Abstract. Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is a potential treatment for the peritoneal dissemination of gastric cancer, because its cytotoxicity is limited to superficial lesions. We examined the accumulation of talaporfin in peritoneal metastatic nodules and determined the optimal laser condition for these nodules. We also evaluated the pathological response after therapy. We created a peritoneal metastasis model in nude mice using the MKN-45 EGFP cell line. We evaluated the accumulation of talaporfin in peritoneal metastatic nodules and normal organs by spectrophotometric analysis 2-8 h after i.p. talaporfin. To determine optimal PDT conditions, we treated metastatic nodules and the small intestine using multiple laser doses (2, 5, and 10 J/cm², respectively). Accumulation of talaporfin was detected in metastatic nodules in higher intensities than in the small intestine. The fluorescent intensity of the peritoneal metastatic nodules gradually decreased dependent on the time interval between the laser treatment and talaporfin administration. Fluorescent intensity in the small intestine decreased more than in the metastatic nodules. The pathological response rates by dose were 52.5% at 2 J/cm², 43.2% at 5 J/cm², and 64.4% at 10 J/cm², respectively, when the laser treatment was used 2 h after talaporfin administration, whereas at 4 h, they were 20.8, 25.5, and 26.2%, respectively. Finally, the recommended treatment conditions were considered to be a 2 J/cm² laser dose and a 4-h interval in terms of toxicity. Talaporfin-mediated PDT may be an effective treatment modality for patients with advanced gastric adenocarcinoma and metastatic peritoneal nodules.

Introduction

Gastric cancer remains a worldwide health problem, accounting for 10% of all new cancer diagnoses and 12% of all cancer-related deaths. Diagnosis of gastric cancer is often made when the disease is advanced and unresectable (1). In Japan, a nation-wide screening program has resulted in early diagnosis and prompt surgical intervention and has thus improved the prognosis of patients with primary gastric cancer, resulting in a 5-year over all survival rate of 68.2% (2). However, the prognosis of patients with advanced gastric cancer remains poor, with 5-year survival rates of 43.5% for stage III cancer and 9.9% for stage IV cancer.

Peritoneal dissemination is one of the most common recurrence patterns after radical resection of advanced gastric cancer; it is observed in 25.6% of patients with serosa-positive gastric cancer (2). Peritoneal dissemination is thought to be caused by micrometastatic nodules on peritoneal surfaces or floating cancer cells in the abdominal cavity that were not detected at the time of surgery. If peritoneal recurrence rates can be reduced, the prognosis of advanced gastric cancer will be dramatically improved.

To date, many clinical trials aimed at decreasing peritoneal recurrences have been conducted. These trials have studied such treatment modalities as systemic adjuvant chemotherapy (3-8), intraperitoneal chemoperfusion with or without hyperthermia (9) and chemoradiotherapy (10,11). Although several meta-analyses showed a marginally significant benefit of some of the above therapies, no single randomized clinical trial has demonstrated a significant survival benefit. It is therefore widely thought that there is currently no standard effective therapy for preventing peritoneal recurrence of gastric cancer.

In photodynamic therapy (PDT), a systemically administered photosensitizing agent is activated by laser light of a specific wavelength delivered by an optical fiber. Light-activated photosensitizer molecules react with endogenous oxygen, resulting in the generation of singlet oxygen, which initiates a series of intracellular events that result in the destruction of target tissues while avoiding significant side effects in the patient. With respect to side-effect profiles
and damage to normal tissue, PDT has the advantage over radiation because the photosensitizing agent used in PDT specifically accumulates in cancer cells as opposed to normal cells. PDT has become increasingly accepted as a treatment option for early lung cancers, gastric cancer, esophageal cancer, and others (12-14), and has also been clinically applied in poor surgical candidates with advanced cancers (15-17). Because laser energy penetrates to a depth of only 2 mm in tissues (18,19), the cytotoxicity of PDT is limited to the treatment of superficial lesions. Therefore, disseminated small nodules on the peritoneal surface could potentially be suitable targets for PDT.

Talaporfin, a second-generation photosensitizer, has several advantages over the first-generation photosensitizers, including a lack of prolonged photosensitization and a shorter interval (4-6 h) required between drug administration and laser light exposure (20,21) as compared to photofrin, a first-generation drug that requires 48-72 h. Talaporfin-mediated PDT has been examined in the treatment of several solid tumors (22,23). However, thus far, no experimental or clinical studies have used talaporfin-mediated PDT for the treatment of peritoneally disseminated gastric cancer. In this study, we examined the efficacy of talaporfin-mediated PDT in the treatment of peritoneal gastric cancer micrometastases using a mouse model.

Materials and methods

Cell culture. MKN-28 and MKN-45 EGFP (which was made by transfecting pEGFP-N1 into the MKN-45 cell line) cells were provided by the Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan (24). MKN-7 cells were purchased from the Health Science Research Resources Bank (Osaka, Japan). The cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium with 10% FBS and antibiotics.

Photosensitizer and laser light delivery system. We used talaporfin sodium provided by Meiji Seika Kaisha Ltd., Tokyo, Japan. It was reconstituted in normal saline to a final concentration of 25 mg/ml and stored in the dark at -20°C until used. The laser we used was a diode laser system (Diode laser, Panasonic Shikoku Electronics Co., Ltd., Yokohama, Japan). The treatment wavelengths used were 664 nm and 150 mW/cm².

Spectrophotometric analysis device. We examined the uptake and accumulation of talaporfin in peritoneal metastatic nodules, liver and small intestine using a semiconductor laser with a VLD-M1 spectrometer (M&M Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) that exposed a laser light with a peak wavelength of 405±1 nm and a light output of 140 mW. The spectrometer and its accessory software (BW-Spec V3.24; B&W TEK, Inc., Newark, Del., USA) were used to analyze the spectrum waveform (25) and revealed an amplitude peak (relative fluorescent intensity) at a wavelength of 508 nm for MKN-45 EGFP, at 505 nm for autofluorescence and at 678 nm for talaporfin. The relative intensity of the talaporfin solution (with concentrations ranging from 0 to 50 μg/ml), which was measured by the spectrometer, was observed to have a linear correlation with the talaporfin concentration. To reduce measurement error, we compared the relative fluorescent intensity ratio of talaporfin in the target tissue, which was calculated by dividing the relative fluorescent intensity by that of GFP, or the known autofluorescence.

An in vivo mouse model of peritoneal metastasis. Five-week-old BALB/c male nude mice (CLEA Japan Inc., Osaka, Japan) were used in this study. We created a peritoneal dissemination model using the method described below. An aliquot of 1x10⁶ MKN-45 EGFP cells was injected into the peritoneal cavity of nude mice. Seven days after injection of the cells, mice were subjected to fluorescent stereomicroscopic observation, and several GFP fluorescent nodules, which were <1 mm in diameter, were detected on the omentum and mesentery. The animal experiments were carried out according to the Institutional ethics guidelines.

Cytotoxicity of talaporfin-mediated PDT on cell lines. The cytotoxic effect of PDT was measured using the CellTiter 96 Aqueous One Solution cell proliferation assay (Promega, Madison, WI). MKN-45 EGFP cells were suspended in RPMI-1640 with 10% FBS and subsequently placed into 96-well plates at a concentration of 2x10⁴ cells/well. MKN-7 and MKN-28 cells were plated at a concentration of 4x10⁴ cells/well. The cells were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The indicated concentration of talaporfin (0-30 μg/ml) was then added and cells were incubated for another 24 h. After incubation, the cells were washed twice with PBS(-), resuspended in fresh medium, and treated with laser light at one of the following doses: 2, 5, 10, 30, or 50 J/cm². After the laser treatment, the cells were incubated for 48 h at 37°C. The CellTiter 96 Aqueous One Solution was then added to the wells, and the absorbency was measured 3 h later at a wavelength of 490 nm on an automatic microplate reader (Benchmark; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The survival ratio of the treated cells was compared to that of untreated control cells that did not receive PDT.

Evaluation of talaporfin concentration in an in vivo mouse model of peritoneal metastasis. Talaporfin sodium (5 or 10 mg/kg) was administered intraperitoneally to the peritoneal dissemination model mice 14 days after initial cell injection. The mice were laparotomized at 2, 4 and 8 h after talaporfin administration, and peritoneal metastatic nodules were excised and washed with normal saline. Next, we measured the relative fluorescent intensity ratio of talaporfin using a spectrometer. We also measured the same ratio in liver and intestine using the same method at 2, 4 and 8 h after intraperitoneal administration of 10 mg/kg of talaporfin.

Evaluation of the pathological response of PDT. We treated the target nodules using 2, 5, and 10 J/cm² doses of laser light using a special probe that adjusted the photo-radiated field to 5 mm in diameter and shaded the region outside of the target to minimize damage to normal tissues. We then performed a second laparotomy on PDT day 3, excised the tissue in the treatment field, and preserved it in formalin.

The removed tissues were fixed in 10% formaldehyde and sliced into 0.5 mm serial longitudinal sections. The pathological
response of PDT was evaluated by comparing the proportion of viable cancer cells with that of all cancer cells in the tissue in hematoxylin and eosin-stained sections of the surgical specimens. Pathologic response was classified as follows: grade 1, a minor effect, with viable cancer cells accounting for more than two-thirds of the tumor tissue; grade 2, a moderate effect, with viable cancer cells accounting for more than one-third but less than two-thirds of the tumor tissue; and grade 3, a pathological response in which viable cancer cells account for less than one-third of the tumor tissue. Grade 3 also includes specimens that have no residual viable cancer cells.

Statistical analysis. Associations between the pathological effects in two groups were analyzed using the Fisher’s exact test. In all analyses, P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the StatView software package, version 5.0 (Abacus Concepts, Inc., Berkeley, CA).

Results

The effects of PDT on cultured cancer cell lines. The in vitro anti-cancer effects of talaporfin-mediated PDT were evaluated using three gastric cancer cell lines: MKN-45 EGFP, MKN-7 and MKN-28. After each cell line was incubated with one of the indicated concentrations of talaporfin (range 0-30 μg/ml) for 24 h, they were treated with laser light at a dose of 0 J/cm² (controls) or 10 J/cm² (cases). In order to cause cell death in >50% cell deaths using this laser dose, at least 5 and 10 μg/ml of talaporfin were necessary for MKN-45 EGFP cells (A) and for MKN-7 (B) and MKN-28 cells (C), respectively.

Uptake and accumulation of talaporfin in peritoneal metastatic nodules. Before starting in vivo experiments, we first examined whether talaporfin accumulated in peritoneal metastatic nodules. Fourteen days after the i.p. injection of 1x10⁶ of MKN-45 EGFP cells into the abdominal cavity of mice (by which time the peritoneal metastases should reach 1-2 mm in diameter according to our preliminary studies), 10 mg/kg of talaporfin was intraperitoneally administered. The mice were sacrificed 2, 4 and 8 h later, and their micrometastatic nodules (tagged with GFP) were excised and subjected to spectrometric analysis (VLD-M1 M&M Co. Ltd., Osaka, Japan) to determine the talaporfin concentration in the metastatic foci. Samples of liver and small intestine were
also removed and subjected to spectrophotometric analysis.

Peritoneal metastatic nodules showed two peaks of fluorescence emission spectra by spectrophotometric analysis: one at 508 nm, corresponding to GFP, and another at 668 nm, corresponding to talaporfin. The small intestine also showed a weak but notable level of fluorescence at 668 nm. Fluoromicroscopic findings of the nodules in mice that were intraperitoneally injected with MKN-45 EGFP cells followed by 10 mg/kg of i.p. talaporfin. The peritoneal micrometastatic nodules were observed by normal white light microscopy (B). Green fluorescence (indicating GFP) (C), and red fluorescence (indicating talaporfin) (D) were observed using fluorescence microscopy.

Figure 3. (A) Ten mg/kg of talaporfin was intraperitoneally administered to mice that had been inoculated with MKN-45 EGFP to create an in vivo model of peritoneal metastasis. Four hours after talaporfin administration, the spectrum waveform of the peritoneal metastatic nodules, liver, and small intestine were analyzed by a VLD-M1 spectrometer. Peritoneal metastatic nodules showed two peaks of fluorescence emission spectra by spectrophotometric analysis, one at 508 nm, corresponding to GFP, and another at 668 nm, corresponding to talaporfin. The small intestine also showed a weak but significant level of fluorescence at 668 nm. Fluoromicroscopic findings of the nodules in mice that were intraperitoneally injected with MKN-45 EGFP cells followed by 10 mg/kg of i.p. talaporfin. The peritoneal micrometastatic nodules were observed by normal white light microscopy (B). Green fluorescence (indicating GFP) (C), and red fluorescence (indicating talaporfin) (D) were observed using fluorescence microscopy.

Optimal dose of injected talaporfin and optimal time-point of laser treatment in an in vivo mouse model of peritoneal metastasis

To determine the optimal talaporfin dose, we measured the relative fluorescence intensity ratio in peritoneal metastatic nodules after i.p. administration of various doses of talaporfin (Fig. 4A). The relative fluorescent intensity ratio of metastatic nodules was calculated by dividing the relative fluorescent intensity of these tissues by that of GFP. Mice treated with 10 mg/kg of talaporfin had a relative intensity ratio that was about 3-fold higher than that in mice treated with 5 mg/kg of talaporfin. Next, to determine the optimal time-point of laser treatment after talaporfin administration - at which cytotoxic effects on normal tissues are reduced while cytotoxic effects on tumor cells are maintained - we
measured talaporfin concentration over time in mouse peritoneal metastatic nodules, liver and small intestines using spectrometry. Relative fluorescent intensity ratios of the liver and the small intestine were calculated by dividing the relative fluorescent intensity of these tissues by the known autofluorescent intensity. The relative fluorescent intensity ratios in peritoneal tumors gradually decreased with time as compared with the relative fluorescent intensity ratio present 2 h after talaporfin administration (78% was present at 4 h and 48% was present at 8 h). The relative fluorescent intensity ratio 2 h after intravenous administration of talaporfin was lower than that obtained via intraperitoneal injection. Relative fluorescent ratios in liver (C) and small intestine (D) were decreased (as compared to the 2 h value) to 79% of the 2 h value at 4 h, 31% at 8 h, 36% at 4 h and 24% at 8 h, respectively.

Pathological response in peritoneal cancer nodules by PDT.
We evaluated the effects of talaporfin-mediated PDT on peritoneal metastases using an in vivo mouse model of peritoneal metastasis. Seven days after i.p. injection of 1x10^6 of MKN-45 EGFP cells, mice were given 10 mg/kg of talaporfin intraperitoneally. Mice were laparatomized 2 h or 4 h later, and then treated with varying doses of laser light.

Twenty-four hours after the laser treatment, the mice were re-laparatomized to assess the effects of PDT. We found edematous changes and few or no adhesions on the laser-treated tissues macroscopically. However, a white color change was frequently noted on the liver, which was found to be coagulative necrosis on microscopic analysis. The average size of targeted omental nodules was 696±474 μm.

In mice that underwent PDT 2 h after talaporfin administration, a grade 3 response was seen in 52.5% of cancer nodules at a laser dose of 2 J/cm^2, in 43.2% at a dose of 5 J/cm^2, and in 64.4% at a dose of 10 J/cm^2, respectively. In mice that underwent PDT 4 h after talaporfin administration, the rates of a grade 3 response were 20.8% at a dose of 2 J/cm^2, 25.5% at a dose of 5 J/cm^2, and 26.2% at a dose of 10 J/cm^2, respectively. Anti-tumor effects were significantly dependent on the time interval between the laser treatment and talaporfin administration at all laser doses (2 J/cm^2, P<0.0001; 5 J/cm^2, P=0.022; 10 J/cm^2, P<0.0001), but it was independent of the laser dose at all time intervals (Fig. 5).

To determine PDT conditions that would be tolerable in terms of toxicity, we treated the small intestine and mesenterium 1-6 cm proximal to the ileocecal junction with various laser doses. In mice that were treated with a 2 h interval between talaporfin administration and laser treatment, substantial edematous changes were seen in the ileum at a dose of 2 J/cm^2, and remarkable edematous and ischemic changes were seen at doses of 5 and 10 J/cm^2 1 day after PDT. The mice that were treated with a laser dose of 2 J/cm^2 with a 2 h interval (n=5) all died of intestinal perforation.
within 3 days after PDT treatment. On the other hand, in mice treated with a 4 h interval, remarkable edema was noted at a dose of 10 J/cm², but only slight edematous changes were noted at a dose of 5 J/cm² and no changes were noted at a dose of 2 J/cm². All the mice that were treated with a laser dose of 2 J/cm² with a 4 h interval (n=5) survived without any complications until 30 days after PDT treatment. Thus, the optimal treatment conditions for talaporfin-mediated PDT are considered to be a laser dose of 2 J/cm² and a 4 h interval between drug administration and laser treatment (Table I).

**Discussion**

PDT has proved to be a promising new therapeutic modality in the treatment of cancer. Porphyrin-based photosensitizers such as hematoporphyrin derivative and porphyrin sodium are most often used clinically for the treatment of cancer. But recently, promising results using new generation photosensitizers, such as talaporfin and 5-aminolevulinic acids (ALA), are frequently reported to shorten the in vivo retention that increases the risk of phototoxicity and the interval required between drug administration and laser treatment. This study is the first to show that intraperitoneally injected talaporfin accumulates in peritoneal metastatic nodules from gastric cancer and that talaporfin-mediated PDT exerts substantial anti-tumor effect in vivo (using a mouse model of peritoneal metastasis).

With regard to 5-aminolevulinic acids (ALA), numerous studies have reported that 5-ALA is useful for photodynamic diagnosis (PDD) of various malignant tumors (26-28). Several reports have shown promising preliminary results of 5-ALA-mediated photodynamic therapy (PDT) in the treatment of malignant tumors in the abdominal cavity (29,30). However, there are no reports examining 5-ALA-mediated PDT for peritoneal metastasis in gastrointestinal cancers, probably because of its low anti-tumor effects (31,32).

On the other hand, porphyrin-mediated PDT was reported to show survival benefit in animal models of peritoneal metastasis. Also, clinical trials examining debulking surgery followed by intraoperative photofirin-mediated PDT for peritoneal or pleural dissemination showed good local control rates with acceptable toxicity (33-35). The anti-tumor effects of photofirin-mediated PDT were more potent than those of 5-ALA-mediated PDT, but the toxicity rates were higher. Surgical debulking and i.p. PDT using photofirin for peritoneal dissemination was associated with a risk of capillary leak syndrome, which is a significant inflammatory response syndrome after surgery and necessitates massive fluid resuscitation, careful ICU monitoring, and, frequently, prolonged ventilator support (36). Capillary leak syndrome is essentially considered to be burn of an extensive surface area of the peritoneal cavity. If PDT is to become a clinically useful therapy, it must prevent the occurrence of capillary leak syndrome.

In our study examining talaporfin-mediated PDT, no lethal side effects, such as capillary leak syndrome, were noted. Talaporfin-mediated PDT has the advantage of a lack of prolonged photosensitization because the drug washes out early in normal tissue. Talaporfin-mediated PDT may therefore be less toxic than photofirin-mediated PDT and may reduce the risk of capillary leak syndrome, although these findings need to be confirmed in clinical trials.

Initially, we employed an i.v. route for talaporfin administration because this method had been used previously in mouse experiments (18,37). However, our preliminary study showed that, as compared with i.v. administration, i.p. administration resulted in a higher accumulation of talaporfin in the metastatic nodules as well as a more complete pathological effect (data not shown).

Gormer et al (20) showed that talaporfin concentration in subcutaneous tumors and other organs did not significantly differ according to the mode of drug administration (i.v. versus i.p.), the tumor size or the time of laser treatment (4 h and 24 h after talaporfin administration). The difference between Gormer’s results and ours may be explained by the difference of targeted tumor sites (subcutaneous tumors vs. peritoneal tumors). Particularly in the case of peritoneal metastasis, the optimal treatment conditions for talaporfin administration and laser treatment are considered to be a laser dose of 2 J/cm² and a 4 h interval between drug administration and laser treatment (Table I).

**Table I. Toxicity: optical findings of the ileum 1 day after PDT and mortality.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interval between drug injection and laser treatment</th>
<th>Laser dose (J/cm²)</th>
<th>Died/number of mice (day after treated at a laser dose of 2 J/cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>(+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 h</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>(+)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.0001; **P<0.022*
metastatic nodules, which have poor tumor blood supply, cancer cells seem to be more directly exposed to intra-peritoneally administered talaporfin than to intravenously administered talaporfin. As shown in this study, the relative intensity ratio of peritoneal tumors 2 h after talaporfin administration was higher in animals administered talaporfin by the i.p. route than in those treated with i.v. talaporfin (Fig. 4B). In the photofirin study, Perry et al showed no difference in the intestinal uptake of photofirin according to the route of administration, but did demonstrate an increased photofirin elimination half-time in peritoneal tumors treated via the i.p. route (38). Drug uptake studies demonstrated an increase in 14C-labeled mTHPC (meta-tetrahydroxyphenyl-chlorin) in peritoneally disseminated tumors after i.p. administration of the drug as compared with i.v. administration (39). Taking into consideration the results of the above reports and ours, as compared with the i.v. administration route of talaporfin, the i.p. administration route increases the intratumoral concentration of talaporfin in peritoneal tumors, without increasing the concentration in other organs. Therefore, we think that the i.p. route should be the first choice for talaporfin-mediated PDT in the treatment of peritoneal tumors.

The anti-cancer effects of PDT have been theorized to be associated with not only direct singlet oxygen cytotoxicity on cancer cells but also with damage to tumor vasculature. It has been reported that responsiveness to PDT after i.v. talaporfin administration correlates with the time interval between drug administration and laser treatment, laser dose and photosensitizer levels in the plasma, but does not correlate with the photosensitizer concentration in tumor tissue, which suggests that damage to tumor blood vessels may be a primary target in talaporfin-mediated PDT (20,37). Interestingly, however, there was no significant correlation between the pathological response and laser dose in i.p. talaporfin-mediated PDT in our study. In our study, the target lesions were peritoneal metastatic nodules on the omentum, where tumor blood supply is extremely poor. Previous mechanistic investigations have shown that talaporfin enters the cells via endocytosis (21). Therefore, in the case of peritoneal tumors, talaporfin might enter the tumor cells directly by endocytosis rather than by the surrounding tumor vessels. If this is true, the anti-tumor effects of i.p. talaporfin-mediated PDT might be mediated by direct singlet oxygen cytotoxicity rather than by damage to tumor vasculature.

In our in vitro study, high rates of cytotoxicity were found at a treatment dose of 2 J/cm², and there were no significant differences in cell survival rates between doses ranging from 2 to 10 J/cm². Thus, a laser dose of 2 J/cm² was considered to be enough energy to consume the absorbed talaporfin in the micrometastatic nodules. In our in vivo study, we showed that a laser dose of 2 J/cm² was adequate to treat small nodules (<1 mm in diameter) in i.p. talaporfin-mediated PDT.

Our study demonstrated that talaporfin accumulated in small peritoneal metastatic nodules by i.p. drug administration and that i.p. talaporfin-mediated PDT did not require a high laser dose. The pathological response rate was about 20% under optimal conditions, which is comparable to that of chemotherapy. We think that the biggest benefit of talaporfin-mediated PDT is the short interval required between drug administration and light exposure. This advantage makes it possible to perform PDT immediately after surgery for gastric cancer in confirmed cases of serosa-positive cancer or in cases with positive cytology from peritoneal washings. Thus, intraperitoneally delivered talaporfin-mediated PDT seems to be a promising treatment modality for peritoneal recurrence of gastric cancer and may have a role in the prevention of peritoneal dissemination after surgery.
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