Pancreatic cancer cells surviving gemcitabine treatment express markers of stem cell differentiation and epithelial-mesenchymal transition
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Abstract. Objective response rates to standard chemotherapeutic regimens remain low in pancreatic cancer. Subpopulations of cells have been identified in various solid tumors which express stem cell-associated markers and are associated with increased resistance against radiochemotherapy. We investigated the expression of stem cell genes and markers of epithelial-mesenchymal transition in pancreatic cancer cells that survived high concentrations of gemcitabine treatment. Capan-1 and Panc-1 cells were continuously incubated with 1 and 10 µM gemcitabine. Surviving cells were collected after 1, 3 and 6 days. Expression of PDX-1, SHH, CD24, CD44, CD133, EpCAM, CBX7, OCT4, SNAIL, SLUG, TWIST, Ki-67, E-cadherin, β-catenin and vimentin were quantified by qPCR or immunocytochemistry. Migration was assessed by wound-healing assay. SHH was knocked down using RNA interference. Five primary pancreatic cancer cell lines were used to validate the qPCR results. All investigated genes were upregulated after 6 days of gemcitabine incubation. Highest relative expression levels were observed for OCT4 (13.4-fold), CD24 (47.3-fold) and EpCAM (15.9-fold) in Capan-1 and PDX-1 (13.3-fold), SHH (24.1-fold), CD44 (17.4-fold), CD133 (20.2-fold) and SLUG (15.2-fold) in Panc-1 cells. Distinct upregulation patterns were observed in the primary cells. Migration was increased in Panc-1 cells and changes in the expression of E-cadherin and β-catenin were typical of epithelial-mesenchymal transition in both cell lines. SHH knockdown reduced IC50 from 30.1 to 27.6 nM in Capan-1 while it strongly inhibited proliferation in Panc-1 cells. Cells surviving high-dose gemcitabine treatment express increased levels of stem cell genes, show characteristics associated with epithelial-mesenchymal transition and retain their proliferative capacity.

Introduction

Pancreatic cancer is among the malignancies with the worst patient outcome, representing the fourth most common cause of cancer-related death in the US (1,2). Unfortunately, at the time of diagnosis, only a minority of tumors are restricted locally and therefore resectable, while the majority (>85%) already shows regional or distant spread. For these late stage tumors, systemic chemotherapy with antimetabolites such as 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) or gemcitabine is only a palliative option. Although gemcitabine has shown improved objective response rates over 5-FU (3) in terms of tumor mass reduction and time to progression, most studies investigating gemcitabine-based combinations have failed to show a statistically significant survival benefit compared to gemcitabine alone. An exception is the combination of gemcitabine with the tyrosine kinase inhibitor erlotinib, for which an increase of 6% in one-year survival rates over gemcitabine alone could be achieved. However, gemcitabine has shown improved objective response rates over 5-FU (3) in terms of tumor mass reduction and time to progression, most studies investigating gemcitabine-based combinations have failed to show a statistically significant survival benefit compared to gemcitabine alone. An exception is the combination of gemcitabine with the tyrosine kinase inhibitor erlotinib, for which an increase of 6% in one-year survival rates over gemcitabine alone could be achieved. However, the median survival improvement was merely ten days and no statistically significant objective response rates compared to gemcitabine monotherapy were achieved (4). The recent FOLFIRINOX study reports median overall survival rates of about 10% for single agent and combined therapies as well as the development of chemoresistance result in a disappointing 5-year median survival rate of 5%, a number that has not changed significantly during the past 40 years (1,6,7).

A characteristic feature of pancreatic cancer is its intrinsic resistance to chemotherapy, which is mediated by various factors, such as hypovascularization, prominent desmoplasia, the expression of drug metabolizing enzymes, and, as recent publications suggest, the presence of putative pancreatic cancer stem cells (8-10). The concept of organ stem cells, slow-cycling cells with the capacity of unlimited self-renewal, asymmetric
cell division and differentiation into mature cell types which reside in a supportive microenvironment/niche, is widely accepted (5,9,11-15). In the context of cancer, it implicates that cell types within a tumor are unequal at any given time and that there is a predetermined cell population with a stem cell phenotype, which perpetuates the tumor while other cells of the same cancer are incapable of self-renewal. It has also been shown that the cancer stem cell subpopulation exhibits an enhanced resistance to chemotherapy and radiation, both in hematologic malignancies and solid tumors (16,17) and cell lines (18,19). At present, however, the exact stem cell phenotype characterizing this subpopulation of cells is not known for most solid tumors. CD24^-CD44^+ESA^- or CD133^-CXCR4^+ cells have been proposed to represent this subpopulation in pancreatic cancer based on their ability to self-renew, to effectively form xenografts in nude mice or being able to metastasize (20). Side populations, defined as cells that are able to exclude DNA-binding dyes such as Hoechst 33342, have also been shown to be rich in CD133^+ cells and also express higher levels of drug-efflux pumps such as ABCG2, which have been associated with gemcitabine resistance (21). Besides the pre-existence of inherently resistant stem cells, several studies showed that the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is another mechanism that is triggered when cells are challenged by cytostatic drugs (22-24). In the context of cancer, tumor cells of epithelial origin revert to a mesenchymal state, survive chemotherapy and acquire an enhanced migratory and invasive potential that might be responsible for tumor recurrence and metastasis. Interestingly, when EMT is induced in tumor cells, not only the presence of transformed mesenchymal cells increases, but also the presence of cells with the above described cancer stem cell phenotype (25). Taken together, these studies indicate that the stem cell phenotype and the EMT process are functionally linked and might confer resistance to chemotherapy.

We therefore hypothesized that high-dose gemcitabine treatment would enrich chemotherapy resistant cells which can be identified by their expression of stem cell associated genes. Here we investigate the expression of several known and new stem cell markers along with EMT-associated genes in response to high-dose gemcitabine treatment and show that surviving cells express increased levels of stem cell genes and acquire the molecular characteristics typical for EMT.

Materials and methods

Cell culture. Panc-1 cells were grown in DMEM (Biochrom, Berlin, Germany) substituted with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Biochrom). Capan-1 cells were maintained in RPMI (Biochrom) with 20% FBS. All media contained 1% penicillin/streptomycin and 0.5% gentamicin (Biochrom). Cells were grown in culture flasks (Nunc, Langenselbold, Germany) under standard culture conditions (37°C, 5% CO_2). Medium was changed every 3 days. Passages 6 to 18 were used for all experiments. Cells tested negative for Mycoplasma infection. Primary pancreatic cancer cells PaCaDD135, -159, -161, -165 and -188 were established and cultured as described previously (26,27).

Drug preparation. Gemcitabine was obtained from GRY Pharma (Kirchzarten, Germany). Fresh stock solutions were prepared in concentrations of 144 mM in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, Biochrom), kept in aliquots at -20°C and diluted in medium to the final concentrations.

Viable cell counting. Of each cell line, 10^4 cells/well were seeded in 6-well plates and allowed to attach overnight. Medium was removed, wells washed with PBS once and new medium added to the wells, containing gemcitabine in final concentrations of 0.01 to 100 μM. Untreated controls were run in parallel. At each time-point of analysis, cells were washed, trypsinized using trypsin/EDTA solutions (Biochrom) and resuspended in medium. Cells were counted after trypan blue staining in a Neubauer chamber. Experiments were performed in triplicates.

RNA purification and reverse transcription. For the extraction of total cellular RNA, the medium was removed from the culture flasks and the cell layer was washed with PBS prior to adding trypsin. The trypsinized cells were resuspended in medium and counted. Cells (1.5x10^6) were centrifuged at 1,000 x g for 10 min and the cell pellet was subsequently used for RNA purification with RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentration was determined photometrically on an Eppendorf BioPhotometer (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). RNA (1 μg) was used for cDNA synthesis using the iScript cDNA Synthesis kit (Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions.

Quantitative real-time PCR. Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) was performed on a Bio-Rad CFX96 Real-Time System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Munich, Germany) using SsoFast EvaGreen Supermix (Bio-Rad) and QuantiTect Primer Assays for SHH, PDG1, GL1, PTCH, SMO, NOTCH, CD24, CD44, CD133, EpCAM, SNAI1 (Snail), SNAI2 (Slug), TWIST, OCT4, CBX7 and GAPDH (all from Qiagen) following the manufacturer's standard procedure outlined in the SYBR-Green leaflet. Reaction efficiency was determined using standard curves. Gene expression analysis was computed using CFX Manager 2.0 (Bio-Rad) and REST 2009 (Qiagen). To indicate the baseline expression of each gene of interest in untreated cells, the ΔC_t value was calculated as follows: ΔC_{tgene of interest} = C_{tgene of interest} - C_{GAPDH}, whereby high ΔC_t values indicate low baseline expression. Changes in gene expression comparing treated with untreated cells were computed using the 2^(-ΔΔCt) method with GAPDH as reference gene and expressed as efficiency corrected n-fold changes ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Measurements were performed in triplicates. Statistical significant changes in gene expression were assessed using the algorithm within the REST 2009 software (28,29) and a p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Preparation of cell blocks and cover slips. Cell pellets were resuspended in 200 μl citrate plasma and 200 μl Thromborel S (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Deerfield, IL, USA) was added. After coagulation, cells were fixed for 1 h in neutral-buffered saline containing 7% formalin and were paraffin-embedded. In cases where morphology was to be preserved, cells grown on coverslips were used. Fifty thousand cells per well were plated in 24-well plates on round glass cover slips (Thermo Scientific, Braunschweig, Germany), allowed to attach overnight before treatment was performed as indicated.
At each respective time-point, cells were washed with PBS and fixed with acetone/methanol (1:2 v/v) and stored at -20°C until immunocytochemical staining.

**Immunocytochemical staining.** Cell blocks were cut into 5-µm sections and deparaffinized using graded alcohols. Antigen retrieval was performed by heat-induced epitope retrieval in pH 9.0 antigen retrieval buffer (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) at 95°C for 60 min. Endogenous peroxidase blocking was carried out for 10 min with peroxidase-blocking reagent (Dako). Subsequently, mouse monoclonal primary antibodies against β-catenin (1:200, Dako), E-cadherin (1:100, NeoMarkers), vimentin (1:2000, Dako) and Ki-67 (1:500, Dako) were applied for 30 min at RT. Primary rabbit and mouse antibodies were detected using the EnVision Detection System (Dako). Staining of the coverslips was performed against SHH (rabbit monoclonal, 1:100), CD133 (rabbit polyclonal, 1:100), OCT4 (rabbit polyclonal, 1:80) and PDX-1 (rabbit polyclonal, 1:3000) (all from Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and diluted in background reducing antibody diluent (Dako), with Vectastain Elite ABC Kit Rabbit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) adhering to the manufacturer's instructions. Visualization was performed using DAB (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) and counterstained with haematoxylin. Control experiments for all stainings were negative using PBS replacement of primary or secondary antibodies and same processing as described above. The stained slides were digitalized using the ImageAccess 9 Enterprise software (Imagic Bildverarbeitung, Glatbrugg, Switzerland) and percentage of positive cells evaluated using the Cell Explorer 2006 software (BioSciTec, Frankfurt, Germany). In addition, staining intensities were graded semiquantitatively as negative, low, moderate and high.

**In vitro wound healing (scratch) assay.** Panc-1 cells (10^5/well) were seeded into 6-well plates and allowed to grow to approximately 80% confluence. One day prior to the application of the scratch, gemcitabine was added to the wells to a final concentration of 10 µM. PBS was used as control. After 24 h of incubation, a scratch was applied to the cell layer across each well using a 200 µl pipette tip. The cell layer was washed twice with PBS to remove lose cells from the scratch margins. The wells were refilled with 2 ml of fresh medium and gemcitabine added, except in the controls. At regular intervals images were taken from definite locations of the scratches with a Nikon Coolpix 995 digital camera on a Zeiss Axiovvert 40C phase contrast inverted microscope with scattered light illumination.

**Time-lapse microscopy.** Time-lapse recording was performed using the JuLi Smart Fluorescent Cell Analyzer (PAA Laboratories, Colbe, Germany) under standard conditions.

**RNA-interference.** Gene knockdown experiments were performed in 6-well plates using the siLentFect Lipid Reagent (Bio-Rad) and siRNA against SHH (HS_SHH_6, SIO308182) and control non-coding siRNA (AllStars Negative Control, 1027280, both from Qiagen). Cells (1.5x10^5/well) were seeded 1 day prior to transfection and allowed to attach overnight. One hour prior to transfection, the medium was replaced with fresh antibiotics-free medium containing 1% FCS. For each well to be transfected, 3 µl siLentFect reagent was diluted in 150 µl OptiMEM 1 (Life Technologies, Darmstadt, Germany) and 3.6 µl siRNA solution in 150 µl OptiMEM. Diluted siRNA and diluted siLentFect were mixed and incubated at room temperature for 20 min after which they were slowly added to the respective wells. The cells were incubated overnight, after which they were trypsinized and used in the xCELLigence assay. A portion of the same cells was used for determining the knockdown efficiency by qPCR.

**xCELLigence assay.** Impedance-based real-time measurement of cellular proliferation and IC_{50} calculation were performed on the xCELLigence Real-Time Cell Analyzer (RTCA) in designated 96-well electrode plates (E-plates) (all from Roche Applied Science, Penzberg, Germany) under standard culture conditions (30). The RTCA software v. 1.2 was used for data recording, analysis of proliferation and IC_{50} calculation. In all experiments, 50 µl of cell-free medium was added to each well of the E-plate and background measurement performed. Next, 100 µl of cell suspension (10^4 cells/100 µl) were added to each well, measurement was started and the cells allowed to attach and proliferate for 24 h prior to the addition of the compound. Readings were performed every 15 min for at least 3 days. IC_{50} at day 3 was determined by incubating the cells with serial concentrations of gemcitabine ranging from 0.001 to 10 µM. The readout as recorded by the RTCA is expressed as a dimensionless cell index (CI) value which correlates with cell number and size. Measurements were performed in quadruplicates.

**Results**

**Determination of optimal gemcitabine concentration.** Aiming to analyze only the most resistant cells, we decided to find the optimal concentration that would allow 5% of the cells to survive 3 days of continuous gemcitabine incubation. By treating Capan-1 and Panc-1 cells with serial concentrations of gemcitabine and counting surviving cells after 3 days, we determined that 1 and 10 µM, respectively, of gemcitabine were needed to kill 95% of the cells. After 6 days of treatment at the indicated concentrations, surviving cells could still be observed. Therefore, gene expression analysis was performed at time-points of 1, 3 and 6 days of continuous gemcitabine incubation in order to capture time-dependent changes in gene expression. Capan-1 cells still viable after day 12 of 100 µM gemcitabine could be observed.

**mRNA expression of stem cell- and EMT-associated markers.** The expression of all investigated markers was increased in the surviving cells after 6 days of continuous incubation with gemcitabine (Fig. 1). In the group of the stem-cell associated markers, PDX1 and SHH reached the highest expression after 6 days in Panc-1 cells (13.4 and 24.1-fold, respectively) and PDX1 and OCT4 in Capan-1 cells (4.1 and 13.4-fold, respectively). OCT4 and SHH reached the highest expression after 6 days of continuous gemcitabine incubation in order to capture time-dependent changes in gene expression. Capan-1 cells still viable after day 12 of 100 µM gemcitabine could be observed.
Table I. Gene expression analysis in Capan-1 and Panc-1 cell lines.

A. Gene expression analysis of the investigated genes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Capan-1</th>
<th></th>
<th>Panc-1</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>∆Ct</td>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>Day 3</td>
<td>Day 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDX1</td>
<td>10.45</td>
<td>1.75±0.43</td>
<td>0.66±0.16</td>
<td>4.07±0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHH</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>0.68±0.06</td>
<td>0.34±0.02</td>
<td>2.01±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBX7</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>0.4±0.08</td>
<td>0.46±0.08</td>
<td>3.59±0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCT4</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>2.14±0.08</td>
<td>1.31±0.10</td>
<td>13.35±0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOTCH</td>
<td>not expressed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD24</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>0.56±0.05</td>
<td>6.00±0.54</td>
<td>47.3±4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD44</td>
<td>8.44</td>
<td>3.12±0.18</td>
<td>0.50±0.06</td>
<td>3.23±0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD133</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>1.17±0.40</td>
<td>1.20±0.38</td>
<td>7.81±2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EpCAM</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.65±0.04</td>
<td>1.79±0.12</td>
<td>15.90±0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAI1/Snail</td>
<td>10.30</td>
<td>0.38±0.03</td>
<td>1.43±0.19</td>
<td>6.39±0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAI2/Slug</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>0.34±0.03</td>
<td>0.65±0.05</td>
<td>3.50±0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWIST</td>
<td>not expressed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Gene expression analysis in 5 primary pancreatic cancer cell lines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PaCaDD135</th>
<th>PaCaDD159</th>
<th>PaCaDD161</th>
<th>PaCaDD165</th>
<th>PaCaDD188</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>∆Ct</td>
<td>Day 3</td>
<td>Day 6</td>
<td>∆Ct</td>
<td>Day 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDX1</td>
<td>11.24</td>
<td>2.56±0.09</td>
<td>1.44±0.11</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>0.98±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHH</td>
<td>13.26</td>
<td>2.68±0.05</td>
<td>1.65±0.15</td>
<td>11.64</td>
<td>1.33±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBX7</td>
<td>9.91</td>
<td>3.23±0.09</td>
<td>1.45±0.14</td>
<td>9.70</td>
<td>1.35±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCT4</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>3.47±0.24</td>
<td>1.86±0.14</td>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>0.98±0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD24</td>
<td>12.76</td>
<td>4.24±0.05</td>
<td>2.85±0.21</td>
<td>7.59</td>
<td>0.92±0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD133</td>
<td>14.50</td>
<td>9.24±0.29</td>
<td>7.38±1.08</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>2.00±0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EpCAM</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>2.90±0.77</td>
<td>2.11±0.18</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>1.95±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAI1/Snail</td>
<td>17.41</td>
<td>20.61±1.05</td>
<td>4.36±0.37</td>
<td>14.93</td>
<td>2.40±0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAI2/Slug</td>
<td>13.93</td>
<td>3.07±0.01</td>
<td>2.87±0.36</td>
<td>8.98</td>
<td>0.34±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWIST</td>
<td>23.73</td>
<td>4.04±0.89</td>
<td>1.72±0.13</td>
<td>not expressed</td>
<td>24.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Gene expression analysis of the investigated genes after 1, 3, and 6 days of continuous incubation with 1 μM gemcitabine in Capan-1 cells and 10 μM gemcitabine in Panc-1 cells. Both concentrations were selected to allow only 5% of tumor cells to survive. Gene expression analysis in 5 primary pancreatic cancer cell lines after 3 and 6 days of continuous incubation with 10 μM gemcitabine. Shown are the baseline gene expression levels prior to gemcitabine incubation as ∆Ct values as indicated in material and methods. For the treatment time points, n-fold gene expression levels ± standard errors are indicated. Gene expression is normalized to GAPDH and expressed relative to untreated controls. Bold numbers indicate significant up- or downregulation (p<0.05).
expressed genes in Capan-1 (CD24 and EpCAM) reached only low to moderate levels in Panc-1 cells. The EMT regulators SNAI1/Snail and SNAI2/Slug showed a time-dependent increase in expression in both cell lines. Snail and Slug were induced 6.4- and 3.5-fold in Capan-1 and 6.4- and 15.2-fold in Panc-1 cells after 6 days of continuous gemcitabine treatment.

Validation of EMT. We demonstrated an induction of EMT markers by qPCR in Panc-1 and to a lesser extent also in Capan-1 cells after 6 days of gemcitabine treatment. We therefore investigated β-catenin and E-cadherin expression, and 40-50% of the untreated Panc-1 cells expressed β-catenin and E-cadherin on the cell membranes (Fig. 3A). Continuous incubation with gemcitabine for 6 days resulted in a marked nuclear translocation of β-catenin and repression of membranous E-cadherin. Similar but less distinct patterns were observed in Capan-1 cells. Expression of vimentin, considered a marker of immature and mesenchymal phenotypes, was prominent in untreated Panc-1 cells and gemcitabine incubation did not further increase its expression. In Capan-1 cells, vimentin was present in untreated cells, while, interestingly, most cells showed a decrease in vimentin expression after gemcitabine incubation.

To determine if the surviving cells retained their proliferative capacity, Ki-67 was used to identify the cells undergoing DNA replication. Ki-67 expression was present in 86% of untreated Capan-1 cells and in 95% of the surviving cells after gemcitabine incubation. In untreated Panc-1 cells, 46% were Ki-67 positive and 86% after gemcitabine incubation (Fig. 3B).

Wound healing assay. EMT is associated with loss of cell-cell adhesions and increased cell migration. We therefore performed a wound healing assay to assess cell migration in the gemcitabine treated Panc-1 cells, which showed the quickest and highest induction of Snail and Slug. Wound closure was achieved after 3.5 days after scratch application (corresponding to day 4.5 of gemcitabine treatment) due to an increased cell migration (Fig. 4). In contrast, the wound in the untreated cells was still clearly visible at this time. These findings were confirmed by time-lapse microscopy showing an increased undirected

**Immunocytochemical validation of gene expression.** We performed immunocytochemical stainings for SHH, OCT4, PDX1 and CD133 in untreated cells and after 6 days of gemcitabine incubation to confirm the qPCR results (Fig. 2). Both untreated and treated Capan-1 cells expressed SHH in 100% of the cells. However, the gemcitabine treated cells showed a stronger staining intensity. Of note was that small colonies and single cells showed a stronger expression in contrast to larger colonies in both untreated and treated cells. PDX1 was nuclearly expressed in 30% of untreated Capan-1 cells and increased in foci number and intensity of staining in the nuclei of treated cells. OCT4 stained positive in granular fashion within the nuclei of 100% of untreated cells and to a greater extent both in number of foci and intensity in the treated cells. CD133 was expressed in 50% of the untreated Capan-1 cells at low to moderate intensities. Occasionally, strong staining intensities were observed in singular cells or groups of 4-10 cells. In the treated group, all cells stained positive for CD133 and staining intensity reached moderate to high levels.

In untreated Panc-1 cells, SHH was expressed in 40% of the cells in moderate to strong staining intensity and these cells were arranged in groups. After gemcitabine incubation, 90% of the cells showed strong staining intensity. Nuclear staining for PDX1 was observed in 100% and cytoplasmic staining in 20% of untreated Panc-1 cells. Treatment increased the number of nuclear foci, accompanied by a cytoplasmic reaction in 90% of the cells. Granular OCT4 positivity was observed in 100% of the nuclei of both untreated and treated cells and in the cytoplasm and perinuclear region of 30% of cells. Treatment with gemcitabine led to an increase in nuclear foci along with stronger staining intensities. CD133 was positive in 30% of untreated cells and expressed in a polar pattern with weak to moderate intensities. After treatment, 70% of the cells stained positive and CD133 was localized in the perinuclear region.

**Figure 1.** Relative gene expression changes in Capan-1 and Panc-1 cells surviving 1 µM and 10 µM continuous gemcitabine incubation for 6 days, respectively. Bars indicate n-fold relative gene expression. Expression is normalized to GAPDH and untreated controls, error bars indicate standard error, asterisks indicate significant changes (p<0.05).
migration in treated cells starting from day 2 of treatment, while untreated controls showed little movement throughout the observation period (not shown).

siRNA mediated downregulation of SHH. The expression of SHH after 6 days of gemcitabine incubation showed the highest difference among the two investigated cell lines. Therefore, siRNA mediated knockdown of SHH was performed and its influence on IC$_{50}$ values of gemcitabine was assessed using the xCELLigence system (Fig. 5 A, B, D and E). A knockdown ratio for siSHH below 33% was achieved in both cases (Fig. 5, C and F). IC$_{50}$ of control siRNA transfected Capan-1 cells was 30.1 nM (Fig. 5A) and siSHH transfection decreased IC$_{50}$ to 27.6 nM (Fig. 5B). In control siRNA transfected Panc-1 cells, IC$_{50}$ was 106.8 nM (Fig. 5D). Interestingly, siSHH transfection revealed that proliferation in Panc-1 cells is dependent on SHH as the transfected cells did not show an increase in cell index (Fig. 5E). After 72-96 h, when the transient knockdown effect attenuated, the cells reverted to an increased proliferation rate, indicating a reversible inhibition of proliferation through transient SHH knockdown. Determining the IC$_{50}$ in these cells was therefore not possible.

Expression of stem cell associated genes and EMT regulators in primary pancreatic cancer cell lines. To confirm our results in a further model which resembles patient tumors more closely, we performed the same treatment with 10 µM gemcitabine in five recently established primary pancreatic cancer cell lines.
Figure 3. (A) Immunocytochemical staining for EMT-associated proteins β-catenin, E-cadherin, vimentin and (B) proliferation marker Ki-67. Shown are untreated cells and cells after 6 days of continuous gemcitabine incubation. Magnifications of the panels, x1,000 and x400.

Figure 4. Scratch assay on gemcitabine treated cells in comparison with untreated controls. Gemcitabine incubation was initiated at a concentration of 10 µM one day prior to applying the scratch. At day 3.5 after application of the scratch, the wound was closed in the treated while still clearly visible in the untreated cells.
PaCaDD-135, -159, -161, -165 and -188 and determined gene expression by qPCR (Table IB) after 3 and 6 days. Genes upregulated more than 2-fold compared to untreated cells were observed in the stem cell marker group (CD24, CD44, CD144 or EpCAM) in all 5 cell lines, in the stem cell associated gene group (PDX1, SHH, CBX7 or OCT4) in 4 of the 5 cell lines and in the EMT regulator group (SNAI1/Snail, SNAI2/Slug, TWIST) in all cell lines. While the pattern of upregulated individual genes varies among the cell lines, the only gene being upregulated in all cell lines under gemcitabine incubation was SNAI1/Snail, indicating a central role of EMT induction as a response to chemotherapy also in primary pancreatic cancer cell lines.

Discussion

In our study, we investigated the molecular alterations after 6 days of gemcitabine treatment in 2 distinct cell lines: the well-differentiated Capan-1 (which was G1 in the original patient and shows G1 differentiation in nude or SCID mice xenografts) and the poorly differentiated Panc-1 cells (G3) (31,32). We could show that cells surviving 6 days of continuous gemcitabine exposure express higher levels of almost all investigated stem cell markers, especially of PDX1, SHH, CBX7 or OCT4 in 4 of the 5 cell lines and in the EMT regulator group (SNAI1/Snail, SNAI2/Slug, TWIST) in all cell lines. While the pattern of upregulated individual genes varies among the cell lines, the only gene being upregulated in all cell lines under gemcitabine incubation was SNAI1/Snail, indicating a central role of EMT induction as a response to chemotherapy also in primary pancreatic cancer cell lines.
An increasing number of studies show that there is a link between cancer stem cells and EMT. During development, SHH signals to the epithelial ventromedial somite wall and induces the connective tissue mesenchyme of the sclerotome, which is a physiological example of EMT (37), and is essential for forming three-dimensional structures from epithelial precursors. In our experiments, we see a strong increase of SHH accompanied by a strong increase of Slug in treated Panc-1 cells, and a weaker increase of SHH and Slug in Capan-1 cells. The downstream effector of the hedgehog pathway, Gli1, has been shown to induce the accumulation of β-catenin in the nucleus through Snail and E-cadherin (38). Loss of E-cadherin during EMT relocates β-catenin away from the cell membrane allowing individual cells to separate from cell clusters. We show that in response to gemcitabine, both Snail and Slug as well as SHH are overexpressed. Concordantly, we show the nuclear translocation of β-catenin and the loss of membraneous expression of E-cadherin, as expected in EMT. Further, EMT can also be regulated by Notch signaling, which is also increased in our experiments in Panc-1 cells. While Snail is equally induced in Capan-1 and Panc-1 cells, Slug is differentially expressed between the two cell lines. This behavior could be explained by their respective baseline expression levels: Slug is expressed at low levels in Panc-1 cells and could thus be induced stronger compared Snail, which is expressed at higher baseline levels. Similar baseline expression levels of Snail and Slug have been shown by others both on transcript and protein levels (39). In cells surviving gemcitabine incubation, both EMT regulators are overexpressed thus indicating a protective role of this mechanism against the chemotherapeutic drug.

These data link the role of cells expressing stem cell markers to those undergoing EMT. Data obtained from experiments in benign mammary glands and mammary carcinomas show a direct link between EMT and the gain of epithelial stem-cell properties (25): in immortalized non-malignant human mammary epithelial cells, EMT was induced by treatment with TGF-β or by ectopic expression of Snail or TWIST. As expected, these cells acquired a mesenchymal phenotype and a mRNA expression pattern consistent with EMT, and, most interestingly, exhibited a CD44low/CD24high surface marker configuration - the antigenic phenotype ascribed to neoplastic mammary stem cells. This phenotype was also functionally associated with properties of stem cells as shown in their ability to form more mammospheres than untransformed cells.

Identifying a general marker or a set of markers to pinpoint stem cells in solid tumors has proven difficult, as exemplified by CD133, the expression of which has been used in the identification of cancer stem cells in various tumors, such as brain, lung, colon, kidney, prostate, liver, colon and skin cancer. However, its importance as a general ‘stemness’ marker has been questioned by recent studies on its expression in various normal glandular tissues in general (40,41) and in normal adult pancreata and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas (42). In the healthy pancreas, CD133 expression is seen to be initiated in cells at the center of the acini soon after lumen formation, and continues along the ductal system into the following ducts up to the larger ducts, thereby fading in expression intensity. CD133 and CK19 (a ductal marker) are generally co-localized in the fully differentiated ductal epithelium. Although apical staining is seen in the majority of epithelial cells, cells located near the luminal surface within the acini showed strong cytoplasmic CD133 staining paired with CK19 negativity, indicating an altered differentiation. In tumor specimens, CD133 positive cells are identified in 80% of the cases, with mostly apical/endo-luminal expression, and cytoplasmic staining is observed in about 1% of the malignant cells. In summary, in both normal pancreata and adenocarcinomas of the pancreas, there seem to exist two distinct populations of CD133 expressing cells: a major population with apical/endo-luminal CD133 expression, which represents a particular stage in cell differentiation related to the formation of lumina and ducts, and a minor, rare, population which expresses CD133 in the cytoplasm. In our study we show that CD133 is enriched both on mRNA and protein levels in treated cells and particularly the treated Panc-1 cells differ in their subcellular localization of CD133. Similar findings were reported from glioblastoma patients, which showed that CD133 positive cells were enriched in recurrent glioblastoma and showed increased resistance against a multitude of chemotherapeutic agents (43). While each of the putative stem cell markers CD24, CD44 or CD133 was increased in our two investigated established cell lines and partly in the primary cell lines as well, also other stem cell associated genes were upregulated. Along with the induction of these genes, downstream effectors of chemoresistance, such as ATP-binding cassette transporters could confer the resistant phenotype (33). While our experimental design does not allow to fully differentiate between gene induction and selection of putative cancer stem cells, based on our data we deduct that stem cell associated genes are an important factor in cellular response to chemotherapeutic agents such as gemcitabine. However, the role of each gene in chemoresistance and its downstream effectors has to be investigated carefully to elucidate a possible key gene, which might act as a therapeutic target.
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