Abstract. The prostate cancer (PCa) cell lines LNCaP, PC-3, and DU-145 express peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPARγ) but its role in PCa is unclear. Thiazolidinediones (TZDs), a family of PPARγ activators and type 2 anti-diabetic drugs, exhibit anti-tumor apoptotic effects in human PCa cell lines. Likewise, pharmacological inhibitors of fatty acid synthase (FASN), a metabolic enzyme highly expressed in PCa, induce apoptosis in prostate and other cancer cells. Here, we show positive correlation between PPARγ and FASN protein in PCa cell lines and synergism between TZDs and FASN blockers in PCa cell viability reduction and apoptosis induction. Combined TZDs/FASN has enhanced anti-tumor properties in both androgen-dependent LNCaP and androgen-independent PC-3 and DU-145 cells when compared with single drug exposure. Low concentrations (5-10 μM) of the TZD drug rosiglitazone failed to alter cell viability but, paradoxically, upregulated lipogenic genes [PPARγ, FASN, sterol regulatory element binding protein-1c (SREBP-1c) and acetyl-Co A carboxylase-1 (ACC1)], which diminish the apoptotic effects of rosiglitazone. The mean IC_{50} in all cell lines was 45±2 μM for rosiglitazone compared with significantly lower 5±1 μM for rosiglitazone plus the FASN blocker cerulenin, and 10.2±2 μM for rosiglitazone plus the cerulenin synthetic analog C75. The IC_{50} for the combined rosiglitazone and FASN blockers contrasts with the relatively higher IC_{50} for rosiglitazone (45±2 μM), the TZD drug troglitazone (13±2 μM), cerulenin (32±1 μM), or C75 (26±3 μM) when these drugs were used alone. In summary, this study shows proof-of-principle for combining FASN blockers and TZDs for PCa treatment.

Introduction

Prostate cancer (PCa) is second to lung cancer as a cause of cancer-related death in American men (1). Multiple preclinical studies show anti-cancer effects of thiazolidinediones (TZDs) PPARγ agonist ligands (2-6). In contrast, clinical studies suggest that TZDs are largely ineffective as monotherapeutic agents in treating PCa (7). Because cancer cells modify several transduction pathways to achieve continuous progression and survival (8), it is important that multiple drug-strategies are used to achieve effective treatment. Such a strategy should allow for synergistic anti-proliferative effects and/or permit the use of low drug doses that might otherwise be less effective when used as monotherapy (7). For example, the anti-proliferative effects of the tyrosine kinase inhibitor gefitinib in A549 lung cancer cells is enhanced with rosiglitazone as the result of rosiglitazone-induced augmentation of PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homolog) expression (9). PTEN inhibits the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3-K)-Akt pathway, which is essential for progression of PCa cells. Likewise, the combination of rosiglitazone and the platinum cytotoxic drugs (carboplatin and cisplatin) synergistically inhibit the growth of A549 lung cancer cells compared with single-agent therapy (10). The latter effect appears to be due to down-regulation of metallothionein genes that mediate platinum drug resistance.

TZDs induce apoptosis in both androgen-dependent and hormone-refractory tumors and modulate PPARγ-target genes that regulate cell cycle, such as cyclin D1 and E (reviewed in ref. 11). Despite TZDs anti-tumor properties, several shortcomings remain that hinder their development as effective treatment for PCa. First, when TZDs are used in vivo as anti-diabetic agents they principally regulate genes involved in lipid metabolism and, in turn, increase fat cell numbers and consequently weight gain via genomic pathways (12). Second, their anti-tumor effects require the use of high concentrations...
that could trigger in vivo side effects. Third, troglitazone which is more effective than rosiglitazone in induction of apoptosis is banned in the US due to liver toxicity. Rosiglitazone and pioglitazone which are currently marketed as anti-diabetic drugs have failed to suppress PCa cell growth in LNCaP, PC-3 and DU-145 when tested at a single concentration of 10 and 20 μM, respectively (13). Fourth, in phase II clinical trials rosiglitazone and troglitazone failed to effectively reduce prostate specific antigen (PSA), a tumor marker in prostate cancer (reviewed in ref. 7). Despite these setbacks the TZDs could remain valuable if their toxic or weak monotherapeutic effects are ameliorated through either structural changes or combinational therapy. For example, the current development of TZD derivatives that are similar in structure but devoid of PPARγ activity is one approach that could prove effective in suppression of PCa cells with more potency than the parent drugs (2). Alternatively, in this study we attempted the use of FASN blockers mycotoxin cerulenin and C75 (α-methylene-γ-butyrolactone) (14-16) to augment the apoptotic effect of rosiglitazone and blunt the induction of fatty acid synthase (FASN), which is induced by genomic effect of rosiglitazone, and by androgens, which are pivotal for growth and differentiation of prostate cells (17).

FASN (EC 2.3.1.85) is an anabolic lipogenic enzyme with seven multifunctional enzymatic sites that regulate de novo fatty acid synthesis (18). It is classified as an oncogene (OA-519) because it is highly expressed in prostate (19-22) and other cancers (23). In the presence of NADPH cofactor, the FASN catalyzes the conversion of acetyl-CoA and malonyl-CoA into long-chain saturated fatty acids such as palmitate (24). Increased FASN activity is thought to drive production of lipids that are essential building blocks for cell membrane and modification of phosphoproteins (18). More importantly, increased expression of FASN protects cancer cells from apoptosis, and is associated with aggressive forms of prostate cancers (25). In support of FASN tumor enhancing properties, silencing of FASN by small interference RNA (siRNA) molecules induces apoptosis in androgen-dependent LNCaP PCa cells (26). Likewise, chemical inhibitors of FASN induce apoptosis in several tumor cell lines (14,23,27-29). In addition to anti-tumor properties of FASN blockers, inhibition of FASN is associated with sustained body weight loss (30). The anti-tumor and anti-obesity properties of FASN blockers thus provide a rational basis for combinational therapy with TZDs for treatment of both androgen-dependent and hormone refractory PCa. The objectives of this study are 2-fold, i) to investigate the role of FASN and PPARγ in anti-tumor activity of rosiglitazone, and ii) to evaluate the anti-tumor potency of TZDs and FASN inhibitors combinations. We hypothesize that the combined use of TZDs and FASN blockers enhances their antitumor properties in PCa.

**Materials and methods**

**Reagents.** Rosiglitazone, troglitazone, GW 9662 (PPARγ blocker) were purchased from Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI). The antibiotic Cerulenin, C75 (α-methylene-γ-butyrolactone), mouse antibody against β-actin, DMSO, 5α-dihydrotestosterone (5α-DHT), and trypsin were from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). For culture experiments all stock drugs were prepared at various concentrations in DMSO, further diluted in phenol red-free RPMI-1640 media and added in culture to give 0.1% final DMSO concentration. Mouse monoclonal anti-PPARγ (sc-7273, E8), FASN polyclonal antibody (sc-20140, H-300), and secondary mouse and rabbit antibodies were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA). RPMI-1640 media with and without phenol red and penicillin-streptomycin antibiotics were purchased from Invitrogen Life Technologies Invitrogen Inc. (Carlsbad, CA). Heat-inactivated and charcoal-dextran-treated fetal calf serum (FCS) was obtained from Atlanta Biological (Atlanta, GA). Cell culture flasks and other supplies were purchased from VWR International, LCC (Atlanta, GA).

**Cell culture.** The human androgen-dependent (LNCaP) and androgen-independent (PC-3 and DU-145) PCa cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). Cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 media supplemented with 1% (v/v) streptomycin-penicillin antibiotics (Invitrogen), and 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated FCS. Cells were grown in T-75 vented filter cap tissue culture flasks until they reach approximately 75-90% confluency at 37°C in a humidified incubator under 5% carbon dioxide atmosphere. Twenty-four hours prior to drug treatment, the culture media was aspirated and replaced with phenol red-free RPMI-1640 supplemented with 5% charcoal-dextran-treated FCS. Cells were seeded at a density of 2x105 cells per well when grown in 6-well culture plates, or 1x106 cells per wells in 24-well plates, and 0.1x106 cells per well when grown in flat-bottom 96-well plates. Cells were used between passages 5 and 38.

**MTT cell viability assay.** Cells in log-phase growth were harvested via trypsinization and counted using a coulter counter. Effect of test drugs on cell viability was determined using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) cell viability assay [American Type Culture Collection (ATCC)] according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The assay measures the reduction of a tetrazolium component (MTT) into an insoluble formazan product by mitochondria of viable cells. Forty-eight hours after test drugs were added (four replicates per each drug concentration using 96-well plates), 10 μl MTT reagent was added per well for 2 h at 37°C. Next, 100 μl detergent solution was added to lyse the cells and solubilize colored crystals. Plates were then incubated in the dark for 6 h at room temperature. Optical density values for samples were obtained using Dynex Technologies MRX-TC revelation microtiter plate reader at 570 nm wavelength. Media plus DMSO (vehicle) was used as blank. The amount of color produced is directly proportional to the number of viable cells. Concentrations that result in 50% inhibition (IC50) of cell viability were calculated using SigmaStat and Sigma Plot software (San Diego, CA). Calculations of mean IC50 were based on data from three independent experiments.

**Determination of apoptosis by ELISA.** Apoptosis induced by drug treatments was determined with a Cell Death Detection ELISA kit (Roche Diagnostics) assay according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The ELISA test assay is based on the quantitative determination of cytoplasmic histone-associated
DNA fragments in the form of mononucleosomes and oligonucleosomes following apoptotic death induction. Briefly, 0.1x10⁵ cells were cultured in 24-well plates in complete RPMI-1640 with 10% fetal bovine serum for 24 h. The next day, the media were aspirated and replaced with phenol red-free RPMI-1640 supplemented with charcoal-treated FCS. Cells were subsequently treated with test drugs at various concentrations for 24 h. For apoptosis determination both adherent and floating cells were tested immediately after collection. Cell lysates equivalent to approximately 0.5x10⁵ cells were used in the ELISA.

Conventional and real-time PCR. Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen-Life Technologies Inc., Carlsbad, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s protocol and as described previously in our laboratory (31). RNA concentrations were determined at 260 nm wavelength and the ratio of 260/280 was obtained using UV spectrophotometry (DU640, Beckman Coulter Fullerton, CA, USA). Samples with 260/280 ratio of ≥1.8 were used. First strand synthesis was accomplished with SABiosciences first strand kit C-03 (SABiosciences, Frederic, MD, USA).

Conventional PCR was used initially to determine the presence of lipogenic genes in LNCaP, PC-3, and DU-145 using validated primer sets synthesized by Integrated DNA Technology (San Diego, CA). RT-PCR was performed using Reaction Ready™ Hot Start ‘Sweet’ PCR Master Mix (SABiosciences). PCR products were analyzed in parallel with ribosomal 18s (Ribo s18) housekeeping gene. Final end PCR products were fractionated and visualized on 2% ethidium bromide-stained agarose gel. Next, real-time PCR was used to determine the expression level of the lipogenic genes in LNCaP cells treated with low (5 μM) and high rosiglitazone concentration (50 μM). Real-time PCR was performed as we previously described (31). Briefly, reactions were performed in 25 μl reaction mixture containing 12.5 μl RT2 real-time SYBR/Fluorescein Green PCR master mix with final concentrations of 10 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM KCl, 2.0 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTPs, and 2.5 units of HotStart Taq DNA polymerase (SABiosciences). 1 μl first strand cDNA, 1 μl RT2 validated primer sets, and 10.5 μl PCR-grade water. Reactions were run in 96-well PCR plates using Bio-Rad PCR cycler (Bio-Rad, MyiQ, Hercules, CA, USA). All reactions were run in duplicates and the results were normalized to Ribo 18s housekeeping-gene. The amplification protocol was set at 95°C for 15 min, and 40 cycles each at 95°C for 30 sec, 55°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 30 sec.

Western blot analysis. Western blot analysis was used to determine protein expression for PPARγ and FASN in LNCaP, PC-3, and DU-145. Cells grown in 6-well plates were washed in Ca/Mg free PBS and then lysed by scraping in 100-250 μl T-PER Tissue Protein Extraction Reagent (Pierce, Rockford, IL) containing EDTA, protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail. Total protein concentration was determined in 2 μl aliquot using Bradford Bio-Rad microplate assay protocol. An equal volume of protein sample (30 μg) was mixed with an equal volume of 2X SDS-PAGE sample loading buffer (100 mmol/l Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 4% SDS, 5% β-mercaptoethanol, 20% glycerol, and 0.1% bromophenol blue). Samples were boiled for 5 min and equal amounts of protein were loaded per well in 10-12% precast SDS-PAGE gels (Bio-Rad). Next, protein samples were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes for over 90 min, using Bio-Rad mini trans-blot electrophoretic transfer cell. Following electrical transfer of proteins, membranes were blocked with TBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 (TBS-T) and 5% non-fat dry milk. Target proteins were detected using appropriate primary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer (1:300 for FASN and 1:400 for PPARγ). Blots with primary antibodies were incubated overnight at 4°C with continuous shaking. The next day, the membranes were washed 3x for 15 min each and incubated with HRP-labeled secondary antibodies (1:5000 for HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit, and 1:2000 for goat anti-mouse antibodies) for 90 min. Next, membranes were washed 3x for 10 min with 0.05% Tween 20 in TBS. Detection of specific binding was determined with ECL substrate (1-3 min). Excess detection mixture was then removed and the blots, which were wrapped in protective sheets, were exposed to X-ray film. For correlation between PPARγ and FASN protein in cell lines, the band intensity for each protein was determined from replica generated from three experiments using the Un-Scan-It software (Silk Scientific, Inc., Orem, UT, USA).

Statistical analysis. Data from cell culture MTT cell viability assay were expressed as mean ± SEM. The data were analyzed by Student’s t-test, one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey post hoc test (Graph Pad Prism 5.0, San Diego, CA). A P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis of real-time PCR data was performed using a modification of the ΔΔCt method (ΔΔCt) as described previously (32).

Results

PPARγ expression in PCA cell lines parallels that of FASN. As an initial step for use of TZDs PPARγ agonists and FASN inhibitors as anti-tumor drugs, we compared FASN expression with that of PPARγ in PC-3, DU-145, and LNCaP cell lines. Because PPARγ is expressed in a cell line-dependent manner (higher in androgen-independent than androgen-dependent cells) and its activation via rosiglitazone induces FASN expression in vivo (33), we hypothesize that the expression of FASN would parallel PPARγ levels in untreated PC-3, DU-145 and LNCaP cells. As shown in Fig. 1A-C, FASN protein was expressed at a higher level in PC-3 and DU-145 compared with expression levels in LNCaP and normal prostate (NP) tissue. Likewise, PPARγ levels were higher in P-C3 and DU-145 androgen-independent PCA cells compared with expression in androgen-dependent LNCaP cells and NP. Fig. 1C. More importantly, the intensity of FASN protein expression positively correlated with that of PPARγ in a tissue-dependent manner. The correlation coefficient (R) calculated from blotting the expression level of PPARγ protein against that of the FASN was 0.98 with p=0.0123. These data suggest that the two proteins tend to increase or decrease together. In the next set of experiments, we investigated the contribution of PPARγ to rosiglitazone and troglitazone anti-tumor activity.

Anti-tumor activity of rosiglitazone and troglitazone in PCA cells is independent of PPARγ except at low rosiglitazone
Rosiglitazone has potent PPARγ activation effects compared to troglitazone. Due to higher expression of FASN and PPARγ in androgen-independent PCa cells, especially PC-3, versus androgen-dependent LNCaP cells (Fig. 1), the objective of this set of experiments was to determine if the anti-tumor activity of rosiglitazone and troglitazone will be affected by variable PPARγ levels in the aforementioned three cell lines. This experiment was conducted under androgen-depleted conditions. As shown in Fig. 2A, a weaker anti-tumor activity was observed for rosiglitazone in PC-3 cells at the lower range of rosiglitazone concentrations (10-30 μM). At higher concentrations (40 μM and above), there was no difference in the anti-tumor activity of rosiglitazone between the cell lines. In contrast to rosiglitazone, the anti-tumor activity of troglitazone was not altered by constitutively higher expression levels of FASN and PPARγ in PC-3 cells (Fig. 2B). Compared with rosiglitazone, troglitazone consistently inhibited cell viability in a concentration-dependent manner, irrespective of the cell line used. The average IC50 calculated from three independent experiments using data from all three cell lines was 45±2 μM for rosiglitazone, and 13±2 μM for troglitazone. The weaker anti-tumor activity of rosiglitazone at the lower concentration range (especially in PC3) versus at higher concentrations suggests two mechanisms.
of actions of rosiglitazone that might involve genomic and non-genomic effects at lower and higher concentrations, respectively.

**GW 9662 PPARγ blocker suggests two mechanisms of action for rosiglitazone in PCa but not for troglitazone.** The role of PPARγ in PCa is unknown and in certain instances PPARγ is suggested to stimulate cancer formation (11). As rosiglitazone is a potent activator of PPARγ and FASN, this prompted us to determine if blockade of PPARγ in PC-3 cells modulated rosiglitazone anti-tumor actions. In the following experiments GW 9662, an irreversible PPARγ blocker, and TZDs were evaluated for their effects on cell growth and induction of apoptosis. Blockage of PPARγ per se using various GW 9662 concentrations did not alter cell viability (Fig. 3A and B). Likewise, blockade of PPARγ has failed to reverse the anti-tumor effects of troglitazone in PC-3 cells (Fig. 3A). On the other hand, PPARγ blockade in PC-3 enhanced the anti-tumor activity of rosiglitazone at lower rosiglitazone concentration range (10-20 μM) (Fig. 3B). This effect of GW 9662 is blunted at higher micromolar range (30 μM and above) and is not apparent with troglitazone, suggesting genomic and non-genomic actions of rosiglitazone at lower and higher concentrations, respectively. To further investigate the anti-tumor activity of rosiglitazone at lower and higher concentrations, we determined the ability of TZDs rosiglitazone and troglitazone, and GW 9662 to induce apoptosis in PC-3 cells.

As shown in Fig. 3C, the apoptotic properties of these compounds parallel their suppressive effects on cell viability shown in Fig. 3A and B. In summary, data from these experiments suggest that PPARγ activation contributes to lower anti-tumor activity of rosiglitazone when used at lower micromolar concentrations compared with troglitazone. As the blockade of PPARγ enhances rosiglitazone anti-tumor action at lower concentrations (but not at higher concentrations) this finding adds further evidence for the hypothesis of two mechanisms of actions for rosiglitazone.

**Induction of FASN by low rosiglitazone concentration (genomic effect) may contribute to apoptotic ineffectiveness of rosiglitazone when used as a single drug agent.** To further investigate the mechanism of weaker anti-tumor and anti-apoptotic activity of rosiglitazone at low micromolar concentration (Fig. 3), we hypothesize that rosiglitazone induces the anti-apoptotic enzyme FASN by activation of PPARγ. This may partly explain the weaker anti-apoptotic effect of rosiglitazone. Several observations support the concept of
PPARγ-induced induction of FASN, and the anti-apoptotic properties of the latter enzyme. First, overexpression of the lipogenic gene FASN enzyme has been shown to protect PCa cells against induction of apoptosis (25), and under androgen receptor-dependent conditions FASN induced invasive adenocarcinomas in immunodeficient mice (25). Second, PPARγ activation by feeding rosiglitazone in mice increased in vivo FASN production by >6-fold (33). Third, we showed that FASN expression levels parallel those of PPARγ at basal levels (Fig. 1). For testing the production of FASN by rosiglitazone treatment we stimulated LNCaP (with the lowest FASN and PPARγ levels) with low (5 μM) and high (50 μM) rosiglitazone concentrations and then determined expression of a panel of genes involved in lipogenesis and transcriptional regulation of FASN by real-time PCR. As shown in Fig. 4A, rosiglitazone (5 μM) treatment increased the transcriptional activity of PPARγ and lipogenic gene production including that of FASN. In comparison with DMSO stimulated cells (control expression level set at 1), rosiglitazone treatment increased the expression of FASN, PPARγ, SREBP-1c, ACC1, and AR by 7, 4.2, 2, 2.3, and 6-fold, respectively. In contrast, at 50 μM rosiglitazone concentration, FASN, PPARγ and ACC1 expression was unchanged while that of SREBP 1-c and AR was significantly decreased, Fig. 4B. These data add further support to genomic and non-genomic effects of rosiglitazone when used at low and high concentrations, respectively. Combined rosiglitazone and FASN blockers cerulenin or its analog C75 have additive suppressive effects on PCa cell growth and apoptosis. FASN pharmacological inhibitors and TZDs have anti-tumor properties when used as single drugs (14,34,35). Because troglitazone is banned from the US market due to idiosyncratic hepatotoxicity (36) and rosiglitazone treatment is associated with increased in vivo adipogenesis (33), and induction of in vitro lipogenic genes, we used rosiglitazone to test the hypothesis that blockade of FASN would enhance the rosiglitazone anti-tumor effect. As shown in Fig. 5A, the combined use of rosiglitazone and the FASN blocker cerulenin or C75 consistently induced significantly higher cell death effects in LNCaP compared with rosiglitazone.
or FASN blockade alone. The added synergism of FASN irreversible blockers to the anti-proliferative properties of rosiglitazone was seen in a concentration-dependent manner with greater effect occurring at lower rosiglitazone concentrations (Fig. 5A and B). The IC$_{50}$ for rosiglitazone/cerulenin drug combination was 5±2 μM compared to 45±2 μM for rosiglitazone and 32±1 μM for cerulenin alone. Likewise, the IC$_{50}$ for rosiglitazone/C75 combination was 10.2±2 μM compared to 45±2 μM and 26±3 μM for rosiglitazone and C75, respectively. Similarly, the induction of apoptosis by rosiglitazone/cerulenin combination, shown in Fig. 5C, is in agreement with the results of cell viability in Fig. 5A where the apoptotic effect of rosiglitazone/cerulenin was greater relative to that induced by rosiglitazone alone. Taken together, the cell viability and apoptosis data suggest that FASN blockade potentiates rosiglitazone anti-tumor effects. More importantly this effect is more evident at lower rosiglitazone concentrations.

To test the anti-proliferative synergistic effects of combined rosiglitazone/FASN treatment in androgen-independent PCa cell lines we treated PC-3 and DU-145 with various concentrations of rosiglitazone and C75. Similar to that in LNCaP cells, rosiglitazone/C75 suppressed cell viability in androgen-independent PC-3 and DU-145 more effectively than rosiglitazone or C75 alone (Fig. 6). This is especially evident at the lower concentrations (range 5-20 μM).

Combined TZDs and FASN blockers inhibit cell viability in androgen-dependent LNCaP in the presence of dihydrotestosterone (DHT). Androgen is critical in PCa progression and
Both TZDs (6) and FASN inhibitors (14) exhibit apoptosis-mediated anti-tumor effects but the in vitro potency of TZD monotherapy in suppression of PCa cell growth in human clinical trials is weak (reviewed in ref. 7). Although troglitazone exhibits more effective anti-apoptotic effects than rosiglitazone, its liver toxicity has precluded its therapeutic use in humans. In contrast, rosiglitazone has more potent PPARγ activity but weaker apoptotic effects. In this study, we show that the in vitro anti-tumor activity of rosiglitazone is vastly enhanced by novel treatment regimens that combine FASN inhibitors with rosiglitazone. FASN inhibitors (cerulenin or its synthetic analog C75) combined with low rosiglitazone concentrations resulted in enhanced suppression of androgen-dependent LNCaP cell viability relative to single drug treatment. Note that rosiglitazone alone, but not troglitazone, failed to alter cell viability when compared with vehicle treated cells. In summary, these data show that the FASN inhibitors cerulenin and C75 enhanced the anti-tumor activity of TZDs under androgen-dependent conditions.

Discussion

Both TZDs (6) and FASN inhibitors (14) exhibit apoptosis-mediated anti-tumor effects but the in vitro potency of TZD monotherapy in suppression of PCa cell growth in human clinical trials is weak (reviewed in ref. 7). Although troglitazone exhibits more effective anti-apoptotic effects than rosiglitazone, its liver toxicity has precluded its therapeutic use in humans. In contrast, rosiglitazone has more potent PPARγ activity but weaker apoptotic effects. In this study, we show that the in vitro anti-tumor activity of rosiglitazone is vastly enhanced by novel treatment regimens that combine FASN inhibitors with rosiglitazone. FASN inhibitors (cerulenin or its synthetic analog C75) combined with low rosiglitazone concentrations resulted in enhanced suppression of androgen-dependent LNCaP cell viability relative to single drug treatment. Note that rosiglitazone alone, but not troglitazone, failed to alter cell viability when compared with vehicle treated cells. In summary, these data show that the FASN inhibitors cerulenin and C75 enhanced the anti-tumor activity of TZDs under androgen-dependent conditions.

Cell suppression when used separately. For example, a 50% reduction in LNCaP cell viability was obtained with rosiglitazone/cerulenin combination containing 5 μM each, while cell viability was unaltered with rosiglitazone (5 μM) or cerulenin (5 μM). Similar findings were also obtained with the synthetic analog of cerulenin C75 plus rosiglitazone where a 50% reduction in cell viability was obtained at 10.2 μM final concentration. However, these drugs individually produced minimal effects on cell viability when used at 10.2 μM each (Fig. 5B). The additive anti-proliferative effects of rosiglitazone/FASN blocker combination was also evident in androgen independent PC-3 and DU-145 cells where cell viability was consistently lower in cells treated with rosiglitazone/C75 drug combination as compared to cells treated with rosiglitazone alone (Fig. 6). In principle, co-administration of TZDs and FASN blockers enhance the in vitro anti-tumor actions of each other.

Akin to our current findings, previous studies have shown additive benefits of combining PPARγ ligands with other anti-tumor agents. For instance, the tyrosine kinase inhibitor, gefitinib, enhanced the anti-proliferative effects of rosiglitazone when used for treatment of A549 lung cancer cells (9). The synergistic effect was attributed to rosiglitazone-induced genomic activation of PTEN, a tumor suppressor gene and a key negative regulator of the PI3K pathway that promotes growth in androgen-refractory PCa (41,42). In the same cell line, the combinations of rosiglitazone with the platinum-based cytotoxic drugs carboplatin and cisplatin have synergetic inhibitory effects on A549 lung cancer cell growth (10). Gene array analysis has shown that rosiglitazone down-regulates metallothioneins which are heavy metal binding proteins that mediate platinum drug resistance.

Our data indicates that the weaker anti-tumor activity of rosiglitazone, in contrast to stronger anti-tumor action of troglitazone, is likely due to rosiglitazone-induced genomic (via PPARγ activation) factors that negatively diminish the desirable non-genomic (apoptotic pathway) action. The
enhanced PPARγ-mediated expression of the anti-apoptotic enzyme FASN by low micromolar rosiglitazone concentration is a good example of genomic rosiglitazone-induced apoptosis modulating factor. This concept is supported by several observations in our data. First, in untreated cells FASN expression paralleled that of PPARγ in PC-3, DU-145 and LNCaP suggesting that overexpression of PPARγ in these cell lines could be contributing to parallel increased in the anti-apoptotic enzyme FASN. The strong correlation between PPARγ and FASN could result either from a direct upregulation of one gene by the other, or because the two genes could be similarly regulated by the existing physiological state of the cell. Second, the weaker anti-apoptotic effect of PPARγ agonist rosiglitazone in PC-3 cells (with highest PPARγ level) at lower concentration differ from the stronger effect of troglitazone suggesting involvement of PPARγ-related factors in the weaker rosiglitazone effect. Third, rosiglitazone, a strong PPARγ ligand, induced over 7-fold increase in FASN expression in LNCaP cells when used at low concentration. This finding apparently correlated with the lower susceptibility of FASN-overexpressing PC-3 cells to anti-apoptotic effect of rosiglitazone. Fourth, the FASN inhibitors cerulenin and its synthetic analog C75 abrogated the ability of rosiglitazone to induce FASN production and this finding could explain the enhancement of the anti-tumor activity obtained with rosiglitazone when combined with FASN inhibitors. Finally, other studies have convincingly shown that FASN overexpression protects normal human immortalized epithelial cells, and LNCaP PCa cells from apoptosis mediated via intrinsic pathways.

Our real-time PCR data showed that a low concentration of rosiglitazone (5 μM) enhanced lipogenic gene production, whereas a higher micromolar concentration (50 μM) caused activity repression of the same genes. This finding further supports the concept of a biphasic genomic (via PPARγ activation) and non-genomic (apoptotic) action of rosiglitazone in PCa where the apoptotic effect of rosiglitazone at high micromolar concentrations degrades the genomic response as evident by suppression or lack of changes in expression of all lipogenic genes. This biphasic effect provides a frame-work for dissociation of PPARγ-dependent effects that stem from transcriptional activation of PPARγ-responsive genes from PPARγ-independent (non-genomic) effects. Further evidence in support of a rosiglitazone genomic and non-genomic actions include first, the lower susceptibility of PPARγ expressing PC-3 cells to anti-tumor effects of rosiglitazone at low rosiglitazone concentration when contrasted with the equal anti-tumor activity of rosiglitazone across all cell lines at higher micromolar concentrations. Second, the enhancement of rosiglitazone anti-tumor activity by PPARγ blocker at lower, but not higher micromolar concentrations, further suggests involvement of PPARγ-mediated actions that contribute to the weaker rosiglitazone anti-tumor actions.

In general, the lack of anti-tumor effect of the PPARγ blocker GW 9662 is in agreement with previous findings (13) and suggests that the blockage of unstimulated PPARγ per se does not suppress or boost PCa cell growth. In contrast, the anti-tumor activity of rosiglitazone at low micromolar concentration is enhanced by pre-treatment with the PPARγ blocker presumably by preventing FASN production that could result from activation of PPARγ by rosiglitazone. The failure of GW 9662 to block the anti-tumor effect of troglitazone and rosiglitazone (at higher concentrations) adds further support to the concept of PPARγ-independent anti-tumor effects of TZDs especially for troglitazone. The latter finding is also in agreement with the studies that have shown anti-tumor activity of TZDs analogs that lack PPARγ activity (2).

The enhanced anti-tumor effect of TZDs when combined with FASN inhibitors has important clinical significance since one of the major drawbacks of TZDs therapy in animals and human studies is the enhancement of lipogenesis and fat cell production (adipogenesis), leading to weight gain (33). The use of TZDs/FASN inhibitors could offer significant clinical advantage in blunting the undesirable weight gain (30) and growth promoting effects of FASN overexpression in cancer cells (40). Further, the TZDs/FASN combinations potently suppress LNCaP cell growth in the presence of DHT when compared with single drug treatment. This finding is significant because androgens play a pivotal role in growth and differentiation of the prostate epithelial and stromal cell compartments, and more importantly increase lipogenic gene expression including FASN production (38-40).

As evading apoptosis is one of the important hallmarks of cancer cell progression (43), data presented here shows that combining TZDs and FASN inhibitors lead to increased synergism in induction of apoptosis in PCa cells. This synergism may reduce the probability that tumor cells resistant to either type of agent will emerge. In summary, this approach should have clinical relevance in prostate and other cancers as newer members of TZDs and FASN inhibitors become available. Further studies are planned to investigate the in vivo anti-tumor effects of TZDs/FASN drug combinations in PCa animal models.
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