Investigation of the dose- and time-dependence of the induction of different types of cell death in a small-cell lung cancer cell line: Implementation of the repairable-conditionally repairable model
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Abstract. The purpose of this study was to quantify and model various types of cell death for a small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) cell line (U1690) after exposure to a ¹³⁷Cs source and as well as to compare the linear-quadratic (LQ) and repairable-conditionally repairable model (RCR). This study is based on four different experiments that were taken place at Cancer Centrum Karolinska (CCK). A human small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) cell line after the exposure to a ¹³⁷Cs source was used for the extraction of the clonogenic cell survival curve. Additionally, for the determination and quantification of various modes of cell death the method of fluorescence staining was implemented, where the cell deaths were categorized based on morphological characteristics. The percentage of cells in each phase of the cell cycle was investigated with flow cytometry analysis. The quantification of senescent cells was performed by staining the samples with senescence-associated β-galactosidase (SA-β-Gal) solution and then scoring as senescent cells those that had incorporated the substance. These data were introduced into a maximum likelihood fitting to calculate the best estimates of the parameters used by the examined model. In this model, the modes of cell death are divided into three categories: apoptotic, senescent and other types of cell death (necrotic/apoptotic, necrotic, micronuclei and giant). In the clonogenic cell survival assay, the fitting of the RCR model gives a χ²-value of 6.10 whereas for the LQ model became 9.61. In the fluorescence microscopy and senescence assay, the probability of the three different modes of cell death on day 2 seems to increases with a dose up to about 10 Gy where there is saturation. On day 7 a significant induction of apoptosis in a dose- and time-dependent manner was evident, whereas senescence was slightly increased in response to dose but not to time. As for the ‘other types of cell death’ mode on day 7 showed a higher probability than the one on day 2 and as well as a prominent dose-dependence. The RCR model fits better to the experimental data than the LQ model. On day 2 there is a slight increase of the apoptotic and senescent probability with dose. On the other hand, on day 7 the shape of the curve of apoptosis differs and a sigmoidal increase with dose is observed. At both time-points, the present model fits the data reasonably well. Due to the fact that the clonogenic survival does not coincide with the one extracted from the fluorescence microscopy, a more accurate way to quantify cell death needs to be used, e.g. computerized video time-lapse (CVTL).

Introduction

Experimental and theoretical studies in radiation biology contribute to the development of radiotherapy at many levels. First of all, radiation biology offers a fundamental basis in the understanding of how radiotherapy works. It identifies the mechanisms and processes that determine the tumor and normal tissue response to irradiation and makes it possibly to understand and explain the observed phenomena. Irradiation may cause different types of damage to the cell. The damage can be lethal in the case the cells are triggered to divide during the resting period.

As a cell survival curve we can define the relationship between the radiation dose and the proportion of cells that survive. The term ‘cell survival’ or vice versa cell death may have a different meaning in different circumstances. For differentiated cells that do not proliferate such as, nerve or muscle cells death can be defined as the loss of a specific function. Whereas for proliferating cells such as, cells' growing in culture irreversible loss of reproductive capacity is the appropriate definition (1).

This definition has particular relevance to radiotherapy due to the fact that a tumor is locally controlled when all its cells have lost their ability to proliferate indefinitely and thus cause further growth or distant metastasis. In general the cells may go
into different types of cell death such as apoptosis, necrosis and senescence.

Other types of cell death are considered important are mitotic catastrophe and autophagy. Mitotic catastrophe is a cell death mode occurring either during or shortly after a failed mitosis and can be accompanied by morphological alterations including micronucleation and multinucleation. However, there is no broad consensus on the use of this term and mitotic catastrophe can lead either to apoptotic morphology or to necrosis. When autophagy is occurring in the cell, we observe lack of chromatin condensation, massive vacuolization of the cytoplasm, accumulation of autophagic vacuoles and little or no uptake by phagocytic cells in vivo. On the other hand there is no doubt that autophagy promotes cell survival, in multiple physiological and experimental settings (2). Significantly some authors claim that cells presenting features of autophagic cell death can still recover upon withdrawal of the death inducing stimulus (3).

In the present study, a mathematical model is fitted to the dose- and time-response of cell death seen in the experiments. Also a comparison of cell survival from the clonogenic assay with the cell death data from the microscopy analysis is made.

Materials and methods

Cells and culturing process. A human small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) cell line U1690 with a mutated p53 gene was used (established by Dr Jonas Berg) (4,5). These cells were maintained in monolayer cultures in Eagle's minimum essential medium (MEM with Earl's salts, Flow Laboratories, Rickmansworth, UK) containing 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum, 100 IU/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin and 2.5 µg/ml fungizone (Gibco, Invitrogen, Inchinnan, UK), and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ and 80% humidity in culture flasks (Costar, Badhoevedorp, The Netherlands). Cells in exponential growth phase were used in all experiments and the doubling time was approximately 24 h.

Irradiation process. Cell suspensions were irradiated in plastic vials (Costar, 15-ml tubes) with a 137Cs irradiation chamber (Scanditronix IC 900, Instrument Scanditronix AB, Uppsala, Sweden) at Cancer Center Karolinska (Stockholm, Sweden), at a photon dose-rate of 0.5 Gy min⁻¹ and LET of <1 eV nm⁻¹. The doses used were in the range 0-8 Gy.

Clonogenic cell survival. Standard colony formation assay was performed immediately after exposure to a 137Cs source (photons). A specific number of cells were plated in Petri dishes (6-cm diameter, Costar), three replicate dishes were employed and three independent experiments were repeated for each survival point and colonies containing more than 50 cells were scored as survivors (6). Colonies obtained after 2 weeks with a change of medium after 7 days, were rinsed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), fixed with 8% formaldehyde solution for 5 min and stained with 25% Giemsa (Gurr, BDH, Pool, UK) solution for at least 15 min. The surviving fraction (SF) was calculated as the ratio of the mean plating efficiency (PE) of irradiated cells over the PE of non-irradiated cells. PE stands for the ratio of the colonies counted to the number of plated cells. The PE for the non-irradiated cells was 57.2±8.5.

Detection of different types of cell death (fluorescence microscopy). A solution of PBS mixed with acridine orange (AO; staining all parts of the cell) and Hoechst 33324 (HO; staining only DNA) (both Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) was used as a staining dye. The concentrations of the dyes were chosen with respect to the number of cells and the volume of the sample. The amounts used were 2.5 µl of HO (stock 5 mg/ml) and 2.5 µl of AO (stock 1 mg/ml) that were mixed with 995 µl of PBS. To each cell sample 2–4 µl from the dye mixture was added. This concentration of the dyes gives a good amount of fluorescence light, not too bright or too weak.

Analyses were performed at a magnification, ×500 in a fluorescence microscope (Leitz, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with different filter blocks. A filter block that is consisted of an excitation light filter with high transmission between 340 and 380 nm, a beam-splitting mirror with the edge at 400 nm and a barrier filter with the edge at 430 nm were applied for studies with HO and a filter block that is consisted of an excitation light filter with high transmission between 450 and 490 nm, a beam-splitting mirror with the edge at 510 nm and a barrier filter with the edge at 515 nm were applied for studies with AO. For each sample at least 500 cells were scored and the classification of cells included normal, apoptotic/apoptotic bodies and other abnormal cells such as necrotic, necrotic/apoptotic, micronucleated and giant cells. Some indicative pictures of various modes of cell death are shown in Fig. 1.

β-galactosidase assay. Cells were washed in PBS, fixed overnight (room temperature) in 2% formaldehyde, washed twice with PBS without magnesium and calcium and incubated at 37°C overnight (no CO₂) with fresh senescence-associated β-galactosidase (SA-β-Gal) stain solution at pH 6.0: 1 mg of 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl β-D-galactosidase (X-Gal) per ml (stock solution = 20 mg of potassium ferrocyanide/5 mM potassium ferricyanide/150 mM NaCl/2 mM MgCl₂). The staining was evident after 18-24 h of incubation, and then we washed the cells twice with water before counting them in an ordinary light microscope (7). From each sample 500 cells were counted and two groups extracted, these are senescent cells and cells that may be normal or abnormal (e.g. apoptotic, necrotic, giant, etc). The cells that went into senescence incorporated the staining solution and most of them had characteristics of giant cells (Fig. 2).

Mathematical modeling

The linear quadratic (LQ) model. The LQ model has been established as the most popular model used to describe the cell survival curve assuming that there are two components related to cell kill by radiation and it is expressed by:

$$S(D) = e^{-\alpha D - \beta D^2}$$

where $S(D)$ is the fraction of cells surviving a dose $D$, $\alpha$ is a constant describing the initial slope of the survival curve and $\beta$ is a usually smaller constant describing the quadratic component of cell killing (8).
The repairable-conditionally repairable (RCR) model. The RCR model subdivides the total amount of damage into two distinct Poisson processes: i) potentially repairable, which may also be lethal (i.e. if unrepaired or misrepaired); and ii) conditionally repairable, which may be repaired or may lead to apoptosis and other types of cell death if it has not been repaired correctly. This cell survival expression has the ability to fit most experimental data well at low doses (initial hypersensitive range), intermediate range (on the shoulder of the survival curve) and high doses (on the quasi-exponential region of the survival curve).

Figure 1. Morphological classification of SCLC cell line (U1690) stained in a mixture of acridine orange (AO) and Hoechst (HO). (a) Necrotic-apoptotic cell; (b) apoptotic cell with pyknotic bodies and normal cells at the same field; (c) apoptotic cell (upper left), empty cell (middle), normal cells; (d) normal cell (left), giant cell (right); (e) apoptotic cell (left), normal cell (right).
The formula of this model can be well approximated by a bi-exponential cell survival expression:

\[ S(D) = e^{-\alpha D} + b D e^{-cD} \]  

where \( D \) denotes the dose and \( \alpha \), \( b \) and \( c \) are the parameters of the model. The first term \( e^{-\alpha D} \) corresponds to the fraction of cells that have not been damaged and the parameter \( \alpha \) represents the initial total number of damage events per unit dose. The second term \( b D e^{-cD} \) may be regarded as an expression describing the probability that a potentially repairable event proportional to \( bD \) is actually repaired considering higher orders of event multiplicity. The parameter \( b \) describes the maximum amount of damage that can be repaired per unit dose (9).

Cells exposed to ionizing radiation can undergo different types of cell death or repair stage. In the current study, a simplified mathematical model is introduced for describing apoptosis, senescence and other types of cell death all included in one category (necrosis, giant cells, mitotic catastrophe, micronuclei etc).

The induction of cell death is assumed to be described by poisson statistics. The probability for a cell to be alive in generation \( i \) is given by the expression:

\[ P_V^N = \prod_{i=1}^{N} P_V(i) \]  

and it is defined as the product of probabilities in each generation for \( i=1 \) up to \( N \). Moreover, the expected number of viable cells after \( N \) divisions is given by the equation:

\[ M_V^N = 2^N \prod_{i=1}^{N} P_V(i) \]  

which, corresponds to the probability \( P_V^N \) multiplied by \( 2^N \). The probability for a cell to go into apoptosis after a dose \( D \) and a specific number of mitoses can be described by the formula:

\[ P_A(D,t) = A(D)e^{\frac{\ln 2 t}{\tau/2}} \]  

The same mathematical expression was used for the other two types of cell death.

One of the major problems that were faced, during the development of the model, was the determination of a curve, which could describe the induction of different types of cell death as a function of dose. Taking into account previous studies about determination of dose-response curves for apoptosis and the experimental data of this study, it can be assumed that the shape of such a dose-response curve can be phenomenologically described by the equation:

\[ A(D) = F_A(1 - e^{-\xi_\alpha D}) \]  

where \( D \) is the dose, \( \xi_\alpha \) is the coefficient for radiation inactivation via apoptosis, and \( F_A \) is the maximum achievable fraction of apoptotic cells, i.e. the subpopulation, which is susceptible to radiation-induced apoptosis (10-12). The general trend followed by the curves is that there is an increase of the induction of apoptosis as a function of dose but after the intermediate dose region the curve starts bending until it reaches a plateau. The dose response-curves for senescence and other kinds of cell death are characterized by the same pattern and are given by the expressions:

\[ S(D) = F_S(1 - e^{-\xi_D D}) \]  

\[ O(D) = F_O(1 - e^{-\xi_O D}) \]  

Also, it is assumed that the maximum achievable fraction of normal cells is equal to \( F_V = 1 - F_A - F_S - F_O \) which means that even at
very high doses and on day 2 cells are still viable. This assumption seems to be logical, since on day 2 after irradiation the cells have divided 1–2 times and the death process for most of them is not triggered or the death mode cannot be specified through morphological characteristics.

The comparison of the data referring to the 2nd and 7th day after irradiation regarding the induction of different types of cell death as a function of dose showed that the Eqs. [6], [7] and [8] had to be slightly changed. Therefore, taking into consideration the experimental data of the 7th day, the Eqs. [6-8] are modified to the following ones:

\[
A(D) = F_a(1 - e^{-aD})^{m_a} \quad [9]
\]
\[
S(D) = F_s(1 - e^{-sD})^{m_s} \quad [10]
\]
\[
O(D) = F_o(1 - e^{-oD})^{m_o} \quad [11]
\]

As can be seen, the only difference is a new variable \( m \) \((m_a, m_s, m_o \neq 1)\). The system of Eqs. [9], [10] and [11] had one free \( F_a + F_s + F_o = 1 \) thus, the free variable was calculated by the expression \( F_a = 1 - F_s - F_o \). Therefore, for high doses and on day 7 after irradiation it is assumed that \( F_a \) is negligible. Since the fate of each cell was known, next step was the extraction of an equation that could calculate the amount of normal cells or those undergoing apoptotic, senescent and other types of cell death in each generation. This equation is represented by the following expression:

\[
N^n_i = 2^\alpha \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} P_j(i) \quad [12]
\]

which can be interpreted as the expected number of cells going into apoptosis in generation \( i \).

**Results**

**Clonogenic cell survival.** The clonogenic cell survival for p53-mutated UI690 following exposure from a \(^{137}\)Cs source, are shown in Fig. 3. The cell survival models fitted to the experimental data were the linear quadratic (LQ) and repair-conditionally repairable (RCR) model (Table I).

Figure 3. Clonogenic survival of the human small cell lung cancer cell line UI690 after exposure to radiation from \(^{137}\)Cs. The LQ (dashed line) and RCR (solid line) models were fitted to the experimental cell survival data. The experimental values are presented in the form of mean ± Sem and are based on three independent experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose (Gy)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Sem</th>
<th>LQ</th>
<th>RCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The uncertainties of the model parameters are expressed in terms of their 95% confidence intervals. The \( \chi^2 \), the reduced \( \chi^2 \) and the Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC)-values are also presented.

Table I. Comparison of the mean survival fraction (SF) from the experimental data with the predictions of the LQ and RCR radiobiological models.

The determination of the model parameters was done by fitting the radiobiological model to the clonogenic data. In this case the fitting method that was implemented was the maximum likelihood estimation. Table II shows the values of the parameters for each model with their errors that represent the 95% confidence interval.

The goodness of fit of the models and their parameters was evaluated by Pearson's \( \chi^2 \) test (13). Although the \( \chi^2 \)-value is referred as a measure of goodness of fit, conversely represents a measure of lack of fit and it should be as low as possible. Consequently the smaller the \( \chi^2 \) is the better overall fit is achieved with experimental data. However, due to the fact that the \( \chi^2 \) is dependent on the degrees of freedom, the reduced \( \chi^2 \) was included in the statistical analysis which stands for the \( \chi^2 \)-value divided by the DF (number of data points reduced by the number of parameters in the respective model) (14). In the present study the \( \chi^2 \) and reduced \( \chi^2 \)-values
The values in the second column represent the mean ± Sem of three independent experiments performed in triplicate.

Table III. Experimental and estimated probability of the induction of cell death (apoptosis, senescence and other abnormal cells) 48 h after irradiation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day 2 Dose (Gy)</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Apoptosis</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>MLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>-0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>-0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>-0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>-0.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sum -0.457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_A$</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.191</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day 2 Dose (Gy)</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Senescence</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>MLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>-0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>-0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>-0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>-0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>-0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sum -0.509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_S$</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.220</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day 2 Dose (Gy)</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Other types of cell death</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>MLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>-0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>-0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>-0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>-0.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>-0.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sum -0.533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_O$</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values in the second column represent the mean ± Sem of three independent experiments performed in triplicate.

Mathematical modeling of the dose- and time-response of cell death and comparison to the experimental data. The dose-dependence, of different types of cell death, evaluated at 2 days after irradiation is given in Fig. 4 where it seems that after the initial increase of the probability in the three graphs, the steepness of the curve becomes lower, which gradually leads to saturation. Thus, the selection of the mathematical model that is described by the Eqs. [6], [7] and [8] estimates fairly well the results acquired by the experiments (Table III). Furthermore, the maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) was used to determine the best estimates of the model parameters by maximizing the likelihood to reproduce the given pattern of observations.
Alternatively to the parameter interpretation mentioned above, it can be stated that the constants $F_A$, $F_S$, $F_O$ stand for the maximum achievable apoptotic, senescent and other type of cell death probability, respectively. Whereas, $\xi_\alpha$, $\xi_s$, $\xi_o$ represent coefficients for radiation inactivation via apoptosis, senescence and other types of cell death.

The quantification of cell death 2 days after exposure shows that the maximum values have been reached after a dose of 8 Gy or that they represent more than the 70% of the maximum value ($F_A$, $F_S$ or $F_O$). Thus, it was of great significance to follow the cells further in time so as to investigate whether the curves exhibit a similar trend or whether there is a change in the shape of the dose-response (cell death) curves with time.

The examination of different types of cell death 7 days after irradiation demonstrated a significant induction of apoptosis in a dose- and time-dependent manner, whereas the dependence of senescence with dose was slightly increased, but not with time (see Figs. 4 and 5). The 3rd category ‘other abnormal cells’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day 7</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Apoptosis</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>MLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>-0.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>-0.525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.581</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>-0.688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.648</td>
<td>-0.665</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$F_A$, $\xi_\alpha$, $m_\alpha$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day 7</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Senescence</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>MLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>-0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>-0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>-0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>-0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>-0.113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$F_S$, $\xi_s$, $m_s$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day 7</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Other types of cell death</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>MLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>-0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>-0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>-0.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>-0.354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>-0.480</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$F_O$, $\xi_o$, $m_o$
Discussion

The modeling of cell death on day 14 was not possible to perform due to problems faced with the protocol of the senescence assay and as a result with the quantification of the senescent cells. Thus, in Fig. 7, the apoptotic probability is presented as a function of dose for 3 different time-points as well as the modeling of the experimental data referring to the 2nd and 7th day after irradiation. As is shown, on day 2 there is a slight increase of the apoptotic probability with dose, whereas on day 7 the shape of the curve differs and a sigmoidal increase with dose is observed. The decrease of apoptosis on the 14th day compared to the 7th day at 5 and 8 Gy can most likely be described by several factors, such as triggering of other types of cell death, disintegration and disappearance of the cells after irradiation with 5 and 8 Gy. Moreover, the large error bars characterizing the data of the 14th day can be justified by the significant variations between the 3 experiments.

At both time-points (2 and 7 days) the model fits the experimental data quite well. It may seem as if in the 7th day the total number of parameters in the model differ in comparison to those on the 2nd day but this is misleading because on day 2 it is assumed that the constants $m_1, m_2, m_3$ are equal to 1.

Few studies have been published on the investigation of different types of cell death after irradiation as a function to dose and time. One shows that photons induced apoptosis in a human melanoma cell line AA, which increased in a dose-dependent manner up to 2 Gy and at 48 h. Beyond that point, there was no major increase in apoptosis with dose. Thus, it appears that the shape of the curve is consistent with the one in this study. Also, the induction of apoptosis was evident at 72 h (11). Moreover, in another study where the death pattern of a human lung cancer cell line (H1299) with a mutated p53 was analyzed after irradiation with X-rays, it was indicated that the percentage of apoptosis at 2 Gy and 2 days is in close correlation with the presented experimental data (15). However, in both studies the cells were followed only up to 72 h, consequently we can not compare later time-points.

None of any previous cellular senescence assays have investigated this specific cell line (U1690) but based on an extended study in accelerated senescence among seven different cell lines with a wild-type p53 irradiated with a $^{60}$Co source, two of them (renal carcinoma and malignant melanoma) demonstrated <2% senescence after 4 Gy and ~10% after 8 Gy on the 6th day after irradiation. At least the dose point of 4 Gy is in agreement with the one in the present study (16). In a more recent article, the intensity of cellular staining for SA-β-Gal increased in a time- and dose-dependent manner in a head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cell line with a mutated p53. However, a further investigation whether an enhanced SA-β-Gal activity is related to a senescence-like mechanism or not showed no accumulation of p16 and p21 proteins. Thus, the enhanced activity of SA-β-Gal seems to be the result of cellular stress rather than of an irreversible senescence-like process (17,18).

For cells that go into apoptosis shortly after irradiation without dividing, such as lymphocytes and lymphomas, a reasonably accurate assessment of the amount of apoptosis should be possible by quantifying apoptosis in the irradiated population as a whole. But in the case of post-mitotic death, individual cells and their progeny must be followed for several generations after irradiation. A major problem in studies that aim to quantify different types of cell death in relation to time relies on the fact that there is no distinction between proliferating clonogenic cells and non-clonogenic descendants. Furthermore, apoptosis and/or senescence are occurring in non-clonogenic descendants during several days and generations after irradiation.
As a consequence, in our study since it is assumed that all cells are clonogenic, the percentage of apoptosis and senescence will be underestimated. Another issue that could not be neglected is the disintegration and disappearance of cells after 5 or 8 Gy at late time-points. Due to this fact, the fluorescence microscopy data will not coincide with those from the clonogenic survival. A potential solution could be the use of computerized video time-lapse microscopy in which individual cells are followed and the mode of cell death can be determined. Moreover, in this way a more accurate quantification of apoptosis and other types of cell death can be assessed (19, 20). However, this method has also limitations since the narrow field of view and the limited number of cells constitutes an actual drawback.

Regarding the clonogenic assay it seems that the RCR model fits better the experimental data compared to the LQ model. The quantification of cell death by means of morphological features and the senescence assay showed that on day 2 after irradiation there is a slight increase of the apoptotic and senescent probability with dose. On the other hand, on day 7 after irradiation, the shape of the curve of apoptosis differs and a sigmoidal increase with dose is observed. At both time points the mathematical model fits the experimental data reasonable well. Finally, due to the fact that the clonogenic survival does not coincide with the one extracted using fluorescence microscopy, a more accurate way of quantification of cell death need to be used, eventually such as the computerized video time-lapse microscopy.
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