cancers as well as increased mortality following treatment as compared to European-American (EA) men. The aim of our study was to identify biological factors with the potential to predispose AA men to prostate tumor progression and metastasis. To identify cancer-specific gene expression patterns in AA men, we established primary prostate cancer epithelial cells from 14 AA and 13 EA men. High-throughput microarrays were used to investigate differences in global gene expression comparing the two groups. Quantitative RT-PCR and immunohistochemistry validated mRNA and protein expression levels. RNAi knockdowns provided support for biological significance for the identified genes in prostate cancer cells. Son of sevenless homolog 1 (SOS1) was over-expressed in AA male-derived primary prostate cancer epithelial cells. Depletion of SOS1 in PC3 and DU145 prostate cancer cells resulted in decreased capacities for cell proliferation, migration and invasion, at least partially through inhibition of extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1 and 2. Tissue microarray analyses of SOS1 expression in prostate carcinomas correlated with Gleason’s grades of tumors, consistent with a possible role in prostate cancer progression. Investigation of prostate cancer-derived epithelial cells has led to identification of SOS1 as a potential candidate biomarker and molecular therapeutic target in prostate cancer in AA men, consistent with the hypothesis that a biological basis exists for prostate cancer aggressiveness in AA men.

Introduction

Prostate cancer is the most frequently diagnosed solid malignancy in American men and results in approximately 30,000 deaths annually (1). Comparisons of population-based registries consistently show that African-American (AA) men have the highest age-adjusted incidence (2). In the period 1996-2000, AA men had an age-adjusted incidence 1.6 times higher than that of European-American (EA) men (3). In addition, AA men have worse overall survival (risk ratio 1.35, 95% CI 1.23-1.48) (4). The reasons for elevated incidence and poorer prognosis in AA men are not clear. Differences in access to health care and management of local disease, and differences in disease biology have been suggested (5). However, recent studies have adjusted for socioeconomic variables, but continue to find an increased risk among AA men for prostate cancer-specific survival and biochemical recurrences (4-9). Therefore, examination of the potential biological differences offers an approach to identify and reduce this health disparity.

Previous investigations have identified genetic factors with the potential for increasing prostate cancer incidence and mortality in AA, suggesting differences in tumor biology (10-13). Such studies have addressed differences in the distributions of known or suspected risk factors among racial groups. Efforts to correlate more aggressive biology with racial differences have included whole-genome prostate cancer association studies which have identified susceptibility loci for prostate cancer (14-16). Increased androgen levels and androgen receptor expression have been implicated in the development and rapid progression of prostate cancer in AA (17-19). Also, higher levels of insulin-like growth factor-I and lower levels of IGF binding protein-3 have been associated with an increased risk of prostate cancer (20). However, such studies have not identified the presumed cancer-relevant genes, and the reasons for increased prostate cancer risk in the AA population remain to be defined.

Three reported studies have used gene expression profiling to compare prostate tissue from AA and EA patients (21-23). The study by Haqq et al compared gene expression in non-neoplastic prostate tissues from various ethnic groups (21). No cluster node distinguished non-cancerous prostate
tissue of AA from EA men, although differential expression levels of estrogen receptor α (ERα) were observed in the stroma (21). The study by Wallace et al analyzed differences in gene expression in prostate tumors from 33 African-American and 36 European-American men (22). This analysis revealed higher expression of genes that influence immune responses and metastasis in the tumors of African-American men compared with European-American men. Among the genes showing elevated expression in prostate tumors from African-American men were AMFR, CXCR4, and MMP9, all of which have been linked to cancer metastasis (22). The study mostly detected differential expression of immune responsive genetic programs in African-American as compared to European-American patients that offer potentially important leads for understanding the disease (22). The most recent study by Reams et al compared gene expression profiling in tumors with a Gleason's score of 6 from African-American males to prostate tumors in European-American males (23). This study also showed that the gene ontology terms prevalent in African-American male prostate tumor/normal ratios included interleukins, progesterone signaling, chromatin-mediated maintenance and myeloid dendritic cell proliferation (23).

The high degree of heterogeneity of prostate tissue presents a challenge for molecular studies of prostate cancer. The analysis of tumor tissues offers insight into contributions by both epithelial and stromal components; however, the presence of fibroblasts, inflammatory cells, and vascular endothelial cells increases the complexity in interpreting expressed gene patterns and must be taken into account when performing high-throughput analyses. Moreover, representation of each cell type within a given sample determines the overall expression profile and makes it difficult to compare prostate samples with varying epithelial and stromal contents (24). In this study we established primary cell strains from radical prostatectomy specimens of AA and EA men, which were matched for tumor stage and Gleason's grade. Global gene expression analyses in these primary epithelial cells showed increased levels of expression of genes associated with growth, migration and invasion in AA compared to EA suggesting a difference in epithelial cancer cell biology. Our findings of increased levels of expression of genes associated with growth, migration and invasion in AA as compared to EA men increases the complexity in interpreting expressed gene patterns and must be taken into account when performing high-throughput analyses.

Materials and methods

Generation of primary cell culture. The malignant tissues from African-American and European-American men used for generating primary cultures were obtained from radical prostatectomy specimens according to Walter Reed Medical Center and Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences Institutional Review Board approved protocols. The presence of prostatic adenocarcinoma was confirmed by light microscopy. Fresh prostatectomy tissue specimens were obtained under sterile conditions by an experienced pathologist. Tumor tissue on gross inspection was dissected separately for the purpose of generating a cell culture. The method for generating primary cell cultures has been previously described (25,26). Briefly, minced pieces of tissue were distributed to several collagen-coated cell culture dishes with keratinocyte serum-free medium (K-SFM) (Life Technologies, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum. The serum was used to stimulate primary prostate epithelial cells in culture (27). Tissue explants were grown for three weeks until reaching confluence. Aliquots of the primary cultures were then frozen and stored in liquid nitrogen until the cells were reestablished in secondary culture.

Cell growth and maintenance. Reconstituted primary cells were cultured for ≤5 passages. Cells were grown to the same confluence (~80%) in K-SFM supplemented with bovine pituitary extract and recombinant epidermal growth factor (Life Technologies, Inc.). Cells from 28 patients were expanded and 27 (14 AA and 13 EA) yielded sufficient cell numbers for gene expression studies.

Affymetrix microarray analysis. Total RNA was extracted using an RNeasy Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). RNA labeling and hybridization were performed according to Affymetrix standard protocol for one-cycle target labeling method. Fragmented cRNA was hybridized in triplicates to Affymetrix GeneChip HG-U133A 2.0 arrays (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA).

Data analysis, bioinformatics, and statistics. Affymetrix microarray data were analyzed to identify differentially expressed genes in the epithelial cells from the cohorts of AA (n=14) and EA (n=13) prostate cancer patients. Affymetrix data analysis included pre-processing of the probe-level Affymetrix data (CEL files). We applied RMA for background adjustment, quantile method for normalization, and the median polish for summarization. The replicate arrays representing the same subject were averaged. The random variance model implemented in BRB-ArrayTools (NCI, Bethesda, MD) was used for this analysis (28). Probe sets were considered statistically significant at a p-value <0.001. For each gene, BRB-ArrayTools calculated the false discovery rate (FDR), which is defined as the median number of false-positive genes divided by the number of significant genes. We also used BRB-ArrayTools to determine the most enriched binding sites in the promoters of differentially expressed genes. Pathway analysis was performed with Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) (29).

Quantitative RT-PCR. Total RNA extracted from primary cell strains (8 strains for each group) was reverse-transcribed using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed in triplicate using TaqMan Gene Expression Assays (Applied Biosystems) on the Applied Biosystems 7900HT Fast Real-time PCR System using standard mode. The assay ID numbers of the validated genes were as follows: autocrine mobility factor receptor (AMFR), Hs00181609_m1; cathepsin B (CTSB), Hs00947439_m1; catenin (cadherin-associated protein), β 1, 88 kDa (CTNNB1), Hs00170025_m1; son of sevenless homolog 1 (SOS1), Hs00362308_m1; metastasis-associated 1 family,
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Cell proliferation and FACS analysis of cell cycle. PC3 and
DU145 cells were seeded at 0.3x10^5 per well in 6-well plates
and maintained in RPMI medium supplemented with 10%
FBS for 24 h before being transfected with RNAi. Two days
after the RNAi treatments, cells were re-plated at 0.2x10^5
per well in 6-well plates. Cell proliferation rates were measured
by cell counting of samples in triplicate every 2 days. For
flow cytometry, cells were cultured for 72 h after RNAi
transfection, fixed in 70% ethanol, stained with propidium
iodide, and analyzed for cell cycle distribution by FACScan
as previously described (30).
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Results

SiRNA knockdown. Stealth RNAi (RNAi) to silence the
expression of AMFR or SOS1 and non-specific Block-it
RNA were obtained from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). The
controls included non-silencing Cy3-labeled siRNA and
Cy3-labeled GAPDH siRNA, obtained from Qiagen (Austin,
TX). PC3 cells were transfected with 10-50 nM siRNA.
Transfection was performed using Trans-TKO reagent from
Mirus (Madison, WI) according to the manufacturer's
instructions. Total RNA and protein were extracted 24, 48, 72
and 96 h and 7 days after transfection. The SOS1 and AMFR
mRNA levels were measured by qRT-PCR using TaqMan
Gene Expression Assays (Applied Biosystems) on the Applied
Biosystems 7900HT Fast Real-time PCR System using
standard mode as described above. Western blot analysis was
performed with anti-AMFR and anti-SOS1 antibodies from
Santa Cruz Biotechnology.

Wound healing assay. Cells were allowed to grow to complete
confluence and then starved in low serum (0.5%) for 24 h.

Subsequently, a plastic pipette tip was used to scratch the cell
monolayer to create a cleared area, and the wounded cell
layer was washed with fresh medium to remove loose cells.

Immediately following scratch wounding (0 h) and after
incubation of cells at 37°C for 20 h, phase-contrast images
(x10 field) of the wound healing process were photographed
digitally with an inverted microscope (Olympus IX50). The
distances of the wound areas were measured on the images,
set at 100% for 0 h, and the mean percentages of the total
distances of the wound areas were calculated.

Invasion assay. DU145 and PC3 cells were transfected with
SOS1 RNAi, AMFR RNAi and control RNAi and seeded in
duplicates at 5x10^4/insert in the BD BioCoat™ 24-Multwell
Tumor Invasion System (BD Biosciences) according to the
manufacturer's recommendations. After a 20-h incubation,
inserts were transferred to 24-well plates containing
fluorescent dye calcein AM (Molecular Probes) at 4 mg/ml
in Hank's Balance Salt Solution and incubated in an incubator
at 37°C in 5% CO2 for 1.5 h. Plates were read at excitation
485 nm/emission 530 nm in an Applied Biosystems
CytoFluor® 4000 multi-well plate reader.

Results
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To investigate potential biological differences in epithelial
cells in ethnic populations, we established primary cell
cultures from prostate tumors resected from AA and EA men
as described (25,26). Cultured cells, showing typical

Prostate cancer TMA containing 33 cases/63 cores
(BC19012) were purchased from US Biomax, Rockville, MD
and processed according to the manufacturer’s recommend-
ations. Briefly, slides were exposed to 1/50, 1/100, and 1/100 dilutions of primary antibodies for AMFR, SOS1 and MTA2
(Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) respectively for 1 h at room temperature (RT), and
biotin-conjugated broad spectrum secondary antibodies were
applied. Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin was
added for 10 min at RT, and HRP was detected by DAB
(Dako). Slides were counterstained with hematoxylin (Fisher,
Harris Modified Hematoxylin) at a 1:17 dilution for 2 min at
RT, blued in 1% ammonium hydroxide for 1 min at RT,
dehydrated, and mounted with Permount. Consecutive tumor
sections with the omitted primary antibody were used as
negative controls. Images were captured using an Olympus
DP70 microscope at x40 magnification.

Prostate cancer TMA containing 33 cases/63 cores
(BC19012) were purchased from US Biomax, Rockville, MD
and processed according to the manufacturer’s recommend-
ations. Three TMA slides were stained with each antibody.
The intensity and extent of the immunoreactivity were
microscopically evaluated in each core on three slides by a
pathologist (B. VS.K.) experienced in diagnosing prostate
carcinoma. Staining intensity was scored on an arbitrary 0 to
3+ scale, with 0 indicating no or trace staining and grades 1+
to 3+ representing increasing intensity. The extent of positive
intracytoplasmic staining was evaluated in a semiquantitative
manner. Scoring was based on the percentage of stained
epithelial cells and graded from 0 to 3, signifying 0-4%,
5-25%, 26-50% and >50%, respectively.

SiRNA knockdown. Stealth RNAi (RNAi) to silence the
expression of AMFR or SOS1 and non-specific Block-it
epithelial cell morphology were selected for gene expression profiling (14 AA and 13 EA cell cultures). Table I shows matching of the two cohorts of patients by age, tumor stage, Gleason’s score, seminal vesicle and lymph node involvement. Microarray data analysis identified 382 differentially expressed genes satisfying the selection criteria of \( p \leq 0.001 \) and FDR \( \leq 0.005 \) (0.5\%) (GSE17356). Supervised hierarchical cluster analysis showed that 67 genes were increased >1.5-fold in AA and 25 genes were increased >1.5-fold in EA and partitioned the two ethnic groups as demonstrated in the heatmap (Fig. 1). qRT-PCR for the selected genes, such as autocrine motility factor receptor (AMFR), metastasis-associated 1 family, member 2 (MTA2), son of sevenless 1 (SOS1), cyclin D2 (CCND2), cathepsin B (CTSB) and homeodomain-interacting protein kinase 3 (HIPK3), confirmed their higher expression levels in prostate cancer epithelial cells from AA men (Fig. 2). In addition, immunohistochemical analyses of normal prostate tissues and high-grade prostate carcinomas demonstrated that the genes identified in our study, such as AMFR, SOS1 and MTA2, were primarily expressed in epithelial cells in prostate tissues (Fig. 3). These data confirmed that cells isolated from prostatectomy specimens were enriched for the genes differentially expressed in the epithelial component of the prostate tumors.

To determine biological pathways significantly overrepresented in prostate cancer epithelial cells from AA men, the 92 differentially expressed genes with a 1.5 fold cut-off were analyzed using DAVID software (29). The identified biological processes included signal transduction, cell communication, focal adhesion, cell cycle and apoptosis (Table II). These same pathways were overrepresented in the study reporting comparisons of tumor tissues (22). While the

Table I. Clinical characteristics of the study population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>All cases (n=27)</th>
<th>African-American (n=14)</th>
<th>European-American (n=13)</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at prostatectomy, years</td>
<td>60.4 (42.2-75.6)</td>
<td>61.4 (46.1-71.6)</td>
<td>60.2 (42.2-75.6)</td>
<td>0.708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathologic stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( pT2, n )</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( pT3, n )</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gleason’s sum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;7 (5-6), n</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥7 (7-9), n</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seminal vesicle invasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No, n</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, n</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node invasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No, n</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, n</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical margin status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative, n</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive, n</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P-value, difference between African-Americans and European-Americans. *t-test, *Fisher’s exact test; all tests were two-sided. Cases with unknown status are not included.

Figure 1. Heatmap of upregulated and downregulated genes with a >1.5-fold change in AA and EA. Genes are ordered according to their cluster determined by the \( k \)-means algorithm. The first 14 cell strains are from AA men, the other 13 cell strains are from EA men. Green, lower expression; red, higher expression.
Figure 2. Differential gene expression in prostate epithelial cells from African-American (AA) and European-American (EU) men. qRT-PCR expression analysis of AMFR, CTSB, SOS1, MTA2, HIPK3 and CCND2 normalized by 18S rRNA. Each bar represents a mean of three independent experiments for one cell line; bars represent SD.

Figure 3. AMFR, SOS1 and MTA2 are overexpressed in clinical prostate cancer tissue specimens. Paraffin-embedded tissue sections of human normal prostate and prostate cancer specimens were immunostained with a polyclonal antibody against human AMFR, SOS1 and MTA2. Diaminobenzidine tetrachloride (DAB) and Mayer's hematoxylin were used as chromogenic substrates and counter stains, respectively. Intense AMFR, SOS1 and MTA2 immunoreactivity was noted in epithelial cells. AMFR and SOS1 immunostaining was observed in the cytoplasm, whereas MTA2 immunostaining was detected in the nuclei (original magnification x40). Subtype-specific pure rabbit IgG was used as a negative control.

Table II. Statistically significantly (p<0.05) altered gene ontology biological process terms in AA male-altered genes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GO term/KEGG</th>
<th>Term hits (of 92)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Enrichment score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Signal transduction</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4.2E-2</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell communication</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.4E-2</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apoptosis</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9.8E-3</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell cycle</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.4E-2</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal adhesion</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.4E-4</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate cancer</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.4E-3</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPK signaling</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.0E-4</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wnt signaling</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.3E-6</td>
<td>2.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tumor tissue analysis demonstrated overrepresentation of immune response processes, these biological processes were absent in our study consistent with our focus on gene expression in epithelial cancer cells. Closer examination of the expression data revealed that tumor cells from AA showed increases in expression of genes involved in survival, proliferation, migration, invasion and metastases (Table III). We found that 13 genes upregulated and 2 genes downregulated in prostate cancer of AA men were common between Wallace et al (22) and our studies (Table IV). Among genes upregulated in both studies were PSPHL (probe set 205048_s_at) and CRYBB2 (probe set 206777_s_at). According to Wallace et al, this two-gene signature accurately differentiates between tumor tissues from African-American and European-American patients, but not between non-tumorous tissues providing additional validation for our.

Table III. Differential gene expression of metastasis-, migration- and invasion-related genes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>FC (AA vs.EA)</th>
<th>Parametric p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMFR</td>
<td>Autocrine motility factor receptor</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>&lt;1e-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTSB</td>
<td>Cathepsin B</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.001552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTNNB1</td>
<td>Catenin (cadherin-associated protein), B 1, 88 kDa</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.0000002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOS1</td>
<td>Son of sevenless homolog 1 (Drosophila)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>&lt;1e-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA2</td>
<td>Metastasis-associated 1 family, member 2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.0000023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AXL</td>
<td>AXL receptor tyrosine kinase</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.0006603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLA2G4C</td>
<td>Phospholipase A2, group IV (cytosolic, calcium-independent)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.0008874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARPC4</td>
<td>Actin related protein 2/3 complex, subunit 4, 20 kDa</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.000138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTP4A1</td>
<td>Protein tyrosine phosphatase type IVA, member 1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>9.0E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPFIBP1</td>
<td>PTPRF interacting protein, binding protein 1 (liprin ß 1)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.92E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCKS</td>
<td>Myristoylated alanine-rich protein kinase C substrate</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.38E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUN</td>
<td>Jun oncogene</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.30E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKN2</td>
<td>Protein kinase N2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.50E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAMP1</td>
<td>Lysosomal-associated membrane protein 1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.000271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTNN</td>
<td>Cortactin</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.000111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRKL</td>
<td>v-cr k carcinoma virus CT10 oncogene homolog (avian)-like</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.000159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKP1</td>
<td>Plakophilin 1 (ectodermal dysplasia/skin fragility syndrome)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0001119</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table IV. Genes detected in two independent studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>FC</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>FDR</th>
<th>FC</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>FDR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMFR</td>
<td>Autocrine motility factor receptor</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1.0E-07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.4E-05</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSPH</td>
<td>Phosphoserine phosphatase</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.0E-07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.9E-11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRYBB2</td>
<td>Crystallin, ß B2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.0E-07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.3E-11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOS1</td>
<td>Son of sevenless homolog 1 (Drosophila)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.0E-07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.1E-07</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTNNB1</td>
<td>Catenin (cadherin-associated protein), B 1, 88 kDa</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.0E-07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.0E-02</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCND1</td>
<td>Cyclin D1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0E-07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3.0E-02</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCLS1</td>
<td>Hematopoietic cell-specific Lyn substrate 1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.9E-05</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>7.9E-03</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLC7A5</td>
<td>Solute carrier family 7 (cationic amino acid transporter, y+ system), member 5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>8.2E-04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.0E-02</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTS-1</td>
<td>Type 1 tumor necrosis factor receptor shedding aminopeptidase regulator</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.9E-05</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.8E-03</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCS1</td>
<td>Suppressor of cytokine signaling 1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.9E-05</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.0E-02</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISG20</td>
<td>Interferon stimulated exonuclease gene 20 kDa</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5.5E-04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>8.2E-03</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CROCC1</td>
<td>Ciliary rootlet coiled-coil, rootletin-like 1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.6E-06</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>7.2E-03</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLJ1</td>
<td>Friend leukemia virus integration 1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.7E-04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.0E-02</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPL47A</td>
<td>Ribosomal protein L37a</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.0E-07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.0E-02</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADI1</td>
<td>Acireductone dioxygenase 1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0E-07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.2E-04</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
system. Notably, the use of cell cultures allowed determination of differential expression of common genes with higher confidence (Table IV). This suggests that some genes identified in our study may have been missed in the analyses of tumor tissues.

KEGG pathway database analyses of differentially expressed genes in tumor tissue revealed that 7 upregulated genes were closely associated with the MAPKK cascade, deregulated in the epithelial cells from prostate cancers of AA men (Table II). Recently, an aberrant mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway has emerged as one of the key events in the multistep nature of prostate tumorigenesis and progression (31,32). An upstream activator of the MAPK signaling pathway, SOS1, was 2-fold upregulated in prostate cancer epithelial cells from AA men (Fig. 2). These data provide a rationale for further studies of the roles of SOS1 in prostate cancer progression, in particular in AA men.

SOS1 increases proliferation, migration and invasion in established model human prostate cancer cells. To determine how SOS1 may affect critical characteristics of prostate cancer cell behavior we knocked down levels of SOS1 in prostate cancer DU145 and PC3 cells (Fig. 4A) and measured proliferation, migration and invasion. The choice of cell lines was dictated by the lack of prostate cancer epithelial cell lines derived from AA patients and the aggressive phenotype of both PC3 and DU145 cells (33). Decreases in SOS1 levels inhibited growth of both PC3 and DU145 prostate cancer cells (Fig. 4B). Cell cycle analysis showed that knockdown of SOS1 causes G0/G1 phase cell cycle arrest and triggers cell death as suggested by increased numbers of cells in the sub-G1 phase (Fig. 4C). The wound healing and BD BioCoat Tumor Invasion assays demonstrated that decreases in SOS1 levels inhibit migration and invasion of prostate cancer cells (Fig. 4D and E). Decreases in SOS1 levels diminished levels...
allows simplification of epithelial cell analyses, free of component of cancers in AA and EA men. This approach identify differentially expressed genes in the epithelial we analyzed primary prostate cancer cells in culture to for further evaluation in prostate cancers from AA men. Discussion siveness and suggest SOS1 as a potential biomarker suitable finding to support a role for SOS1 in prostate cancer aggressiveness. Elevation of SOS1 expression correlates with high Gleason’s sum. Gleason’s sum of tumor tissues has been shown to correlate with clinical aggressiveness of prostate cancers. We reasoned that gene products contributing to prostate cancer aggressiveness should also show differential presence in tissues with a higher Gleason’s sum. To determine how expression of SOS1 correlates with prostate cancer aggressiveness, we analyzed protein expression in a prostate carcinoma tissue microarray containing 30 samples of prostate carcinoma with various Gleason’s sums and 3 samples of benign tissue. Moderate and strong expression levels of SOS1 protein were observed in tissues with Gleason’s sum ≥7, whereas benign tissues and prostate tumors with Gleason’s sum 6 showed only weak expression of SOS1 (Table V). There was no correlation between Gleason’s sum and the number of cells expressing SOS1. We interpret this finding to support a role for SOS1 in prostate cancer aggressiveness and suggest SOS1 as a potential biomarker suitable for further evaluation in prostate cancers from AA men.

Table V. Expression of SOS1 in prostate carcinomas with different Gleason’s scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gleason's sum</th>
<th>No. of samples</th>
<th>Negative (+)</th>
<th>Weak (++/+++)</th>
<th>Moderate/strong (+++/++++)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Elevated SOS1 expression correlates with high Gleason’s sum. SOS1, activator of Ras/MAPK, is upregulated in AA prostate cancer cells. This may underlie MAPK signaling cascade deregulation in AA prostate cancer cells, as predicted by the bioinformatics analysis of microarray data. The Ras/MAPK signaling cascade represents a pivotal molecular circuitry for prostate cancer evolution, driving cell proliferation and enhancing cell migration, invasion and metastases (32,36,37). It has been previously shown that inhibition of ERK signaling with small molecule inhibitors or siRNA inhibited prostate cancer cell proliferation (34), grossly impaired clonogenicity and invasion through Matrigel (33). As an activator of Ras and hence MAPK signaling, SOS1 may be a promising cancer therapy target. Here, we demonstrated that SOS1 siRNA knockdown decreases cell survival and proliferation, migration and invasion in prostate cancer cells. The mechanism for such an inhibition, at least partially, relies on inhibition of ERK1/2 phosphorylation. We propose that increased levels of SOS1 may contribute to prostate cancer cell proliferation and migration through activation of ERK signaling. The tissue microarray data suggest that increased expression of SOS1 correlates with a higher Gleason’s score, and hence with prostate cancer aggressiveness. Further correlation of SOS1 expression in epithelial cell cultures offer greater sensitivity than in prostate tumor tissue in identifying differences specific to malignant epithelial cells.

We observed that SOS1, activator of Ras/MAPK, is upregulated in AA prostate cancer cells. This may underlie MAPK signaling cascade deregulation in AA prostate cancer cells, as predicted by the bioinformatics analysis of microarray data. The Ras/MAPK signaling cascade represents a pivotal molecular circuitry for prostate cancer evolution, driving cell proliferation and enhancing cell migration, invasion and metastases (32,36,37). It has been previously shown that inhibition of ERK signaling with small molecule inhibitors or siRNA inhibited prostate cancer cell proliferation (34), grossly impaired clonogenicity and invasion through Matrigel (33). As an activator of Ras and hence MAPK signaling, SOS1 may be a promising cancer therapy target. Here, we demonstrated that SOS1 siRNA knockdown decreases cell survival and proliferation, migration and invasion in prostate cancer cells. The mechanism for such an inhibition, at least partially, relies on inhibition of ERK1/2 phosphorylation. We propose that increased levels of SOS1 may contribute to prostate cancer cell proliferation and migration through activation of ERK signaling. The tissue microarray data suggest that increased expression of SOS1 correlates with a higher Gleason’s score, and hence with prostate cancer aggressiveness. Further correlation of SOS1 expression in epithelial cell cultures offer greater sensitivity than in prostate tumor tissue in identifying differences specific to malignant epithelial cells.
mechanism by which SOS1 promotes cancer progression in AA men and to establish the paradigm for anticancer drug development.

In addition, we detected enhanced expression of AMFR in prostate cancers from AA, which was previously detected in the study by Wallace et al (22). The biological functions of AMFR relate to migration and metastases. Stimulation of AMFR by its ligand autocrine motility factor alters cellular adhesion, proliferation, motility, and apoptosis. AMFR upregulation correlates with more advanced tumor stage and decreased survival for cancers of the lung, esophagus, stomach, colon, rectum, liver and skin (38). AMFR also serves as an independent predictor of poor disease prognosis in these various tumor types (38). We found that a decrease in AMFR levels with siRNA induces death in prostate cancer cells and inhibits their migration (data not shown). Downstream signaling mechanisms activated by AMFR are not completely understood. Recently, a role for AMFR in sarcoma metastasis has been suggested through targeting of the transmembrane metastasis suppressor, KAI1, for degradation (39). Notably, KAI1 protein expression was downregulated in more than 70% of primary prostate cancers, and more than 90% of metastatic prostate cancers (40,41). This suggests the possibility that upregulation of AMFR in prostate cancers impacts degradation of KAI1 to contribute to disease progression through increased survival and migration. Further studies are necessary to establish a role of AMFR in prostate cancer and to validate it as a molecular target for anticancer therapy.

In summary, we investigated gene expression in prostate cancer to address racial health disparity. That AA men present with more advanced prostate cancers at diagnosis and demonstrate more aggressive disease progression than do EA men has been supported by epidemiological studies (4,16). Health disparity investigations have generally focused on access to health care and socioeconomic status; however, disparity in prognosis is observed even for patients participating in health maintenance organizations, in which access to health care is comparable for AA and EA patients (16). AA males whose prostate surgical margins contain cancer cells seem to have greater biological aggressiveness of residual disease, a higher clinical recurrence rate of disease, and lower survival rates even after radical prostatectomy (42). Our approach does not include epithelial-stromal or -inflammatory cell interactions, which have been shown to contribute to the carcinogenic process (43). However, our approach provides insight into prostate cancer epithelial cells, the presumed vehicle of the malignant process. Our data identified SOS1 and AMFR as representative biological factors of cancer aggressiveness consistent with the clinical findings of higher disease stage at diagnosis and more aggressive clinical courses of prostate cancer in African-American as compared to European-American patients. Confirmation of these molecules in a larger prospective study is needed to validate the candidate genes as biomarkers or therapeutic targets.
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