Abstract. The DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) inhibitory drugs such as 5-azacytidine induce DNA hypomethylation by inhibiting DNA methyltransferases. While clinically effective, DNMT inhibitors are not curative. A combination with cytotoxic drugs might be beneficial, but this is largely unexplored. In the present study, we analyzed potential synergisms between cytotoxic drugs and 5-azacytidine in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cells. Lung cancer and leukemia cell lines were exposed to low doses of 5-azacytidine with varying doses of cytarabine or etoposide for AML cells (U937 and HL60) as well as cisplatin or gemcitabine for NSCLC cells (A549 and HTB56) for 48 h. Drug interaction and potential synergism was analyzed according to the Chou-Talalay algorithm. Further analyses were based on soft agar colony formation assays, active caspase-3 staining and BrdU incorporation flow cytometry. To identify effects on DNA methylation patterns, we performed genome wide DNA methylation analysis using 450K bead arrays. Azacytidine at low doses was synergistic with cytotoxic drugs in NSCLC and in AML cell lines. Simultaneous exposure to 5-azacytidine with cytotoxic drugs showed strong synergistic activity. In colony formation assays these synergisms were repeatedly verified for 5-azacytidine (25 nM) with low doses of anticancer agents. 5-azacytidine neither affected the cell cycle nor increased apoptosis. 450K methylation bead arrays revealed 1,046 CpG sites in AML and 1,778 CpG sites in NSCLC cells with significant DNA hypomethylation (24-h exposure) to 5-azacytidine combined with the cytotoxic drugs. These CpG-sites were observed in the candidate tumor-suppressor genes MGMT and THRB. Additional incubation time after 24-h treatment led to a 4.1-fold increase of significant hypomethylated CpG-sites in NSCLC cells. These results suggest that the addition of DNA demethylating agents to cytotoxic anticancer drugs exhibits synergistic activity in AML and NSCLC. Dysregulation of an equilibrium of DNA methylation in cancer cells might increase the susceptibility for cytotoxic drugs.

Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) are known to be associated with high lethality despite intensive treatment. With overall 5-year survival rates of 25.7 and 16.6%, respectively (1) the treatment of AML and NSCLC remains an important field of experimental and clinical investigation.

In the last decade, the knowledge and understanding of tumorigenesis increased rapidly. Besides genetic mutations and alterations, epigenetic changes, especially alterations in methylation patterns, have been found in tumor development and progression (2,3). The term ‘epigenetics’ is defined as any change of gene expression that is not mediated by alterations of the primary nucleotide sequence. Changes of the methylation pattern occur during normal mammalian development and play an important role in tissue-specific gene regulation, genomic imprinting and X-chromosome inactivation by gene silencing (4). In cancer cells, the 5-methyl-cytosine distribution is altered in two directions: while the global methylation level is decreased (5), CpG islands appear to be hypermethylated (6). These regions often are associated with gene promoters and, therefore, might contribute to cancer-specific silencing of tumor-suppressor-genes (reviewed in ref. 7).

In normal as well as tumor cells, DNA methylation is mainly regulated by the DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) 1, 3a and 3b (8). These enzymes catalyze maintenance (DNMT1) (9,10) and de novo methylation (DNMT3a and DNMT3b) (11,12) by adding a methyl group to the cytosine ring (8). As DNMT play an important role in tumorigenesis (13,14), they appear as promising targets for anticancer therapy.
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5-azacytidine (Aza) is a nucleoside analogue that is incorporated into DNA and RNA (15). While high doses result in cytotoxicity (16), low dose Aza leads to DNA hypomethylation by irreversible binding and degradation of DNA methyltransferases (DNMT) (17,18). In vitro and in vivo studies indicate that Aza is able to reactivate epigenetically silenced tumor-suppressor- and chemosensitivity-genies and alter cancer phenotypes by its demethylating action (19,20). To date, it is approved for the treatment of the myelodysplastic syndrome and acute myeloid leukemia with 20-30% blasts in the bone marrow. There are few reports concerning the treatment of solid tumors (21). Though Aza has proven clinical activity, it does not work curatively.

We hypothesize that DNMT inhibitory drugs may enhance the antitumor activity of cytotoxic agents. Therefore, we exposed AML cell lines to cytarabine (AraC) or etoposide (Eto) and NSCLC cell lines to cisplatin (Cis) or gemcitabine (Gem) alone and in combination with low dose Aza in order to find synergistic drug interactions.

Materials and methods

Cell culture. We used human leukemic cell lines U937 and HL60 and the NSCLC cell lines A549 (adenocarcinoma) and HTB56 (anaplastic carcinoma). Leukemic cells were maintained in RPMI (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and A549 cells in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), both supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. HTB56 cells were maintained in Minimum Essential Medium (MEM; Gibco), supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 1% non-essential amino acids (Gibco), 1% L-glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich) and 1% sodium pyruvate (Gibco). All cell cultures were maintained in a humified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Cells were counted by the test of trypan blue exclusion.

Reagents. All drugs were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Aza was diluted in 1X PBS to a final concentration of 50 nM, gemcitabine-hydrochloride was diluted in 0.9% NaCl to a final concentration of 5 mM, cis-diammineplatinum(II)-dichloride in sterile ddH₂O to a final concentration of 5 mM, β-D-arabinofuranoside was diluted in 1X PBS to a final concentration of 10 mM and etoposide in dimethylsulfoxide (Serva Electrophoresis GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany) to 50 nM. Aza, AraC and Eto were stored at -20°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37°C.

Cell proliferation assays. Cell proliferation assays were performed by MTS assays and by counting cells. For MTS assays, AML cell lines were treated with Aza in a range from 50 to 2000 nM as well as AraC (100-2000 nM) and Eto (30-3000 nM) alone or in combination with 25 and 100 nM of Aza. NSCLC cells were treated with Aza in a dose range from 25 to 5000 nM as well as Cis (10-100000 nM) and Gem (10-10000 nM) alone or in combination with 25 and 100 nM of Aza. Cell proliferation was measured after 48-h treatment by reduction of 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulphophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium according to the recommendations of the manufacturer (Promega Corp., Fitchburg, WI, USA) at 490 nm with the Benchmark microplate reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). MTS assays were performed in triplicate.

Drug interactions were evaluated with CalcuSyn Software ( Biosoft, Cambridge, UK) according to the median-effect method by Chou and Talalay (22). A combination index (CI) <0.9 indicates synergistic drug interactions, a CI of >1.1 is antagonistic and a CI between 0.9 and 1.1 stands for additive effects.

To analyze whether sequential or simultaneous combination treatment is superior, low doses of Aza (25 and 100 nM) were combined with AraC and Eto in AML cell lines as well as with Gem in NSCLC cell lines. Sequential treatment consisted of 48-h treatment with Aza followed by 48-h treatment with the respective drug after removal of Aza. For simultaneous treatment the drugs were exposed to both agents at the same time for 48 h.

Methylcellulose colony-formation assay. After 24 h of drug treatment, cells were washed and equal numbers of viable cells were plated in triplicates in 2.3% methylcellulose (Sigma-Aldrich). A549, HL60 and U937 colonies containing >50 cells were quantified after 7 days of incubation under an inverted microscope, HTB56 colonies after 11 days. AML cell lines were treated with 25 nM of Aza, 25 nM of AraC, 50 nM of Eto and the respective combinations of Aza and either AraC or Eto. Both NSCLC cell lines were treated with 25 nM of Aza, 25 nM and 50 nM of Cis and the combination of Aza and both Cis doses. A549 cells were additionally treated with 25 and 50 nM of Gem as well as the combination of Aza and either 25 or 50 nM of Gem.

Bromodeoxyuridine incorporation and fluorescence-activated cell sorting. AML cell lines were treated with 25 nM of Aza, 100 nM of AraC, 100 nM of Eto and the respective combinations of Aza and AraC or Eto. NSCLC cell lines were treated with 25 nM of Aza, 100 nM of Cis, 100 nM of Gem as well as with the respective combinations. After 22 h, bromodeoxyuridine was added for two additional hours of incubation, then cells were stained according to the manufacturer’s instructions (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). BrdU incorporation was analyzed on a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (Becton-Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA) using BD CellQuest Pro (BD Biosciences).

Active caspase-3 staining and fluorescence-activated cell sorting. A549 and HTB56 cells were treated with 25 nM of Aza, 100 nM of Cis, 100 nM of Cis and the respective combinations. After permeabilisation active caspase-3 was measured using phycoerythrin-conjugated anti-active-caspase-3 antibody (BD Biosciences) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and analyzed by flow cytometry like described above.

DNA methylation analysis. Cells were treated according to colony formation assays. After 24 h of treatment, DNA was isolated using the High Pure PCR Template Preparation kit for genomic DNA (Roche Applied Science, Penzberg, Germany) and DNA content was measured with the NanoDrop spectrophotometer ND-1000™ (P separ Biotechnologie, Erlangen,
Germany). DNA methylation was analyzed by 450K bead arrays using Ez-96 DNA Methylation™ kit (zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA) with optimized PCR conditions according to the manual. Analysis of methylation data was performed by BRB-ArrayTools developed by Dr Richard Simon and the BRB-ArrayTools Development Team.

**Statistical analysis.** All data are shown as mean plus standard deviation (SD), if not otherwise specified. Statistical differences between two groups were calculated by the Student’s t-test. A p-value of <0.05 was considered as indicating significant differences.

**Results**

**Simultaneous and sequential Aza combinational treatment show similar effectiveness.** Four human cancer cell lines (A549, HL60, HTB56 and U937) were treated with simultaneous and sequential Aza containing drug combinations to evaluate whether one form of application would be superior.

Proliferation assays (Fig. 1) indicated no advantage of the sequential over the simultaneous application. Considering these results we chose the simultaneous application for further experiments. The effects of Aza treatment alone on the different cell lines are discussed below.

**Low dose Aza shows synergistic effects with Gem and Cis in NSCLC cell lines.** Two human NSCLC cell lines (A549 and HTB56) were assessed for their sensitivity to either Aza, Gem and Cis alone or in combination by MTS-proliferation assay. Combinational treatment consisted of low dose Aza (25 and 100 nM) combined with Gem or Cis in a wide dose range. Effects were measured after 48-h treatment and potential synergisms were calculated according to the method of Chou and Talalay. Neither A549 cells (Fig. 2A, left panel) nor HTB56 cells (Fig. 2A, right panel) showed sensitivity to Aza alone. Nevertheless, low dose Aza enhanced the activity of Gem and Cis in A549 (Fig. 2B and C) as well as the activity of Cis in HTB56 (data not shown). We observed clear synergisms [Combination index (CI) <0.9] in the proliferation assays for nearly every tested combination. A549 cells revealed very strong (CI <0.1) and strong synergisms (CI 0.1-0.3) for both 25 and 100 nM Aza combined with 10-500 nM Cis as well as for 25 nM Aza combined with 10-500 nM Gem. The combination of 100 nM with 10-500 nM Gem showed slightly weaker synergism. HTB56 cells demonstrate very strong and strong synergism at combinations of 25 nM Aza with 10-100 nM Cis and 100 nM Aza with 10-50 nM Cis. Overall, the strength of the calculated synergism decreased with increasing doses of Cis and Gem (Fig. 2B and C). Colony formation assays reaffirmed synergistic drug interactions after 24-h treatment for...
Figure 2. Low dose Aza shows synergistic effects with Gem and Cis in NSCLC cell lines. (A) After 48 h of treatment, the combination of 25 or 100 nM of Aza and a wide dose range of Cis showed enhanced inhibition of cell proliferation compared to single agent treatment in A549 (left panel) and HTB56 (right panel) cells. Data are shown as mean ± standard deviation (n=3). Calculation of synergism according to the method of Chou and Talalay. A combination index (CI) <0.9 indicates synergism, >1.1 antagonisms. Calculations were based on the means of MTS proliferation assays. Low dose Aza showed synergistic interactions with Cis in A549 cells (B). Notably, the strength of the synergism decreased with increasing Cis doses. Treatment of HTB56 cells showed similar results. Calculations are based on the means of MTS proliferation assays. Low dose azacytidine showed synergisms with Gem in a wide dose range in A549 cells (C). The strength of synergism decreased with increasing Gem doses. Results of colony formation assays are shown (D). A549 cells were treated for 24 h and plated in methylcellulose. A549 colonies containing >50 cells were quantified after 7 days of incubation. Results are shown as mean ± standard deviation (n=3). Combination of 25 nM of Aza with 25 and 50 nM of Cis (left panel) as well as 25 and 50 nM of Gem (right panel) indicated synergistic reduction of colony formation compared to untreated cells. (Student’s t-test; *p<0.05; **p<0.005). Results of the colony formation assays with HTB56 cells (E). The cells were treated for 24 h and plated in methylcellulose. Colonies containing >50 cells were quantified after 11 days of incubation. Results are shown as mean ± standard deviation, n=3. Combination of 25 nM of Aza with 25 nM of Cis showed synergistic reduction of colony formation. (*p<0.05).
Figure 3. Low dose Aza shows synergistic effects with AraC and Eto in AML cell lines. Representative growth curve (A) for HL60 cells treated for 48 h with 25 and 100 nM of Aza combined with a wide dose range of AraC (left panel) or Eto (right panel). Combination treatment showed enhanced reduction of cell proliferation compared to single agent treatment. This experiment was performed three times with similar results. (B) Calculations of synergism according to the method of Chou and Talalay. A combination index (CI) <0.9 indicates synergism, >1.1 antagonisms. Calculations are based on the means of MTS proliferation assays. Aza (25 and 100 nM) showed synergistic interactions with a wide dose range of AraC (left panel) as well as Eto (right panel) in HL60 cells. (C) Calculations of synergisms according to the method of Chou and Talalay. Calculations are based on the means of MTS proliferation assays. In U937 cells 25 and 100 nM of Aza showed synergisms with a variety of AraC (left panel) and Eto (right panel) doses. The results of the colony formation assays are shown (D). HL60 and U937 cells were treated for 24 h with 25 nM of Aza combined with either 25 nM of AraC or 50 nM of Eto and plated in methylcellulose. Colonies containing >50 cells were quantified after 7 days of incubation. Combination treatment indicated synergistic reduction of colony formation.
both cell lines (Fig. 2D and E). A549 cells indicate synergisms for the combination of 25 nM Aza with 25 nM (p=0.003) and 50 nM Cis (p<0.007) as well as with 25 and 50 nM Gem (p=0.0046 and p=0.01). Clonal growth in HTB56 cells treated with 25 nM Aza and 25 nM Cis was synergistically reduced as well (p=0.03) (Fig. 2E).

**Effects of AraC and Eto in AML cell lines are synergistically enhanced by low dose Aza.** We treated two human AML cell lines (HL60 and U937) with Aza, Eto and AraC alone or with combinations of 25 or 100 nM Aza with varying doses of either Eto or AraC. The proliferation assays revealed an enhancement of AraC and Eto activity by low dose Aza in HL60 cells (Fig. 3A) and to a lesser extent in U937 cells (data not shown). Calculation according to Chou and Talalay indicated synergistic drug interactions between these agents. In HL60 cells the combination of 25 nM Aza with 100-2000 nM AraC resulted mainly in very strong synergisms (CI <0.1) whereas the combination of 100 nM Aza with AraC revealed rather strong synergisms (CI 0.1-0.3). In U937 cells these combinations effected weaker synergism and even additive effects (CI 0.9-1.1) in higher AraC doses (Fig. 3C, left panel).

For the combination of 25 and 100 nM with 30-3000 nM Eto we observed a clear dose dependency in regard to the quality of synergistic interaction for both HL60 and U937 cells (Fig. 3B and C, right panel). The strength of synergism decreased with increasing doses of Eto. However, the lowest dose (30 nM Eto) conststantly showed antagonisms when
combined with 25 or 100 nM Aza in both cell lines. Colony formation assays confirmed potential drug synergism after 24-h treatment. We observed a distinct reduction of clonal growth by the combination of 25 nM Aza and 25 nM AraC or 50 nM Eto in both cell lines (Fig. 3D). However, although the colony formation was observably inhibited, the Eto containing combinations failed to reach statistical significance in HL60 (p=0.172) and U937 (p=0.079). Treatment with 25 nM Aza and 25 nM AraC reached significance in HL60 cells (p=0.021), but not in U937 (p=0.051).

Low dose Aza treatment does not affect the cell cycle nor induce increased activation of active caspase-3. To further explore possible mechanisms behind the observed synergisms we analyzed the cell cycle and the apoptosis rate by flow cytometry. HL60 and U937 cells were treated with 25 nM Aza, 100 nM AraC and 100 nM Eto alone and in combination for 24 h. A549 and HTB56 cells were treated with 100 nM Cis and 100 nM Gem along with the respective combinations. The BrdU incorporation flow cytometry revealed no consistent trend towards a specific Aza effect. Aza (25 nM) alone did not cause any alterations compared to the untreated control in the four cell lines. We observed an increase of the G2-phase by Aza plus Eto in both U937 (Fig. 3D, left). Aza plus Gem led to an increase of the S-phase and a shift towards early S-phase in HTB56 cells (Fig. 3D, right panel). The combination of Aza and AraC increased the S-phase in U937 cells as well (Fig. 3A). All alterations were consistent with the effects mediated by single treatment with Eto, AraC and Cis in the respective cell lines. However, the Gem-mediated increase of the S-phase in HTB56 cells was enhanced by the addition of Aza. The cell cycle in A549 was not altered by any treatment. The above results provide no evidence that synergistic drug interactions in Aza containing combinations might be explained by cell cycle alterations.

A549 and HTB56 were also analyzed by active caspase-3 staining after 24-h treatment (Fig. 5). We observed no constant increase in active caspase-3 levels in either cell line by any treatment. Therefore, we found no indication of drug mediated early apoptosis via this pathway after low dose treatment.

Global methylation patterns might not be affected by 25 nM Aza or Aza containing combinations. The DNA methyltransferase inhibitor (DNMTi) Aza leads to global DNA hypomethylation and is able to reactivate silenced tumor suppressor genes by promoter demethylation. To examine...
whether Aza induced alterations in DNA methylation might give a possible explanation for the enhancement of cytotoxic drug activity, thus we performed genome-wide methylation analysis using 450K bead arrays.

Cells were treated equally to those examined in colony formation assays. We analysed HL60 and U937 cells treated with 25 nM Aza and 25 nM AraC and two separate samples of A549 cells treated with 25 nM Aza and 25 nM Cis alone and in combination for 24 h.

The methylation analysis revealed a bimodal methylation distribution with a low methylation peak ranging from average beta (AVB) values 0 to 0.15 and a high-methylation peak ranging from AVB values 0.55 to 0.8 in every tested cell line (Fig. 6A). In both A549 samples the low-methylation
peak contained 38.6–39.2% and the high-methylation peak contained 31.1–32.2% of all CGs. The AML cell lines showed a higher methylation level with a low-methylation peak including 24.8–33.6% and a high-methylation peak including 37.3–48.7% of all CGs. In the histograms, we observed no shift of these peaks, except for the A549 cells (sample 1). In the present study, the treatment with 25 nM Aza caused a discrete shift to lower methylation values which was slightly enhanced by the addition of Cis.

We performed class comparisons to further evaluate drug induced methylation changes. Our analysis revealed 1,046 significant hypomethylated (p<0.05) CGs in AML and 1,778 significant hypomethylated (p<0.05) CGs in NSCLC cell lines after Aza and Aza combination treatment. Only 240 of 1,046 CGs in AML and 798 of 1,778 CGs in NSCLC cells were found on islands (Fig. 6B, left panel). Several of these CGs were associated with candidate tumor suppressor genes, e.g. MGMT, THRBB, L3MBTL4, EDNRB, CDH13 and TGFBR3. However, the CGs associated with these genes were methylated in untreated AML cells but already hypomethylated in NSCLC cells as shown for MGMT (Fig. 6B, right panel).

Low dose Aza induced CG-demethylation increases in a time-dependent manner. To identify long-term effects of Aza and cytotoxic drugs on DNA methylation patterns, we split some of the A549 cells (samples 1 and 2) after the 24-h treatment for bead array analysis and recultured them in Aza and Cis-free medium. After 6 days of incubation we isolated the DNA and performed 450K bead arrays.

We compared the effects of Aza plus Cis combination treatment after 24 h and after the 6-day incubation. The scatterplots showed a shift especially of the low methylated CGs.

Figure 7. Aza DNA demethylation increases time-dependently. Scatterplots of DNA methylation levels (A) for A549 cells treated with Aza and Cis for 24 h (left panel) and A549 cells that were recultured for 6 additional days in drug-free medium after 24 h of treatment (right panel). Data under the diagonal line represent CpG sites that showed lower methylation values in contrast to A549 cells at experiment day 0. Additional incubation time led to an increase of hypomethylated CpG sites especially in the low-methylation group. Volcano Plots of DNA methylation levels (B) for A549 cells treated for 24 h (left panel) or after 24-h treatment followed by additional 6 days of incubation (right panel). A log2 fold-change <0 represents hypomethylated, >0 hypermethylated CpG sites. Colors represent the significance of methylation changes ranging from blue (significant) to black (not significant). The additional incubation led to a 4.1-fold increase of significantly hypomethylated CpG sites. Methylation changes showed only slight variance. (C) Venn diagram of significantly hypomethylated CpG sites in A549 cells after 24-h treatment and 24-h treatment with additional 6 days of incubation in drug free medium. We observed an overlap over 177 CpG sites that were hypomethylated in both samples.
to lower AVB values after the additional 6 days. However, the already high methylated CGs shifted to even higher AVB values (Fig. 7A).

In class comparisons we found 7315 CGs significantly hypomethylated (p<0.05) after Aza and Aza combinational treatment with a 4.1-fold increase compared to analysis after 24-h treatment. We further compared the DNA methylation of untreated and combined treated cells with DNA isolated before the 24-h treatment and found a significantly number of at least 10% demethylated CGs after Aza plus Cis treatment compared to the untreated cells (sample 1, 958-308 CGs; sample 2, 66-40 CGs, Fisher's exact test: p=0.035). The volcano plots also revealed an increase in the number of significantly demethylated CGs through Aza containing treatment. We observed only minor scattering in methylation deviation (Fig. 7B).

To evaluate the consistency of the Aza induced hypomethylation between these two time periods of measurement, we compared the lists of significant hypomethylated CGs. Our findings resulted in an overlap of over 177 CGs indicating that 10% of the CGs being hypomethylated after 24-h treatment remain hypomethylated after the longer incubation times (Fig. 7C). We also correlated the significant hypomethylated CGs with their corresponding genes and found 1,238 and 4,078 genes being affected by at least one hypomethylated CG after 24-h treatment and the following 6-day incubation. A comparison of these genes exposed an overlap of over 564 genes, so that nearly 45% of all genes that were affected by at least one CG after 24-h treatment remained affected after 6 days of incubation.

Discussion

Epigenetic agents might enhance cytotoxic drug antitumor activity. In the present study we observed strong synergisms according to the calculation by Chou and Talalay (22) between low dose Aza and AraC or Eto in AML, respectively, Cis and Gem in NSCLC cell lines. These synergisms showed consistency over a high dose range and different cell lines. Our findings go along with earlier studies that indicate synergistic interactions between Aza and Cis in multiple cancer types (23-25). Furthermore, different studies describe increases in sensitivity to AraC or Eto when combined with DNMT inhibitory drugs Aza and decitabine (26-28). In comparison to these studies we used much lower doses (25 nM Aza) and a shorter treatment time (24 h) but nevertheless observed distinct effects. These low drug concentrations neither altered the cell cycle nor increased the rate of apoptosis measured by active caspase-3 staining. Potential synergisms between Aza and Gem have not been explored previously.

In this study, treatment with Aza alone revealed only discrete effects on cell proliferation. The maximal doses of 2 µM in AML and 5 µM in NSCLC cell lines decreased cell proliferation in MTS assays by <17% after 48-h treatment. A549 cells even appeared to be completely insensitive to Aza. These marginal effects on cell proliferation by doses below 2 µM are consistent with the findings of Hollenbach et al (15) and Murakami et al (29) who described a reduced cell viability at concentrations ≥1 µM respectively >2 µM in four different AML cell lines. Remarkably, colony formation was clearly reduced by the very low dose of 25 nM of Aza for 24 h in three of four cell lines. This decrease of >10% is comparable to the inhibition of colony formation induced by 25 nM AraC, 25 nM and 50 nM cisplatin and even stronger than the effect of 25 nM gemcitabine. It is possible, that the seven days of incubation following the 24 h of treatment might enhance epigenetic Aza effects that result in the observed reduction in colony formation. Additionally, in colony formation assays the cells are not able to create their preferred milieu of growth factors and other stimuli (in contrast to cell suspensions) and therefore might be more vulnerable to the applied drugs (30).

Using higher Aza doses, many authors describe a dose-dependent induction of apoptosis (29,31) and cell cycle aberrations. Hollenbach et al (15) observed an increase of the sub-G1-fraction, while Hagemann et al (18) described an increase of the S phase after doses below 10 µM, respectively a G2 phase arrest for doses ~10 µM Aza. As we found synergistic inhibition of cell proliferation and colony formation after treatment with very low doses of Aza, Cis, AraC, Eto and Gem, we focused on the effects of these low drug concentrations in search for the mechanisms of synergism. In contrast to higher doses, treatment with the respective low doses neither altered the cell cycle nor increased the rate of apoptosis measured by active caspase-3 staining in any way that could be correlated with synergistic interaction.

An important question in regard to combination treatment is the form of drug application schedule. We hypothesize that Aza as an epigenetic agent might be able to sensitize cells for cytotoxic drugs. As epigenetic effects such as demethylation show time-dependence (31), it seems likely that cytotoxic drug activity might be enhanced rather by epigenetic pretreatment than simultaneous epigenetic-cytotoxic therapy. Accordingly, many authors preferred sequential drug application schedules (25-27,32). Nevertheless, Quin et al (33) described synergistic interactions between decitabine and AraC in both simultaneous and sequential treatment. In the present study we observed no difference in antitumor effectiveness between simultaneous and sequential Aza combination treatment with all four cytotoxic drugs. Therefore, we chose the simultaneous application for our further experiments.

There are several possible explanations for the observed synergisms between Aza and cytotoxic agents. Aza is able to reactivate silenced tumor suppressor genes by promoter CpG-demethylation (34). As this is the case, it is a rational hypothesis that synergistic drug-drug interactions might be based on reactivated tumor suppressor and/or chemo sensitivity genes that make cells more vulnerable for cytotoxic treatment. Accordingly, Plumb et al (35) described that pretreatment with decitabine sensitized ovarian carcinoma cells to subsequent cisplatin treatment, most likely due to MLH-1 reactivation. DNMT inhibitory drug-induced promoter demethylation does not necessarily lead to increased gene transcription, but can affect decreased expression (20,36). Effert et al (26) observed that MDR-1 overexpressing leukemic cells were sensitized to several cytotoxic drugs after Aza treatment. Even though Aza led to a massive MDR1 promoter demethylation, gene expression was rather decreased than increased. Additionally,
Kong et al (27) described in an early study that Aza treatment increased the activity of 2'-deoxycytidine kinase (dCK) in dCK-deficient HL60 cells and therefore partially reversed the resistance to AraC. In the present study, we used a very low dose of Aza over a short incubation time. Consequently, we found only a relatively small number of significantly hypomethylated CG sites. We found only a small overlap of CG sites that are significantly hypomethylated in AML and NSCLC cells. Besides, we observed a greater overlap of genes in AML and NSCLC that are associated with significantly hypomethylated CG sites and that might indicate the existance of several target genes. Some of these genes include candidate tumor suppressor genes like MGMT (37,38), THRB (39,40), L3MBTL4 (41), EDNRB (42), CDH13 (43) and TGFB3 (44).

Aza treatment leads to genome-wide demethylation and therefore alters the epigenetic landscape. Demethylation appears preferentially at former high methylated CG sites and results in a shift of the bimodal methylation distribution of the cell; the high-methylation peak shifts to lower AVB values (18). Global hypomethylation appears to be causally linked to chromosomal instability (45,46) and might enhance cytotoxic drug activity, probably by DNA damage-mediated apoptosis (31). Accordingly, Quin et al (33) described that apoptotic cells showed hypomethylation compared to non-apoptotic cells after combination treatment with decitabine and AraC. Thus, hypomethylation might sensitize cells to AraC treatment. Hascher et al (19) also hypothesize that Aza might act rather by change of the global epigenome than by reactivation of single tumor-suppressor genes. This idea is supported by the fact that Aza induces a specific and reproducible methylation pattern, that seems to be dominant over cell line-specific methylation patterns (19,20). Additionally, although genes regulated by Aza and decitabine only show slight overlap (15,20), both agents act synergistically with several cytotoxic drugs, e.g. cisplatin (23-25,47). These data suggest that there might be other or additional underlying mechanisms than specific promoter CG demethylation. In the present study, we only observed small changes in methylation patterns due to the very low dose of Aza. A shift of the bimodal methylation distribution was found in one of four cell lines. Additional incubation time after the 24-h treatment did not lead to major changes in DNA methylation distribution, but resulted in a 4.1-fold increase of significantly hypomethylated Cpg sites in A549 cells. Nearly 10% of those CpG sites that were significantly hypomethylated after 24 h maintaining significantly hypomethylated after the extended treatment schedule (177/1778 CpG sites). These data indicate certain consistency as well as time-dependence of low dose 5-azacytidine induced methylation changes. Although we only found minor DNA methylation changes, we observed distinct synergistic activity which raises the question whether these minimal changes in methylation already make the cells more susceptible for cytotoxic agents, or whether there are further mechanisms independent of methylation.

Aza is incorporated to a much greater extent into RNA than into DNA (15). This distribution suggests that the RNA-incorporation might also be involved in synergistic Aza interactions. The enzyme ribonucleotide reductase (RNR) plays a key role in DNA synthesis, cell growth and DNA repair (48). The catalytic M2-subunit (RRM2) is significant for the enzymatic function (48) and appears to be frequently overexpressed in malignant cells (49,50). Its overexpression leads to the typically increased DNA synthesis in tumors and mediates resistance against nucleoside analogs (51). Aimiuwu et al (52) observed that Aza treatment attenuated RRM2 mRNA as well as total RNA stability and resulted in reduced RNR protein expression. A decrease of RNR activity downregulates the cell’s stock of deoxynucleoside triphosphates (48) and therefore, might increase the incorporation of nucleoside analogs like AraC and gemcitabine. Additionally, Rauscher et al (53) described in an earlier study that RNR inhibition enhances AraC activity. Increased incorporation of nucleoside analogs based on Aza mediated RNR inhibition might be a mechanism for synergistic drug interaction. Furthermore, nucleoside analogs decrease RNR activity themselves by allosteric inhibition of the M2-subunit (48,54). Cis interferes with the M1 subunit and therefore inhibits RNR activity as well (55). Additional inhibition on the RNA level might potentiate the suppression of RNR activity by AraC, gemcitabine and cisplatin. Earlier studies also indicate that synergistic interactions between cisplatin and DNMT inhibitors might be based on methylation-independent mechanisms (32,56). These data suggest that there might be other or additional mechanisms besides DNA hypomethylation enhancing cytotoxic drug activity.

In conclusion, in the present study, we found that Aza is a promising agent for combinational anticancer drug therapy. We used very low Aza concentrations to exclude its cytotoxic activity but concentrated on its potential as an epigenetic agent. We analyzed drug interaction between Aza and four other cytotoxic drugs of different modes of action in four different cell lines and observed synergistic reduced cell proliferation and colony formation. In search for the underlying mechanisms, we found neither increased apoptosis nor cell cycle alterations. 450K bead arrays revealed only few changes in global methylation but nevertheless several CG-sites that were significantly hypomethylated after Aza treatment. The number of significantly hypomethylated CG-sites increased time-dependently. Thus, although formally only observing a correlation between synergistic effects of low-dose Aza with the cytotoxic activity of various anticancer drugs and its demethylizing activity at low doses, a causal relationship between the demethylizing effects of Aza and this synergy is a valid hypothesis to be further studied preclinically and clinically.

The results of the present study could have relevance for the design of new drug combinations for AML or NSCLC therapy. Especially the observed synergistic interactions between Aza and gemcitabine as well as cisplatin in addition to the well-known synergisms between gemcitabine and cisplatin (57) indicate that a triple combination of these agents might be of clinical interest in NSCLC therapy.
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