Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) is a key enzyme of prostaglandin (PG) synthesis that has been demonstrated to be overexpressed in several types of cancers. The function of COX-2 in tumor progression has been recently elucidated. In tumors in which COX-2 is overexpressed, the antitumor effects are suppressed. We examined the effects of celecoxib, a COX-2 inhibitor, in enhancing the antitumor effects of chemotherapy and radiotherapy for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) by reducing the COX-2 activity. We used the human esophageal squamous cell lines TE2 and T.Tn treated with celecoxib and 5-FU/radiation, after which cell viability assays were performed. Changes in the expressions of dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD), orotate phosphoribosyl transferase (OPRT) mRNA and PGE2 were also measured. In addition, apoptotic changes, and the invasion and migration activity in both the celecoxib and 5-FU treated cells were evaluated. The experiments showed that T.Tn and TE2 proliferation was strongly inhibited by the combination of 5-FU/radiation and the COX-2 inhibitor. Inhibiting the COX-2 activity induced a reduction in PGE2 levels in TE2/T.Tn cells. Following treatment with the COX-2 inhibitor and 5-FU, the OPRT expression was upregulated and the DPD expression was downregulated in the resistant cells. In addition, the combination treatment with the COX-2 inhibitor and 5-FU markedly inhibited both the cell invasion and migration activity. Therefore, COX-2 inhibitors can be useful enhancers of antitumor drugs and radiotherapy for ESCC.

Abstract. Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) is a key enzyme of prostaglandin (PG) synthesis that has been demonstrated to be overexpressed in several types of cancers. The function of COX-2 in tumor progression has been recently elucidated. In tumors in which COX-2 is overexpressed, the antitumor effects are suppressed. We examined the effects of celecoxib, a COX-2 inhibitor, in enhancing the antitumor effects of chemotherapy and radiotherapy for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) by reducing the COX-2 activity. We used the human esophageal squamous cell lines TE2 and T.Tn treated with celecoxib and 5-FU/radiation, after which cell viability assays were performed. Changes in the expressions of dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD), orotate phosphoribosyl transferase (OPRT) mRNA and PGE2 were also measured. In addition, apoptotic changes, and the invasion and migration activity in both the celecoxib and 5-FU treated cells were evaluated. The experiments showed that T.Tn and TE2 proliferation was strongly inhibited by the combination of 5-FU/radiation and the COX-2 inhibitor. Inhibiting the COX-2 activity induced a reduction in PGE2 levels in TE2/T.Tn cells. Following treatment with the COX-2 inhibitor and 5-FU, the OPRT expression was upregulated and the DPD expression was downregulated in the resistant cells. In addition, the combination treatment with the COX-2 inhibitor and 5-FU markedly inhibited both the cell invasion and migration activity. Therefore, COX-2 inhibitors can be useful enhancers of antitumor drugs and radiotherapy for ESCC.

Introduction

Esophageal cancer is a highly aggressive neoplasm with a very poor prognosis. The primary treatments of esophageal cancer include surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy (1). In patients with locoregional esophageal cancer, surgical resection provides the best chance for a cure. However, in inoperable cases, chemoradiotherapy (CRT) is extremely important, although the 5-year survival rate remains poor (1,2). Therefore, the most important issue is how to improve the therapeutic effects of treatment.

Cyclooxygenase (COX), which consists of two isoforms, COX-1 and COX-2, is a key enzyme that catalyzes the transformation of arachidonic acid to prostaglandins (3). It is well known that COX-1 is expressed in many tissues and is responsible for various physiological functions (3,4). On the other hand, recent studies have demonstrated that COX-2 is overexpressed in various malignancies, including pancreatic, gastric, prostate, lung, colon, breast, liver, brain and esophageal cancer (5-10). Recently, it has been reported that the overexpression of COX-2 induced tumor progression and promotes resistance to apoptosis activating various factors (11-13). We previously reported that the overexpression of COX-2 is closely correlated with resistance to chemoradiotherapy (CRT) for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) (14). Therefore, reducing the activity of COX-2 may enhance the antitumor effects of CRT for ESCC (14).

The non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) celecoxib (a selective COX-2 inhibitor) belongs to the class of diaryl heterocycles and constitutes a potent and specific inhibitor of human COX-2 (15), which is responsible for resistance to apoptosis, tumor growth, increased angiogenesis, tumor invasion and metastasis (15-19).

We evaluated the usefulness of the COX-2 inhibitor celecoxib in combination with treatment including radiation and chemotherapy for ESCC.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and drugs. The esophageal squamous cell lines TE2 and T.Tn were plated in culture bottles and cultured.
Viability of cells treated with celecoxib and/or 5-FU. First, the TE2 and T.Tn cell lines were plated in 96-well plates at a density of 3,000 cell/well for 24 h after seeding. Then, the cell lines were cultured with varying concentrations of celecoxib and/or 5-FU for 72 h. Following these treatments, 10 µl/well of the Cell Counting Kit-8 was added, and the cells were incubated for 2 h. Finally, the optical density at 450 nm in the multi-mode micro-plate reader was measured in triplicate. The cell viability was calculated using the following equation: cell viability (%) = (A experimental group - A control group)/A control group x 100%.

Drug treatment and irradiation. First, the cells were plated in 96-well plates and treated with varying concentrations of celecoxib for 72 h. The cells were cultured after irradiation, combined with celecoxib treatment for five days. After these treatments, 10 µl/well of the Cell Counting Kit-8 was added, and the cells were incubated for 2 h. Finally, the optical density at 450 nm in the multi-mode micro-plate reader was measured in triplicate.

Observation of apoptosis using flow cytometry. A total of four groups, including the control and experimental groups, were evaluated for apoptosis. When the cells grew to the logarithmic phase, they were treated with 50 µmol/l of 5-FU, or 10 µmol/l of celecoxib, or both for 48 h. After this treatment, the cells were digested with 0.25% trypsin to create single cell suspensions. After the cell density was adjusted to 5x10^5/100 µl of binding buffer, 5 µl of Annexin V-FITC and 5 µl of propidium iodide buffer, 5 µl of Annexin V-FITC and 5 µl of propidium iodide were added and the mixture was gently vortexed followed by incubation for another 15 min at room temperature in the dark. After this procedure, a volume of 400 µl of binding buffer was added to each tube and prepared for an analysis of apoptosis using flow cytometry within 1 h.

Measurement of the mRNA levels using real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) for dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD) and orotate phosphoribosyltransferase (OPRT). The cells were incubated for 48 h with either celecoxib or 5-FU or both. The cDNA was extracted using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and 1 µg of RNA was transcribed to cDNA using a High-Capacity RNA-to-cDNA kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The resulting 1 µl of cDNA was amplified via PCR. The sequence and size of the expected PCR products were as follows: DPD (sense, 5'-AGGACGCAAGGAGGGTGGTG-3'; antisense, 5'-GGTCCGCCGAGTCCTTACTGA-3'; 118 bp), OPRT (sense, 5'-TAGTGTTTTGGAAACTGTTGAGTTTG-3'; antisense, 5'-GTCCGCCGAGTCCTTACTGA-3'; 118 bp), and β-actin (sense, 5'-TCATGAAGATCCTCACGAG-3'; antisense, 5'-TTGCCAATGGTGATGACCTG-3'; 190 bp). The PCR conditions consisted of 40 cycles of 95˚C for 30 sec, 95˚C for 5 sec, 60˚C for 10 sec. This assay was performed in triplicate.

Drug treatment and irradiation. First, the cells were plated in 96-well plates and treated with varying concentrations of celecoxib for 72 h. The cells were cultured after irradiation, combined with celecoxib treatment for five days. After these treatments, 10 µl/well of the Cell Counting Kit-8 was added, and the cells were incubated for 2 h. Finally, the optical density at 450 nm in the multi-mode micro-plate reader was measured in triplicate.

Viability of cells treated with celecoxib alone. The cells were treated with varying concentrations of celecoxib, and the cell viability was evaluated. Celecoxib alone did not exhibit any antitumor effects under the normal range of concentrations.

ELISA for prostaglandin E2. The cells were incubated for 24, 48 and 72 h with celecoxib (0, 10, 20, 30 µM). The PGE2 levels were measured using an enzyme-linked immunoabsorbent assay (ELISA) as described by PGE2 Prostaglandin E2, EIA Kit - Monoclonal (Cayman Chemical Company, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) according to the manual. The concentrations were determined following absorbance measurement with a microplate reader (microplate manager version 6.0, Bio-Rad, Tokyo, Japan). This assay was performed in triplicate.

Results

Cytoxicity of celecoxib in the TE2 and T.Tn cells. The TE2 and T.Tn cells were treated with different concentrations of celecoxib for 72 h, and the cell viability was evaluated. There was no toxicity in the cells treated with concentrations 10^2 and
However, when the concentration increased to $10^6$ nM, the cell viability was strongly reduced. This finding shows that celecoxib itself does not exhibit any antitumor effects under the normal range of concentrations.

Serum levels of PGE2 in the TE2/T.Tn cells following treatment with celecoxib. In this study, the PGE2 levels in the TE2 and T.Tn cells were determined using ELISA. As shown in Fig. 2, celecoxib exhibited obvious inhibitory effects on PGE2 in the TE2 and T.Tn cells during the growth process, especially as the drug exposure time was extended, compared with the normal growth of cancer cells. The production of PGE2 was also significantly reduced.

Cytotoxicity of TE2 and T.Tn to 5-FU and celecoxib. To investigate whether celecoxib can potentiate the cytotoxic effects of 5-FU in the two cell lines, cytotoxic assays were conducted. As shown in Fig. 3, in the TE2 cell line, no antitumor effects were observed between 0 and 100 nM of 5-FU treatment alone. However, when adding celecoxib, the antitumor effects were enhanced in a celecoxib concentration-dependent manner. This indicated that celecoxib enhances the antitumor effects of 5-FU even when the concentration of 5-FU is low.

Viability of TE2/T.Tn cells treated with radiation and celecoxib. The TE2 and T.Tn cells were cultured with celecoxib in addi-
tion to receiving irradiation, after which the cell viability was evaluated. There was enhancement of the antitumor effects in the TE2 cells cultured with the COX-2 inhibitor at 20 µM compared to that observed in the cells exposed to radiation alone. The discrepancy in cell viability between the radiation alone group and the radiation plus celecoxib group increased in the range of lower doses of radiation, especially after the radiation. The same result was found in the T.Tn cells, at an even higher level than that observed in the TE2 cells (Fig. 4).

**Apoptosis induced by celecoxib and 5-FU.** The Annexin V FITC/PI double-labeling method was performed to detect apoptotic cells. As shown in Fig. 5, the flow cytometry analysis demonstrated no differences in the Annexin V+PI+ cell population between the TE2 cells cultured with celecoxib alone (10 µM) (8.54%) and the control group (11.2%). On the other hand, a significant increment in the population of apoptotic cells was observed when the cells were cultured with both celecoxib and 5-FU (20.6%). The same result was found in the T.Tn cells at an even higher level than that observed in the TE2 cells.

**Expressions of OPRT and DPD following treatment with celecoxib.** 5-FU was metabolized to the nucleotide level, and DPD and OPRT are important metabolic enzymes for 5-FU. As shown in Fig. 6, the expression of DPD, a degenerative enzyme for 5-FU, was lower (70%) in the TE2 and T.Tn cells than in the control group when treated with combination of celecoxib and 5-FU. With regard to the OPRT expression, an approximately 2.5-fold increase was observed in the TE2 cells and an approximately 5-fold increase was observed in the T.Tn cells. Based on these data, celecoxib reduces the degeneration of 5-FU and increases the metabolism of 5-FU with stronger antitumor effects by modifying 5-FU-related enzymes, accordingly. This mechanism can be used to explain the effects of celecoxib in enhancing the antitumor effects of 5-FU.
Effects of celecoxib on the cell invasion and migration potential.

The cell migration and invasion activity in the TE2 and T.Tn cells following treatment with 5-FU and celecoxib is shown in Fig. 7. In the TE2 cells, treated with celecoxib alone, the cell invasion activity was 86.5% compared to that observed in the control cells. When cultured with 5-FU alone, the cell invasion activity was reduced to 69.5% compared to that observed in the controls. However, when cultured with both celecoxib and 5-FU, the inhibition rate was markedly reduced to 45.4% (Fig. 7A). This trend was also observed in the T.Tn cells (celecoxib alone, 44.2%; 5-FU alone, 31.3%; combination group, 25.1%). Next, in order to quantify the cell migration levels, the cells were treated with either 5-FU or celecoxib, or both (Fig. 7B). In the T.Tn cells, when treated with celecoxib alone, the migration activity was reduced to 56.3%. When treated with 5-FU alone, the migration rate was 43.1%. However, when cultured with both celecoxib and 5-FU, the migration activity was markedly reduced to 21.8% compared to that observed in the control group. This trend was also observed in the TE2 cells (celecoxib alone, 37.5%; 5-FU alone, 33.1%; combination group, 24%). These results indicated that celecoxib enhances the inhibition of both the invasion and migration activity induced by 5-FU.

Figure 6. Expressions of DPD and OPRT in the TE2/T.Tn cells. Celecoxib up-regulated the OPRT expressions and down-regulated the DPD expression. This mechanism can be used to explain the effects of celecoxib in enhancing the antitumor effects of 5-FU.

Figure 7. Cell migration and invasion in the TE2 and T.Tn cells following treatment with 5-FU and celecoxib. Combination treatment with celecoxib and 5-FU inhibited both the cell invasion (A) and migration (B) activity.
Discussion

The long-term use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) can reduce the risk of cancer and inhibit the growth of various cancers, including squamous cell carcinoma (7). The primary target of NSAIDs is COX-2, which is responsible for resistance to apoptosis, tumor growth, increased angiogenesis, tumor invasion and metastasis (16,17). Elevated levels of the COX-2 expression have been found in human cancers (5-10), and appear to be involved in the development of cancer via PGE2 production (7,20-23). In addition, COX-2 overexpression is often associated with a shorter time to progression and/or overall survival (24). The function of COX-2 in tumor progression has been recently elucidated. To date, at least five mechanisms by which COX-2 contributes to tumorigenesis and the malignant phenotype of tumor cells have been identified, including inhibition of apoptosis, increased invasiveness, increased angiogenesis, modulation of inflammation/immune-suppression and conversion of procarcinogens to carcinogens (5). Research regarding the role of COX-2 in cancer prevention and tumor progression inhibition is ongoing. With respect to treatment effects, the overexpression of COX-2 leads to tolerance of treatments such as chemotherapy and chemoradiotherapy, as we previously reported (14).

5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) is one of the most common and effective clinical chemotherapy medications for the treatment of digestive tract tumors, with a specific set of effects. The primary mechanism of action consists of interfering with DNA synthesis and mRNA translation (25). 5-FU metabolism is primarily regulated by enzymes, such as DPD and OPRT (25-27). The rate-limiting enzyme of 5-FU catabolism is DPD, as more than 80% of administered 5-FU is catabolized by DPD (26). Measuring the level of DPD activity can be used as a screening procedure to identify patients with DPD deficiency, before the start of treatment with 5-FU (27). The metabolized form of 5-FU is directly converted by OPRT, and further dephosphorylated to generate the active metabolite fluorodeoxyuridine monophosphate (FdUMP) which binds to the nucleotide-binding site of TS and forms a stable ternary complex with TS and 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate(5,10-CH2-THF), leading to DNA damage (25).

The present study demonstrated that COX-2, was upregulated in the 5-FU resistant esophageal cancer cell lines. Although celecoxib, a COX-2 inhibitor, exhibited very slight anticancer activity in the TE2/T.Tn cells, stronger anticancer effect was observed following changes in the DPD and OPRT levels in the 5-FU resistant esophageal cancer cell lines.

In this study, celecoxib inhibited the COX-2 activity, leading to a reduction in the PGE2 levels in the cancer cells. This indicates that B-cell lymphoma-2 (BCL-2), matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2), epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and other factors are restrained by COX-2-PGE2-dependent mechanisms (28-31). However, Elder et al reported no correlations between the sensitivity of colon cancer cell lines to NS-398 and the COX-2 expression or between the addition of PGE2 and the induction of apoptosis (32). This discrepancy may represent differences between the cells analyzed by different investigators and the types of NSAIDs used. On the other hand, celecoxib upregulates the OPRT expression and downregulates the DPD expression, which may increase the antitumor effects of 5-FU and can inhibit the growth of tumor cells or increase the apoptosis of cancer cells and inhibit cancer cell migration and invasion. Consequently, the changes in the reduction of the enzyme activity observed in experimental systems exhibit good relationships with the enhancement of the antiproliferative potency of 5-FU. However, thus far, it is unclear through which factors and pathways COX-2 inhibitors can change the expressions of DPD and OPRT mRNA. In their in vivo research, Irie et al reported that celecoxib (a selective COX-2 inhibitor) synergistically potentiates the antitumor effects of 5-FU in colon cancer cells in an IFN-γ-dependent manner (33).

In addition, we tested the effects of combination therapy with celecoxib and radiation in TE2 and T.Tn cells. The results showed that the effects of combination therapy are stronger than those of radiotherapy alone. Our experimental results are supported by those of Kuipers et al (34). Previous studies have revealed that these effects are due to cell cycle arrest. Radioresistance enhancement using a COX-2 inhibitor is achieved through COX-2-dependent cell cycle regulation (35) and primarily results from the inhibition of ionizing radiation-induced G2 arrest (36). It has also been suggested that these effects are likely due to the inhibition of the radiation-protective effects of prostaglandins (37). Other mechanisms have also been elucidated. COX-2 inhibitors contribute to the enhancing antitumor effects via the activation of caspase-3 and caspase-8 or the inhibition of DNA repair processes (38,39).

In conclusion, resistance to cancer treatment can be decreased by COX-2 inhibitors. COX-2 inhibitors are useful enhancers of antitumor drugs and act as radiosensitizers for radiotherapy for ESCC. Therefore, these drugs may be useful as a component of combination treatment for cancer.
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