Abstract. Pancreatic cancer stem cells play a crucial role in tumorigenesis and chemoresistance. The Hedgehog signaling pathway is a key regulator in pancreatic tumorigenesis and drug resistance. To identify pancreatic cancer stem cells, tumorspheres derived from the PANC-1 pancreatic cancer cell line were cultured under a floating-culture system. PANC-1 tumorspheres possessed properties of self-renewal, differentiation, higher tumorigenesis and chemoresistance. It was observed that Hedgehog pathway is active in PANC-1 tumorspheres as shown by expression of hedgehog components Smo, Gil 1 and Gli 2, detected by quantitative RT-PCR and western blotting. After cyclopamine-mediated blockade of hedgehog, a decrease in proliferation of PANC-1 tumorspheres and G0/G1 transition were observed, as well as a decreased expression of Bmi-1 in PANC-1 tumorspheres. Cyclopamine reversed chemoresistance to gemcitabine, resulting in decreased expression of ABCG2 in PANC-1 tumorspheres. Taken together, our data indicate that PANC-1 tumorspheres have ‘stemness’ potential, and hedgehog signaling pathway plays an important role in the regulation of self-renewal and reversal of chemoresistance in cancer stem cells in pancreatic adenocarcinoma.

Introduction

Pancreatic cancer (PC) is one of the most malignant tumors. It is the fourth leading cause of cancer death in the United States (1) and has an increasing trend of mortality rate in China (2). Due to the lack of obvious symptoms or specific manifestation in the early stage, the disease is often diagnosed too late to have available therapeutic intervention options.

Cancer stem cells (CSCs) are a small subpopulation of multipotent cells exhibiting self-renewal capacity, multilineage differentiation and high carcinogenesis (3,4), and have been identified in several types of human cancers including pancreatic cancer (5-8). Tumorsphere under suspension culture is one of the important techniques to isolate cancer stem cell. Dysregulation of CSC self-renewal may lead to expansion of the stem-like cells, which might result in early-stage carcinogenesis (9,10). Chemoresistance of CSCs is one of the most critical reasons leading to failure of chemotherapy, which might be followed by tumor recurrence.

Hedgehog (Hh) family members are key regulators of carcinogenesis (4,11). Hh signal transduction is initiated by binding Hh ligand, including Shh, Dhh and Ihh, to its receptor Patched (PTCH). In the absence of Hh protein, PTCH represses signal transduction by inhibiting Smoothened (Smo). When Hh ligand binds to PTCH receptor, inhibitory effects of PTCH on Smo diminish, Hh pathway is activated and the ultimate step is mediated by the zinc finger transcription factors-Gli family which turns on genes regulating cell cycle, and determining cell-fate. Hh pathway is active in many tumors, such as medulloblastoma (12), glioma (13), gastric cancer (14) and pancreatic cancer (15). Increasing evidence supports that hedgehog pathway has a role in the maintenance and progression of pancreatic cancer (16-18). It also has a crucial role in reverse chemoresistance of some CSCs, such as CD34+ leukaemic cells (19) and glioblastoma CSCs (20), but the mechanism is still unclear.

The breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP/ABCG2), a member of the G-subfamily of the ATP-binding cassette (ABC)-transporter superfamily, is implied to be associated with multidrug resistance in some cancer stem cells, such as side population in lung cancer (21), and prostate tumorsphere (22). In diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (23), ABCG2 is the target of Hh pathway.

In the present study, we isolated pancreatic tumorspheres under floating-culture system, and identified the stemness potential of self-renewal, differentiation, high carcinogenesis and chemoresistance. We elucidated the important role of Hedgehog...
pathway in regulation of self-renewal and chemoresistance of pancreatic CSCs.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and animals. The human pancreatic adenocarcinoma cell line PANC-1 (Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China) was cultured in RPMI-1640 (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, HyClone, Logan, UT, USA), 100 U/ml penicillin G, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. In all experiments, cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ air atmosphere. Male non-obese diabetic/severe combined immunodeficiency (NOD/SCID) mice (6-8 weeks) were purchased from Chinese Academy of Sciences (Beijing, China). Animal care and experimental protocols were performed in accordance with procedures and guidelines established by Chinese Academy of Sciences Experimental Animal Care Commission.

Expansion of PANC-1 tumorspheres. Viable, floating single cells were collected from the supernatant of PANC-1 cells by centrifugation at 1,000 rpm for 5 min and plated at 1,000 cells/ml in serum-free DMEM-F12 (Gibco) supplemented with 20 ng/ml recombinant human epidermal growth factor (rhEGF, Invitrogen, NY, USA), 0.4% bovine serum albumin (BSA, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), 5 µg/ml insulin, 1:50 B27 supplement (Gibco, Invitrogen), 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 mg/ml streptomycin. Cells grown in this condition as non-adherent spheres were enzymatically dissociated after 12 days by incubation in a trypsin-EDTA solution and then cultured to generate tumorspheres of the next generation. Differentiation was induced by culturing tumorsphere-derived cells in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum without rhEGF.

Sphere formation assay. PANC-1 tumorspheres and its parental cell line PANC-1 were dissociated, and 1,000 cells per well were plated in 6-well culture dishes in 5 ml DMEM-F12 medium with rhEGF. The number of spheres for each well was evaluated after 15 days of culture.

Immunofluorescence analysis. To detect the differential potential of PANC-1 tumorspheres, the spheres were cultured in DMEM-F12 supplemented with 10% FBS. Observation of morphology was performed by microscope. The expression of CK18, a differentiation marker, was detected by immunofluorescence on 1st, 5th and 10th day. The cells were treated in different concentration of cyclopamine suspension, 3x10³ PANC-1 sphere cells were seeded in 96-well plate per well. PANC-1 was also dissociated into single cell suspension, 3x10³ cells were seeded in 96-well plate per well. The cells were treated in different concentration of cyclopamine (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) (0, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, and 10 µmol/l, respectively) in triplicate for 24, 48 and 72 h, respectively. WST-8 reagent (10 µl per well) from Cell Counting kit-8 (Dojindo, Kumamoto, Japan) was added, incubated for 4 h, and absorbance was determined with a multi-well spectrophotometer (BioTek, VT, USA) at 450 and 630 nm. Inhibition rate = (1 - absorbance of treated cells/control cells) x100%.

Western blot analysis. The concentration of total protein extracted from PANC-1 and PANC-1 tumorsphere cells with and without cyclopamine was determined with a BCA Protein Assay kit (Pierce, USA). Equal amounts of protein were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and electroherothermally transferred to PVDF membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA) using a mini trans-blot. Rat anti-human CK18 (Cell Signaling Technology, Boston, MA, USA) for 2 h at room temperature, then washed with PBS and incubated with fluorochrome-conjugated secondary antibody at room temperature for 30 min in a dark chamber. The cells were washed with PBS and covered with DAPI to stain the nuclei. Random photographs were taken at x200 magnification.

Flow cytometry analysis. To detect the influence of tumorspheres on cell cycle after treatment of cyclopamine, flow cytometry analysis was performed. Briefly, tumorsphere cells and PANC-1
were treated with 10 µmol/l cyclopamine for 72 h. Then the cells were trypsinized and fixed with ice-cold 70% ethanol for 18 h at 4°C. The fixed cells were stained with 50 mg/ml propidium iodide (BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA) and 50 mg/ml RNase and then analysed using a flow cytometer (BD Pharmingen). PANC-1 tumorspheres and PANC-1 without cyclopamine incubation were performed as control.

CD133 is implied as a stem cell marker in many tumors, to detect phenotypic difference of CD133 in PANC-1 and PANC-1 spheres, cells were harvested with trypsin-EDTA to produce a single cell suspension. The cells were pelleted by centrifugation, washed twice with PBS and incubated with FITC conjugated CD133 (Ancell, Bayport, MN, USA) for 30 min in the dark. The cells were washed twice with PBS after incubation and analyzed using a flow cytometer.

Statistical analysis. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD), using the SPSS software, version 11.0. The means were then compared using a one-way ANOVA with LSD among groups or Student’s t-test between groups. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

PANC-1 tumorspheres cultured under floating-culture system possess self-renewal potential. One of the probable method to identify the CSCs is serum-free floating-culture system. It was successfully used to culture neural stem cell and other cancer stem cells, such as breast CSCs and brain CSCs. We applied this method to isolate PANC-1 tumorspheres from human pancreatic cancer cell line PANC-1. The adherent PANC-1 was trypsinized into single-cell suspension, and was seeded in serum-free media supplemented with growth factors at clonal density (1,000 cells/ml). Five days later, PANC-1 tumorspheres began to form in culture and they propagated after 10-15 days (Fig. 1). The 1st spheres could be enzymatically dissociated into single cells, which in turn generated the 2nd spheres. This procedure could be repeated and the PANC-1 tumorspheres have been passaged over 20 times in vitro in the present study.

We subsequently examined whether PANC-1 tumorsphere cells possess self-renewal capacity. Sphere formation assay was performed to calculate the number of stem cell spheres and measure the self-renewal capacity of each sphere generation. Each generation of tumorsphere cells (10^3) were plated in 6-well dish, and cultured for 15 days in triplicate. The 1st PANC-1 tumorsphere cells form 5.5±1.3 spheres; The 4th PANC-1 tumorsphere cells form 4.5±1.3 spheres (P>0.05). The capacity of serial-passage in vitro and the number of tumorspheres that remained equivalent show the self-renewal potential of PANC-1 tumorspheres.

PANC-1 tumorspheres have differentiation potential. Differentiation potential is one of the capacities of CSCs. CK18 is a mature marker associated to luminal/ductal epithelial cells, and acts as a differentiation marker in this study. Both immunofluorescence analysis and western blot analyses were performed to detect the expression of CK18. To induce differentiation, floating PANC-1 tumorspheres were cultured in the medium without growth factors and with 10% fetal bovine serum. Under differentiating conditions, floating PANC-1 tumorspheres began to adhere and acquired epithelium-like morphology. Expression of CK18 was increased after induction of differentiation (Fig. 2).

PANC-1 tumorspheres have higher tumorigenic potential. High tumorigenic potential is one of the characteristics of CSCs. To detect the tumorigenicity of PANC-1 tumorspheres, a concentration ranging from 200 to 2x10^4 tumorsphere cells were injected into the subcutaneous space of the pad of NOD/SCID mice. After 11 weeks, 2x10^3 PANC-1 tumorsphere cells initiated a tumor in 1 of 3 NOD/SCID mice. Whereas, ≥10^5 PANC-1 cells initiated a tumor in NOD/SCID mice (Table I). The data imply that PANC-1 tumorsphere cells are more tumorigenic than its parental cell line. Collectively, floating PANC-1 tumorspheres have potential of self-renewal, differentiation and high tumorigenesis, which are the characteristics of CSCs.
Figure 2. Morphology of PANC-1 tumorspheres under differentiation condition and immunofluorescence and western blot analysis of expression of CK18. (A) Morphology of PANC-1 tumorspheres under differentiation condition and immunofluorescence analysis of expression of CK18. Tumorspheres were cultured without growth factor and with 10% fetal bovine serum. Floating PANC-1 tumorspheres began to adhere and acquired epithelium-like morphology gradually on the 5th and 10th day. (B) Western blot analysis of CK18. CK18 is a mature marker associated to luminal/ductal epithelial cells, and acts as a differentiation marker. With time, increased expression of CK18 was detected. This suggested that PANC-1 tumorspheres had differentiation potential.

Figure 3. Xenograft of PANC-1 tumorspheres in NOD/SCID. (A) Tumor formation in NOD/SCID mice when 2×10^3 PANC-1 spheres were injected. (B) Hematoxylin-eosin staining of a section through PANC-1 sphere cell xenograft. x200 magnification.

Figure 4. Expression of CD133 of PANC-1 sphere and PANC-1. (A) Determination of CD133 expression by flow cytometry. (B) Statistical analysis of expression of CD133 shown in (A). The CD133 expression in PANC-1 spheres was significantly higher than that in PANC-1. The fraction of CD133 in PANC-1 sphere is (72.73±4.38)%, while the fraction of CD133 in PANC-1 is (26.16±2.13)% (P<0.05).
CD133 is highly expressed in PANC-1 tumorspheres. CD133
is well-known as stem cell marker in many tumors. To detect
the phenotypic difference between PANC-1 tumorspheres and
PANC-1, FACS was carried out. The CD133+ subfraction was
dramatically increased in PANC-1 tumorspheres compared
with PANC-1 (72.73±4.38 vs 26.16±2.13%) (P<0.05) (Fig. 4).

The Hh pathway is active in PANC-1 tumorspheres. Hh pathway
is active in some CSCs, such as glioma CSCs and breast CSCs.
Also, Hh pathway is active in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.
To evaluate the possibility that Hh signaling is active in pancre-
atic CSCs, we examined the expression of Smo, Gli 1 and Gli 2
mRNA and protein in PANC-1 tumorspheres and PANC-1 cells
by qRT-PCR and western blotting. Hh pathway is active in both
PANC-1 tumorspheres and PANC-1 cells, Smo, Gli 1 and Gli 2
mRNA are high expressed, especially in PANC-1 tumorspheres,
the expression of Smo mRNA is 154.76-fold as that of PANC-1
cells, the expression of Gli 1 mRNA is 6.94-fold that of PANC-1
cells and Gli 2 is 8.36-fold. The results of western blot analysis
are consistent with the mRNA expression (Fig. 5).

Cyclopamine-mediated blockade of Hh pathway inhibits
proliferation of PANC-1 CSCs via Bmi-1. To detect the effects
on inhibition of Hh pathway, we used cyclopamine, a
special inhibitor of Smo, to block the Hh pathway. PANC-1
tumour spheres cells were treated in different concentration of
cyclopamine (0, 0.5, 1, 2, 5 and 10 µmol/l, respectively) in
triplicate for different times (24, 48 and 72 h). Cyclopamine-
mediated Hh pathway blockade inhibited the overall growth
rate of the culture in a dose-and time-dependent fashion.
After treatment with 10 µmol/l cyclopamine for 72 h, percentage of G1/G0 of PANC-1 tumorspheres was decreased (36.53±6.03)%,
compared to (67.41±6.35)% before incubation (P<0.05) (Fig.
5A). We hypothesized that Bmi-1 may function as a
downstream target of the Hh pathway. To test the hyposis, we
examine the expression of Bmi-1 protein of PANC-1 tumors-
pheres with and without cyclopamine incubation by western
blotting. After incubation with 10 µmol/l cyclopamine for 72 h,
expression of Bmi-1 protein was reduced (Fig. 6C).

Cyclopamine reverses chemoresistance of PANC-1 tumors-
pheres via ABCG2. Drug sensitivity assay was carried out to
detect the chemotherapy resistance of PANC-1 tumorspheres
and its parental cell line PANC-1. PANC-1 tumorspheres are
more resistant to gemcitabine and 5-FU than PANC-1. IC50 of
gemcitabine in PANC-1 tumorspheres is 500.75±10.51 µg/ml,
while PANC-1 is 11.43±2.10 µg/ml (P<0.05). IC50 of 5-FU in
PANC-1 tumorspheres and PANC-1 is 224.37±5.71 µg/ml vs
2.19±0.32 µg/ml (P<0.05). Expression of ABCG2 is detected by
western blotting. As shown, expression of ABCG2 in PANC-1
tumorspheres is much higher than that of PANC-1 (Fig. 7).
Moreover, we investigated whether inhibition of Hh pathway
could reverse chemoresistance of PANC-1 tumorspheres, and
we used cyclopamine to block the Hh pathway. PANC-1 tumor-
sphere cells preincubated with 10 µmol/l cyclopamine for 72 h
were treated with different concentration of gemcitabine (0, 0.5,
5, 25, 50, 100, 500 and 1000 µg/ml) for 72 h. IC50 of gemcitabine
in PANC-1 tumorspheres with and without cyclopamine is
124.55±12.37 µg/ml vs 500.75±10.51 µg/ml (P<0.05). We
hypothesized the expression of ABCG2 in PANC-1 tumorsphere
cells changed after cyclopamine treatment. To consolidate this
notion, western blot analysis was performed to detect expression
of ABCG2 protein of tumorspheres with and withdraw cyclopa-
mine. PANC-1 tumorsphere after incubation with cyclopamine
displayed significantly reduced levels of ABCG2 compared to
tumorspheres without cyclopamine incubation (P<0.05) (Fig. 8).
Figure 6. (A) Cell cycle of the PANC-1 tumorsphere and PANC-1 with and without cyclopamine. Cell cycle of PANC-1 tumorsphere without cyclopamine (a) and with cyclopamine (b), PANC-1 without cyclopamine (c) and with cyclopamine (d). (B) Statistical analysis of fraction of G$_{0}$/G$_{1}$ in PANC-1 tumorsphere and PANC-1. (C) Western blot analysis of Bmi-1 in PANC-1 tumorsphere with and without cyclopamine. After incubation with 10 µmol/l cyclopamine for 72 h, percentage of G$_{0}$/G$_{1}$ of PANC-1 tumorspheres declined to 36.53±6.03%, compared to 67.41±6.35% before incubation (P<0.05). Fraction of G$_{0}$/G$_{1}$ of PANC-1 was decreased to 53.13±1.10%, compared to 67.64±6.88% before incubation (P<0.05). Furthermore, after incubation with 10 µmol/l cyclopamine for 72 h, PANC-1 tumorsphere displayed a significantly reduced level of Bmi-1 compared to tumorsphere without cyclopamine.

Figure 7. Drug sensitivity assay of PANC-1 sphere and PANC-1. (A) Inhibition curve of PANC-1 sphere and PANC-1 in various dose of gemcitabine (GEM). (B) Statistical analysis of IC$_{50}$ of GEM in PANC-1 sphere and PANC-1. (C) Inhibition curve of PANC-1 sphere and PANC-1 in various dose of 5-FU. (D) Statistical analysis of IC$_{50}$ of 5-FU in PANC-1 sphere and PANC-1. (E) Western blot analysis of ABCG2 in PANC-1 sphere and PANC-1. PANC-1 spheres are more resistant to GEM and 5-FU than PANC-1. IC$_{50}$ of GEM in PANC-1 spheres is 500.75±10.51 µg/ml, while PANC-1 is 11.43±2.10 µg/ml (P<0.05). IC$_{50}$ of 5-FU in PANC-1 spheres and PANC-1 is 224.37±5.71 µg/ml vs 2.19±0.32 µg/ml (P<0.05). PANC-1 spheres demonstrated a significantly enhanced level of ABCG2 compared to PANC-1.
Accumulating evidence has demonstrated that a tumor is in essence heterogeneous and contains cancer stem cells which possess the potential of self-renewal, multilineage differentiation, high proliferation, and chemoresistance. More and more studies support existence of tumor-initiating cells in pancreatic adenocarcinoma (7,8). Serum-free floating culture system has been used to enrich CSCs in several cancer types such as brain cancer, breast cancer and colon cancer (24-26). The cells isolated using this system were proved to have the stem cell features and represent the small population in tumors which was the reason of tumor formation, metastasis and resistance to chemotherapy. The advantage of this strategy to enrich CSCs is its independence of specific cell surface markers. In our study, we isolated PANC-1 tumorspheres under floating-culture system, and it could be serially propagated in vitro over 20 passages. Sphere formation assay showed that the number of spheres kept equivalent when being propagated in vitro. Under differentiating conditions, PANC-1 tumorspheres were adherent and differentiated. They acquired epithelial morphology and expressed mature marker CK18 associated to luminal/ductal cells. More CK18 was expressed when the time of differentiation was extended. Furthermore, as few as 2x10^3 PANC-1 sphere cells initiated a tumor in NOD/SCID mice, a 100-fold enhanced tumorigenic potential compared to its parental cell line, which is in accordance with Li et al (7). Taken together, PANC-1 tumorspheres displayed potential of self-renewal, differentiation and high tumorigenicity, which are the characteristics of cancer stem cells.

Hedgehog signal pathway is active in pancreatic cancer (27), also it is active in many CSCs, such as prostate CSCs (28), and glioblastoma CSCs (20). Hedgehog has been associated with the self-renewal process of CSCs (29). B lymphoma Mo-MLV insertion region 1 (Bmi-1), a transcriptional repressor belonging to the polycomb group (PCG) of transcription factors, has been reported to play a key role in regulating self-renewal of leukemic stem cell (30) and breast CSCs (23). It is reported that the Hh pathway regulates self-renewal of human medulloblastoma brain tumor-initiating cells via Bmi-1 (31). In our study, inhibition of Hh pathway depressed self-renewal of the PANC-1 tumorsphere. To illustrate whether Hh regulates PANC-1 tumorsphere via Bmi-1, we examined the expression of Bmi-1 with and without cyclopamine-mediated blockade of Hh. Expression of Bmi-1 was reduced after blockade of the Hh pathway. Taken together, these data suggested that Hedgehog pathway and Bmi-1 might be involved in pancreatic tumorigenesis.

It is reported that CSCs are naturally chemoresistant (32). ABCG2 with drug capability are preferentially expressed in CSCs. Also, the chemoresistant phenotype of CSCs can be mediated by ABCG2 protein. Hh pathway was illustrated to have a relationship with chemoresistance of pancreatic cancer stem cells (33), and ABCG2 is reported to be the direct transcriptional target of Hh pathway in drug tolerance in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (34). In our study, we found that PANC-1 tumorspheres were more resistant to gemcitabine and 5-FU compared to PANC-1. Expression of ABCG2 was significantly elevated in PANC-1 tumorspheres. Blockade of Hh pathway by cyclopamine reversed chemoresistance of gemcitabine in PANC-1 tumorspheres. Moreover, expression of ABCG2 was decreased after cyclopamine incubation. Hence, it was implied in our study that inhibition of Hh pathway reversed chemoresistance in PANC-1 tumorspheres via ABCG2.

In conclusion, our study illustrated that tumorspheres derived from pancreatic cancer cell line PANC-1 possessed self-renewal, chemoresistance and other stemness properties. Hh pathway was active in PANC-1 tumorspheres. More importantly, our data suggested that inhibition of Hh pathway depressed self-renewal of pancreatic CSCs via Bmi-1, which might be involved in pancreatic tumorigenesis. Our study also demonstrated the crucial role of Hh pathway of pancreatic CSCs in mediating chemotherapy resistance associated with ABCG2.
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