Enhanced immunotherapeutic effect of modified HPV16 E7-pulsed dendritic cell vaccine by an adeno-shRNA-SOCS1 virus
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Abstract. Cervical cancer is the second most common cause of cancer-related deaths among women worldwide. However, no efficient therapy exists against cervical cancer and current treatments have several disadvantages. One possible novel approach is to develop immune-based strategies using tumor antigen-loaded dendritic cells (DCs) for the induction of cellular antitumor immunity. In this study, we created a modified HPV16 E7, HPV16mE7, to reduce its transformation activity and to enhance its antigenicity. The siRNA delivery technique was used to silence the suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 (SOCS1) gene in DCs. BM-derived DCs infected by ad-shRNA-SOCS1 were pulsed with the HPV16mE7 protein and then were transfused into mouse models bearing TC-1 tumor cells expressing HPV16 E6/E7. IFN-γ cytokine (TNF-α, IL-12, IL-6) expression, anti-E7 antibody and cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) levels were measured. The survival rate, survival days and the tumor volume of the mouse models from the different treatment groups were monitored. The data showed that the mE7-pulsed DC vaccine enhanced by adenovirus-mediated SOCS1 silencing exhibited better immunotherapeutic effect on the allografted tumor mouse models. The method by silencing SOCS1 in HPV16mE7 protein-pulsed DCs may provide a new strategy for the development of safe and effective immunotherapy for cervical cancer.

Introduction

Cervical cancer is a major problem in women’s health worldwide and is the second leading cause of cancer deaths in women (1). Clinical, molecular and epidemiological investigations identify the high-risk human papillomavirus (HPV) as the major cause of cervical cancer, with the high-risk types HPV16 and 18 accounting for nearly 70% of cervical cancer cases (2-5). The E6 and E7 oncoproteins encoded by these two viruses, are crucial for the productive viral life cycle in the transformation and maintenance of the malignant phenotype (6). Therefore, various forms of HPV vaccines targeting the two proteins are designed for cervical cancer immunotherapy, including plasmid DNA, viral or bacterial vectors, chimeric virus-like particles (VLP), synthetic peptides and recombinant proteins (3). Cervical cancer therapeutic vaccines face many challenges, including immunocompromised state of cancer patients, difficulty in immune system stimulation, immune escape and tolerance mechanisms used by tumors and virally infected cells, as well as safety concerns (2,7). Thus, an emerging need for the treatment of cervical cancer and other HPV infection-associated diseases is required.

Dendritic cells (DCs) are the most potent professional antigen-presenting cells (APC) with key regulatory roles in immune response. It maintains tolerance to self-antigens and activates innate and adaptive immunity against viral infection by producing various proinflammatory cytokines, which is involved in processing and presenting antigens to T cells (6,8). Recent studies on dendritic cell (DC)-based tumor vaccine demonstrated that enhancing DC maturation/co-stimulation and antigen presentation can greatly enhance human CTL and Th immune response in cancer therapy, suggesting a promising way to treat HPV and other cancers (8-13). Hence, activation of the full immunostimulatory potential of DCs to achieve an effective immune response provides a promising way to prevent or control many viral infections.

Recently, a major immunosuppressive, the intracellular signal regulator suppressors of cytokine signaling 1 (SOCS1) was found to play an important role in blocking the constitutive
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activation of the immune response. SOCS1 functions as an inducible negative feedback inhibitor of the Jak/STAT signal pathway and negatively regulates various cytokines, such as interferon (IFN)-γ, interleukin (IL)-2, IL-6, IL-7, IL-12, or IL-15 in T cells, DCs and other cells.

Several studies demonstrate that ex vivo SOCS1 silencing in antigen-presenting DCs can strongly enhance antigen-specific antitumor and antiviral immunity (8,13,16). Hanada et al. (18) and Guentenberg et al. (19) showed that the antitumor activity of exogenous IFN-α was enhanced by CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in SOCS1−/− transgenic mice and T and B cells were restored via exogenous SOCS1 expression. SOCS1−/− DCs were also hyper-responsive to LPS and IFN-γ, which triggers an allogeneic T-cell expansion. In addition, studies in macrophages demonstrated that silencing SOCS1 can improve Mycobacterium tuberculosis control and suppress tumor development by enhancing antitumor inflammation (20). All these findings indicate that SOCS1 possessed an inhibitory role in controlling antigen presentation by APCs and magnitude of the adaptive immunity. The regulation of antigen presentation and development of more effective tumor vaccines can therefore be achieved by silencing the crucial ‘natural inhibitor’ in antigen-presenting DCs.

DC vaccines combined with SOCS1 silencing has been previously reported for antitumor and antiviral purpose (13,16). In this study, we sought to find a safer and more effective approach to treat cervical cancer and other HPV infection-associated malignancies by constructing an HPV16 E7 mutant, the HPV16mE7, to reduce transformation activity and enhance antigenicity (21-23). The immunotherapeutic effect of mE7-pulsed DC vaccine with adenosvir-mediated SOCS1 silencing on the allografted tumor mouse models which express HPV16mE7 oncoproteins, was investigated.

Materials and methods

Peptide synthesis of E7.49-57 and HBV surface antigen (HBsAg) R187. The synthetic peptides E7.49-57 (RAHYNIVTF) corresponding to the HPV16-E7 H2-Db restricted epitope (24) and HBV surface antigen (HBsAg) R187 (aa183-191) (FLLTRILTI) (25) were synthesized and purified to >95% purity by Sangon Inc. (Shanghai, China) via high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).

Construction of modified HPV16 E7 gene, protein expression and purification. The HPV16 E7 mutant gene (HPV16mE7) was designed to reduce its transformation activity and enhance its antigenicity (21-23,26). The three arbitrarily designated regions, a, b and c, of the native 98-amino acid HPV16 E7 protein were mutated as follows: in region a, amino acids 21, 24 and 26 were mutated from DLYCYEQ to GLYGYGQ. Peptide synthesis of E7.49-57 and HBV surface antigen (HBsAg) R187. The synthetic peptides E7.49-57 (RAHYNIVTF) corresponding to the HPV16-E7 H2-Db restricted epitope (24) and HBV surface antigen (HBsAg) R187 (aa183-191) (FLLTRILTI) (25) were synthesized and purified to >95% purity by Sangon Inc. (Shanghai, China) via high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).

Transduction of BM-derived DCs with adenoaviral vectors. Recombinant adenoaviral vectors (ad-shRNA-SOCS1 and ad-shRNA-mSOCS1) were produced and titrated as described above. Mouse bone marrow (BM)-derived DCs (MBDDs) were prepared by Shen et al. method (16). In brief, mouse BM was flushed from the hind limbs, passed through a nylon mesh and depleted of red cells using ammonium chloride. After extensive washing with RPMI-1640, the cells were cultured with RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS, recombinant mouse granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF, 20 ng/ml; PeproTech) and recombinant mouse IL-4 (20 ng/ml; PeproTech). On the 2nd and 4th day of culture, the supernatant was removed and replaced with fresh medium containing 5% humidified CO2. Non-adherent granulocytes were removed after 48 h and fresh medium was added. After 7 days of culture, flow cytometric analysis showed that >80% of the cells expressed characteristic DC-specific markers. The DCs were washed and placed in 12-well plates at a concentration of 105 cells/well in 400 µl RPMI-1640. The cells were exposed to ad-shRNA-mSOCS1 or ad-shRNA-SOCS1 at 50 MOI. After 8-12-h transduction, the cells were washed with PBS and further incubated in fresh tissue culture medium. The immature BMDCs were then pulsed with the HPVv16E7 protein (25 µg/ml) at 37°C for 6 h, followed by stimulation with LPS (0.5 µg/ml) for 24 h to develop mature BMDCs. The harvested DCs were tested with CD80-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC), CD-83-FITC and CD86-FITC to confirm DC maturation.
Flow cytometry acquisition and analysis were performed via a FACS using the Cell Quest software (Becton-Dickinson).

Analysis of SOCS1
Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of SOCS1. Total RNA was extracted from DCs using the TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) with 1.0 µg for each sample and was reverse-transcribed with random hexamer primers using Super Script First-Strand Synthesis kits (Invitrogen). The expression level of GAPDH was evaluated as an internal control. The target mRNAs were then assessed using the following primers: GAPDH: ACAGTC CATGCTACATGCTGG (sense) and GCCGCTGTCTCACCACC TTCTTG (anti-sense); SOCS1: TGTTGTAGACGGTCTTG TCTGG (sense) and CCGTGTTGCGAAAGATCTGGG (anti-sense). Real-time PCR analysis was performed using an ABI 7900HT Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) in 20 µl quadruplicate reactions, using the equivalent of 5 ng of the starting RNA material per reaction as the template.

Western blot analysis of SOCS1 silencing. Since SOCS1 expression in DCs was difficult for western blot analysis, the inhibitory effect of ad-shRNA-SOCS1 and ad-shRNA-mSOCS1 were conducted in B16 cells (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). The cells were cultured in DMEM and infected with the recombinant adenovirus plasmids for 48 h at 50 and 100 MOI and then lysed with cell lysis buffer [0.3% NP40, 1 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.4), 2 mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, 150 mM NaCl, 25 mM NaF, 1 mM NaVO4, 10 µg/ml phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride] for 30 min on ice and then centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 15 min at 4˚C. The protein samples were separated via SDS-PAGE and transferred onto immobilon membranes (Millipore, MA, USA). SOCS1 and β-actin proteins were identified using anti-SOCS1 polyclonal and anti-β-actin monoclonal antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), respectively.

Cytokine ELISA analysis. Levels of various cytokines (IL-12p70, IL-6, TNF-α) induced by HPV16mE7-pulsed DCs in response to LPS stimulation (100 ng/ml) for 24 h were quantitated using the supernatant of DC cultures at the time-points and with the stimulus by ELISA analysis (Dakewe Biotech Co. Ltd., China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Data are representative of three independent assays.

Tumor models. Female C57BL/6 (H-2b) mice were purchased from Guangzhou Traditional Chinese Medicine University (Guangzhou, China). The mice were housed in filter top cages under specific pathogen-free conditions and used in accordance with the guidelines of Tsinghua University Council (Guangzhou, China). The mice were housed in filter top cages from Guangzhou Traditional Chinese Medicine University Ltd., China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Data and with the stimulus by ELISA analysis (Dakewe Biotech Co. Ltd., China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Data are representative of three independent assays.

DCs immunization for tumor therapy. Immature BMDCs infected with ad-shRNA-mSOCS1 or ad-shRNA-SOCS1 at 50 MOI were pulsed with the HPV16mE7 protein (25 µg/ml) at 37˚C for 6 h, followed by stimulation with LPS (0.5 µg/ml) for 6 h to develop mature BMDCs. The cells (2x10⁵) were then washed with PBS to remove extracellular LPS and injected into the hind footpads of C57BL/6 mice inoculated with TC-1 cells expressing HPV16mE7. The immunized mice were intraperitoneally treated with LPS three times on days 1, 3 and 5 after DC vaccine immunization. The tumor volume was calculated using the following formula: (major axis x minor axis) x 0.52. The tumor-bearing mice were euthanized when the tumor volume reached ~2,500 mm³.

ELISA for HPV16mE7 antibodies. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) were performed by immobilizing 0.5 µg HPV16mE7 protein in 50 mM NaHCO₃ (pH 9.6) per microtiter well plate overnight at 4˚C. Blocking was done by 1% phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (pH 7.5) containing 1% gelatin for 2 h at 37˚C. Each protein ligand was incubated in PBS (pH 7.5) with 0.2% gelatin within the appropriate wells for 1 h at room temperature at a concentration of 5 µg/ml, then anti-HPV16 E7 monoclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or sera from the immunized mice were added. In the control experiments, the first antigen was omitted from the immobilization step. Incubation with each antibody was carried out in the same solution overnight at 4˚C.

CTL assay. C57BL/6 mice (four per group) were immunized twice in one-week interval with subcutaneous injections of different DCs vaccines at the hind footpads. Control mice received a sham injection of Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS). Two weeks after DCs inoculation, the mice were euthanized via cervical dislocation. Single-cell suspensions of pooled spleens from each group were prepared in a CTL medium composed of RPMI-1640 (Gibco/BRL, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% FCS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Gibco/BRL), 50 µM 2-mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich,) and 50 µg/ml gentamicin sulfate (Gibco/BRL). The splenocytes were restimulated in 10 ml CTL medium by incubating 3x10⁶ viable lymphoid cells in 1 µM E7.49-53 peptide at an upright T25 tissue culture flask. The effector cells were harvested after a 7-day incubation and then analyzed for cytolytic activity and intracellular γ interferon (IFN-γ) production.

LDH release assay. The cytolytic activity was assayed in triplicate in 96-well culture plates by culturing the effector cells with target TC-1 cells expressing HPV16 E6 and E7 proteins at effector/target (E/T) ratios of 100:1, 33:1 or 11:1. After a 4-h incubation at 37˚C/5% CO₂, the 96-well culture plates were centrifuged at 200 x g for 5 min using a Beckman G5-6R centrifuge (Beckman Coulter Canada, Mississauga, ON, Canada). The culture supernatant (100 µl) was collected from each well and transferred into Beckman ready caps and the released LDH activity was determined via biochemical analysis using the Beckman Biochemical analyzer. The target cells cultured without effector cells in either the medium or Triton X-100 (1% vol/wt) were used to determine the spontaneous or total release of the label. The results were expressed as percent specific lysis, calculated by [(LDHtotal - LDHspont)/(LDHtotal - LDHspont)] x 100. The control target LDH values
were consistently <10% and were subtracted from the obtained results.

**IFN-γ ELISA and ELISPOT assay.** The intracellular γ interferon (IFN-γ) production of the activated antigen-specific cytotoxic T cells in splenocytes harvested from immunized C57BL/6 mice were detected using the ELISA kit and ELISPOT kit (eBioscience Co.).

**IFN-γ ELISA assay.** C57BL/6 mice (four per group) were immunized twice with one week interval with PBS, DCs, DC-ad-shRNA-SOCS1, or DC-ad-shRNA-mSOCS1. The DCs were HPV16mE7 pulsed. After 7 days post-immunization, pooled splenocytes from each group were re-stimulated with E7.49-57 for 7 days. The effector cells was cultured with target TC-1 cells which express HPV16 E6 and E7 proteins at effector/target (E/T) ratios of 100:1, 33:1 or 11:1. The IFN-γ ELISA assay was performed following the instruction manual.

**IFN-γ ELISPOT assay.** In brief, on day 9 of post-immunization, the 96-well nitrocellulose-base plates were coated overnight at 4˚C with purified anti-mouse IFN-γ antibodies and then blocked with the complete media. Splenocytes (100 µl/well) cultured in RPMI-1640 (Gibco/BRL) were seeded in the wells at an initial concentration of 10⁶ cells/well and a row of serial dilutions either unstimulated or stimulated with E7.49-57 or R187 peptide (1 µg/ml) or buffer were prepared. PMA (5 ng/ml, Sigma) served as a positive control and the R187 peptide or media served as a negative control. The plate was incubated overnight at 37˚C/5% CO₂ and then detected by detection antibody (a biotinylated anti-mouse IFN-γ antibody) for 2 h at room temperature. After removing the unbound detection antibody, the enzyme conjugate (streptavidin-HRP) was added. The unbound streptavidin-HRP was washed off after a 1-h incubation at room temperature and the plate was stained with an AEC substrate solution for 20 min. Further, the plate was washed and air-dried overnight. Foci of staining were counted using a magnifying lens.

**Statistical analysis.** All data were expressed as means ± standard deviation (SD) and were representative of at least two different experiments. The statistical significance of group differences was measured by Student’s t-test. p-values <0.05 were considered to be significant. The mouse survival rates were analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier method (log-rank test).

## Results

**Construction and characterization of recombinant HPV16mE7.** HPV16mE7 was cloned into pET-28a vector, expressed in BL21(DE3) E. coli and purified to homogeneity to allow *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays (Fig. 1A). An LPS elimination procedure was introduced in the purification protocol. A series of diluted imidazole elution buffer, 60, 100, 150 and 200 mM, respectively, were used to determine the best elution concentration. The presence of the E7 protein in HPV16mE7 was confirmed via western blot analysis using an anti-HPV16 E7 monoclonal antibody or anti-His monoclonal antibody (Fig. 1B). HPV16 E7 concentration was measured by BCA kit (Beyotime, China) according to the instruction manual for the following assays.

**Inhibition of SOCS1 expression.** Constructs of ad-shRNA-SOCS1 and ad-shRNA-mSOCS1 were verified in B16 cells (Fig. 2A). Western blot analysis showed that ad-shRNA-SOCS1 (MOI, 50 or 100) infection greatly reduced SOCS1 expression compared with infection by ad-shRNA-mSOCS1 (MOI, 50). Real-time RT-PCR analysis also confirmed the inhibition of SOCS1 expression in DCs (Fig. 2B). The SOCS1 mRNA level was reduced significantly by ad-shRNA-SOCS1 but not by ad-shRNA-mSOCS1. Ad-shRNA-SOCS1 inhibited the SOCS1 mRNA level, however, ad-shRNA-mSOCS1 failed. Furthermore, the inhibition by ad-shRNA-SOCS1 at MOI 100 was better than that at MOI 50.

**Analysis of DC maturation.** Immature DCs (iDCs) were produced from mouse bone marrow via stimulation with GM-CSF and IL-4 for 7 days and further stimulation with ad-shRNA-SOCS1. The expression of the surface markers CD80, CD83 and CD86 were analyzed using flow cytometry.
before and after 24 h LPS stimulation and analyzed by SPSS one-way ANOVA (Table I). Results in Table I showed that the expression levels of all three antigens significantly increased by 2-4-fold during maturation in both ad-shRNA-SOCS1 and ad-shRNA-mSOCS1 infected DCs with respect to control. The former increased 10% more than the latter. In addition, although LPS greatly induced the expression of these CD markers in BMDC, it was lower than that of the ad-shRNA-SOCS1, and the ad-shRNA-mSOCS1 group.

Cytokine ELISA analysis in DCs. Levels of various proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-12, IL-6 and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α (IL-12p70, IL-6, TNF-α) induced by HPV16mE7-pulsed DCs with or without LPS stimulation were quantitated by ELISA analysis kits (Fig. 3). Silencing SOCS1 in HPV16mE7-pulsed DCs drastically enhanced the production of cytokines, such as IL-12p70, IL-6 and TNF-α with LPS stimulation, but not in the absence of LPS stimulation. By contrast, the PBS control group and the ad-shRNA-mSOCS1 group expressed far less cytokines with or without LPS stimulation.

Immune antibodies induced by different DC vaccines. Four mice from each group were immunized using $10^7$ DC-treated vaccine or 5 µg HPV16 E7 in order to determine the HPV16 E7 antibody level induced by different DC vaccines. After two weeks, the HPV16 E7 antibody level in the serum was measured by ELISA. Antibody responses which were induced by different vaccines were compared with PBS controls. DCs-ad-shRNA-SOCS1 induced the strongest response, with antibody titers 5- and 1.5-fold higher than those of the HPV16mE7 vaccine and DC-ad-sh-mSOCS1, respectively (Fig. 4). Our results suggest that SOCS1-silenced HPV16mE7-pulsed DCs promoted the production of specific antibodies.

Table I. Percentage of DCs expressing CD80, CD83 and CD86.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CD80</th>
<th>CD83</th>
<th>CD86</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>27.27±6.3</td>
<td>38.8±6.5</td>
<td>26.5±5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPS</td>
<td>72.5±8.3$^a$</td>
<td>78±9.2$^a$</td>
<td>73.3±7.2$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad-shRNA-mSOCS1</td>
<td>75.2±8.7$^{a,b}$</td>
<td>80.3±6.2$^{a,b}$</td>
<td>78.3±4.5$^{a,b}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad-shRNA-SOCS1</td>
<td>88.6±7.1$^{a,c}$</td>
<td>92.3±4.8$^{a,c}$</td>
<td>95.5±2.8$^{a,d}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Immature DCs were stimulated by GM-CSF and IL-4 for 7 days and further stimulated by ad-shRNA-SOCS1 or ad-shRNA-mSOCS1. Percent of DCs expressing CD80, CD83 and CD86 were detected using flow cytometry before and after 24 h LPS stimulation and analyzed by SPSS one-way ANOVA. ‘Significant differences compared with that of the DC control, p<0.001; ‘no significant differences compared with that of the LPS control, p>0.05; ‘significant differences compared with that of the LPS control or Ad-shRNA-mSOCS1 group, p<0.05; ‘significant differences compared with that of the LPS control, p<0.05, but no significant differences compared with that of the Ad-shRNA-mSOCS1 group, p>0.05.

Figure 2. (A) Western blot analysis showing the inhibition of SOCS1 expression in B16 cells. Lane 1, PBS; lane 2, Ad-shRNA-mSOCS1 (MOI 50); lane 3, Ad-shRNA-SOCS1 (MOI 100); lane 4, Ad-shRNA-SOCS1 (MOI 50). (B) The SOCS1 mRNA levels were normalized to that of GADPH in DCs (values are mean SEM). DCs were infected by ad-shRNA-mSOCS1 (MOI 50), ad-shRNA-SOCS1 (MOI 50) and ad-shRNA-SOCS1 (MOI 100).

Figure 3. SOCS1 negatively regulates BM-derived DCs in response to LPS stimulation. Cytokines were secreted by transfected DCs after LPS (100 ng/ml) stimulation. Stimulation for 24 h was measured via ELISA assays. Data are representative of three independent assays. ‘p<0.01 versus SOCS1-siRNA DCs.
LDH release assay. C57BL/6 mice (four per group) were immunized at the footpads with DCs, ad-shRNA-mSOCS1 pulsed with E7.49-57, ad-shRNA-SOCS1 pulsed with E7.49-57 and ad-shRNA-SOCS1 pulsed with HPVmE7, respectively, to determine whether DC vaccines could induce CTL responses against HPV16 E7. After 7 days of post-immunization, pooled splenocytes from each group were restimulated with 1 µg/ml E7.49-57 for 7 days. Their specific lytic activities against TC-1 cells at 100:1, 33:1 and 11:1 E/T ratios were assayed using an LDH release assay (Fig. 5). Immunization of C57BL/6 mice with DC-ad-shRNA-SOCS1 (HPVmE7) induced the strongest specific CTL responses to TC-1 cells than that pulsed with E7.49-57 and controls (DCs and ad-shRNA-mSOCS1 pulsed with E7.49-57), respectively. The lysis effect induced by ad-shRNA-SOCS1 (E7.49-57) and ad-shRNA-SOCS1 (HPVmE7) were not linear, but it increased with effector:target ratio compared with the linear increase of the controls.

ELISA analysis and ELISPOT of IFN-γ expression. ELISA analysis was used for the detection of IFN-γ in the supernatant of the same restimulated splenocytes. C57BL/6 mice (four per group) were immunized twice in 1-week interval with PBS, DCs, DC-ad-shRNA-SOCS1, or DC-ad-shRNA-mSOCS1, respectively. The DCs were all pulsed by HPV16mE7. Seven days post-immunization, pooled splenocytes from each group were re-stimulated with E7.49-57 for 7 days. The released IFN-γ in the supernatant at 100:1, 33:1 and 11:1 E/T ratio was assayed (Fig. 6A). Results showed that the expression level of IFN-γ was greatly enhanced by silencing SOCS1, whereas similar effect was observed in ad-shRNA-mSOCS1 and non-treated DC cells. ELISPOT of IFN-γ in response to in vitro stimulation with HPV16mE7 was quantified to estimate the frequencies of HPV16-E7-specific splenocytes in mice immunized with DC vaccines (Fig. 6B). The detection efficiency of the positive control, PMA (5 ng/ml) was 95% (data not shown). Our results also showed that ad-shRNA-SOCS1 group had a significant effect in inducing IFN-γ expression when stimulated by E7.49-57 peptide. Further, Ad-shRNA-mSOCS1 group expressed high level of IFN-γ, compared with the buffer group, and the R187 group.

Efficacy of the DC vaccine in treating mice bearing tumors. The ability of the DC-ad-shRNA-SOCS1 vaccine to treat mice bearing tumors was investigated in the groups of 10 C57BL/6 mice which received 5x10⁵ TC-1 tumor cells. At day 9, all mice had palpable tumors and therapeutic treatments were initiated with DC-ad-shRNA-SOCS1, DC-ad-shRNA-mSOCS1, DCs
is thought as the gold standard for cervical cancer immune therapy, with some therapeutic vaccines under development in preclinical models (27). Experiments on the DNA vaccination with E7 oncogene, however, still harbor the risk of transformation for the cells that receive and express the oncogene (28,29). In this study, HPV-16E7 gene was modified to resolve the interference with binding to the host cell Rb protein and by E7 gene mutation to reduce the transformation capacity (22). Western blot analysis (Fig. 1B) and anti-HPV16 E7 antibody titer test (Fig. 4) demonstrated that the mutated HPV16 E7 possessed antigenicity of wild-type HPV16 E7. Our results (Figs. 3 and 7) confirmed that the HPV16mE7 based vaccine strategies dramatically enhanced the expression levels of various cytokines, retarded the tumor growth and prolonged the survival time of the mouse models in therapeutic experiments and improved CTL mediated lysis compared with the controls. In addition, vaccination with the modified HPV16 E7 showed obvious advantages in inducing immune responses compared to vaccination with the wild-type as described in a previous study (23). Thus, the modified HPV16 E7 protein-pulsed DC vaccine could be a safe and effective vaccine in treating HPV-associated diseases.

The antigen-presenting DCs were able to induce robust cell-mediated immunity capable of attacking and eliminating abnormal antigen-bearing cells. DC vaccine pulsed with the HPV16 E7 oncoprotein exhibits significant advantages of potentially presenting multiple immunogenic CTL and antibody responses (30-33). Clinical studies in patients with human papillomavirus cervical cancers demonstrated by vaccinating with HPV E7-pulsed dendritic cells that HPVE7-load DC vaccination is safe and immunogenic for stage IB or IIA cervical cancer patients (32). DC vaccination was well tolerated and no significant toxicities were recorded. Similar results were obtained by Ferrara et al (33). How to fully activate DCs as a vaccine is the key to cancer immunotherapy. One strategy to achieve immunotherapy is to inhibit the negative regulatory pathways during DC activation which enhances cancer immunity and breaks self-tolerance (8). In this study, we knocked down SOCS1, a negative signaling regulator of various cytokines in DCs. The efficiency of SOCS1 silencing was verified in B16 and DCs (Fig. 2) and the inhibition by ad-shRNA-SOCS1 at MOI 100 was better than that at MOI 50, indicating a dosage-dependent manner. Silencing SOCS1 also enhanced the expression levels of IL-12p, IL-6, TNF-α and IFN-γ (Figs. 3 and 6). Our finding is consistent with previous reports that SOCS1 represents an inhibitory mechanism for qualitatively and quantitatively controlling antigen presentation by DCs and the magnitude of adaptive immunity (11,12,16-18). Hence, this study underscores the critical role of SOCS1 silencing in the stimulation of DC immune response and indicates that SOCS1 silencing can be applied for a large number of diseases in addition to HPV diseases.

An important finding of this study is that DCs-ad-shRNA-SOCS1 induced specific anti-HPV16mE7 antibody and CTL responses. Although the mechanism on how the SOCS1 silencing induced the priming of antigen-specific CTLs is not clear, SOCS1-restricted DCs greatly enhanced the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-12, IL-6 and TNF-α (Fig. 3) and promoted the production of specific antibodies (Fig. 4). Animal experiments demonstrated that the vaccine

Discussion

In the present study, the HPV16mE7 protein-pulsed DC vaccine was based on the E7 oncogene of HPV16, which
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with SOCS1 silencing possessed a high ability to induce CTLs (Fig. 5) and enhanced IFN-γ expression (Fig. 6B). These data are consistent with observations of Hashimoto et al in mouse DCs (34) and the findings of the CTL response generated against HIV (12). Song et al found that SOCS1 silencing in DCs enhanced production of a mixed pattern of Th1- and Th2-polarizing cytokines (12). Further, Kelvin et al pointed out that persistent antigen presentation of DCs to induce pathological autoimmune responses against normal tissues and tumor could be achieved by silencing SOCS1 to unleash the signaling of IL-12 (11). Previous studies by Hong et al showed that the addition of anti-IL-6 antibody into the DCT-cell co-culture also blocked the immuno-stimulatory function of siSOCS1 DCs, albeit with a lower efficiency (17). Interestingly, the study also found that the control vector Ad-shRNA-mSOCS1 showed high levels of DC markers, anti-HPV antibody and IFN-γ-producing splenocytes compared with DCs-ad-shRNA-SOCS1 (Table I, Figs. 4 and 6A), indicating a non-specific stimulatory effect of siRNA molecules via the activation of TLR signaling and some cellular genes (35-37) or possibly due to the toxicity of the replication-incomplete adenovirus vector-specific response (38,39), or both. However, the level of non-specific immune caused by SOCS1 silencing was low compared with that by CTLA-4 (another immunosuppressive) (16) and could achieve a more antigen-specific antitumor response. Results in Fig. 6 indicate that SOCS1 silencing had no obvious function in inducing IFN-γ-producing splenocytes, but significantly enhanced IFN-γ expression in these cells. The possible explanation for the marginal difference of IFN-γ-producing cells between DCs-ad-shRNA-SOCS1 and DCs-ad-shRNA-mSOCS1 in Fig. 6A was that silencing SOCS1 has limited functions in promoting antigen presentation and splenocyte activation, which was in accordance with the results in Table I. However, there is no direct evidence that SOCS1 is involved in antigen presentation and splenocytes activation or what part it takes. More research is needed for further explanation. In addition, our study confirmed that DCs with SOCS1 silencing were hyper-responsive to lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (Fig. 3), which was thought to interact with Toll-like receptor (TLR) 4 for signaling and involved in the stimulation of DC maturation and proinflammatory cytokine expression. Thus, the immune responses induced by DC-ad-shRNA-SOCS1 may be a collective result of specific and non-specific immunity as well as the enhanced sensitivity to LPS as discussed by Song et al (12).

This study, to our knowledge, first underscores the immunotherapeutic effect by combining the HPV16mE7 protein and silencing SOCS1 in DCs as a vaccine in treating HPV. The vaccine showed significant immune effect by inducing complex immune responses against HPV and greatly prolonged the lifetime of the mouse models. Thus, the strategy of silencing SOCS1 in HPV16mE7 protein-pulsed DCs may open a new and alternative avenue to develop safe and effective therapeutic HPV and other infectious disease vaccines.
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