Sorafenib induces apoptosis and autophagy in prostate cancer cells in vitro

ANDERS ULLÉN1,2*, MARIANNE FARNEBO1, LENA THYRELL1, SALAH MAHMOUDI1, PEDRAM KHARAZIHA1, LENA LENNARTSSON1, DAN GRANDÉR1, THEOHARIS PANARETAKIS1 and STEN NILSSON1,2

1Department of Oncology-Pathology, Cancer Centrum Karolinska, Karolinska Institutet; 2Radiumhemmet, Karolinska Sjukhuset, 17176 Stockholm, Sweden

Received January 12, 2010; Accepted March 2, 2010

DOI: 10.3892/ijo_00000648

Abstract. The multiple tyrosine kinase inhibitor sorafenib has recently demonstrated clinical effects in patients with androgen-independent prostate cancer. These observations provided the rationale for investigating the anti-tumoral properties of this compound on prostate cancer cell lines at the molecular level. Two hormone refractory (PC3 and DU145) and one hormone responsive cell line (22Rv1) were used. By use of a panel of cell biology techniques such as immuno-blotting, flow cytometry and immunocytochemistry, effects on the MAPK pathway and induction of apoptosis and autophagy were evaluated. We demonstrate that sorafenib reduced cell viability in a dose-dependent manner, induced apoptosis and inactivated the MAPK pathway. Moreover, we show for the first time, that sorafenib treatment of prostate cancer cells also induces cellular autophagy. This feature is in accordance with the anticancer potential of sorafenib and adds another important effector mechanism of this compound. These observations may open potentially interesting treatment combinations that may augment the effect of sorafenib, either by drugs that promote autophagy such as the rapalogues, or by combining sorafenib with compounds that specifically inhibit the autophagic process.

Introduction

Patients with metastatic androgen-independent prostate cancer (AIPC) have poor prognosis with few therapeutic options, all of which are palliative. Recently, Tannock et al demonstrated that treatment with docetaxel improved survival as compared to mitoxantrone. Docetaxel delivered every 3rd week in combination with low-dose prednisone is now considered standard treatment for this patient group (1). Nevertheless, the median improvement in survival is limited to 2-3 months with progression being inevitable and thus the search for more efficient treatments that can stabilize or slow the progression of AIPC is continued. Targeting of molecules in cell signaling pathways that are critical for prostate cancer specific survival has been suggested as useful treatment strategies. Receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs), act as targets for many growth factors and consequently a number of small molecule RTK-inhibitors with varying inhibiting profiles have been developed during the last years (2). Their usefulness within the field of urologic oncology is by far most evident for renal cell carcinoma; a disease previously considered resistant to systemic treatment (3). The potential clinical benefit of targeted therapy for AIPC include drugs that affect neo-vascularisation, critical cell growth pathways and survival signaling cascades, such as BAY 43-9006 (sorafenib) (4) and SU11248 (sunitinib) (5).

Sorafenib is an orally administered compound with inhibiting properties on RAF kinases including Raf-1 and BRAF which are members of the RAF/MEK/ERK signaling pathway. Sorafenib also displays significant inhibition of a number of RTKs involved in neo-angiogenesis and tumor progression including vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR)-2 and VEGFR-3, platelet derived growth factor receptor β (PDGFR-β), Flt-3 and c-KIT (4). Sorafenib has been suggested for the treatment of AIPC for several reasons: i) angiogenesis has an important role for the progression of prostate cancer and angiogenic factors such as VEGF are often elevated in AIPC compared to normal tissues (6,7), ii) the Ras/Raf/mitogen-activated protein kinase/ERK signaling pathway may be deregulated in AIPC (8-10) and iii) sorafenib has shown activity in preclinical tumor xenograft models (4).

During the last year, three independent phase II trials have evaluated the effect of sorafenib in AIPC (11-13). A common feature for these monotherapeutic trials is that a fraction of patients responded by stable disease or better, as defined by RECIST or PSA-response criteria. However, Dahut et al highlighted that PSA may not be an adequate biomarker for monitoring clinical sorafenib treatment effects since discordant radiographic and PSA responses were observed in several
patients (12). In addition, Chi et al specifically noted that 10/16 patients presented with post-discontinuation PSA declines of 7-52%, which may be caused by a sorafenib-induced reversion of hormone sensitivity (14). The current general interest in tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) treatment of AIPC and the observed clinical effects recently reported of sorafenib stimulated us to perform this study, which aimed to explore and characterize the molecular effects of sorafenib treatment on prostate cancer cells in vitro.

**Materials and methods**

**Cell lines and culture conditions.** The human, hormone refractory, metastatic prostate carcinoma cell lines DU145 and PC3 (15) as well as the hormone responsive 22Rv1 cell line (16) were cultured in 5 ml dishes (Falcon) with RPMI-1640 (Gibco), Glutamine (2 mM) (Gibco), 10% fetal calf serum (Life Technologist) was added to the media. The cells were kept in a 37°C incubator with humidified air and 5% CO₂. The culture medium was changed two times a week. Cells were removed from the dishes by treatment trypsin-EDTA (Sigma, USA). Cell lines were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, USA).

**Preparation of sorafenib.** Sorafenib was provided by Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Wayne, NJ, USA). Sorafenib was dissolved in DMSO and final dosing solutions were prepared on the day of use from a stock solution. A concentration range of 1-50 μM was typically used in the different experiments.

**Fluorometric microculture cytotoxic assay (FMCA).** The FMCA method was adopted from Larsson et al (17). On day one, 200 μl/well of the tumor cell preparation (10,000 cells/well) were seeded in triplicates into 96 well microtiter plates. Thus, the tumor cells were allowed to attach to the bottom of the plate before the drugs were added. The culture plates were then incubated at 37°C in humidified atmosphere containing 95% air and 5% CO₂. At the end of 72 h incubation, the culture medium was washed away, the 96 well plates rinsed three times in phosphate buffer saline pH 7.4 and followed by addition of 200 μl/well of physiological buffer containing 10 μg/ml fluorescence-diacetate. After incubation for 30 min at 37°C, the fluorescence from each well was read in a Fluoroscan 2 (Lab-systems OY, Helsinki, Finland). Technically, the fluorescence generated by hydrolysis of fluorescence-diacetate to fluorescein by viable cells is measured. The dose-response experiments were done at least three times for each cell line.

**Phosphorylation studies.** Cells were starved in serum deficient medium for 24 h prior to the subsequent of PBS enriched medium in the absence or presence of the indicated concentrations of sorafenib. The cells were incubated for additional 10-30 min, depending on the time ERK phosphorylation peak is reached for each cell line. Following this incubation time, cell lysates were collected in 2X loading buffer containing β-mercaptoethanol, sonicated and separated by SDS-PAGE (Invitrogen, CA) on 4-12% gradient gels under denaturing conditions and transferred onto PVDF membranes (Amersham). After blocking in 5% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), the PVDF filters were incubated overnight at 4°C with the primary antibody anti-phospho-ERK (Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA) or total ERK (Sigma-Aldrich, Hercules, CA). The membranes were washed three times in PBS/0.1% Tween-20 (PBS-T) and then incubated 1 h with secondary goat anti-rabbit antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Pierce Biotechnology Inc., Rockford, IL). Filters were subsequently washed in PBS-T and developed using chemiluminescence Western blotting detection reagents (Pierce Biotechnology Inc.).

**Assessment of apoptosis.** Loss of mitochondrial membrane potential (ΔΨm), a hallmark of the intrinsic apoptotic pathway, was detected by incubating living cells with tetramethylrhodamine ethyl ester (TMRE) (Invitrogen), as previously described (18) Activation of all caspases was assessed using FAM-VD-FLICA (fluorochrome inhibitors of caspases, Chemicon International, USA). Samples were analysed on a FACS Calibur flow cytometer (Becton-Dickinson, Sweden) using the CellQuest software.

For the Bcl-2 overexpression studies, DU145 cells were transiently transfected with 1 μg of either pCDNA3 or pBcl-2 plasmid by using Lipofectamine 2000 according to the manufacturer's specifications. DU145 cells were transfected for 16 h followed by treatment with 20 μM sorafenib for 24 h. Apoptosis was assessed by using Annexin V FLUOS kit (Roche Diagnostics Gmbh, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer's specifications and measure by using the FACS Calibur flow cytometer (Becton-Dickinson) and the CellQuest software.

**Assessment of autophagy.** Monodansylcadaverine (MDC) was used to visualize autophagic vacuoles. A stock solution of MDC solved in methanol was kept frozen at a concentration of 100 mM. The cells were cultured in 5 ml dishes (Falcon) and incubated with 37 μM sorafenib for 48 h. MDC at a final concentration of 50 μM was added to the cells for 1 h and kept in a 37°C incubator with humidified air and 5% CO₂. The dishes with cells were carefully washed with PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min in the dark. A coverslip was mounted on the cells before analyzing with an Axiosplan 2 imaging microscope (Zeiss) equipped with Chroma UV filter set 1100/3 excitation 325-375 nm (Chroma Technology). Images were obtained with an AxioCam MRm camera (Zeiss).

![Figure 1. Sorafenib inhibits ERK phosphorylation in prostate cancer cell lines. Western blot analysis of phosphorylated ERK (p-ERK) and total ERK (loading control) protein levels in DU145 and 22Rv1 cells treated with sorafenib at the indicated concentrations for 4 h. The cells were serum-starved overnight prior to sorafenib treatment.](image-url)
For the LC3-GFP experiments, DU145 cells were transfected by 4 μg of pEGFP-LC3 plasmid (kind gift from Dr T. Yoshimori and Dr N. Mizushima from National Institute of Genetics, Mishima-Shizuoka, Japan) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) based on manufacturer’s recommendation. Twenty-four hours after transfection the growth media were replaced by complete RPMI-1640 supplemented with 1 mg/ml G418 (Sigma) for selection. EGFP-LC3 positive cells were selected by MoFlo™ XDP Cell Sorter (Beckman Coulter) and cultured for 3 weeks under G418 selection pressure. Following treatment, the cells were fixed with 4% PFA and mounted using Vectashield with DAPI. The images were recorded on a Zeiss Axioplan 2 microscope with a Zeiss dual mode cooled CCD camera and Axiovision software 4.1.

Results
Sorafenib inhibits ERK phosphorylation in prostate cancer cell lines. To evaluate the effect of sorafenib on the MAPK pathway in prostate cancer, changes in the phosphorylation levels of ERK1/2 proteins were analysed by Western blotting. Serum starved DU145 and 22Rv1 cells were incubated with FBS (fetal bovine serum) enriched medium in the absence or presence of sorafenib at the concentrations: 0, 5, 10 and 25 μM. Sorafenib dose-dependently inhibited ERK1/2-phosphorylation in both cell lines (Fig. 1). In 22Rv1 cells, sorafenib completely inhibited ERK1/2-activation at the concentration of 5 μM, whereas in DU145 cells doses higher than 10 μM were required for complete inactivation of the MAPK pathway. Total ERK levels were unchanged.
Sorafenib induces apoptosis in prostate cancer cell lines. Incubation with sorafenib for 72 h caused a dose-dependent decrease in cell viability in all three cell lines tested (Fig. 2A). 22Rv1 was the most sensitive cell line and at 10 μM only 8.1% of the cells were viable compared to 29.1 and 53.2% for DU145 and PC3 cells, respectively. The DU145 cell line showed an intermediate sensitivity and PC3 cells were the least sensitive cell line compared to the other two at concentrations below 25 μM. Sorafenib (50 μM) almost completely eradicated the viable cells, demonstrated by less than 0.5% remaining viable cells in all three cell lines.

We next investigated if apoptosis is responsible for the sorafenib-induced reduction of viable prostate cancer cells. To assess apoptosis TMRE and FAM-VAD-FLICA analysis were performed detecting reduction in mitochondrial membrane potential (Δψm) (Fig. 2B) and active caspases (Fig. 2C), respectively.

Sorafenib treatment of DU145, PC3 and 22Rv1 cells for up to 48 h showed that the cells die by apoptosis although to different extent (Fig. 2B and C). Sorafenib dose-dependently induced TMRE-negative and FLICA-positive cells. The 22Rv1 cell line showed the highest number of apoptotic cells.

Sorafenib-induced apoptosis was furthermore inhibited by overexpressing the anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 protein in DU145 cells. DU145 cells were transiently transfected with either an empty vector or a Bcl-2 expressing vector followed by treatment with 20 μM sorafenib for 24 h. Bcl-2 overexpression potently inhibited sorafenib-induced cell death (Fig. 3).

Sorafenib induces autophagy in prostate cancer cell lines. We have previously shown that MDC correlates well with other autophagic markers such as LC3-GFP staining and LC3 lipidation visualised by immunoblotting (19). Cells treated with 25 μM sorafenib for 48 h were stained with MDC and analyzed by microscopy (Fig. 4A and B). MDC staining increased at 48 h following sorafenib treatment (Fig. 4A) which is indicative for autophagy.

DU145 cells stably expressing LC3-GFP were generated to further investigate sorafenib-induced autophagy. Treatment of DU145 with 20 μM sorafenib for 24 h altered the diffuse cytoplasmic localisation of LC3-GFP into punctated staining characteristic of autophagosome formation (Fig. 4B). These data, in combination with the MDC stainings support our notion that sorafenib is inducing autophagy as well as apoptosis.

Discussion

The development of new treatment strategies for patients with metastatic AIPC remains a challenge. During the last year, several clinical trials have reported various degrees of clinical benefits following treatment with TKIs for this patient category (11-13,20,21). Sorafenib has demonstrated some clinical effects in three independent phase II trials in patients with hormone refractory, metastatic prostate cancer (11-13); however the underlying molecular sorafenib-induced antitumoral effects are poorly studied for this disease. The study by Dahut et al concerned mostly clinical effects following sorafenib treatment on progressive hormone refractory patients, however, some cellular studies were reported on the hormone-sensitive LNCaP cell line (12). It was shown that sorafenib treatment increase PSA secretion for these cells and this phenomenon was suggested to be related to a drug-induced PSA transcriptional activity. It can be discussed how preclinical data on a hormone sensitive cell line translates into the
clinical situation on patients with hormone-refractory disease. Nevertheless, 2 of 22 patients met PSA progression criteria but were found to have dramatic reduction of bone metastases on bone scan, which indicates the limitations of PSA as a marker of sorafenib treatment. Except from the data by Dahut et al regarding LNCaP cells, no study has addressed the effects of sorafenib on prostate cancer cells in vitro.

In this study, we evaluated the effects of sorafenib treatment on three well characterized cell lines PC3, DU145 and 22Rv1 (15,16). Two of these cell lines are known as hormone refractory, PC3 and DU145 and one as hormone-responsive, 22Rv1. We show that sorafenib treatment induced a clear dose-dependent reduction of the viable cell number for all three cell lines, with 22Rv1 cells being the most sensitive (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, we show that ERK1/2 activation was inhibited in a dose-dependent manner for both the hormone refractory cell line DU145 and the hormone-responsive cells, 22Rv1 (Fig. 1). These results verify that the effects on the MAPK pathway observed on other cell lines such as colon (12) pancreas and breast cancer cells (4), but not non-small cancer cell lines (4), are also valid on prostate cancer cells. Furthermore, and consistent with the inhibition of MAPK signaling, sorafenib induced apoptosis in all cell lines in a dose-dependent manner, as demonstrated by independent methods; reduction in mitochondrial membrane potential and induction of pan-caspase activity (Fig. 2B and C). Importantly, Bcl-2 overexpression protected DU145 cells from sorafenib-induced cell death (Fig. 3). In addition, a novel effector-mechanism of sorafenib-treatment was demonstrated; induction of autophagy (Fig. 4A and B). Although autophagy was originally identified as a response to starvation, increasing amount of evidence shows that this process in fact is induced by various stress conditions such as hormone treatment, starvation and virus infections (22-24). Autophagic cell death is separated from apoptosis by the presence of autophagosomes and autophagolysosomes in the dying cells (25,26) and autophagic cells have intact nuclei until the last phase of cell death. This way of dying has been termed type II programmed cell death in recent years (27). Moreover, data indicate that autophagy and apoptosis pathways exert overlapping functions and share regulatory components; for example blockage of autophagic signaling increased apoptosis whereas inhibition of apoptosis resulted in autophagic cell death (28-30). To our knowledge, this study is the first to demonstrate induction of autophagy in prostate cancer cell lines following treatment with the TKI sorafenib. A potential mechanism is the inhibitory properties of sorafenib on tyrosine kinase receptors such as PDGFR and VEGFR (31,32). Both of these receptors will activate PI3K signaling and downstream of this also mTOR. Potentially inhibition of this signaling could instead trigger autophagy through decreased mTOR activity.

Imatinib, another multiple TKI known to target c-abl, Arg kinases, PDGFR-a and b, c-kit and c-fms has recently been shown to induce autophagy in mammalian cells (33). It is possible that shared downstream signaling targets, such as PI3K among several, which are blocked by both imatinib and sorafenib is the reason that both these drugs induce autophagy. In the present study DU145 cells displayed the most prominent autophagic pattern as judged by MDC stainings and in cells overexpressing a GFP tagged form of one of the classical markers of autophagy, namely LC3. The importance of autophagy in sorafenib-induced cell death of the prostate cancer cell lines is not clear and thereby a subject of intense investigation in our laboratory. It will be interesting to identify whether autophagy promotes the sorafenib-induced cytotoxic effects or it prevents them.

It is noteworthy that treatments targeting steroid hormone receptors, such as anti-androgen, estrogens and glucocorticoids all may induce cell death with autophagic features (22-24). Considering the link between prostate cancer and androgens one may speculate that sorafenib-induced autophagy could alter the cellular sensitivity to anti-androgen based treatment. As mentioned above, a number of different cell death inducing agents have recently been shown to cause cell death with autophagic features. An ongoing debate in the literature is whether the autophagy under such circumstances is a protective mechanism reducing drug induced cell death, or whether it aids in the killing of cells. Recent data on TKIs suggest that autophagy protects cells from the cytotoxic properties of the TKIs. For example, Mishima et al, recently demonstrated that inhibition of autophagy reverts the resistance of leukemic cells to another TKI, imatinib (34). Whether autophagy, induced by sorafenib in prostate cancer cells is cytoprotective or cytotoxic remains to be defined in future studies.

In summary, we demonstrate that sorafenib treatment significantly affects cell viability of prostate cancer cell lines in a dose-dependent manner. Furthermore, the MAPK pathway is inactivated and apoptosis induced. Importantly, we also show, to our knowledge for the first time, that sorafenib treatment induces cellular autophagy of prostate cancer cells. This feature is in accordance with the anticancer potential of sorafenib and adds another important effector mechanism to this compound. Although the relationship between cell death and autophagy is not yet clear, our results open potential treatment combinations that may augment the effect of sorafenib. Super-additive effects may be achieved by combining sorafenib either with drugs that promote autophagy, for example the rapalogues, or by combining sorafenib with compounds that specifically inhibit the autophagic process.
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