Radiation-inducible silencing of uPA and uPAR

in vitro and in vivo in meningioma
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Abstract. Stereospecific radiation treatment offers a distinct opportunity for temporal and spatial regulation of gene expression at tumor sites by means of inducible promoters. To this end, a plasmid, pCARG-U2, was constructed by incorporating nine CArG elements (in tandem) of EGR1 gene upstream to uPA and uPAR siRNA oligonucleotides in a pCi-neo vector. Radiation-induced siRNA expression was detected in a meningioma cell line (IOMM-Lee). Immunoblotting and RT-PCR analyses confirmed downregulation of detected in a meningioma cell line (IOMM-Lee). Immunoblotting and RT-PCR analyses confirmed downregulation of uPA and uPAR. A similar effect was observed in transfected cells followed by H2O2 treatment. Moreover, pre-treatment of transfected cells with N-acetyl L-cysteine blocked the silencing of uPA and uPAR, which further confirmed the oxidative damage-mediated downregulation. Cell proliferation assays and Western blot analysis for apoptotic molecules confirmed cell death in a radiation-inducible fashion. Migration and Matrigel invasion assays also revealed a marked decrease in migration and invasion. Immunocytochemistry showed a marked decrease in uPA and uPAR levels in transfected and irradiated cells. H&E staining revealed a decrease in the pre-established tumor volume among the animals treated with pCARG-U2 and radiation. Immunohistochemistry of the brain sections established with intracranial tumors also revealed a marked decrease in uPA and uPAR in a radiation-inducible fashion. Taken together, our data suggest pCARG-U2 as a suitable candidate for radiation-inducible gene therapy.

Introduction

Advancements in radiation treatment regimens, such as intensity-modulated radiation therapy and image-guided four-dimensional radiation therapy, have increased the precision of dose delivery to the tumor site while limiting exposure to surrounding normal tissues. Apart from the proven efficiency of curative radiation therapy, evasive strategies adopted by the cancer cells to become radioresistant and the fact that radiotherapy is generally useful against tumors that have not metastasized, invite sensitization or combination methods of treatment. Reports of radioresistance and radiation-induced invasive and angiogenic characteristics in gliomas and other brain cancers have been gaining significance (1,2). Accordingly, strategies exploiting concomitant administration of radiotherapy along with cytotoxic chemotherapies and radiosensitization drugs or inhibitors of cellular repair processes and/or tumor cell proliferation have evolved. However, toxicity to normal tissue or differential sensitization among malignant versus normal cells are the issues that have not yet been addressed. On the other hand, the precision of radiation treatment provides a potential opportunity to utilize tumor-specific and inducible promoter systems with therapeutic genes that kill tumor cells when expressed (3,4).

The simultaneous use of ionizing radiation and gene therapy, which targets tumor cells via independent cytotoxic actions, additively minimizes the evolution of resistant cells and even eliminates the otherwise radioresistant cells. Gene therapy targeted by radiation is a concept in which the complementary DNA for a cytotoxic gene is ligated downstream of a radiation-inducible promoter. Although still in its infancy, a number of clinical gene therapy trials have already been conducted (5). The induction of early response by ionizing radiation involves the expression of immediate early genes that code for transcription factors (e.g., Fox, Jun, AP-1, NF-xB) as well as cytokines and growth factors [e.g., early growth response factor-1 (EGR-1)] (6,7). Among the genes whose expressions are induced by ionizing radiation, the promoters of EGR-1 (8), p21 (9) and others (10,11) have been successfully used to control gene expression by ionizing radiation in both in vitro and in vivo experiments. Functional analysis of the EGR-1 gene demonstrates that the DNA sequences that drive the radiation-inducible response are located in the enhancer region of the consensus sequence CC(A/T)6GG, also known as CArG elements. This promoter was able to control spatial and temporal expression of suicide genes such as TNF-α (12) and caspase 8 (13). Joki et al (14) have shown the radioenhancibility of the EGR-1 promoter in
glioma cells by attaching the luciferase reporter gene to EGR-1 (EGR-Luc). For optimal delivery, the shortening of the promoter sequence without loss of response is very attractive for gene therapy use due to the limitations in vector capacity to accommodate large inserts. Also, synthetic promoters lack the binding sites for potentially antagonistic transcription factors seen in the native EGR-1 promoter that could restrict radioresponsiveness. The development of synthetic promoters is a means of inhibiting non-radiation-induced transcription factors and ensuring the response is selectively radiation-stimulated. The four-CARG element cassette (E4) has been cloned upstream of the GFP reporter gene to investigate its radioinducibility in transduced human breast adenocarcinoma (MCF-7) as well as human glioma cells (U87-MG) (15). A synthetic construct (pE9), which incorporates nine radiosensitive CARG elements from the EGR-1 promoter, has recently been developed to drive expression of the iNOS gene for experimental gene therapy (16). The combination of gene therapy and radiation treatment may enhance inducibility of apoptosis and cytotoxicity, which could also compensate for the weak activity of specific promoters.

The uPA-uPAR system, which controls the formation and activity of plasmin, plays a key role in modulating homeostasis, thrombosis, cell adhesion, migration and several other biological processes. While a great deal is known about the physiological function of the uPA-uPAR system, its functional redundancy in pathogenesis has been recently recognized, particularly in tumor progression. uPA and uPAR have been implicated in tumor cell invasion, migration, proliferation and metastasis in different cancers including glioma, meningioma and prostate cancer (17-19). Elevated levels of these factors in tumor tissue are associated with tumor aggressiveness and poor patient outcome and are a major cause of treatment failure. Both are used as diagnostic markers as well as therapeutic targets due to their aberrant and unique expression pattern during cancer progression (20,21). As such, uPA and uPAR are critical candidates for therapeutic targeting. RNAi-mediated knockdown of uPA and uPAR has been shown to be very effective in impeding the aggressive characteristics of cancer cells in vitro and in vivo (17,19). Further, downregulation of these molecules has led to pro-apoptotic signaling in different cancer cells (22). Here, we found that radiation-induced gene therapy offers a very promising strategy for cancer treatment; in the present study, we have exploited the radiation-inducibility of CARG sequences in driving siRNA expression to silence uPA and uPAR in vitro and in vivo.

Materials and methods

Cell culture conditions. For the present study, we used the human meningioma cell line IOMM-Lee (kindly provided by Dr Ian E. McCutcheon, University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX). Cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Mediatech, Herndon, VA), which was supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 µg/ml streptomycin and 100 U/ml penicillin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Cells were maintained in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Cells were treated with N-acetyl-L-cysteine (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and hydrogen peroxide (Sigma) and incubated for the indicated period of time in serum-free medium. uPA, uPAR, PARP, XIAP and GAPDH antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA).

Plasmid construction. The pCi-neo plasmid (Promega, Madison, WI) was used as the basis for the vector constructs. A synthetic enhancer containing nine tandemly repetitive CARG elements (CCATATAGG) was cloned as double-stranded molecule derived by annealing the complementary single-stranded oligonucleotides having BglII and SfiI sites at the linker ends (synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA). This created the vector pCArG with a chimeric promoter consisting of synthetic CARG enhancer and the basal CMV IE gene promoter containing the transcription start site and TATA box. Further, complementary small interfering oligonucleotide sequences for uPA and uPAR (13) were synthesized as a single oligonucleotide, annealed and cloned at the Nhel and SalI sites of pCArG, resulting in the plasmid pCArG-U2.

Transfection conditions. All transfection experiments were performed with FuGene HD transfection reagent as per the manufacturer's protocol (Roche, Madison, WI). Cells were transfected with plasmid constructs in 2 µg DNA to 3 µL reagent ratio. After 6 h of transfection, complete medium was added and kept for 24 h and used for further experiments.

Radiation treatment. The RS 2000 Biological Irradiator (Rad Source Technologies, Inc., Boca Raton, FL) X-ray unit, which was operated at 150kV/50mA, was used for radiation treatments. Cells were given a single dose of radiation (either 5 or 10 Gy) after 24 h of transfection with the plasmid.

Reverse transcription PCR. The cells were transfected and irradiated as described above, and total RNA was extracted as described by Chomczynski and Sacchi (23). PCR was performed using a reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) kit (Invitrogen): 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 67°C for 30 sec, and extension at 72°C for 30 sec with the primer for uPA, uPAR and GAPDH as described by Pulukuri et al (24). The expected PCR products were visualized using ethidium bromide after resolving on 2% agarose gels. RT-PCR for glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was performed to normalize input RNA.

Western blot analysis. Protein extracts were obtained from the cells using Tris-buffered lysis buffer (Tris-buffered saline, 20 mM EDTA, 0.1% Triton X-100). Cell lysates were also collected from untreated cells that were cultured and maintained under similar conditions (mock). Protein concentration was determined using a bicinchoninic acid procedure (Pierce, Rockford, IL). Equal amounts of protein were then subjected to SDS-PAGE using gels with appropriate percentage of acrylamide followed by transfer of protein to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Membranes were then blocked in 5% non-fat dry milk in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies in blocking solution (1:1000 dilution).
Membranes were then washed twice (15 min per wash) with T-PBS [Tween-20 (0.1%), phosphate-buffered solution]. Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) were used at a 1:2000 concentration. The membranes were developed following an enhanced chemiluminescence protocol (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ). The membranes were further probed for GAPDH, which was used as a loading control.

**MTT proliferation assay.** Cells were grown in 6-well plates and transfected with pCArG-U2 as described above. Twelve hours after transfection, cells were serum-starved for 6 h and irradiated with 10 Gy. Six hours later, cells were trypsinized, counted and seeded at 5x10⁴ cells per well in 96-well plates (8 wells per treatment group). After the indicated hours of incubation in conditioned medium, 20 μl of MTT reagent was added to the cells, followed by another 4 h of incubation at 37°C. Acid-isopropanol (0.04 M HCl/isopropanol) was added to all wells and mixed vigorously so that the formazan crystals dissolved effectively. Absorbance was measured on a microtiter plate reader (Model 680, BioRad) with 3,3-diaminobezidine tetrahydrochloride as the chromogen. The slides were counterstained with Mayer’s hematoxylin and mounted. All microscopy studies were performed using a microscope attached to a CC camera.

**Migration assay.** IOMM-Lee cells were transfected with pCArG-U2 and irradiated as described above. After irradiation, cells were trypsinized and 5x10⁵ cells were plated in transwell inserts with an 8-μm pore size. Cells were allowed to migrate through the membrane for 24 h after radiation treatment. Later, cells in the upper chamber were scraped by cotton swab and the migrated cells attached to the other side were fixed, stained using the Hema-3 staining kit and counted under a light microscope as described previously (25).

**Matrigel invasion assay.** IOMM-Lee cells were transfected with pCArG-U2 and irradiated as described above. After irradiation, cells were trypsinized and 1x10⁵ cells were plated onto Matrigel-coated (1 mg/ml) transwell inserts with an 8-μm pore size. Cells were allowed to invade through the Matrigel for 24 h. Later, cells in the upper chamber were removed by cotton swab. Cells adhered on the outer surface of the transwell which had invaded through the Matrigel were fixed, stained using the Hema-3 staining kit and counted under a light microscope as described previously (25).

**Immunocytochemistry.** Five thousand IOMM Lee cells were seeded onto 8-well chamber slides and transfected with pCArG-U2. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were irradiated and incubated for another 24 h. The cells were washed, fixed with 4% buffered paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with freshly prepared 0.1% Triton X-100 containing 0.1% sodium citrate. Later, the cells were blocked with 2% BSA for 1 h followed by overnight incubation with uPA and uPAR antibodies (1:100 dilution) at 4°C. Next, the chamber slides were treated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:200) for 45 min at room temperature. Immunolocalization was accomplished by exposing sections to 0.05% 3,3-diaminobezidine tetrahydrochloride as the chromogen. All microscopy studies were performed using a microscope attached to a CC camera.

**Animal studies.** Nude mice (4-6 weeks of age) were anaesthetized, placed in a stereotactic frame (David Kopf Instruments, Tujunga, CA), and implanted with 1x10⁵ IOMM Lee cells in 10 μl of PBS through a 27-gauge needle at 2 mm lateral and posterior to the bregma and 3 mm below the dura. After 10 days, the animals were separated into two treatment groups of 10 animals each. One group was given three doses of pCArG-U2 (1 mg/kg body weight) intracerebrally on alternate days. Simultaneously, 5 animals from each group were treated with two 5 Gy doses of radiation on alternative days between the plasmid treatments by masking the whole body with lead sheets and leaving the skull region exposed. The animals were observed for 3 weeks, euthanized and their brains fixed in buffered formaldehyde. Finally, the paraffin-embedded brains were sectioned (5 μM) and subjected to H&E and immunohistochemical staining. Animal experiments were conducted according to our institutional guidelines.

For immunohistochemical analysis, the sections were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated through graded ethanol. Antigen retrieval was carried out by treatment of the sections with 1 M urea in PBS at boiling temperature for 15 min followed by quenching of endogenous peroxidase activity. The sections were blocked and later incubated overnight with uPA and uPAR antibodies (1:100 dilution) at 4°C. Next, the sections were treated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:200) for 30 min at room temperature. Immunolocalization was accomplished by exposing sections to 0.05% 3,3-diaminobezidine tetrahydrochloride as the chromogen. The slides were counterstained with Mayer’s hematoxylin and mounted. All microscopy studies were performed using a microscope attached to a CC camera.

**Statistical analysis.** All data are presented as means ± standard errors (SE) of at least three independent experiments (each performed at least in triplicate). One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) combined with the Tukey post-hoc test of means were used for multiple comparisons in cell culture experiments. Statistical differences are presented at probability levels of p<0.05, p<0.01 and p<0.001.

**Results**

*uPA and uPAR are knocked down in a radiation-inducible fashion.* Transfection studies with pCArG-U2 revealed the radiation-induced knockdown of uPA and uPAR in a dose-dependent manner compared to non-irradiated transfected cells (Fig. 1A). Knockdown was more pronounced when IOMM Lee cells were treated with 10 Gy radiation (Fig. 1A). Although radiation treatment was shown to elevate the levels of uPA and uPAR in IOMM Lee cells, transfection with pCArG-U2 followed by radiation treatment significantly decreased uPA and uPAR levels (Fig. 1A and B). Reverse transcription followed by amplification with specific primers also demonstrated comparable patterns of knockdown at the transcript levels (Fig. 1C and D). An increase in the transcription of uPA and uPAR was also observed with radiation treatment (Fig. 1C and D).

The inducibility of pCArG-U2 is mediated by oxidative damage. We also assessed inducibility by treating the trans-
fected IOMM Lee cells with different concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$. Western blot analysis showed obvious silencing of uPA and uPAR with increasing concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ (Fig. 2A) when compared to the untreated cells, confirming oxidative damage-mediated induction. Quantitative analysis of the transfected cells that were treated with 150 μM H$_2$O$_2$ showed >80 and 50% decrease in uPA and uPAR, respectively (Fig. 2B). In addition, pre-treatment of the transfected IOMM Lee cells with N-acetyl-L-cysteine did not show uPA and uPAR siRNA expression even after irradiation (Fig. 2C), thereby further confirming the oxidative damage-mediated functioning of CArG elements. Densitometric analysis did not show a significant difference between uPA and uPAR levels in transfected and non-transfected cells that were pre-treated with NAC (Fig. 2D).

Radiation-induced expression of uPA and uPAR siRNA decreased survival and induced apoptosis. Transfection of IOMM-Lee cells with pCArG-U2 induced a marked change in the morphological characteristics of the cells within the experimental time period (data not shown). Based on these observations, we performed cell proliferation assays and Western blot analysis for the expression of X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein (XIAP) and cleavage of poly ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP). MTT assay revealed that the majority of the transfected and irradiated cells showed no significant difference in proliferation. However, there was a significant inhibition of proliferation in transfected and irradiated cells when compared to control, transfected and irradiated cells (Fig. 3A). Further, we found that transfected and irradiated cells showed distinct cleavage of PARP, which is a downstream effector of caspases, and also a significant decrease in XIAP, which indicates the presence of apoptotic events in transfected and irradiated IOMM Lee cells (Fig. 3B). As shown in Fig. 3B, the 85-kDa prominent cleaved fragment of PARP-1 was detected in transfected and irradiated cells when compared to normal, transfected or irradiated control cells.

pCArG-U2 blocks radiation-stimulated migration and invasion. Noting that irradiation induced uPA and uPAR expression in cells, we next analyzed the migratory and invasive abilities of the IOMM-Lee cells. Transwell migration assays showed an increase in the migration properties of IOMM Lee cells in the irradiated treatment groups as compared to the controls (Fig. 4A). Migration of the pCArG-U2-transfected cells was markedly diminished by >50% in the irradiated cells as compared to non-irradiated cells (Fig. 4A). Matrigel invasion assay revealed a trend with irradiated cells showing a slight increase in the invasive potential when compared to the non-irradiated cells (Fig. 4B). However, pCArG-U2-transfected cells in the irradiated groups showed very poor invasion. Quantitative determination of invasion confirmed that IOMM-Lee cells transfected with pCArG-U2 invaded <20% with irradiated cells but >85% of inhibition of invaded cells with pCArG-U2 plus irradiation compared to controls (Fig. 4C).

Immunocytochemistry reveals decreased uPA and uPAR expression. As evident with the protein and RNA level
decrease in uPA and uPAR in the cell extracts, we next studied the immunoreactivity of the cells under various conditions as described above with uPA and uPAR antibodies. We observed intense staining for uPA and uPAR in the irradiated IOMM Lee cells as compared to the control cells (Fig. 5A). We also observed a marked decrease in uPA and uPAR staining in the pCArG-U2-transfected and -irradiated cells (Fig. 5A) when compared to cells treated...
with radiation alone, thereby confirming the radiation-inducible nature of pCArG-U2.

pCArG-U2 decreased tumor size, uPA and uPAR levels in pre-established tumors. To correlate the in vitro results with in vivo experiments, we analyzed the expression of uPA and uPAR in the tumor tissues using immunohistochemical staining of the paraffin-embedded sections. Antibodies against uPA and uPAR showed strong immunoreactivity in the tissue sections of IOMM-Lee meningioma intracranial tumors raised in nude mice. Confirming the results of the in vitro experiments, the highest immunoreactivity for both uPA and uPAR was seen in the irradiated tumors. In contrast, pCArG-U2 treatment specifically inhibited the expression of uPA and uPAR in the irradiated tumors (Fig. 5B). Hematoxylin and eosin staining revealed a marked decrease in the tumor size in the brain sections of the animals treated with radiation and pCArG-U2 compared to the respective control groups (Fig. 5C).

Discussion

Our previous studies with siRNA constructs specifically targeting extracellular proteases revealed their usefulness in therapeutic strategies against meningioma, glioma and breast cancer (18,26-28). However, the heterogeneity and evolution of resistance among tumor cells illustrate the need for combining therapeutic modalities. The success of targeted cancer therapy relies on the ability of the agent to reach the target in sufficient concentration with limited toxicity to surrounding tissues. Despite advancements in the radiation treatments for various tumors, radioresistance has been well documented. In fact, previous studies have shown elevated expression of uPA and uPAR as well as a significant increase in invasive and migration behaviors in IOMM-Lee cells. Radiation treatment is well documented to be connected to development of radiation-induced meningioma (RIM) proving the long-term ill effects caused by the radiation treatment regimens (29,30). Nevertheless, the precision of radiation treatment presents an opportunity to utilize tumor-specific promoters and inducible promoter systems. Furthermore, ionizing radiation has also been recently shown to enhance vascular tone through the induction of nitric oxide production by endothelial cells, leading to facilitated delivery and enhanced transgene expression (31). We hypothesize that approaches designed to express radiation-inducible gene therapy products will be synergistic, thereby improving tumor eradication while limiting toxicity to the normal tissue.

Chimeric promoters based on the cytomegalovirus immediate early (CMV IE) basal gene promoter have shown robust radiation inducibility (3,13,32). The first radiation-inducible promoter system used in combination with gene therapy involved the EGR-1 promoter with the gene for the radiosensitizing cytokine TNF-α, which resulted in increased tumor growth inhibition when compared with tumors treated with radiation alone (7). Promoters containing tandem repeats of...
CArG sequences demonstrated greater inducibility and lower basal activity than the wild-type EGR-1 promoter despite containing the same number of elements. The synthetic promoter was shown to be induced by single-radiation doses as low as 1 Gy in MCF-7 as well as in U87-MG cells (3). Dose-dependent increase of inducible transgene expression has been reported with caspase 8 (13). We observed a similar increase for the expression of siRNAs with 5 and 10 Gy. Also, fractionated radiation has been shown to be effective compared to a single dose in driving transgene expression (13). Our results also demonstrate that pCArG-U2 induced apoptosis in the irradiated cells to a greater extent, thereby showing the precision of the system. In agreement with our previous report, radiation-induced knockdown of uPA and uPAR also lead to the significant decrease in the cell proliferation, invasion, migration of IOMM-Lee cells in vitro. However, the transfected cells that are not irradiated did not show any significant decrease compared to the controls. The inhibition of tumor growth and decrease in expression of uPA and uPAR in tumor sections proved the efficacy of the system in vivo.

Moreover, previous mechanistic studies of the EGR-1 promoter have identified a role for the activation of the promoter in response to reactive oxygen species. The induction of CArG elements by hydrogen peroxide (33) and cisplatin (34) have been shown to be quantitatively similar to that achievable by ionizing radiation. The results of the present study with H2O2 treatment confirm past studies and depict the versatile function of CArG sequences. In addition, other groups have reported that expression of EGR-1 is abundant in some malignancies and is involved in apoptosis (35), suggesting that the CArG elements might be convenient for targeted gene therapies in other situations as well.

In conclusion, the results of the present study show that a combination approach using uPA and uPAR siRNA therapy along with radiation treatment produced target-specific effects with regard to inducing apoptosis. For the first time, we are reporting a strategy to express siRNA in a radiation-inducible fashion for the targeted knockdown of molecules.
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