TRAP1 role in endoplasmic reticulum stress protection favors resistance to anthracyclins in breast carcinoma cells
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Abstract. Adaptation to endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress through the upregulation of the ER chaperone BiP/Grp78 favors resistance of cancer cells to anthracyclins. We recently demonstrated that the mitochondrial HSP90 chaperone TNF receptor-associated protein 1 (TRAP1) is also localized in the ER, where it is responsible for protection from ER stress and quality control on specific mitochondrial proteins contributing to its anti-apoptotic function and the regulation of the mitochondrial apoptotic pathway. Based on the evidence that BiP/Grp78 and TRAP1 are co-upregulated in about 50% of human breast carcinomas (BCs), and considering that the expression of TRAP1 is critical in favoring resistant phenotypes to different antitumor agents, we hypothesized that ER-associated TRAP1 is also favoring resistance to anthracyclins. Indeed, anthracyclins induce ER stress in BC cells and cross-resistance between ER stress agents and anthracyclins was observed in bortezomib- and anthracyclin-resistant cells. Several lines of evidence suggest a mechanistic link between the ER-stress protecting function of TRAP1 and resistance to anthracyclins: i) ER stress- and anthracyclin-resistant cell lines are characterized by the upregulation of TRAP1; ii) TRAP1 silencing in both drug-resistant cell models restored the sensitivity to bortezomib and anthracyclins; iii) the transfection of a TRAP1 deletion mutant, whose localization is restricted to the ER, in TRAP1 KD cells protected from apoptosis induced by anthracyclins; iv) the disruption of the ER-associated TRAP1/TBP7 pathway by a TBP7 dominant negative deletion mutant re-established drug sensitivity in drug-resistant cells. This process is likely mediated by the ability of TRAP1 to modulate the PERK pathway as TRAP1 KD cells failed to induce the phosphorylation of PERK in response to anthracyclins. Moreover, the downregulation of TRAP1 in combination with ER stress agents produced high cytotoxic effects in BC cells. These results suggest that ER-associated TRAP1 plays a role in protecting tumor cells against DNA damaging agents by modulating the PERK pathway.

Introduction

Protein folding and degradation pathways are strictly regulated in normal cells to avoid accumulation of misfolded proteins and maintain protein homeostasis. When the accumulation of misfolded proteins exceeds degradation, as often occurs in damaged or aging cells or in cells exposed to chemical agents that perturb protein folding, the unfolded protein response (UPR) is elicited to re-establish protein homeostasis and prevent apoptotic cell death (1). However, the persistence of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress conditions can switch on an apoptotic program, which finally results in cell elimination (2,3). The development and the progression of cancer is associated to the dysregulation of this process and the persistent activation of the adaptive ER stress response. Indeed, tumor cells are characterized by increased rates of protein synthesis to fulfill the high metabolic demand of accelerated proliferation and, thus, are chronically exposed to ER stress conditions (4,5). In such a scenario, chronic ER stress adaptive responses are involved in tumor progression, adaptation to unfavorable environmental conditions and resistance to cytotoxic agents and, therefore, are regarded as novel targets for the development of new cancer therapeutics (6). Furthermore, recent studies suggest that the ER stress response, besides being responsible for the resistance to pharmacological agents that
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perturb protein homeostasis (7), may favor resistance to DNA damaging agents (8).

Our group has contributed to the demonstration that the mitochondrial chaperone TRAP1 is involved in protection against ER stress (9-11). Indeed, TRAP1 is an HSP90 homolog, originally described as a protein responsible for the prevention of mitochondrial apoptosis, due to its interaction with HSP90 and cyclophilin D and its regulatory property on mitochondrial transition pore (MTP) (12). As TRAP1 is selectively upregulated in several human malignancies (i.e., colorectal, breast and prostate carcinomas) (13-15), its role in protecting against the cytotoxic activity of antibiotic agents in human malignancies has been widely demonstrated (13,16,17).

More recent evidence described the presence of TRAP1 on the outer side of the ER at the interface with mitochondria, where this chaperone interacts with TBP7, an AAA-ATPase of the 19S proteasomal subunit, and is responsible for the modulation of the ER stress response and the quality control of specific mitochondrial client proteins (9), this resulting in protection against mitochondrial apoptosis (18). Indeed, we recently demonstrated that the ER-stress protecting activity of TRAP1 is crucial for its antiapoptotic function and favors resistance against taxanes in breast carcinoma (BC) cells (18). In this context, we observed that ER-associated TRAP1 modulates the mitochondrial apoptotic pathway by regulating the quality of specific client proteins and, among others, 18 kDa sorcin, a mitochondrial protein involved in the TRAP1 cytoprotective pathway (17), this likely representing a major mechanism responsible for the survival response elicited by ER-associated TRAP1 (9,18).

Based on these premises, we investigated the role of ER-associated TRAP1 in favoring resistance to anthracyclins, cytotoxic agents among the most effective anticancer drugs ever developed (19,20). Indeed, it has been hypothesized that the activation of the UPR in the ER is responsible for resistance to genotoxic agents such as topoisomerase inhibitors and platins (8,21). High expression of the ER chaperone BiP/Grp78 is protective against apoptotic stimuli in cancer cells (22), favors resistance to anti-estrogen therapy and chemotherapeutics in several cancer cell models (8,21,23) and correlates with shorter overall survival in human prostate (24) and lung cancer (22). The cytoprotective activity of ER-associated TRAP1 against anthracyclins was investigated in BC cells since our group recently demonstrated that TRAP1 and BiP/Grp78 are co-upregulated in about 50% of human BCs (18) and considering that anthracyclins are highly effective agents in these malignancies (25). Here, we report that TRAP1 regulation of ER stress response is critical in favoring resistance to anthracyclins and provide evidence that this response involves the modulation of PERK pathway.

Materials and methods

Cells, plasmids and chemicals. Human MCF7 BC cells were purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA) and cultured in DMEM containing 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum in standard conditions. Cell line authentication was performed by DNA profiling, according to ATCC product description. Drug-resistant cells were selected as previously reported (18,26). siRNAs of TRAP1 were purchased from Qiagen (Milan, Italy; cat. nos. SI00115150 for TRAP1 and SI03650318 for negative control), diluted to a final concentration of 20 nmol/l and transfected according to the manufacturer’s protocol by using HiPerFect Transfection Reagent (Qiagen). Constructs encoding for wild-type TRAP1, and Δ1-59TRAP1-Myc and TB7-Flag deletion mutants (9) were transiently transfected with Polyfect Transfection reagent (Qiagen). Unless otherwise specified, reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Milan, Italy).

Immunoblot analysis and antibodies. Total cell lysates were obtained by homogenization of cell pellets in cold lysis buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 7.5 containing 300 mM sucrose, 60 mM KCl, 15 mM NaCl, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 2 mM EDTA, 1% (v/v) Triton X-100, 1 mM PMSF, 2 mg/ml aprotinin, 2 mg/ml leupeptin and 0.2% (w/v) deoxycholate) for 1 min at 4°C and further sonication for 30 sec at 4°C. Immunoblot analysis was performed as previously reported (26,27). Briefly, equal amounts of protein from cell lysates were separated by SDSPAGE and transferred to a nitrocellulose support (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Specific proteins were detected by using the following antibodies: mouse monoclonal anti-GAPDH (sc-47724, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Segrate, Italy), mouse monoclonal anti-TRAP1 (sc-13557, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), rabbit polyclonal anti-caspase 12 (SPA-827; StressGen, Milan, Italy), rabbit polyclonal anti-Grp94 (sc-11402, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), rabbit polyclonal anti-phosphoPERK (Thr 981, sc-32577, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), rabbit monoclonal anti-PERK (#3192, Cell Signaling Technology, Boston, MA, USA), rabbit polyclonal anti-LC3B (#2775, Cell Signaling Technology), mouse monoclonal anti-BiP/Grp78 (E-4, sc-166490, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), mouse monoclonal anti-uniquitin (P4D1, sc-8017, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), mouse monoclonal anti-Myc (9E10, sc-40, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and rabbit polyclonal anti-Flag (sc-807, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) antibodies. Proteins were visualized with an ECL detection system (Bio-Rad).

RNA extraction and semiquantitative and Real-time RT-PCR analysis. Total RNA from cell pellets was extracted using the TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, San Giuliano Milanese, Italy). For the first strand synthesis of cDNA, 1 µg of RNA was used in a 20 µl reaction mixture utilizing a Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). A total of 5 µl of cDNA sample were amplified using the LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master (Roche). The following primers were used: BiP/Grp78, forward 5’-AGGCTGAGAACGGGAAGC-3’, reverse 5’-CCATGGTGTTGCTTGGC-3’ (PCR product 135 bp); GAPDH forward 5’-AGGGTGAAGACGC-3’, reverse 5’-GGAGGTCCTTCG-3’ (PCR product 254 bp); GAPDH was chosen as an internal control.

Apoptosis assay. Apoptosis was evaluated by cytofluorimetric analysis of Annexin V and 7-aminactinomycin-D (7-AAD)-positive cells using the fluorescein isothiocyanate
(FITC)-Annexin V/7-AAD kit (Beckman Coulter, Milan, Italy). Stained cells were analyzed by using the FACSCalibur™ (Becton-Dickinson). Ten thousand events were collected per sample. Positive staining for Annexin V as well as double staining for Annexin V and 7-AAD were interpreted as signs of, respectively, early and late phases of apoptosis, as previously reported (28).

**Statistical analysis.** The paired Student’s t-test was used to establish the statistical significance between different levels of apoptosis or gene expression in controls and treated cells or in transfected cells and related scramble controls. Statistically significant values (p<0.05) are reported in Fig. legends.

**Results**

The ER stress protecting activity of TRAP1 is relevant for resistance of BC cells to anthracyclins. Since anthracyclins have been proposed to induce ER stress conditions and Bip/Grp78 expression (8), we preliminarily confirmed that the exposure of MCF7 cells to cytotoxic concentrations of both epirubicin and doxorubicin (Fig. 1A) elicits an ER UPR characterized by high levels of BiP/Grp78 and the autophagy marker LC3, and the cleavage of caspase 12 (Fig. 1B). Since high expression of BiP/Grp78 and adaptation to ER stress conditions have been suggested to favor resistance to anthracyclins (8,21,29), we questioned whether BC cells adapted to ER stress conditions may be cross-resistant to doxorubicin and epirubicin. To this purpose we used MCF7 cells chronically adapted to toxic concentrations of the proteasome inhibitor, bortezomib, previously selected in our laboratory (18) and characterized by increased BiP/Grp78 and Grp94 expression (Fig. 2A). Of note, cross-resistance was observed upon exposure of bortezomib-resistant cells to cytotoxic concentrations of doxorubicin and epirubicin (Fig. 2B). Since i) TRAP1 involvement in ER stress protection was previously described (9); ii) the exposure of MCF7 cells to anthracyclins resulted in the upregulation of TRAP1 (Fig. 1B); and iii) bortezomib-resistant cells exhibited increased TRAP1 levels (Fig. 2A), we further investigated the role of TRAP1 in favoring resistance to anthracyclins. To this aim, TRAP1 was transiently interfered in bortezomib-resistant cells (Fig. 2B, insert), this resulting in re-establishment of sensitivity to epirubicin and doxorubicin and bortezomib (Fig. 2B).
To characterize the involvement of TRAP1 in resistance to anthracyclins we generated two further MCF7 cell lines resistant to epirubicin and doxorubicin. Both anthracyclin-resistant cell lines showed increase in total protein ubiquitination and TRAP1 (Fig. 3A), as well as BiP/Grp78 upregulation at protein (Fig. 3A) and mRNA (Fig. 3B) levels. These anthracyclin-resistant cell models, besides being resistant to the respective selection agent, showed cross-resistance to the ER stress agent bortezomib (Fig. 3C and D). Consistently with previous results, the downregulation of TRAP1 by siRNA in both anthracyclin-resistant cell lines (Fig. 3C and D, inserts) resulted in the re-establishment of the sensitivity to doxorubicin and epirubicin, as well as bortezomib (Fig. 3C and D). These results suggest that adaptation to ER stress and anthracyclins share common mechanisms and that TRAP1 is part of this process.

ER-associated TRAP1 is responsible for cytoprotection against anthracyclins. Previous evidence suggests that TRAP1 anti-apoptotic function relies on a dual mechanism: a direct regulation of cyclophilin D folding and MTP opening within mitochondria (12) and a quality control on specific mitochondrial anti-apoptotic client proteins in the ER (18). Thus, we questioned whether this cytoprotective activity of ER-associated TRAP1 is relevant in favoring resistance to anthracyclins. To this purpose we used BC MCF7 cells with low TRAP1 levels (shTRAP1 MCF7 cells, Fig. 4A, insert), transiently transfected with TRAP1 expression vector (Fig. 4A, insert) or the ΔI-59TRAP1 deletion mutant (Fig. 4B, insert), which lacks the mitochondrial targeting sequence and, thus is unable to enter into mitochondria, while still able to bind TBP7 and protect from ER stress (9,18). We
questioned whether this TRAP1 mutant was able to protect from apoptosis induced by the ER stress agent, bortezomib and/or anthracyclins. Interestingly, we observed a significant cytoprotective activity toward the proteasome inhibitor, as well as doxorubicin and epirubicin due to re-expression of both wild-type TRAP1 (Fig. 4A) and the mitochondrial import-defective TRAP1 mutant (Fig. 4B). In order to further support the cytoprotective function of ER-associated TRAP1/TBP7 pathway we used a TBP7 deletion mutant, which was previously generated by our group, lacking TRAP1 binding site and, characterized for a dominant negative function over the endogenous TBP7 protein function (9). The TBP7 deletion mutant was transfected in scramble MCF7 (Fig. 4C, insert) and in MCF7 cells adapted to docetaxel (Fig. 4C), a cytotoxic which acts by inducing ER stress (30) or in MCF7 cells adapted to anthracyclins (Fig. 4D). Consistently with previous results, the ΔTBP7 mutant increased apoptotic cell death in scramble cells upon paclitaxel (Fig. 4C) and doxorubicin and epirubicin (Fig. 4D) treatment, as well as re-established drug sensitivity in docetaxel- (Fig. 4C) and epirubicin- and doxorubicin-resistant (Fig. 4D) cell models. According to our previous observations, these results are the consequence of the disabling of this cytoprotective pathway due to the disruption of TRAP1/TBP7 interaction upon transfection with the TBP7 deletion mutant (9).

The modulation of PERK phosphorylation by TRAP1 correlates with resistance to anthracyclins. A major cytoprotective signal elicited by ER stress conditions is the phosphorylation of PERK which is responsible for the ATF4-dependent preferential translation of genes involved in cell metabolism and cytoprotective functions (31) and, among other, Bip/Grp78 (32). Since PERK upregulation in tumor cells has been recently proposed to induce the resistance to doxorubicin (33), we questioned whether the cytoprotective function of TRAP1 toward anthracyclins may involve the modulation of PERK pathway. In preliminary experiments, we observed the inhibition of PERK phosphorylation in MCF7 cells upon impairment of TRAP1/TBP7 pathway by the transfection of the ΔTBP7 deletion mutant (Fig. 5A). Since a reduced...
phosphorylation of PERK was also observed in TRAP1 KD MCF7 cells compared to the scramble counterpart (Fig. 5B), these cell lines were exposed to a short-term treatment with doxorubicin and epirubicin. Of note, shTRAP1 cells failed to sustain PERK phosphorylation in response to anthracyclins (Fig. 5B), suggesting that the low expression of TRAP1 is likely responsible for the inability to elicit a cytoprotective response upon DNA damaging agents.

The downregulation of TRAP1 and the simultaneous induction of ER stress by pharmacological agents result in additive apoptotic effects. Since our data suggest that TRAP1 protects from ER stress and that this function is relevant to elicit an anti-apoptotic response upon ER stress conditions, we questioned whether the inhibition of TRAP1 may be clinically relevant in combination with pharmacological ER stress inducers (i.e., bortezomib and Mg132). The inhibition of TRAP1 was achieved by molecular strategies (i.e., transient downregulation by siRNA), this resulting by itself in reduced cell viability in MCF7 cells (Fig. 6A). Furthermore, the simultaneous inhibition of the proteasome activity with subcytotoxic concentrations of bortezomib and Mg132 resulted in a significant increase of apoptotic cell death (Fig. 6A). In agreement with our previous results, a lower activation of PERK phosphorylation in TRAP1-interfered cells compared to scramble cells is observed with the inability to sustain PERK phosphorylation in response to proteasome inhibitors (Fig. 6B). These results suggest that strategies that combine TRAP1 inhibition with agents that induce ER stress may achieve clinically-relevant synergistic/additive activities.
Figure 5. Modulation of PERK pathway by TRAP1 is responsible for resistance to anthracyclins. (A and B) Total cell lysates from MCF7 cells transfected with pMock or Flag-ΔTBP7 mutant (A) or from scramble and shTRAP1 MCF7 treated with in 1 µM epirubicin (Epi) or 5 µM doxorubicin (Dox) for 10 min (B) were separated by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with anti-phosphoPERK, anti-PERK, anti-Flag and anti-GAPDH antibodies.

Figure 6. The cytotoxic activity of proteasome inhibitors is enhanced by TRAP1 downregulation. (A) Apoptotic levels in MCF7 cells transfected with control and TRAP1 siRNA and treated with 100 nM bortezomib (BTZ) or 100 nM Mg132 for 12 h. P-values versus the respective untreated control (*p=0.027) or versus negative (Neg) siRNA transfected cells treated with the same agent (**p<0.0001). (B) Total cell lysates from scramble and shTRAP1 MCF7 treated with 100 nM bortezomib (BTZ) or 100 nM Mg132 for 6 h were separated by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with anti-phosphoPERK, anti-PERK, anti-TRAP1 and anti-GAPDH antibodies.
Discussion

Cancer cells are exposed to chronic ER stress conditions and are, thus, characterized by the persistent activation of the ER UPR, with constitutive upregulation of several molecular chaperones and other cytoprotective genes, as well as activation of stress-related pathways. While excessive or prolonged UPR results in apoptosis (2,3), mild chronic ER stress conditions are beneficial for cancer cells, favoring the adaptation to unfavorable environments and being responsible for anti-apoptotic responses which ultimately lead to drug resistance (7). In such a context, recent evidence suggests that the ER UPR is responsible for inducing resistance to genotoxic agents and, among others, topoisomerase inhibitors (8). Indeed, the upregulation of the ER chaperone BiP/Grp78 protects against the cytotoxic activity of doxorubicin and cisplatin in human melanoma and gastric cancer cells (8,21). Furthermore, genotoxic agents, besides their well known DNA damaging activity, favor the activation/upregulation of stress-related pathways that are responsible for cytoprotective responses (32). However, the mechanistic link between the ER stress response and prevention of apoptosis induced by DNA damaging agents is still poorly understood.

A putative role of TRAP1 in the ER stress control was initially suggested by Takemoto et al (10) who demonstrated that mitochondrial TRAP1 is associated with the activation of the UPR (10). Immediately after, this novel TRAP1 function was documented at molecular level showing that the selective targeting of HSP90 chaperones in mitochondria of human tumor cells triggers an organelle UPR and compensatory autophagy and that disabling this general adaptive pathway could potentially be used in the treatment of human tumors (11). In parallel studies, our group demonstrated that TRAP1, originally described as a mitochondrial protein, is also localized at the interface between ER and mitochondria where it is involved in crosstalk between these organelles, in this subcellular location TRAP1 interacts with TPB7, and plays a role in protection from ER stress, through a co-translational regulation of protein ubiquitination and a quality control on specific mitochondrial client proteins (9,34). Furthermore, this ER stress protecting activity by TRAP1 is crucial for its anti-apoptotic function: indeed, the quality control on specific mitochondrial proteins and, among others, 18 kDa sordcin represents an additional mechanism used by this HSP90 chaperone to prevent apoptosis through the mitochondrial pathway (18). Based on the evidence that TRAP1 regulates the ER stress response and represents a key player in coupling organelle proteostasis and cell survival, through the regulation of the mitochondrial apoptotic pathway (9-11,18), we explored its role in favoring resistance to anthracyclins in BC cells.

The present study demonstrated that i) the ER stress response is responsible for inducing resistance to epirubicin and doxorubicin; ii) ER-associated TRAP1 plays a key role in mediating this adaptive response to anthracyclins; and iii) this process is likely mediated by TRAP1 regulation of PERK pathway. In support of these conclusions, our data showed a cross-resistance between bortezomib and anthracyclins in both ER stress- and anthracycin-adapted BC cell models and a regulatory function by TRAP1 in this process. Of note, TRAP1 was upregulated upon short-term exposure to anthracyclins and in chronically-adapted drug-resistant cell lines and its silencing favored the re-establishment of drug sensitivity.

A major observation is the evidence that this cytoprotective response toward anthracyclins is driven by ER-associated TRAP1 and its ability to prevent ER stress. The evidence obtained by transfactivating the TRAP1 mutant defective for mitochon-}

drial import in TRAP1 KD cells and the TBP7 dominant negative deletion mutant in drug-resistant cell lines supports the notion that the ER stress protective function of TRAP1 is critical in favoring resistance to anthracyclins. Furthermore, our data suggest that this cytoprotective activity of TRAP1 likely relies on the modulation of PERK pathway. Indeed, PERK is an important stress sensor of the UPR, responsible for mediating phosphorylation of the α subunit of the eukaryotic translation initiation factor eIF2 (31), this leading in turn to global inhibition of protein synthesis and parallel ATF4-dependent preferential translation of genes involved in cell metabolism and cytoprotective functions (31). In this scenario, previous studies suggested that PERK represents a nodal point between ER stress and cell response to chemotherapy, being responsible for resistance to doxorubicin, through the interaction with the ER luminal protein ERP29 (33). Our study suggests that TRAP1 modulates the activity of PERK pathway and that this regulation is likely crucial for protection from cytotoxics. In such a scenario, high TRAP1 levels in tumor cells may represent a prerequisite for enabling an efficient cytoprotective ER stress response and this may represent a major mechanism responsible for drug resistance. Specifically in this report, this function is linked, for the first time, to our knowledge, to protection toward anthracyclins, agents that primarily act by inhibiting topoisomerase and inducing DNA damage. Interestingly, previous studies by our group have shown TRAP1 involved in the protection against taxanes (18), agents that disregulate protein homeostasis (35,36). In this perspective, our studies highlight the relevance of the ER stress protective activity of TRAP1, as complementary/additive respect to its well known anti-apoptotic mitochondrial function (36), in favoring resistance to apoptosis through the regulation of protein quality and the modulation of mitochondrial apoptotic pathway. Thus, TRAP1 targeting in the ER may be regarded as a strategy to disable the crosstalk between ER and mitochondria and, eventually, revert resistance to cytotoxics.

Novel HSP90/TRAP1 inhibitors are under preclinical evaluation, as valuable anticancer agents to be used as single agents or in combination with other anticancer drugs in the treatment of human malignancies (37). We observed that the simultaneous targeting of the ER cytoprotective pathway by the downregulation of TRAP1, which is a much more specific approach compared to chemical ER stress inducers, and the parallel inhibition of the proteasome activity results in a dramatic increase in apoptotic cell death. This additive/syner-gistic activity between TRAP1 silencing and stress agents represents the proof of principle that the disabling of cyto-protective responses upon TRAP1 suppression, and, among others, PERK phosphorylation, may enhance the cytotoxic activity of agents that target the ER response (i.e., ER stress agents, taxanes, anthracyclins). Thus, TRAP1 inhibition may
represent a novel strategy to selectively block relevant cyto-
protective pathways used by cancer cells to escape apoptosis 
and acquire a drug resistant phenotypes. These observation 
may be clinically relevant in human BCs that are character-
ized by the co-upregulation of TRAP1 and BiP/Grp78 in 
about 50% of cases and likely use these adaptive responses to 
acquire resistance to apoptosis (18). Furthermore, specific BC 
subtypes, i.e. HER2-positive or triple negative breast cancers, 
may represent ideal human tumor models to evaluate anti-
HSP90 chaperone therapy, based on the evidence that HER2 
in a well known HSP90 client protein (38,39), whereas triple 
negative BCs are aggressive drug-resistant malignancies 
which lack specific molecular targets for treatment (40). In 
such a perspective, our data provide the preclinical rationale 
for evaluating TRAP1/HSP90 targeting as a strategy to revert 
resistance to apoptosis in selected human malignancies.
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