Nitric oxide-donating aspirin induces G2/M phase cell cycle arrest in human cancer cells by regulating phase transition proteins
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Abstract. NO-aspirin (NO-ASA), consisting of aspirin and a nitric oxide-releasing group, is safer than aspirin and effective in colon cancer prevention. Here, we examined the mechanism of action of NO-ASA by focusing primarily on its effects on the cell cycle. NO-ASA reduced the growth of several cell lines from colon, pancreas, skin, cervix and breast cancer much more potently than aspirin, with 24-h IC50 values of 133-268 µM, while those of ASA were >1,000 µM. NO-ASA elevated the intracellular levels of reactive oxygen species, generating a state of oxidative stress. In all cell lines examined, NO-ASA induced cell cycle arrest in the G2/M phase transition accompanied by altered expression of G2/M transition-related proteins. In SW480 colon cancer cells NO-ASA modulated proteins controlling this transition. Thus, it markedly increased the levels of cyclin B1, decreased the expression of cyclin D1 and Cdc25C, and increased the Thr14/Tyr15-phosphorylation of Cdk1 while leaving unchanged its protein levels. These changes, including the G2/M arrest, were prevented by pretreating the cells with the anti-oxidant N-acetyl-cysteine, indicating that redox signaling is likely responsible for the cell cycle changes, a conclusion consistent with the known redox regulation of these proteins. Collectively, these results confirm the profound cytokinetic effect of NO-ASA and provide strong evidence that it regulates cell cycle transitions through its ability to induce oxidative stress, which activates redox signaling in the target cell.

Introduction

Cancer is a group of diseases characterized by uncontrolled growth and spread of abnormal cells. The American Cancer Society estimates that in 2011 about 101,340 new cancer cases are expected to be diagnosed in USA and about 49,380 Americans are expected to die of cancer (1). A large body of evidence has shown that aspirin and other non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) possess the ability to prevent colon and other cancers (2). The limited efficacy of NSAIDs coupled with significant adverse effects has provided the impetus to develop agents that are safer and more efficacious (3,4). Nitric oxide-donating NSAIDs (NO-NSAIDs) represent such an approach. NO-NSAIDs consist of a traditional NSAID that bears a nitric oxide-releasing moiety which reduces gastric toxicity (5).

Nitric oxide-donating aspirin (NO-ASA), the most widely studied NO-NSAID (Fig. 1), has been shown to be very effective in preventing colon and pancreatic cancers in in vitro and in animal tumor models (6,7). In terms of safety, animal data have provided evidence of the superior safety of NO-ASA (7-9). In addition, the gastroduodenal toxicity of NO-ASA in healthy volunteers was reported to be equivalent to that of placebo (10). It is now clear that NO-ASA has a significant potential for the prevention and perhaps the treatment of colon cancer. The mechanism of action of NO-ASA on cancer is, however, not yet fully understood. The anti-proliferative effect of NO-ASA has been observed in colon cancer cell lines (10,11) and a cisplatin-sensitive human ovarian cancer cell line (12). NO-ASA induced apoptosis in cisplatin-sensitive human ovarian cancer cells by activating Bax and releasing cytochrome c (13).

Given the potential of NO-ASA as an anti-cancer agent, we assessed its effect on cell cycle. The present study demonstrates that NO-ASA treatment causes a G2/M phase cell cycle arrest in cultured human cancer cell lines associated with marked changes in the expression of proteins regulating this phase transition.

Materials and methods

Reagents. NO-ASA [2-(acetyloxy)benzoic acid 3-(nitrooxy-methyl)phenyl ester] was provided by Nicox, SA, Sophia Antipolis, France. Dihydroethidium (DHE) and 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (H2DCFDA) were obtained from Calbiochem. DMSO was from Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ. N-acetyl-L-cysteine (NAC) and aspirin were from Sigma Chemical, St. Louis, MO. Primary antibodies were from the following sources (the final concentrations used are indicated in parentheses): rabbit polyclonal antibodies against caspase 3 (1:500), PARP (1:500), Cdc25C (1:500), p-Cdc2 (Thr14/Tyr15), CDC2 and mouse monoclonal antibody against cyclin B1 (1:500) were all from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA. The rabbit polyclonal antibody against cyclin D1 (1:1,000) was from...
Figure 1. The chemical structure of NO-ASA. NO-ASA consists of aspirin, a spacer moiety and a nitric oxide-releasing moiety (ONO₂). This is the meta-isomer of NO-ASA in terms of the position of the -ONO₂ moiety with respect to its closest carboxylic ester.

Upstate Cell Signaling Solution, Lake Placid, NY. The mouse monoclonal antibody against α-tubulin (1:1,500) was from Oncogene Research Products, San Diego, CA. Stock solutions of NO-ASA (100 mM), aspirin (100 mM), and NAC (250 mM) were prepared in DMSO and stored at -20°C.

Cell culture. SW480, HT-29, HCT-15, and LoVo human colon adenocarcinoma cells, BxPC-3 human pancreatic adenocarcinoma cell, A431 human skin carcinoma cell, HeLa human cervix adenocarcinoma cell, and MCF-7 human breast adenocarcinoma cell were obtained from American Type Culture Collection. The SW480, HCT-15, BxPC-3 cells were grown in RPMI-1640; A431, HeLa and MCF-7 cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium; LoVo cells were grown in F-12; and the colon HT-29 cells in McCoy 5A medium. All media were supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Mediatech, Herndon, VA), penicillin (50 U/ml), and streptomycin (50 µg/ml) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA).

Cell growth assay. For each cell line, 8,300 cells/well (3,000 cells/well for A431) were seeded in 96-well plate and allowed to attach overnight. The medium was replaced with fresh complete medium containing the various concentrations of NO-ASA. After 48 h of treatment, cell viability was measured by the MTT assay from Boehringer Mannheim (Roche Diagnostics Corp., Indianapolis, IN) according to the instructions of the manufacturer.

Cell cycle analysis. For each cell line, 2.5×10⁴ cells/well were seeded into 6-well plate and allowed to attach overnight. The medium was replaced with fresh complete medium containing NO-ASA or aspirin at the indicated concentrations. At the indicated time points, cells were harvested and fixed in 70% ethanol. Cells were then pelleted and resuspended in 0.5 ml of 50 µg/ml propidium iodide in PBS containing 20 µg/ml RNase for 30 min. The stained cells were analyzed using a flow cytometer. In some experiments, the cells were treated with NO-ASA in the presence of NAC.

Immunoblotting. After treatment with the NO-ASA, cells were harvested and lysed for 15 min on ice in 50 mM Tris-Cl buffer (pH 7.5) containing 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% NP-40, 0.2% SDS, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mM sodium fluoride, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, aprotinin 10 µg/ml and leupeptin 10 µg/ml. The lysates were centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 15 min at 4°C. The extracts were subjected to SDS-10% or 15% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to nitrocellulose by electoblotting. Proteins were probed with primary antibody and visualized using an ECL kit (Amersham) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Results

NO-ASA inhibits cell growth and induces G₂/M arrest. The effects of NO-ASA were evaluated on colon, pancreas, skin, breast and cervical cancer cell lines. Following a 48-h treatment of these cell lines, NO-ASA inhibited their growth with similar potency (IC₅₀ from 133 to 268 µM; Table I). In contrast, even at the maximum tested concentration (1 mM), we were not able to obtain an IC₅₀ value for aspirin.

To elucidate the mechanism of cell growth inhibition by NO-ASA, fixed and PI stained cells were subjected to flow cytometric analysis. In all cases, cell lines treated for 24-h with NO-ASA resulted in a significant G₂/M arrest, which was accompanied by a significant decrease of the proportion of cells in the G₁/G₀ phase (Table I). These results suggest a generalized growth inhibitory effect of NO-ASA on cell lines derived from cancers from various tissue types which is at least in part caused by the G₂/M cell cycle arrest.

NO-ASA modulates the expression of G₂/M phase-related regulators. Eukaryotic cell cycle progression is regulated by sequential activation of Cdk5, whose activity is dependent upon their association with regulatory cyclins (15-17). In most organisms the complex between Cdk1 (also known as CDC2) and cyclin B1 is important for entry into mitosis. The activity of Cdk1/ cyclin B1 is negatively regulated by reversible phosphorylation at the Thr14 and Tyr15 residues of Cdk1. Dephosphorylation of the Thr14 and Tyr15 residues of Cdk1, and hence activation of Cdk1/cyclin B1 kinase complex, is catalyzed by the Cdc25 dual specificity phosphatases (Cdc25B and Cdc25C). These reactions are believed to be the rate-limiting step for entry into mitosis.

To examine the mechanism for G₂/M arrest by NO-ASA, we determined the effect of NO-ASA on the levels of the G₂/M phase regulating proteins cyclin B1, Cdk1 (CDC2) and Cdc25C in SW480 cells treated with 200 µM NO-ASA for up to 72 h. Cyclin B1, which is generally detected in the G₂ and early mitotic phases of the cell cycle, was markedly increased after...
1 h of treatment (maximum level at 12 h), maintaining a strong expression after 24 h (Fig. 2A). This effect coincided with the accumulation of cells in the G2/M fraction by flow cytometry. After 48 h of treatment, cyclin B1 decreased, reaching almost zero at 72 h (Fig. 2A). This finding implies a G2/M phase arrest. The expression of Cdk1 did not change throughout the treatment period. Cyclin D1 expression, generally detected in the G1 phase, decreased in a time-dependent manner; its expression was reduced to approximately one tenth of its value at baseline (0 h; Fig. 2A). This also coincided with a reduction of the cells in G1 phase. Similarly, 24-h treatment of NO-ASA increased the expression of cyclin B1 in a concentration-dependent manner, an effect accompanied by decreased expression of cyclin D1. Treatment with NO-ASA for 24 h did not affect the level of Cdk1 (Fig. 2B). On the other hand, exposure of SW480 cells to NO-ASA resulted in a significant decrease in the level of Cdc25C in a concentration-dependent fashion (Fig. 2B). These results suggest that the NO-ASA-induced G2/M cell cycle arrest in SW480 was at least in part due to the marked decline of the levels of CDC25C.

Because Cdc25C, whose levels were reduced markedly in NO-ASA-treated cells, plays critical roles in the dephosphorylation of Cdk1, the NO-ASA-induced decrease in the level of Cdc25C may cause accumulation of phosphorylated Cdk1 (inactive) at Tyr15 and Thr14 residues (18). Thus, we examined the phosphorylated state of Cdk1 using an antibody specific for phosphor-Cdk1 (Thr14/Tyr15). As shown in Fig. 2B, NO-ASA increased the Thr14/Tyr15-phosphorylated Cdk1 in a concentration-dependent manner.

We then examined whether the correlation between NO-ASA-mediated decrease of Cdc25C and G2/M cell cycle arrest was restricted to this cell line. Thus, we determined the effect of NO-ASA on Cdc25C protein levels in HT-29 colon adenocarcinoma cells. Similar to SW480, NO-ASA markedly decreases Cdc25C levels in HT-29 cells at 24 h (Fig. 3). As expected, NO-ASA treatment caused an increase in the protein level of cyclin B1 in HT-29 cells as well.

NO-ASA-induced G2/M arrest is linked to the generation of oxidative stress. DNA damage caused by oxidative stress is known to lead to G2/M arrest (12). NO-ASA caused a 50% reduction in the levels of the cellular anti-oxidant glutathione in cisplatin-sensitive human ovarian cancer cells (12) and also in HT-29 colon cancer cells (13). These observations raised the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell lines</th>
<th>IC50 (µM)</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>G0/G1</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>G2/M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HT-29 colon</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW480 colon</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCT-15 colon</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LoVo colon</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BxPC-3 pancreas</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A431 skin</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HeLa cervix</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCF-7 breast</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. Effect of NO-ASA on cell growth and cell cycle in human cancer cell lines.

Figure 2. Effect of NO-ASA on proteins regulating the G2/M transition in SW480 cells. SW480 cells were treated with 200 µM NO-ASA at the indicated times (A) and with various concentrations of NO-ASA for 24 h (B). Cellular proteins were extracted and the levels of those indicated were assayed by immunoblotting. Loading control: actin and α-tubulin.
question whether NO-ASA is able to generate oxidative stress. Therefore, we determined the level of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in SW480 cells in response to NO-ASA. SW480 cells loaded with one of two molecular probes for the detection of ROS were treated with 200 µM NO-ASA for 4 h. The probes used were DHE, which preferentially measures superoxide anion, and H$_2$DCFDA, which probes for over 10 individual species (14). Both DHE- and H$_2$DCFDA-derived fluorescence was increased after treatment with NO-ASA, indicating the generation of oxidative stress (Fig. 4A). To evaluate whether NO-ASA-induced oxidative stress plays a role in cell cycle arrest, SW480 cells were pretreated with NAC, a potent anti-oxidant. NAC almost completely blocked the G$_2$/M arrest induced by NO-ASA (Fig. 4B).

Figure 3. Effect of NO-ASA on proteins regulating G$_2$/M transition in HT-29 cells. HT-29 cells were treated with 200 µM NO-ASA for the indicated periods of time. Cellular proteins were extracted and assayed by immunoblotting. Loading control: α-tubulin.

Figure 4. Effect of NO-ASA-induced ROS on G$_2$/M arrest in S480 cells. (A), SW480 cells were pre-loaded with H$_2$DCFDA or DHE for 1 h followed by 200 µM NO-ASA for 4 h. Fluorescence was measured by flow cytometry in single-cell suspensions of the attached cells. (B), SW480 cells were treated with or without 2.5 mM NAC for 1 h before the start of treatment with 200 µM NO-ASA for 24 h. Cells were stained with PI and analyzed by flow cytometry. The table presents the corresponding cell cycle results from triplicate experiments. Values: mean ± SEM.

NO-ASA induces apoptosis in SW480 cells. Irreversible cell cycle arrest leads to apoptosis (15). To determine whether G$_2$/M arrest induced by NO-ASA leads to apoptosis, SW480 cells were exposed to DMSO (control) or 200 µM of NO-ASA for up to 72 h. At the indicated times, cells were analyzed for cell cycle distribution. Consistent with the results shown in Table 1, treatment with NO-ASA for 24 h induced significant G$_2$/M arrest. Apoptotic cells were detected as a sub-G$_0$/G$_1$ fraction in the DNA histogram. NO-ASA-induced cell death was not significant until 24-h treatment, but 48-h treatment increased the sub-G$_0$/G$_1$ fraction from 4.5% to 11.5%, reaching 20.8% after 72 h. This indicated that at least some cells arrested in G$_2$/M lost their ability to reenter the cell cycle and therefore underwent cell death.

In order to confirm that the observed cell death is due to apoptosis, expression levels of two proteins of apoptotic hallmark, caspase-3 and PARP, were determined. As shown in Fig. 5, treatment with NO-ASA (48 h) induced the cleavage of procaspase-3 into activated caspase-3 and of PARP (116 kDa) into an 85 kDa fragment, confirming the induction of apoptotic cell death by NO-ASA in SW480 cells. G$_2$/M arrest by NO-ASA has been observed in three human colon cancer cell lines, but the mechanism of G$_2$/M arrest was not investigated in that study (11).

Discussion

The present study indicates that NO-ASA displays a profound growth inhibitory effect on cancer cell lines from different tissues, which is far greater than that by conventional ASA. In all cancer cell lines, this growth inhibitory effect was accompanied by an arrest of these cells in the G$_2$/M cell cycle phase.
This effect is brought about by an elaborate array of changes in the expression of proteins controlling the cell cycle machinery.

The cyclin B1/Cdk1 kinase complex is considered a master regulator of G2/M progression and inhibition of its activity results in G2/M arrest. The activity of cyclin B1/Cdk1 kinase is dependent upon the formation of this bimolecular complex. Phosphorylation of Cdk1 at Thr14 and Tyr15 is required for complex formation; Cdc25C plays a critical role in the dephosphorylation of these two amino acid residues of Cdk1, being thus a critical regulator. We examined the status of this complex in response to NO-ASA. As summarized in Fig. 6, NO-ASA caused the G2/M arrest through a coordinated effect on the cyclin B1/Cdk1 complex by: a) increasing the levels of cyclin B, b) increasing the phosphorylation of cdk1, and c) suppressing the levels of cdc25, which could dephosphorylate cdk1. This effect is apparently significantly enhanced by the suppression of the expression of cyclin D1 by NO-ASA. Such an effect decelerates the progression of the cell from G2/M towards the next phase, G1. NO-ASA ultimately leads the target cell to apoptosis as evidenced by our flow cytometry data, the cleavage of PARP and the activation of the execution caspase-3 (16-19).

Figure 6. The mechanism of G2/M arrest by NO-ASA. NO-ASA increases intracellular ROS levels, inducing a state of oxidative stress. Redox signaling is then activated, altering the expression of proteins that control the G2/M transition, as indicated here. The end result is cell cycle arrest that contributes to the anticancer effect of NO-ASA.

The common denominator of these changes is likely the induction of oxidative stress by NO-ASA. Indeed, NO-ASA markedly enhanced the levels of ROS in the cell as indicated by the general ROS probe DCFDA, which responds to more than 10 individual reactive species and also by the increased levels of superoxide anion. That oxidative stress was relevant to the induction of cell cycle arrest by NO-ASA was documented by the finding that it was abolished by pretreatment with the anti-oxidant NAC. NO-ASA induces oxidative stress through multiple molecular effects, including reduction of the cellular levels of glutathione (GSH), a major anti-oxidant system in mammalian cells (20). In the absence of a compensatory
mechanism, a consequence of the reduction of GSH level in mammalian cells is the induction of oxidative stress. This is further supported by the notion that NO-ASA reduces the levels of GSH in cisplatin-sensitive human ovarian cancer cells (12). NO-ASA reacts with cellular GSH forming a conjugate between its spacer moiety and GSH in HT-29 colon cancer cells (21). Interestingly, oxidative stress has been recently proposed as a general mechanism of action of modified NSAIDs such as NO-ASA (13,22).

Oxidative stress is known to inactivate key cell cycle regulators and to impair cell cycle progression through mitosis. Indeed, ROS through cyclic oxidation/reduction of cysteine residues in kinases, phosphatases, and other regulatory factors regulate the strength and duration of cell cycle signaling (23). Such evidence includes the following: Cdc25 phosphatases contain an active-site cysteine that is sensitive to oxidation by H2O2 (24); H2O2 inactivates Cdc25C by inducing a disulfide bond in Cdc25C between the active-site Cys377 and the invariant Cys330 (24); and, in turn, this disulfide bond can be reduced by Vitamin C (a modulator of the cellular redox status) by inhibiting activation of the cyclin B/CDK1 complex by Cdc25C (25). In addition, ROS stimulate mitogenic pathways controlling the activity of CDKs (26). For example, redox modification of cyclin D1 at Cys285 may result in conformational changes that affect the phosphorylation of Thr286 and/or -288, which in turn affecting its degradation (27). The regulation of cyclin D1 by redox is supported by the ability of NAC to suppress cyclin D1 levels (28).

Collectively, these results confirm the profound cytostatic effect of NO-ASA and provide strong evidence that it regulates cell cycle transitions through its ability to induce oxidative stress which activates redox signaling in the target cell.
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