**Linc00963: A novel, long non-coding RNA involved in the transition of prostate cancer from androgen-dependence to androgen-independence**
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**Abstract.** Whole genome transcriptomic analyses have identified a large number of long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), many of which are involved in a variety of biological functions. However, their functions and molecular mechanisms associated with prostate cancer (PCa) progression to a virulent and androgen-independent (AI) form remain elusive. Herein, we investigated the lncRNA expression profiles of the indolent, androgen-dependent (AD) LNCaP cell line to the aggressive metastatic, AI C4-2 cell line using microarray technology. The differentially expressed lncRNAs and genes were identified by microarray technology and the association in cis or in trans was analyzed to find potential lncRNA target genes. Expression of candidate lncRNAs and putative targets was evaluated by real-time quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). The functions of linc00963 on cell proliferation, apoptosis, migration and invasion were evaluated by a knockdown strategy in vitro using MTT, flow cytometric analysis and transwell chamber assays. lncRNAs (n=134) were differentially expressed (FDR <0.001 and fold change ≥2) between the LNCaP and C4-2 cell lines. Linc00963 was upregulated most obviously evaluated by qRT-PCR. Knockdown of linc00963 attenuated C4-2 cell proliferation, motility, invasion ability, the expression of EGFR and phosphorylation levels of AKT, and promoted cell apoptosis. Linc00963 was involved in the prostate cancer transition from androgen-dependent to androgen-independent and metastasis via the EGFR signaling pathway.

**Introduction**

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the second leading cause of male cancer-related death in the United States, with 238,590 estimated new cases that occurred in 2013 and almost 29,720 deaths (1). Localized PCa patients have a long-term survival due to the combination of radiation and androgen deprivation therapy (2). However, clinical observation shows that after an initial responsiveness to androgen withdrawal treatment, almost all PCa will inevitably progress to recurrent castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC), and acquires the potential of metastasis, for which few therapeutic options with limited durability are available (3). The underlying mechanisms during the transition from androgen-dependent (AD) to androgen-independent (AI), from localized status to metastasis, remain to be elucidated. Identification of genes involved in this transition may provide insight into finding novel therapeutic strategies for CRPC.

Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is a member of the human epidermal growth factor receptor or ErbB family of receptor tyrosine kinases (4). EGFR is overexpressed in 40-80% of prostate cancer cells, and overexpression more common in African American men with prostate cancer (5). Furthermore, previous clinical studies suggested a correlation of EGFR expression with androgen-independence (6). More importantly, EGFR itself may be under the regulation of androgen signaling pathway, being negative in normal prostate cells but positive in prostate cancer cells, especially in androgen-independent cancer cells (7). In an effort to overcome castration-resistance, trials combining EGFR or dual kinase inhibitors with other novel agents are in development (8).

Emerging evidence indicates that LncRNAs which are RNA species >200 bp in length (9) and frequently polyadenylated (10), are involved in physiological aspects of cell-type determination and tissue homeostasis (11), and in cancer LncRNAs are known to play important roles in carcinogenesis and tumor progression (12-16). One of the overexpressed LncRNAs in prostate cancer, PCGEM1, is tissue specific and PCa-associated LncRNA gene (15), whereas another highly expressed LncRNA, PRNCR1 (PCAT8), is pervasively transcribed from the critical region of 8q24 Region 2, which is
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transfection, the following siRNA duplexes were synthesized (Gene.pharma, Shanghai, China): si-Linc00963-1 (5'-GGCAAGUGCUUUCAACUGAT-3'), and si-Linc00963-2 (5'-GCUCACUGACUCCUGAAAT-3'), targeting the Linc00963 gene, and the negative control duplex, (5'-UCCGAAGUGCUUGAGCT-3'). These siRNA duplexes (100 nmol/l) were transfected into C4-2 cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. C4-2 cells were harvested 48 h post-transfection for gene analysis.

Real-time quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). Total RNA was extracted from cell lines using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen), and subsequent synthesis of cyclic DNA (cDNA; Takara, Japan), were carried out according to the manufacturer's protocols. qRT-PCR was performed using the CFX96™ Real-time PCR system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) with the SYBR Green II kit (#DPR041A; Takara) according to the manufacturer's instructions. qRT-PCR analysis was carried out in a total volume of 20 µl with the following amplification steps: an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 10 min; followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec; and then elongation at 55°C for 30 sec. The expressions were normalized to the human β-actin gene. The following primer sequences were used: 5'-GGCAAGUGCUUUCAACUGATG-3' (sense) and 5'-ACCCTGGATACGCTGTGA-3' (antisense) for Linc00963; 5'-GCUCACUGACUCCUGAAAT-3' (sense) and 5'-GAAGCTGTCGCGCATGTTT-3' (antisense) for Linc00963.

Materials and methods

Cell culture. LNCaP and C4-2 cell lines were cultured in RPMI-1640 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 8% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Hyclone, Logan, UT, USA), 10 mM HEPES, 1.0 mM sodium bicarbonate and 1% antibiotic/antimycotic solutions. All the cells were cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂.

RNA extraction and microarray target preparation. Total RNA was extracted from C4-2 and LNCaP cell lines using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturers' protocols. RNA cleanup including a DNase I digestion step was performed using RNeasy spin columns (Qiagen). RNA integrity was measured by the relative abundance of 28S/18S ribosomal subunits, verified through micro-fluid capillary electrophoresis (Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100).

Microarray analysis. For microarray analysis, qualified total RNA was further purified by RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) and RNase-free DNase set (Qiagen). Total RNA was then amplified and labeled by Low Input Quick Amp Labeling kit (Agilent), following the manufacturer's instructions. Labeled cRNA were purified by Qiagen RNeasy® mini kit. Each Slide was hybridized with 600 ng Cy3/Cy5-labeled cRNA using Gene Expression Hybridization kit (Agilent) in Hybridization Oven (Agilent), according to the manufacturer's instructions. After 17 h of hybridization, slides were washed in staining dishes (Thermo Shandon) with Gene Expression Wash Buffer kit (Agilent). Slides were scanned with Agilent C Scanner Settings, Dye channel: Green, scan resolution = 3 µm, 20 bit; Red, scan resolution = 5 µm, 20 bit. Data were extracted with Feature Extraction software 10.7 (Agilent). Raw data were normalized by Quantile algorithm, Gene Expression Wash Buffer kit (Agilent). Hierarchical clustering was performed based on differentially expressed RNAs and lncRNAs using Cluster_Treeview software from Stanford University.

Transfection and gene silencing. For small interfering RNA (siRNA) transfection, the following siRNA duplexes were synthesized (Gene.pharma, Shanghai, China): si-Linc00963-1 (5'-GGCAAGUGCUUUCAACUGAT-3'), and si-Linc00963-2 (5'-GCUCACUGACUCCUGAAAT-3'), targeting the Linc00963 gene, and the negative control duplex, (5'-UCCGAAGUGCUUGAGCT-3'). These siRNA duplexes (100 nmol/l) were transfected into C4-2 cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. C4-2 cells were harvested 48 h post-transfection for gene analysis.

Cell proliferation in vitro. For small interfering RNA (siRNA) transfection, the following siRNA duplexes were synthesized (Gene.pharma, Shanghai, China): si-Linc00963-1 (5'-GGCAAGUGCUUUCAACUGAT-3'), and si-Linc00963-2 (5'-GCUCACUGACUCCUGAAAT-3'), targeting the Linc00963 gene, and the negative control duplex, (5'-UCCGAAGUGCUUGAGCT-3'). These siRNA duplexes (100 nmol/l) were transfected into C4-2 cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. C4-2 cells were harvested 48 h post-transfection for gene analysis.

Real-time quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). Total RNA was extracted from cell lines using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen), and subsequent synthesis of cyclic DNA (cDNA; Takara, Japan), were carried out according to the manufacturer's protocols. qRT-PCR was performed using the CFX96™ Real-time PCR system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) with the SYBR Green II kit (#DPR041A; Takara) according to the manufacturer's instructions. qRT-PCR analysis was carried out in a total volume of 20 µl with the following amplification steps: an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 10 min; followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec; and then elongation at 55°C for 30 sec. The expressions were normalized to the human β-actin gene. The following primer sequences were used: 5'-GGCAAGUGCUUUCAACUGATG-3' (sense) and 5'-ACCCTGGATACGCTGTGA-3' (antisense) for Linc00963; 5'-GCUCACUGACUCCUGAAAT-3' (sense) and 5'-GAAGCTGTCGCGCATGTTT-3' (antisense) for Linc00963.
2.5 mg/ml stock solution in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) was added to each well, and the cells were incubated for a further 4 h at 37˚C. The medium was removed, the cells were solubilized in 150 µl of dimethylsulfoxide, and colorimetric analysis was performed (wavelength, 490 nm). One plate was analyzed immediately after the cells adhered (~4 h after plating), and the remaining plates were assayed every day for the next 4 consecutive days.

**Flow cytometric analysis of apoptotic cells.** C4-2 cells were transfected for 48 h with no siRNA (parental), specific siRNA (si-Linc00963-1 or si-Linc00963-2) and control siRNA (si-scramble), then cells were suspended in incubation buffer at a density of 1x10^6 cells/ml. Apoptotic cells were analyzed by flow cytometry using a CYTOMICS FC 500 flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter), after incubating the cells with a reagent containing Annexin V-FITC and Propidium Iodide (BD Bioscience, CA, USA) for 15 min in darkness at room temperature.

**Analysis of invasiveness and mobility (migration and invasion assays).** Cell invasion and migration potentials were measured by Transwell assays (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). C4-2 cells were transfected for 24 h with no siRNA (parental), specific siRNA (si-Linc00963-1 or si-Linc00963-2) and control siRNA (si-scramble); the cells were suspended in RPMI-1640 with 8 g/l BSA, 10 mM HEPES, 1.0 mM sodium bicarbonate at a density of 50 cells/µl; 200 µl cell suspensions were seeded into the upper chambers of the Transwells whose porous membrane was coated with (for Transwell invasion assay) or without (for migration assay) Matrigel (BD Bioscience). RPMI-1640 (500 µl) with 8% FBS, 10 mM HEPES, 1.0 mM sodium bicarbonate was added to the bottom chamber as a chemoattractant. After migration for 24 h, or invasion for 48 h, the cells that had penetrated the filters were fixed in methanol, and stained in 4 g/l crystal violet. The numbers of migrated and invasive cells were determined from five random fields under an Olympus microscope (Olympus) at x10 magnification.

**Western blot analysis.** C4-2 cells which transfected for 48 h with no siRNA (parental), specific siRNA (si-Linc00963-1, or si-Linc00963-2) and control siRNA (si-scramble) were harvested in radioimmunoprecipitation (RIPA) buffer. Protein concentration was determined using the BCA protein assay. Proteins were resolved using 10% SDS-PAGE gradient gels, and 30 µg/well was loaded. Proteins were transferred electrophoretically to PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad) at 25 V for 2 h. The membrane was blocked 2 h at room temperature in PBS containing 5% nonfat dry milk. Antibodies AKT and p-AKT (Bioworld Technology) were diluted in PBS-T (0.1% Tween-20, Fisher) at 1:1000 working concentration, incubated overnight at 4˚C. The second antibody was HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit, at 1:5000 in PBS-T; and incubated for 2 h at room temperature. Following this, and all other incubations, membranes were washed in PBS-T 3x5 min. HRP activity was detected using ECL western blotting detection reagents. The bands were visualized by chemiluminescence (New England Nuclear, Boston, MA, USA).
Statistical analysis. All statistical data were analyzed by Statistical Program for Social Sciences (SPSS 20.0 software (SPSS 20.0 software, IBM, USA) and GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). One-way analysis of variance test, two-tailed Student's t-test and rank-sum test were used as appropriate. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Identification of the LncRNAs differentially expressed in the LNCaP and C4-2 cell lines. In order to gain better understanding of the androgen-independent and metastasis progression of prostate cancer at the IncRNA level, we generated a comprehensive IncRNA expression profile for LNCaP and C4-2. Total RNA was extracted from the cell lines and analyzed using microarray from Agilent to characterize the expression patterns of IncRNAs. In addition, the microarray analyses were conducted three times. The relative expression of IncRNAs expressed by LNCaP and C4-2 was shown in Fig. 1A, representatively. We picked out 134 IncRNAs which were differentially expressed (FDR <0.001 and fold change ≥2) at three times between LNCaP and C4-2 from 46506 lncRNAs represented on the chips. From the 134 IncRNAs, we identified 4 IncRNAs which were differentially expressed in LNCaP and C4-2 to the most significant extent (Fig. 1B), including the well-known IncRNA in prostate cancer, PncRNA-1. Using RNA isolated from LNCaP and C4-2, we performed qRT-PCR to validate the expression level of the four identified IncRNAs. We found that IncRNA Linc00963 was expressed differentially most significantly compared to the others in LNCaP and C4-2 cell lines (Fig. 1C). As LNCaP is a hormone-sensitive but C4-2 was hormone-insensitive prostate cell line derived from LNCaP, the IncRNAs differentially expressed in LNCaP and C4-2 may be involved in the transition from AD to AI.

Effect of Linc00963 knockdown on cell viability. C4-2 is a hormone-insensitive prostate cell line and possesses the capacity of metastasizing to lymph node and bone, and our preliminary results indicated that IncRNA Linc00963 was differentially expressed in C4-2 and LNCaP significantly. Therefore, in order to investigate biological function of Linc00963 in PCA cell line C4-2, Linc00963 was suppressed by siRNAs in C4-2. The effective knockdown of Linc00963 was confirmed by qRT-PCR. Compared to cells transfected with scrambled siRNA, cells transfected with siRNAs to Linc00963 showed significantly reduced Linc00963 expres-
sion (each experiment was performed three times, and a typical result is presented in Fig. 2A. After confirming the knockdown efficiency of the siRNAs targeting Linc00963, we determined the effect of a reduced Linc00963 level on cell viability using an MTT assay. C4-2 cells that were transfected with siRNAs targeting Linc00963 showed significant decrease in cell viability compared to the parental or scrambled siRNA-transfected cells (Fig. 2B). This result demonstrated that the Linc00963 had a direct effect on cell viability in C4-2 cells.

Effect of the Linc00963 knockdown on cell apoptosis. To explore the mechanism by which Linc00963 affected cell vitality of C4-2, we tested whether the inhibited cell viability may be caused by increased cell apoptosis in Linc00963 knockdown cells. C4-2 cells transfected with scramble siRNA or siRNAs targeting Linc00963 for 48 h were analyzed for apoptosis. The results indicated that compared with the parental or scrambled siRNA-transfected cells, cells transfected with siRNAs targeting Linc00963 showed significant decrease in cell viability compared to the parental or scrambled siRNA-transfected cells (Fig. 2B). This result demonstrated that the Linc00963 had a direct effect on cell viability in C4-2 cells.

Effect of the Linc00963 knockdown on cell migration and invasion. To test the effects of linc00963 on migration and invasion of C4-2, we used standard Matrigel-coated or uncoated transwell chamber assays. We found that compared with the scrambled siRNA-transfected cells, C4-2 cells transfected with siRNAs targeting Linc00963 had reduced migration and invasion ability (Fig. 3A and C), and a reduced invasive index (invasion cell number/migration cell number, Fig. 3B and D). Thus, our results indicated that Linc00963 was correlated with cell migration and invasion in prostate cancer cell line C4-2.

Identification of the target molecule for Linc00963 in prostate cancer cell lines. To understand the biological function of Linc00963, the putative target sites were identified by 3 steps. Step 1: we sought to determine whether Linc00963 act in cis or in trans to regulate target gene expression. We use genome annotation, genome browser and RNAplex to find these putative targets (Fig. 4A). Step 2: unsupervised hierarchical clustering was used to analyze the differential mRNA expression profiles. Among these candidate targets, we identified 5 genes, ARHGEF26, EGFR, HYAL1, ICAM1 and PPFIA2 as the putative targets, which were differentially expressed in C4-2 and LNCaP cells to the most significant extent and may be regulated by Linc00963 through transcriptional interference (Table I). Step 3: after identification of these targets, we further validated our results in the prostate cell lines...
LNCaP and C-4.2. From the results of qRT-PCR, we found EGFR was expressed most differentially in LNCaP and C-4.2 (Fig. 4B). To investigate whether EGFR was the putative target for Linc00963, we performed qRT-PCR to examine the expression level of EGFR in C-4.2 cells transfected with Linc00963 siRNAs. In addition, we tested the phosphorylation level of its downstream molecule AKT which has been proven to correlate with castration resistant cell growth and androgen receptor level in CRPC (25). Our results showed knockdown of Linc00963 significantly decreases EGFR, and the phosphorylation level of AKT (Fig. 4C and D), indicating Linc00963 involved in the transactivation of EGFR in hormone-insensitive prostate cancer cells. In conclusion, our result indicated that EGFR was the target molecule of Linc00963 in prostate cancer cells.

Discussion

Progression to androgen resistance and metastasis of PCa, involve alterations in gene expression and dysregulation of signaling pathways, and remains both an intensive and elusive area of investigation. In addition to protein coding genes and miRNAs, dysregulatory of lncRNAs is emerging as a ubiquitous component in the gene regulatory networks of cancer progression (11,26). In the current study, for the first time, lncRNA Linc00963 (GeneBank accession ID: 100506190) is found to be involved in the progression from AD to AI of prostate cancer. We characterized Linc00963, which is more frequently overexpressed in hormone-insensitive prostate cell line C-4.2 than hormone-sensitive prostate cell line LNCaP, and its overexpression correlated with cell viability, cell apoptosis, cell migration and cell invasion of C-4.2, suggesting an important role of Linc00963 in the transition from AD to AI.

Previous studies showed that lincRNAs could act in cis (on neighboring genes) by transcriptional interference and/or function in trans (on distant located genes) through targeting epigenetic modifiers (27,28). We identified that Linc00963 and EGFR were located in different chromosomes and Linc00963 had a functional relationship with EGFR, so we supposed Lin00963 might act in trans with EGFR. We further confirmed that EGFR and the phosphorylation level of its downstream gene AKT decreased following knockdown of Linc00963. These results demonstrated that EGFR was the putative target molecule of Linc00963 and Linc00963 involved in the transactivation activity of EGFR in prostate cancer cells. However, further studies are required to clarify the molecular mechanism underlying the regulation of Linc00963 and EGFR.

LncRNAs are being recognized at every level of gene expression in various physiological processes, and alteration of the expression of lncRNAs in cancer is considered as one of the main driving forces during tumorigenesis (26,29,30). In prostate cancer, the function of lncRNAs is more complex...
More and more lncRNAs were found dysregulated in prostate cancer, and most of them exhibit oncogenic function, including prostate cancer antigen 3 (PCA3) (31), PCGEM1, PRNCR1, MALAT-1, PlncRNA-1 (32), and CTBP1-AS (33). Most of these identified lncRNAs was proven to be associated with androgen receptor (AR), but no previous studies concerning lncRNAs paid attention to the mechanism that would account for the transition from AD to AI. Thus, we used microarray technologies to delineate the differential expression profiles of cancer-related lncRNAs in hormone-sensitive and hormone-insensitive prostate cancer cell lines. RNA-seq revealed that 134 lncRNAs were expressed differentially in LNCaP and C4-2, and Linc00963 was upregulated to the most significant extent in C4-2 among these dysregulated lncRNAs. These results led us to believe that Linc00963 is potentially involved in the progression of AD PCA to the lethal AI phenotype. To our knowledge, this is the first study using microarray technologies to delineate the lncRNA profiles between LNCaP and C4-2 cells.

Castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) tends to progress and metastasize, and shows short survival. The prostate cancer cell line C4-2 acquires the phenotypes of androgen-independence and osseous metastases, and it is commonly used in models of castration-resistant and aggressive prostate cancer (22). The second part of our study identified the role of Linc00963 in AI prostate cancer cells. Our results indicated that Linc00963 is significantly associated with the cell viability, cell motility and cell invasiveness. Although a more detailed mechanism must be discovered to explain our results, these results provide evidence that Linc00963 may function as an oncogenic molecule and linc0096 is a ubiquitous component in the gene regulatory networks of prostate cancer development and progression. Though previous studies had identified some lncRNAs aberrantly expressed exhibiting oncogenic function in PCa, such as inhibiting apoptosis or promoting cell proliferation (10,16,17,21), no direct evidence was provided indicating the role of lncRNAs in AI prostate cancer development. Our lncRNA expression profiling results and the effect of Linc00963 on tumor metastasis in LNCaP and C4-2 partially illustrate the role lncRNAs played in the transition from AD to AI and the progress of AI prostate cancer metastasis.

### Table I. The potential target molecular for Linc00963 in prostate cancer cell lines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Fold change expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATG9B</td>
<td>Autophagy related 9B</td>
<td>3.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAMTS1</td>
<td>ADAM metallopeptidase with thrombospondin type 1 motif, 1</td>
<td>3.735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANKRD18A</td>
<td>Ankyrin repeat domain 18A</td>
<td>3.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARHGEF26</td>
<td>Rho guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) 26</td>
<td>4.416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZGP1</td>
<td>α-2-glycoprotein 1, zinc-binding</td>
<td>1/3.576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPR158</td>
<td>G protein-coupled receptor 158</td>
<td>3.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HES1</td>
<td>Hes family bHLH transcription factor 1</td>
<td>1/3.314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYAL1</td>
<td>Hyaluronoglucosaminidase 1</td>
<td>5.643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICAM1</td>
<td>Intercellular adhesion molecule 1</td>
<td>4.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLK2</td>
<td>Kallikrein-related peptidase 2</td>
<td>1/3.591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLK3</td>
<td>Kallikrein-related peptidase 3, prostate specific antigen</td>
<td>1/3.332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIK3R1</td>
<td>Phosphoinositide-3-kinase, regulatory subunit 1 (α)</td>
<td>3.611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPFIA2</td>
<td>Protein tyrosine phosphatase, receptor type, f polypeptide (PTPRF), interacting protein (liprin), α2</td>
<td>1/4.872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRSS2</td>
<td>Protease, serine, 2 (trypsin 2)</td>
<td>3.331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S100A10</td>
<td>S100 calcium binding protein A10</td>
<td>1/3.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nrp1</td>
<td>Neuropilin 1</td>
<td>3.277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUCB2</td>
<td>Nucleobindin 2</td>
<td>3.638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYLK</td>
<td>Myosin light chain kinase</td>
<td>1/3.547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>Epidermal growth factor receptor</td>
<td>5.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELF3</td>
<td>E74-like factor 3 (ets domain transcription factor, epithelial-specific)</td>
<td>1/3.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELOVL5</td>
<td>ELOVL fatty acid elongase 5</td>
<td>3.936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDF11</td>
<td>Growth differentiation factor 11</td>
<td>1/3.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNAI1</td>
<td>Guanine nucleotide binding protein (G protein), α inhibiting activity polypeptide 1</td>
<td>1/3.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAS6</td>
<td>Growth arrest-specific 6</td>
<td>3.079</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The third part of our study identified EGFR as a putatively functional target of Linc00963 in the hormone-insensitive prostate cancer cell line C4-2. Enhanced expression of EGFR/ErbB1 had been proven to correlate with high grades of prostate cancer malignancies and contribute to the progression from localized and AD prostate cancer to more metastatic and AI state (34,35), and the phosphorylation level of AKT which was the downstream gene of EGFR had been proven to correlate with androgen receptor level and promote castration- resistant cell growth (25). Importantly, AR was observed to be slightly downregulated in C4-2 (36). Taken together, all these studies indicated EGFR might play a more important role in the process from AD to AI than AR. However, most of the well characterized prostate cancer-related IncRNAs were proved to be closely associated with AR, which indicated these IncRNAs played secondary role in the transition from AD to AI. Whereas, we infer that IncRNAs, which were correlated with EGFR, might play a pivotal role in the progression of PCA.

Although we identified IncRNAs as a ubiquitous component in the gene regulatory networks of prostate cancer progression, more work need to be done to eventually clarify the underlying mechanism mediating the transition from AD to AI. The most important aspect is to make clear the interaction between IncRNAs and EGFR. Our results indicated that the IncRNA Linc00963 could affect the expression level of EGFR at transcriptional level, but we did not obtain any evidence to support their direct interaction between EGFR protein complex and Linc00963. The second limitation of our study is we confirmed the role of IncRNAs in hormone-sensitive and hormone-insensitive prostate cancer cell line LNCaP and C4-2, but we did not further validate our result in the tissues obtained from AD and AI prostate cancer patients. Although use of LNCaP and C4-2 cell line is an accepted model for studying the mechanism underlying the progression of PCa from AD to AI, the confirmation from experiments in clinical specimens will make our results more conclusive and convincing. These limitations indicate that more detailed work is required to clarify the relationship between IncRNAs and EGFR, to further improve our knowledge concerning the transition from AD to AI in prostate cancer.

In summary, we have identified that the long intergenic non-protein coding RNA 00963 is upregulated in hormone-insensitive prostate cancer cell line C4-2 but downregulated in hormone-sensitive prostate cancer cell line LNCaP. The knockdown of Linc00963 in hormone-insensitive prostate cancer cells inhibits cell viability, motility and invasiveness. Linc00963 physically associates with EGFR and could be an important regulator for the transition in prostate cancer from AD to AI. Collectively, our data provide insight into molecular characteristics of AI and metastatic prostate cancer and provide clues for finding new strategies to prevent PCA metastasis.
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