Enforced SOCS1 and SOCS3 expression attenuates Lck-mediated cellular transformation
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Abstract. Lck is an Src family protein tyrosine kinase with predominant T cell expression. Aberrant expression or activation of Lck kinase has been reported in both lymphoid and non-lymphoid malignancies. We showed previously that the signal transduction pathway involving Janus kinase (JAK) and signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) is constitutively activated and contributes to Lck-mediated oncogenesis. Under normal physiological conditions, active STAT proteins induce the expression of suppressor of cytokine signaling (SOCS) family proteins to inhibit further JAK/STAT signaling. It is not fully understood whether and how SOCS-mediated negative feedback control is dysregulated in Lck-transformed cells. Here we report that two SOCS family members, SOCS1 and SOCS3, are not expressed in Lck-transformed LSTRA leukemia. While SOCS1 gene is silenced by DNA hypermethylation, loss of SOCS3 expression is through a mechanism independent of epigenetic silencing by DNA methylation. Furthermore, ectopic expression of SOCS1 or SOCS3 leads to reduced cell proliferation and increased apoptosis in Lck-transformed cells. This is consistent with the attenuation of Lck kinase activity by exogenous SOCS1 or SOCS3 expression. Downstream STAT5 activity is also inhibited as shown by reduced STAT5 tyrosine phosphorylation and in vitro DNA binding. All together, our data highlight the importance of silencing multiple SOCS genes in tumorigenesis and support the roles of SOCS1 and SOCS3 as tumor suppressors toward oncogenic Lck kinase.

Introduction

Suppressor of cytokine signaling (SOCS) modulates Janus kinase-signal transducer and activator of transcription (JAK-STAT) signaling in a negative feedback manner (1). Among the eight SOCS family members, cytokine-inducible SH2-containing protein (CIS), SOCS1 and SOCS3 are best characterized for their regulation and functions. Upon cytokine stimulation, active JAK and downstream STAT proteins induce the expression of STAT-target genes, including the SOCS genes. Cytokine-induced SOCS1 and SOCS3 proteins bind to JAK directly or the JAK-proximal sites on cytokine receptors to inhibit JAK kinase activity. CIS competes with STAT proteins in binding to cytokine receptors and blocks cytokine-induced STAT activation. All together, they contribute to the down-regulation of JAK-STAT signaling and the transient kinetics of JAK-STAT activation by cytokines and growth factors. The physiological importance of SOCS1 and SOCS3 is demonstrated by the lethal phenotypes observed in knockout mice (2-4). However, the lack of phenotype in CIS knockout mice suggests functional redundancy among SOCS family members.

SOCS1 and SOCS3 are both capable of inhibiting other non-receptor protein tyrosine kinases, such as focal adhesion kinase (FAK) (5) and breast tumor kinase (Brk) (6). It suggests that SOCS1 and SOCS3 may also target oncogenic protein tyrosine kinases and function as tumor suppressors. Indeed, exogenous SOCS3 negatively regulates cell mobility by inhibiting FAK in a hepatocellular carcinoma cell line (7). Similarly, enforced SOCS1 expression inhibits oncogenic fusion protein TEL-JAK2 kinase, which correlates with reduced tumorigenicity of BaF3 cells transformed by TEL-JAK2 (8). It should be noted, however, that not all oncogenic protein tyrosine kinases are subjected to inhibition by SOCS proteins. For example, SOCS1 cannot reverse cellular transformation induced by v-Src (8) and v-Abl (9). Therefore, tumor-suppressing activity of SOCS can be greatly affected depending on the oncoproteins and the intracellular environment of the tumor cells.

Constitutive activation of the JAK-STAT pathway is frequently associated with oncogenic protein tyrosine kinases
and is reported in a wide variety of human cancers (10). A causal relationship between STAT activation and tumorigenesis has also been established in distinct tumor models. These findings raise the possibility that the negative feedback control involving SOCS proteins may be defective in these malignant cells. The observation that fibroblasts lacking SOCS1 are more susceptible to transformation supports this hypothesis (8). Inhibition of SOCS3 activity in human hepatocellular carcinoma cells also promotes cell migration that contribute to metastasis (7). Further evidence comes from high frequencies of SOCS gene silencing by DNA hypermethylation in human cancers. SOCS1 gene silencing has been reported in both lymphoid and non-lymphoid malignancies (11-19). On the other hand, SOCS3 gene silencing was observed in cholangiocarcinoma (20), head and neck cancer (21), and lung cancer (22). Consistent with potential functional overlaps among SOCS family members, hypermethylation of more than one SOCS genes was also reported in certain human cancers (23,24).

Src-family non-receptor protein tyrosine kinases play key roles in tumor development and are important molecular targets in cancer therapy (25). Lck is a Src-family kinase essential for T cell development and activation (26,27). In humans, aberrant Lck expression and kinase activity are implicated in both lymphoid and non-lymphoid malignancies (28,29). In transgenic mice, Lck overexpression leads to thymic tumors (27). We showed previously that STAT5b is not only constitutively activated (30), but also contributes to cell proliferation and resistance to apoptosis in Lck-transformed cells (31). We also demonstrated the loss of CIS expression in Lck-transformed LSTRA leukemia cells through a mechanism independent of gene silencing by DNA hypermethylation (32). In this study, we further examine the regulation of SOCS1 and SOCS3 gene expression and determine their biological effects in Lck-transformed cells.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and culture conditions. Mouse T cell lines, LSTRA and EL4, and the human myeloma cell line U266 were maintained in RPMI supplemented with 5% fetal calf serum (FCS) and 5% calf serum. Mouse pro-B cell line BaF3 was cultured in RPMI supplemented with 5% FCS, 5% calf serum, and 10% conditioned medium containing interleukin-3 (IL-3). Mouse pro-B cell line EL4, and the human myeloma cell line U266 were cultured in RPMI supplemented with 5% FCS, 5% calf serum, and 10% conditioned medium containing interleukin-3 (IL-3). For cytokine stimulation experiments, BaF3 cells were deprived of IL-3 for 16 h and then either left unstimulated or stimulated with 10 ng/ml of recombinant mouse IL-3 (R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) for 30 min or 1 h. BaF3 cells transformed by the oncogenic Lck (Y505F) kinase was established and maintained as previously described (31).

Northern blot analysis. Total RNAs were isolated from cells using TRIzol (Invitrogen Inc., Carlsbad, CA, USA) and the manufacturer’s protocol. Total RNA (5 μg) for each sample was analyzed by Northern blotting essentially as described previously (33). Transcripts of SOCS1, SOCS3, Bcl-xL and GAPDH were detected using the corresponding [32P]-labeled full-length cDNAs.

RT-PCR analysis. Exponentially growing LSTRA and U266 cells were adjusted to 1x10^7 cells per ml in 10 ml of culture medium on day 1. Cells were treated with 2 μg/ml of 5' azacytidine (Sigma, Inc., St. Louis, MO, USA) or equal volume of vehicle (50% acetic acid) in two 24-h pulses on days 2 and 5 as described elsewhere (34). On day 8, cells were harvested. Total RNAs were extracted by TRIzol and then reverse-transcribed using M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega, Inc., Madison, WI, USA) into cDNAs. PCR was performed according to standard protocol using an annealing temperature of 65°C for all primer sets. Primers for SOCS1 are 5'-cagttgcgcagcagatac-3' (forward) and 5'-ccgcctgcggctgtc-3' (reverse). Primers for GAPDH are 5'-agctcactgccatcgggtgc-3' (forward) and 5'-ggcttgcactccatggctgtggt-3' (reverse). Amplified products were electrophoresed in a 4% metaphor agarose (Cambrex, Inc., East Rutherford, NJ, USA) gel and then visualized by ethidium bromide staining.

Construction and transfection of plasmids. The empty vector pEF was created by restriction digestion of pEF-FLAG-I/mSOCS1 with XbaI from NEB (Beverly, MA, USA). The two XbaI recognition sites are located just outside the 5' and 3' ends of cDNA insert. The vector pEF was separated from cDNA insert by agarose gel electrophoresis, purified, and then self-ligated with T4 DNA ligase. Lck-transformed BaF3 cells were transiently transfected with 30 μg of pEF, pEF-FLAG-I/mSOCS1, or pEF-FLAG-I/mSOCS3 by electroporation in a single pulse using the Cell Porator (BRL Life Technologies, Inc., Rockville, MD, USA) at 300 V, 800 μF, and low ohms as described previously (31).

Cell number and viability. Total numbers of Lck-transformed BaF3 cells were calculated 2 h after transfection and compared to cell numbers 24 h post-transfection. Cell viability was determined by incubation of a small fraction of cells in 0.1% trypsin blue dye. Both viable and dead cells were counted and the percentage of dead cells was calculated 2 and 24 h post-transfection.

Flow cytometry. Detection of Annexin V incorporation by flow cytometry was performed essentially as previously described (31). Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated Annexin V was purchased from Molecular Probes, Inc. (Eugene, OR, USA). Analysis was performed using a FACs Calibur flow cytometer (Becton-Dickinson, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA).

DNA fragmentation analysis. DNA fragmentation analysis was performed 24 h after transfection essentially as noted previously (31). Exponentially growing Lck-transformed BaF3 cells were also subjected to fragmentation analysis as a non-transfected control.

Immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting. Preparation of whole cell lysates and immunoprecipitations were done essentially as described before (31). Anti-Lck, anti-Myc, and anti-STAT5b antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Anti-STAT5 and anti-FLAG monoclonal antibodies used for immunoblotting were from BD Transduction Laboratories (San Diego, CA, USA) and Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA), respectively. The 4G10 monoclonal antibody used for immunoblotting was produced by the Molecular Recognition Shared Resources Center at Vanderbilt University (Nashville, TN, USA).
Dilutions of different antibodies for immunoblotting and subsequent detection by the enhanced chemiluminescence system were done as recommended by the manufacturers.

In vitro kinase assays. A total of 1x10^6 cells were lysed in 200 μl of lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM Na3VO4, 10 mM NaF, 10 mM Na4P2O7, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% Brij 35) with protease inhibitors and then used for Lck immunoprecipitation essentially as described previously (31). Immune complexes bound to beads were washed three times with 0.1% Brij 35 lysis buffer then washed with kinase reaction washing buffer (150 mM NaCl, 200 μl of lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM MnCl2, 5 mM p-nitrophenyl phosphate). After incubation for 10 min at 30˚C, the immune complex was centrifuged briefly to remove unincorporated 32P. Reactions were terminated by the addition of SDS sample loading buffer and boiled for 5 min before being subjected to 7% SDS-PAGE and subsequent transfer to nitrocellulose membrane. Intensity of 32P incorporation was determined by autoradiography.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA). Preparation of nuclear extracts, electrophoretic mobility shift assays, and antibody supershift assays were all done essentially as described previously (30). The anti-STAT5b supershift antibody was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. The control rabbit antibody was from Southern Biotechnology Associates (Birmingham, AL, USA).

Results

Loss of SOCS1 and SOCS3 expression in LSTRA lymphoma. SOCS1 and SOCS3 down-regulate JAK-STAT signaling and inhibit distinct oncogenic protein tyrosine kinases. The absence of SOCS1 and SOCS3 gene expression has also been reported in several forms of solid tumors and blood malignancies. The mouse T lymphoma cell line LSTRA overexpresses active Lck kinase and is one of the best-characterized model systems for leukemogenesis (35). We showed previously that CIS, a known STAT5-target gene, was not expressed in LSTRA cells even though STAT5 was constitutively active (30,32). It remains unknown, however, whether LSTRA exhibits similar dysregulation of SOCS1 and SOCS3 genes. Therefore, we examined SOCS1 and SOCS3 gene expression in LSTRA cells with Northern blot analysis (Fig. 1A). Using SOCS1 or SOCS3 full-length cDNA probe, we could not detect mRNA from either gene in LSTRA cells (lane 1, top and middle panels). Similarly, SOCS1 and SOCS3 transcripts were not detectable in EL4, a mouse T-cell line expressing normal levels of Lck (lane 2, top and middle panels). As a positive control, Bcl-xL expression was induced in BaF3 cells following 1-h stimulation of IL-3 (lane 5, upper panel). As shown in Fig. 1B, the levels of Bcl-xL transcripts were elevated in LSTRA cells (lane 1, upper panel). This was in sharp contrast to low Bcl-xL expression in EL4, which exhibit low levels of STAT5 activity (lane 2, upper panel). As a positive control, Bcl-xL transcripts were induced in BaF3 cells following 1-h stimulation of IL-3 (lane 5, upper panel). Sequential blotting for GADPH mRNAs confirmed equivalent loading of total RNAs (lower panel). From these observations,
we conclude that STAT5-target genes are differently regulated in LSTRA cells. While Bcl-xL expression is induced, three SOCS family members (CIS, SOCS1 and SOCS3) are not expressed in LSTRA leukemia.

SOCS1 gene silencing in LSTRA lymphoma. To determine whether the signaling pathways leading to SOCS1 and SOCS3 induction are functional, we performed pervanadate stimulation experiments in LSTRA cells. Pervanadate is a potent tyrosine phosphatase inhibitor that strongly activates tyrosine kinase signal transduction (37). We treated LSTRA cells with pervanadate for 15 min to 2 h and used Northern blot analysis to detect SOCS1 and SOCS3 gene expression. SOCS3 mRNA could be detected in LSTRA cells after stimulation with pervanadate for 1 and 2 h (middle panel, Fig. 2A). These results demonstrate that the machinery in activating SOCS3 gene expression is still intact in LSTRA cells. Reversal of DNA hypermethylation-mediated gene silencing depends on cell cycle progression and requires extended period of time (38). Therefore, the relatively fast SOCS3 induction by pervanadate also suggests that the absence of SOCS3 expression in LSTRA is independent of DNA hypermethylation.

In contrast to SOCS3, SOCS1 expression could not be detected during our time course of pervanadate treatment in LSTRA cells (top panel, Fig. 2A). It raised the possibility that SOCS1 gene might be silenced by DNA hypermethylation. To test this hypothesis, we used 5′ aza-cytidine, a known DNA methyltransferase inhibitor, to reverse the effects from DNA hypermethylation. As shown by RT-PCR analysis (Fig. 2B), SOCS1 gene expression could be reactivated by 5′ aza-cytidine treatment, but not in untreated or vehicle-treated LSTRA cells (lanes 4-6, top panel). We also examined the effects of 5′ aza-cytidine treatment on SOCS1 gene expression in U266 cells, a multiple myeloma cell line known to exhibit SOCS1 gene silencing by DNA hypermethylation (12). As expected, SOCS1 expression was induced in U266 cells following 5′ aza-cytidine treatment, but not in untreated or vehicle-treated conditions (lanes 1-3, top panel). GAPDH detection in both Northern blot analysis and RT-PCR analysis confirmed equal loading of samples (bottom panels). These results point to the existence of two distinct mechanisms underlying the loss of SOCS1 and SOCS3 gene expression in LSTRA cells.

Inhibition of cell proliferation by exogenous SOCS1 and SOCS3. SOCS1 and SOCS3 have been shown to exert tumor-suppressing activity in certain tumor models (5-8). The observation that both SOCS1 and SOCS3 are not expressed in LSTRA leukemia cells suggests that SOCS1 and SOCS3 may also function as tumor suppressors in Lck-mediated oncogenesis. Because of the extreme difficulty in transfecting LSTRA cells, we examined another Lck-transformed cell line previously established in our laboratory (31). Mutation of the negative regulatory tyrosine 505 to phenylalanine in Lck
Consistent with elevated Annexin V staining, enforced pronounced laddering effect upon ethidium bromide staining exhibit characteristic nuclear breakdown and chromatin as compared to vector-transfected cells. Apoptotic cells also significantly higher in SOCS1- and SOCS3-transfected cells which is an event indicative of apoptotic cells (41). As shown have translocated to the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane, assay. Annexin V conjugates with phosphotidyl serines that we performed Annexin V analysis and DNA fragmentation whether the increased cell death of Lck-transformed cells by or apoptosis in the absence of the cytokine (40). To determine IL-3-dependent BaF3 cells undergo programmed cell death whatever the presence of SOCS1 and SOCS3 in transfected cells was confirmed by Western blot analysis using anti-FLAG antibody (data not shown).

Elevated apoptosis induced by exogenous SOCS1 and SOCS3. IL-3-dependent BaF3 cells undergo programmed cell death or apoptosis in the absence of the cytokine (40). To determine whether the increased cell death of Lck-transformed cells by exogenous SOCS1 and SOCS3 (Fig. 3B) is due to apoptosis, we performed Annexin V analysis and DNA fragmentation assay. Annexin V conjugates with phosphotidyl serines that have translocated to the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane, which is an event indicative of apoptotic cells (41). As shown in Fig. 4A, the percentage of Annexin V-positive cells was significantly higher in SOCS1- and SOCS3-transfected cells as compared to vector-transfected cells. Apoptotic cells also exhibit characteristic nuclear breakdown and chromatin shearing at regular intervals along nucleosomes that gives a pronounced laddering effect upon ethidium bromide staining (42). Consistent with elevated Annexin V staining, enforced SOCS1 and SOCS3 expression resulted in significant DNA fragmentation (Fig. 4B, lanes 4 and 5). As negative controls, no laddered shearing effect could be detected in non-transfected or vector-transfected cells (Fig. 4B, lanes 2 and 3). These results clearly show that ectopic SOCS1 or SOCS3 expression induces apoptosis in Lck-transformed BaF3 cells. Similar to Fig. 5A, the presence of SOCS1 and SOCS3 was confirmed by Western blot analysis using anti-FLAG antibody (data not shown).

Inhibition of Lck kinase activity by exogenous SOCS1 and SOCS3. Both SOCS1 and SOCS3 have been shown to inhibit JAK and several other protein tyrosine kinase activity. We hypothesize that SOCS1 and SOCS3 block Lck-mediated oncogenesis by inhibiting Lck activity in Lck-transformed BaF3 cells. To evaluate Lck kinase activity, we performed in vitro kinase assay to measure Lck autophosphorylation in Lck-transformed BaF3 cells (Fig. 5B, upper panel). Consistent with its constitutively active nature, Lck (Y505F) prepared from vector-transfected Lck-transformed BaF3 cells showed high levels of 32P incorporation due to autophosphorylation (lane 2). In contrast, Lck autophosphorylation was greatly reduced in cells expressing exogenous SOCS1 or SOCS3 (lanes 3 and 4). As a negative control, no phosphorylation could be detected in parental BaF3 cells lacking Lck expression (lane 1). The reduction of Lck kinase activity was not due to the amount of Lck proteins in the immunoprecipitates (Fig. 5B, lower panel, lanes 2-4) or in the whole cell lysates (Fig. 5A, upper panel). Expression of exogenous SOCS1 and SOCS3 was also confirmed by anti-FLAG immunoblotting (Fig. 5A, lower panel, lanes 2 and 3). We conclude from these experiments that Lck kinase activity is attenuated in cells expressing SOCS1 or SOCS3.
Inhibition of STAT5 activity by exogenous SOCS1 and SOCS3. We showed previously that STAT5b plays a critical role in Lck-mediated oncogenesis (31). To determine whether expression of SOCS1 or SOCS3 affected STAT5b activity in Lck-transformed cells, we first examined the DNA binding activity of STAT5b. Similar to LSTRA cells, Lck-transformed BaF3 nuclear extracts exhibited elevated DNA binding activity to a consensus STAT5-binding sequence in vitro (Fig. 6A, lane 3). The identity of STAT5b binding to the probe was confirmed by supershifting with anti-STAT5b antibody, but not control IgG (Fig. 6A, lanes 1 and 2). As compared to the vector control, STAT5b DNA-binding activity was greatly reduced in cells expressing either SOCS1 or SOCS3 (Fig. 6A, lanes 4 and 5). It demonstrates that enforced SOCS1 or SOCS3 expression can suppress STAT5b DNA binding activity in Lck-transformed BaF3 cells.

Tyrosine phosphorylation is key to STAT5b function through dimerization, nuclear translocation, and DNA binding (43). We, therefore, further examined the levels of STAT5b phosphotyrosine in Lck-transformed BaF3 cells expressing SOCS1 or SOCS3 (Fig. 6B). Consistent with elevated DNA-binding activity, STAT5b immunoprecipitates showed high levels of tyrosine phosphorylation in vector-transfected cells (lane 1, upper panel). Similarly, STAT5b tyrosine phosphorylation was greatly reduced in cells expressing SOCS1 or SOCS3 (lanes 2 and 3, upper panel). Stripping and reblotting with anti-STAT5 antibody detected equivalent amounts of STAT5b in all immunoprecipitates (lower panel). These results illustrate that expression of SOCS1 or SOCS3 in Lck-transformed BaF3 cells also reduces STAT5b activity, which is downstream of Lck (Y505F). Altogether, we conclude that introduction of exogenous SOCS1 or SOCS3 can reverse Lck-mediated transformation in BaF3 cells by inactivating Lck and the downstream transcription factor STAT5b.

Discussion

SOCS1 and SOCS3 are closely related to each other both structurally and functionally. They can be induced by an overlapping set of cytokines, suggesting functional redundancy and potential compensation between SOCS proteins. Among eight SOCS family members, SOCS1 gene is the one most frequently silenced in human cancers. It is not known, however, whether SOCS3 or other SOCS proteins may compensate for the loss of SOCS1 expression in tumor cells. We demonstrate here that, in addition to CIS, both SOCS1 and SOCS3 are not expressed in Lck-transformed LSTRA leukemia cells. This novel finding suggests that loss of multiple SOCS gene expression may be necessary to overcome the functional overlap of SOCS proteins in tumor cells. It remains to be determined if simultaneous loss of SOCS1, SOCS3 and CIS occur in other tumor models and human cancers. While functions of the other five SOCS family members are less well characterized, we cannot exclude the possibility of functional redundancy among them. For example, hypermethylation of SOCS2 gene was reported in some ovarian and breast carcinoma cell lines in conjunction with SOCS1 or SOCS3 DNA methylation (24). Better understanding of their functions should provide additional insights into their regulation in tumorigenesis.

Another important finding from our studies is that different mechanisms contribute to the loss of SOCS1 and SOCS3 expression in Lck-transformed LSTRA cells. Epigenetic modification through DNA hypermethylation has been widely observed in SOCS gene silencing in tumor cells. Consistent with previous reports, our data also support an important role of DNA hypermethylation in SOCS gene silencing in LSTRA cells. On the contrary, rapid SOCS3 induction by pervanadate stimulation in LSTRA cells suggests a mechanism other than DNA hypermethylation leading to loss of SOCS3 expression. These findings provide evidence for multiple mechanisms underlying the absence of SOCS gene expression in human cancers. We showed previously a direct correlation between incomplete STAT5b modification and the lack of CIS expression in LSTRA (32). The presence of STAT5-binding sites in both CIS and SOCS3 gene promoters suggests that incomplete STAT5b modification may also be involved in the loss of SOCS3 expression. Experiments are in progress to further characterize this novel mechanism and to determine whether it is shared by other SOCS family members.

Our results clearly demonstrate that exogenous SOCS1 or SOCS3 expression inhibits oncogenic Lck kinase activity and downstream STAT5b functions. We reported previously that STAT5b activation is critical in Lck-mediated oncogenesis (31). Therefore, attenuation of Lck-STAT5b signaling may contribute to the subsequent biological effects, including reduced cell proliferation and augmented apoptosis, in Lck-transformed cells. Lck is a Src-family kinase and shares high degree of structural and functional similarities with the Src kinase. However, Iwamoto et al reported that exogenous SOCS1 failed to reduce tyrosine phosphorylation of STAT3 in v-Src-transformed fibroblasts (44). It suggests that SOCS1 cannot inhibit v-Src kinase activity, even though it was not tested directly. Enforced SOCS1 also cannot reverse v-Src-induced cellular transformation in NIH3T3 cells (44). These discrepancies suggest that SOCS1 may interact differently with these two closely related tyrosine kinases. Alternatively, the intracellular environment of transformed cells may greatly affect SOCS1 tumor suppressing activity. It remains to be determined whether and how SOCS3 or other SOCS family members behave differently in cells transformed by the oncogenic Src kinase.

All eight SOCS family proteins share a conserved SOCS box in their carboxy-termini. The SOCS box plays a key role in ubiquitination and subsequent proteasomal degradation of SOCS proteins and some of their binding partners (45). SOCS1 accelerates TEL-JAK2 degradation through the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway (46) and it contributes to SOCS1 tumor-suppressing activity in TEL-JAK2-transformed cells (47). Ectopic SOCS1 expression also leads to reduced levels of v-Abl protein expression in co-transfected COS7 cells (8). In our studies, however, no detectable degradation of oncogenic Lck (Y505F) proteins was observed in transformed BaF3 cells transfected with SOCS1 or SOCS3 (Fig. 5). Therefore, inhibition of Lck kinase activity, but not degradation of Lck proteins, contributes mostly to the tumor suppressor functions of SOCS1 and SOCS3 in Lck-transformed BaF3 cells. We cannot exclude the possibility that proteolysis of Lck proteins may be involved in SOCS-mediated tumor suppression in a different cellular context.
In summary, this is the first report confirming tumor suppressor activity of SOCS1 and SOCS3 toward oncogenic Lck kinase. Both SOCS1 and SOCS3 are not expressed in Lck-transformed cells, highlighting the importance of silencing both genes to create an intracellular environment favorable for STAT activation and tumor progression. Nevertheless, loss of SOCS1 and SOCS3 gene expression is mediated by different mechanisms in Lck-transformed cells. Further characterization of SOCS gene expression in other tumor cells will provide important information in understanding the roles of different SOCS family members in malignant progression of human cancers.
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