Imaging agents for \textit{in vivo} molecular profiling of disseminated prostate cancer - targeting EGFR receptors in prostate cancer: Comparison of cellular processing of $^{[111}\text{In}]$-labeled affibody molecule Z\textsubscript{EGFR:2377} and cetuximab
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Abstract. Expression of receptor tyrosine-kinase (RTK) EGFR is low in normal prostate, but increases in prostate cancer. This receptor is significantly up-regulated as tumors progress into higher grade, androgen-insensitive and metastatic lesions. The up-regulated receptors could serve as targets for novel selective anti-cancer drugs, e.g. antibodies and tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Radionuclide imaging of RTK can facilitate patient stratification and monitoring of anti-RTK therapy of prostate cancer. The goal of the study was to evaluate binding and cellular processing of radiolabeled EGFR-targeting conjugates by prostate cancer cell lines. Receptor expression of EGFR was studied in three prostate cancer cell lines: DU145 (brain metastasis of PC, hormone insensitive), PC3 (bone metastasis of PC) and LNCaP (lymph node metastasis of PC, androgen and estrogen receptor positive). Uptake and internalization of anti-EGFR mAbs (cetuximab) and affibody molecule (Z\textsubscript{2377}) labeled with indium-111 was investigated. EGFR expression on prostate cancer cell lines was clearly demonstrated. Both labelled conjugates $^{111}\text{In}-Z\textsubscript{2377}$ and $^{111}\text{In}$-cetuximab bound to prostate cancer cells in the receptor mediated model. Expression levels were modest but correlate with degree of hormone independence. Internalization of Affibody molecules was relatively slow in all cell lines. Internalization of mAbs was more rapid. The level of EGFR expression in these cell lines is sufficient for \textit{in vivo} molecular imaging. Slow internalization indicates possibility of the use of non-residualizing labels for affibody molecules.

Introduction

Prostate cancer (PC) is not one disease, but covers a spectrum of diseases with tumors ranging from localized and slow growing, to rapidly metastasizing and very aggressive. The proliferation of PC is testosterone-driven and initial androgen ablation therapy typically results in a clinically stable state, lasting 1.5-3 years (1). However, a clonal selection during androgen deprivation therapy promotes development of androgen-independent or hypersensitive (castrate-refractory) cells, which become phenotypically dominating. During development toward androgen independence PC develop alternative cellular signaling pathways promoting growth even in an androgen starved environment. Clonal expansion permit cells with more copies of the androgen receptor gene to flourish and thereby allows for activation despite decreasing androgen levels. Mutations may also lead to a modification of the receptor gene resulting in receptors that respond not only to androgen but to other non-androgenic steroid molecules. Also androgen receptors may be ligand-independently activated by deregulated growth factors or cytokines. Alternatively, PC stem-cells may support the growth of androgen independent cells as the androgen independent cells regress during hormone therapy (2). Median survival of patient with androgen-independent PC is 12-24 months depending on treatment (3,4).

Hence, detection of changes in phenotype toward androgen independency is highly relevant in patient management to identify the initiation point for a second-line therapy. Moreover, accurate molecular phenotyping might also suggest the most suitable molecular targets for a second-line therapy making the treatment of androgen independent PC more personalized.

One candidate for possible targeted therapy of disseminated PC is epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), also known as ErbB1 or HER1. EGFR is a member of a subfamily of four closely related receptor tyrosine kinases: EGFR (ErbB-1), HER2/c-neu (ErbB-2), HER 3 (ErbB-3) and HER 4 (ErbB-4). EGFR has its own natural ligands (among others EGF) and additionally three ligands that it shares with ErbB3 (5). Interaction of EGFR with its ligands triggers the cascade signaling pathway regulating cell proliferation,
survival, motility and transformation (6). Androgens down-regulate EGFR in normal prostate and the loss of such regulation in prostate cancer leads to castrate-refractory tumor growth, invasion, and metastasis (7). Several studies have correlated increased EGFR-expression levels with high grade tumors, advanced stage, high risk of prostate-specific antigen recurrence and association with progression toward androgen-independence (8-10). Other studies indicate that growth factors including EGF can activate androgen receptors in androgen-depleted environment (11).

A confirmed increase in the EGFR expression of androgen-independent PC might open up new possible second-line treatment options by the use of already established and well known EGFR-targeting drugs, such as cetuximab, panitumumab, and tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI). Cetuximab is a chimeric (mouse/human) monoclonal antibody against the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), marketed under the name Erbitux. Cetuximab is already used in clinic to treat patients with epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-expressing metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC), head and neck cancer, non-small cell lung cancer both in combination with chemotherapy, and as a single agent (12,13). The combined Phase I/II trial demonstrated that androgen-independent prostate cancer patients treated with cetuximab in combination with doxorubicin had stabilised disease (14). Another promising candidate for second-line treatment is the new TKI. Among these new target drugs aimed at inhibiting tyrosine-kinase-driven signaling pathways some, such as gefitinib and erlotinib, specifically targets the EGFR-signaling pathway (15). For successful implementation of anti-EGFR therapy to prostate cancer treatment selection of patients that would benefit from this therapy is required.

A diagnostic immunohistochemistry (IHC) assay (EGFR pharmDx) can be used to detect EGFR expression in tumor material. One challenge in IHC is heterogeneity of gene expression in metastases. In principle, biopsy-based methods enable detection of a multitude of genes simultaneously. However, repeated sampling of several metastases is more than questionable in clinics. The use of radionuclide molecular imaging would enable repeated imaging of aberrant expression of different gene products in all metastases simultaneously. Also the use of contemporary combined imaging devices (PET/CT or SPECT/CT) would provide anatomical landmarks for biochemical changes (16).

Among the possible radioimaging agents for EGFR are its natural ligands and anti-EGFR mAbs. The use of natural ligands is limited by their physiological activity that can provoke adverse reactions: nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, hypotension, fever and chills (17). Intact mAbs demonstrated their capacity to image EGFR-expressing tumors (18-20) but the sensitivity of such tracers can be limited by the long biodistribution times, slow tumor penetration, and slow blood clearance of the tracers, which reduces target or non-target contrast. The affibody molecules, a new class of imaging agents combine small size of natural ligands, absence of physiological action, and high affinity to target and can be used for radioimmuno-diagnostic.

Affibody molecules are proteins composed of a three-helix bundle based on the scaffold of one of the IgG-binding domains of protein A. By randomizing 13 of the amino acid residues in the helices 1 and 2, combinatorial affibody libraries have been created for selection of binders for a multitude of proteins (21). Their design gives them an outstanding affinity for a specific biomarker and allows them to be used as carriers of imaging/therapeutic radionuclides or toxins. This makes affibody molecules promising for imaging to both localized and metastatic types of tumors, hence endorsing a more personalized approach to treatment. In addition, affibody molecules have an advantage over the conventional monoclonal antibodies as they mimic their function, but lack the non-target interactions of antibodies.

Bio-distribution properties of affibody molecules surpass those of antibodies or their fragments. In contrast to the 150 kDa weight of an antibody, the molecular weight of affibody is only 7 kDa, which provides rapid extravasation and penetration in extracellular space of tumors. The affibody molecule Z_{EGFR:2377} has previously demonstrated superb targeting with high specificity to EGFR-expressing A431 (squamous carcinoma) xenografts in murine models confirmed by γ-camera imaging (22) making Z_{EGFR:2377} a possible candidate also for imaging of EGFR-expression in PC metastases. The aims of the study was to establish the level of HER1/EGFR expression in a range of PC cell-lines in order to use them as models in further studies, and to evaluate the binding and cellular processing of [111In]-labeled cetuximab and Z_{EGFR:2377} to these cell lines.

Materials and methods

Cell lines. Three different PC cell lines were evaluated for EGFR-receptor expression and had their receptor amount quantified. The cell lines, all originating from PC metastases, were DU145 (brain metastasis of PC, hormone insensitive), PC3 (bone metastasis of PC) and LNCaP (lymph node metastasis of PC, androgen and estrogen receptor positive). All cell lines were provided by the American Type Culture Collection.

The cells were cultivated in complete RPMI-media, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mM L-glutamate, 100 IU/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml of streptomycin. For the LNCap cells media were also supplemented with Na-pyruvate and HEPES. All reagents including media and Trypsin-EDTA were from Biochrom KG, Berlin, Germany. All bottles and petri-dishes for cell cultivation were from Nunclon surface, Roskilde, Denmark. Cultivation of cells took place in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ at 37°C, if not stated otherwise.

Materials. EGFR-binding Z_{EGFR:2377} affibody molecule with site-specifically coupled maleimido derivative of DOTA was provided by Affibody AB (Bromma, Sweden). Development and characterization of DOTA-Z_{EGFR:2377} has been described earlier (15). Anti-EGFR chimerical monoclonal antibody cetuximab (Erbitux®) was from Merck AB (Stockholm, Sweden). [(R)-2-Amino-3-(4-isothiocyanatophenyl)propyl]-trans-[(S,S)-cyclohexane-1,2-diamine]pentaaetetic acid (CHX-A’DTPA) was purchased from Macrocycles (Dallas, TX, USA).

Buffers, such as 0.1 M phosphate buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.5, 0.007 M sodium borate, pH 9.3, 4 M urea solution in
was treated in the same way, but with a blocking amount of cetuximab in medium. Along with these one set of dishes was incubated at 37˚C for 4 h, then CHX-A''DTPA-cetuximab in 0.07 M sodium borate was added. The mixture was incubated at 37˚C for at least 0.5 h. The basic solution was collected. Dishes were washed with an additional 0.5 ml acid solution, pH 2.5, for 5 min on ice. The acid fraction was collected and then the cells were washed in an additional 0.5 ml acid solution, pH 2.5, for 5 min on ice. The acid fraction was collected and then the cells were lysed and collected. Following this the cells were washed in an additional 0.5 ml acid solution, then collected.

The distribution of radioactivity along the strips was measured on a Cyclone™ Storage Phosphor System (further referred to as Phosphor Imager) and analyzed using the OptiQuant™ image analysis software (both from Perkin-Elmer). Cells were counted using an electronic cell counter (Beckman Coulter).

Labeling chemistry. For labeling, DOTA-ZEGFR:2377 was reconstituted in 0.2 M ammonium acetate buffer to a concentration of 1 mg/ml. For a typical labeling, 30 μl of DOTA-ZEGFR:2377 solution was mixed with 50 μl 0.2 M ammonium acetate buffer and 30-70 MBq [111In]-indium chloride (80-160 μl solution in 0.05 M HCl). The reaction mixture was incubated at 60˚C for 30 min and the radiochemical purity was evaluated using Tec-Control Chromatography 150-771 strips (Biodex Medical Systems, New York, US) eluted with 0.2 M citric acid.

Cetuximab was labeled with [111In]-indium chloride solution using CHX-A''DTPA chelator. The coupling (~4 chelators per antibody) was performed in accordance with the method described earlier (23). Briefly, cetuximab was purified from glycine using PD-10 column, equilibrated and eluted with MilliQ water, and then freeze-dried. For coupling, 1.4 mg antibody was re-constituted in 200 μl 0.07 M sodium borate and a freshly prepared solution of CHX-A''DTPA (27 μl, 1 mg/ml in 0.07 M sodium borate) was added. The mixture was incubated at 37˚C for 4 h, then CHX-A''DTPA-cetuximab was subsequently diluted with PBS for further experiments.

In vitro binding specificity test. Pre-cultivated cells from cell lines DU-145, PC3 or LNCap were incubated with 1 nM solution of [111In]-ZEGFR:2377 or [111In]CHX-A''-DTPA-cetuximab, in complete media, at 37˚C. At designed times of incubation (0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 8 and 24 h) one group of 3 dishes was analyzed for cell-associated radioactivity.

The cellular binding and processing of radiolabeled conjugates. Pre-cultivated cells from cell lines DU-145, PC3 or LNCap were incubated with 4 at 4°C with [111In]CHX-A''-DTPA-cetuximab in concentrations ranging from 0.2 to 33 nM in complete media. For each data point four dishes were used including 1 pre-saturated with unlabeled cetuximab (3 μM) in complete media. For each data point one standard sample was also taken for further calculations of real concentrations in data points. After incubation medium was aspirated and the cells were washed once with cold serum-free medium. The cells were treated with trypsin for 10-15 min and then the cells in each dish were resuspended after addition of 1 ml of medium. Cell suspension (0.5 ml) was used for cell counting and 1 ml for radioactivity measurements.

Quantification of EGFR expression in PC cell lines. To calculate the number of receptors pre-cultivated cells from cell lines DU-145, PC3 or LNCap were incubated for 4 h at 37˚C in 0.5 ml trypsin-EDTA for 10-15 min and then the cells in each dish were resuspended. Cell-suspension was also collected.

Results

Conjugation and labeling chemistry. [111In]-labeling of DOTA-ZEGFR:2377 provided a yield of over 95% and a specific activity of 16.8 MBq/nmol. Labeling of CHX-A''DTPA-cetuximab was also successful and provided a yield of 99% and a specific activity of 15 MBq/nmol.

A consistent labeling yield of over 95% allowed for the labeled proteins to be used without purification and they were subsequently diluted with PBS for further experiments.

In vitro binding specificity test. The results of the in vitro specificity test for [111In]-DOTA-ZEGFR:2377 and [111In]-CHX-
A’DTPA-cetuximab are presented in Fig. 1. With both compounds, the binding to PC cells was highly specific and the results clearly confirm the presence of EGFR in all the cell lines tested.

For [111In]-labeled Z EGFR:2377 pre-saturation of the EGF-receptors with non-labeled Z EGFR:2377 significantly decreased the binding of the conjugate from 7.00±1.22% to 0.79±0.02% for LNCaP, from 2.41±0.06% to 0.63±0.10% for PC3, and from 4.54±0.21% to 0.83±0.05% for DU145 PC cell lines.

Pre-saturation of EGFR with non-labeled cetuximab decreased the binding of [111In]-CHX-A’DTPA-cetuximab from 31.19±0.95% to 2.82±0.80% for LNCaP, from 30.89±1.72% to 2.87±0.34% for PC3, and from 21.00±0.53% to 7.60±0.55% for DU145 PC cell lines.

Quantification of EGFR expression in PC cell lines. EGFR expression level was quantified using saturation experiments with [111In]-CHX-A’DTPA-cetuximab. The results of a typical saturation experiment are presented in Fig. 2. All cell lines demonstrate modest but detectable expression levels. Quantitative data concerning EGFR expression are presented in Table I.

The cellular binding and processing of radiolabeled conjugates. Data on binding and cellular processing of [111In]-DOTA-Z EGFR:2377 and [111In]-CHX-A’DTPA-cetuximab are presented in Fig. 3. The two conjugates display a rather different binding and uptake-pattern. For affibody molecules, in both PC3 and LNCap, an initial rapid binding was followed by slow but continuous increase in uptake during 24 h of incubation. With DU-145, on the other hand, a fast initial binding of [111In]-DOTA-ZEGFR:2377 was followed by a plateau after 8 h of incubation. Cetuximab displayed, in all cases, a much faster initial binding and a continuous increase during all 24 h of incubation. Such pattern may be due to continuous cell proliferation and absence of EGFR down-regulation.

Internalization of both conjugates was continuously growing during whole incubation. The internalization rate, for the affibody molecule, was slow but steadily increasing. With cetuximab internalization curves followed the rater steep binding curves, continuously increasing throughout the
24 h of incubation. In the case of $^{[111}\text{In}]$-CHX-A$^{'}$DTPA-cetuximab more than 60% of delivered radioactivity was internalized after 24 h, while internalization of radioactivity delivered by $^{[111}\text{In}]$-DOTA-Z$_{\text{EGFR:2377}}$ only reached about 30%.

**Discussion**

PC is notoriously difficult to diagnose and current methods of diagnosis and staging are in many cases inadequate. For a newly diagnosed patient, information regarding the location of the cancer is critical in the decision between local or systemic treatment. For patients with occult recurrent disease it is equally necessary to know not only whether or not recurrence is local or disease is metastasized, but also what type of therapy can be appropriate. Initial treatment of metastasized prostate cancer usually utilizes hormone-mediated therapies that generally results in rapid response. Unfortunately, these androgen deprivation therapies are not curative and patients will ultimately develop androgen-independent disease with further progression. The progress
on new treatments for castrate-refractory PC has been slow and second-line treatment for patients with recurring PC is limited. Several clinical trials have been performed, with inadequate success (24). Molecular changes in PC cells that are driving forth for castrate-refractory PC, such as overexpression of growth factor receptors, can be the new promising target for future therapy approaches (25).

A correlation between an increase in EGFR-expression and poor prognosis is confirmed in several types of cancer, including PC (26,27). As overexpression of EGFR seems to be a factor in the progression of PC and its development toward androgen-independence (28) EGFR is of outmost interest when targeting disseminated PC.

Currently the main method for determination of EGFR-expression is IHC. However, IHC is a technique that requires both high sample quality and skilled staff, to grant reliable and comparable results/data (29). Important to point out is also that ICH requires biopsies and as bone metastasis is the most common form of metastasis in disseminated PC this complicates the situation. In order to make an informed decision regarding treatment and to personalize the treatment, the data regarding the phenotype of the tumor and the spread of the disease needs to be as complete as possible and this is where radionuclide imaging may be useful.

In clinic cetuximab is proven effective and is commonly used in the treatment of high EGFR-expressing tumors. A recent trial by Slovin et al (14) used cetuximab for combined treatment of disseminated castrate-resistant PC. Although there was no apparent regression of the disease among the participants of the trial median survival were longer in the historical control groups. That study is an early experience with cetuximab in PC and was suboptimally designed both in cetuximab dosage and choice of doxorubicin with its limited efficacy, but demonstrated promising results. To be able to select PC patients for the new anti-EGFR therapy the imaging of EGFR expression in PC lesions is necessary.

This study was a first step in characterization of a range of PC cell-lines in order to establish new research models for the comparison of potential imaging probes. Expression of EGFR was clearly demonstrated in all three prostate-cancer cell-lines (Table I) and correlates with different degree of androgen independence (DU145, brain metastasis of PC, hormone insensitive > LNCaP, brain metastasis of PC, hormone insensitive > LNCaP, lymph node metastasis of PC, androgen and estrogen receptor positive). Together these cell lines constitute a panel that can be used to develop anti-EGFR imaging agents, therapy modalities, and measure treatment induced changes in the EGFR expression-levels. Previous experiments (30-32) have shown that even cells with moderate expression levels may be utilized for in vivo experiment, indicating a possibility to visualize tumors with proportionally relatively minor aberrations in their expression profile. Cell lines with different EGFR expression can be also useful in determining optimal specific radioactivity of imaging agent for better contrast (21,33).

Several aspects are important for imaging agent, such as high specificity and selectivity to the target, robustness in labeling conditions and maintenance of binding capacity after necessary modifications, rate of blood clearance, excretion pathways, and many others. Also important for development of a tracer is internalization rate of the conjugate after binding to the target. Internalization is followed by transfer to the lysosomal compartment, where targeting proteins undergo proteolytic degradation. Charged radiocatabolites of radio-metal labels stay trapped intracellularly, while lipophilic catabolites of radiohalogens leak from cells decreasing tumor-associated radioactivity (34). For tracers used in imaging it is noteworthy that larger targeting agents, such as antibodies, have a long circulation time in blood. The longer circulation contributes higher background radiation and cause a reduction in imaging contrast. Smaller tracers with their shorter circulation time give less background radiation and therefore provide better sensitivity (35). The shorter circulation time may also have clinical implications as reduction in hospital-time is of practical interest for patients and of economical interest for caregivers.

Both tested In-labeled conjugates demonstrated specific receptor mediated binding to all three studied PC cell lines (Fig. 1) confirming that conjugates preserved binding capacity to EGFR under labeling procedures. Internalization of anti-EGFR conjugates was studied in details on all three cell lines and the results are presented in Fig. 3. In line with previous studies (21,36,30,31) on breast and ovarian carcinoma cell lines internalization of affibody molecules is slow but it could not be excluded a priori that internalization of affibody molecules would proceed at different rate in PC cells. As can be expected, a larger number of receptors in the cell lines reflects in the overall uptake giving a higher uptake to the cell lines with more receptors.

The faster uptake and internalization of cetuximab, put higher emphasis on the properties of the nuclide used for radiolabeling. A residualizing label is necessary, in this case, to prevent leakage of radiocatabolites that may disrupt imaging and deteriorate the quality of the images. With affibody molecules on the other hand the properties of the nuclide is of less importance and the main issue is rather of affinity. This since the affibody molecules have a much slower internalization rate, meaning that disassociation of labeled tracer would be a potentially greater issue than leakage of radiocatabolites.

Affibody molecules have on several occasions demonstrated promise as tracers for molecular imaging (37-39) and the design of the affibody molecule makes it highly versatile and adaptable. Selecting affibody molecules, with binding sites that target epitopes slightly different from the ones in drugs used for treatment, is feasible. This presents the possibility to utilize affibody molecules as tracers to continuously track result of treatment and further personalize treatment regimens.

In conclusion, the level of EGFR expression in these cell lines is sufficient for in vivo molecular imaging. Slow internalization indicates the possibility of using non-residualizing labels for affibody molecules.
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