Abstract. Ovarian cancer is the most lethal cancer of female reproductive system. There is a consistent and urgent need to better understand its mechanism. In this study, we retrieved 186 genes that were dysregulated by at least 4-fold in 594 ovarian serous cystadenocarcinomas in comparison with eight normal ovaries, according to The Cancer Genome Atlas Ovarian Statistics data deposited in Oncomine database. DAVID analysis of these genes enriched two biological processes indicating that the cell cycle and microtubules might play critical roles in ovarian cancer progression. Among these 186 genes, 46 were dysregulated by at least 10-fold and their expression was further confirmed by the Bonome Ovarian Statistics data deposited in Oncomine, which covered 185 cases of ovarian carcinomas and 10 cases of normal ovarian surface epithelium. Six genes, including aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 family, member A2 (ALDH1A2), alcohol dehydrogenase 1B (class I), β polypeptide (ADH1B), NEL-like 2 (chicken) (NELL2), hemoglobin, β (HBB), ATP-binding cassette, sub-family A (ABC1), member 8 (ABCA8) and hemoglobin, α1 (HBA1) were identified to be downregulated by at least 10-fold in 779 ovarian cancers compared with 18 normal controls. Using mRNA expression profiles retrieved from microarrays deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus Profiles database, RT-qPCR measurement and bioinformatics analysis, we further indicated that high expression of HBB might predict a poorer 5-year survival, high expression of ALDH1A2 and ABCA8 might predict a poor outcome; while ALDH1A2, ADH1B, HBB and ABCA8, in particular the former two genes, might be associated with drug resistance, and ALDH1A2 and NELL2 might contribute to invasiveness and metastasis in ovarian cancer. This study thus contributes to our understanding of the mechanism of ovarian cancer progression and development, and the six identified genes may be potential therapeutic targets and biomarkers for diagnosis and prognosis.

Introduction

Ovarian cancer is one of the five leading causes of cancer death in women (1). Analysis of overall five-year survival rates for women with ovarian cancer indicates some improvement in the last 30 years (1975-2009) (2). Nonetheless, these gains are rather modest and there clearly remains a need to better understand the molecular mechanism of ovarian cancer progression to identify new drug targets and biomarkers.

Computational approach-based bioinformatics analyses that utilize diverse biological datasets to generate automated predictions are useful, as they can guide laboratory experiments and facilitate more rapid annotation of genomes (3). Bioinformatics analyses have relied on a variety of genomic and proteomic data, not least including usage of microarray expression data (4), protein/gene interaction and biological processes analysis, we further indicated that high expression of HBB might predict a poorer 5-year survival, high expression of ALDH1A2 and ABCA8 might predict a poor outcome; while ALDH1A2, ADH1B, HBB and ABCA8, in particular the former two genes, might be associated with drug resistance, and ALDH1A2 and NELL2 might contribute to invasiveness and metastasis in ovarian cancer. This study thus contributes to our understanding of the mechanism of ovarian cancer progression and development, and the six identified genes may be potential therapeutic targets and biomarkers for diagnosis and prognosis.

Discovery of microarray-identified genes associated with ovarian cancer progression
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(RNASET2), gametogenetin binding protein 2 (GGNBP2) (10) and SPARC-like 1 (hevin) (SPARCIL) (11) all contribute to the regulation of drug resistance in ovarian cancer.

In this study, using mRNA expression profiles retrieved from the Oncomine online database, we annotated several biological processes that are closely associated with ovarian cancer progression and development. In total, we identified six genes that were downregulated by at least 10-fold in ovarian cancer. Using mRNA expression data retrieved from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Profiles database and RT-qPCR measurement, we further interrogated the phenotypic characteristics of the six genes in ovarian cancer by comprehensive bioinformatics analyses.

Materials and methods

Cell culture. The human epithelial ovarian cancer A2780 and SKOV3 cells were maintained in our laboratory. Cisplatin-resistant cell line A2780-DDP and SKOV3-DDP, and carboplatin-resistant cell line A2780-CBP were established by sequential exposure of cells to increasing concentrations of carboplatin and cisplatin, respectively. The resistance index of A2780-DDP, -CBP and SKOV3-DDP ovarian cancer cells was 1.8, 2.0 and 2.0, respectively. The highly lymphatic metastatic ovarian cancer cells SKOV3-PM was maintained in our laboratory, which was established and maintained as previously described (12). All of the cell lines were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% L-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml of streptomycin, 0.25 µg/ml of Fungizone (Gibco, USA). The cells were cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2.

Real-time quantitative PCR analysis. Total RNA was isolated from cell lines, A2780, A2780-DDP, A2780-CBP, SKOV3, SKOV3-DDP and SKOV3-PM, using TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The quantity and quality of RNA were measured using a Thermo Scientific NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). cDNA was synthesized from 2 µg RNA with the SuperScript® III First Strand Synthesis System (Life Technologies). The mRNA levels of ALDHA1, ADH1B, NELL2, HBB and ABCA8 were determined by Real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR), which was performed with Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies, USA). Data were collected with the Applied Biosystems 7300 Real-time PCR System in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

The RT-qPCR gene-specific primers for ALDHA1 were: (forward primer) 5'-GATGCTGACTTGAGATGCTG-3' and (reverse primer) 5'-CTGTTTCTTTATCAATCTGGG-3'; for ADH1B, the forward primer was 5'-CATTAAAGATGTTGGCCTGTAAGCCA-3' and the reverse primer was 5'-TTTTGACAGTAGTGTGTCTCC-3'; for NELL2, the forward primer was 5'-CTTTTCAAGATCTCCTTCCGAGA-3' and the reverse primer was 5'-TTTTCAAGCATGTAAGCTTCC-3'; for HBB, the forward primer was 5'-CTGTTTCTTTATCAATCTGGG-3'; for ABCA8, the forward primer was 5'-CTTTTCAAGATCTCCTTCC-3' and the reverse primer was 5'-CTTTCAGTCTGTTCTGCCTCC-3'; for GAC-3', the forward primer was 5'-GAGGAGGTTGAGGTCCCG-3' and the reverse primer was 5'-GGAGAAAAGGCTGAACTACC-3'. For GAPDH, used as the control, the forward primer was 5'-GAAGGTGATACAGGCAGATT-3' and the reverse primer 5'-GAAGATGTTGATGGATTTTTT-3'.

Gene expression profiles. Gene expression data were retrieved from the Oncomine (https://www.oncomine.org/resource/login.html) (13) and GEO profiles databases (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/profiles/) (14,15) and cbioPortal for Cancer Genomics (http://cbioportal.org) (16,17). TCGA Ovarian Statistics, Bone Ovarian Statistics, Yoshiihara Ovarian Statistics, Lu Ovarian Statistics and Welsh Ovarian Statistics data are all deposited in Oncomine. Microarrays GDS3592, GDS3297, GDS2785 and GDS3894 are all deposited in GEO profiles. In Oncomine, for the purpose of whole study analysis, P-values are corrected for multiple comparisons by the method of false discovery rates (13). In regard to an independent array, the differentially expressed genes between cancers and normal controls are ranked by P-value (the gene with smallest P-value is ranked number 1). The top 500 up- and down-regulated genes with the smallest P-values from the TCGA Ovarian Statistics data were retrieved for further analysis. In the GEO profiles database, only one probe set with significant variability in statistics was retained when there were two probe sets targeting one gene. When there were more than three probe sets targeting one gene, the set exhibiting the most divergent expression would first be excluded, and then the set with significant variability in statistics was retained. The clinical data of 489 cases of ovarian carcinoma with gene expression value in TCGA datasets was retrieved from the cbioPortal for Cancer Genomics (16,17).

Bioinformatics analyses. Enrichment of the biological processes of a group of genes was performed using the DAVID online tool (http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/) (18,19). Annotation of biological processes with genes was performed using the Coremine Medical online database (http://www.coremine.com/medical/) (20). The protein/gene-protein/gene interaction analysis was performed using the GeneMANIA online tool (http://www.genemania.org/) (21,22).

Data analysis. The data were analyzed by SPSS 20.0 software. The mRNA expression of a gene is presented as means ± SD. The measurement data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA. The probability of survival and significance was calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method and log-rank test. Expression values of a gene were dichotomized into high and low expression using the median as a cutoff for Kaplan-Meier analysis, in accordance with a previous study (23). P-values of <0.05 were considered to indicate statistically significant differences.

Results

Biological process enrichment of differentially expressed genes in ovarian serous cystadenocarcinomas. The TCGA Ovarian microarrays deposited in Oncomine (13) were selected to mine significantly expressed genes in ovarian cancer. According to the ranked P-value, the top 500 up- and down-regulated genes in 594 ovarian serous cystadenocarcinomas in comparison with eight normal ovaries were retrieved
for further analysis (P<1.07E-6 and P<1.73E-5, respectively). Among these, 60 and 126 genes were up- and down-regulated by at least 4-fold, respectively (the list of 186 genes is shown in Table I). These 186 genes were submitted to biological process enrichment using DAVID (18,19). As shown in Table II, the two highly-enriched clusters covered a total of 15 biological processes. Cluster 1 included 12 biological processes, which all were cell cycle-related, and cluster 2 included three biological processes, which all were microtubule-related. Cell cycle- and microtubule-related processes covered a total of 40 genes and 24 genes, respectively. These results indicated that microtubules and the cell cycle, in particular the latter, might play crucial roles in ovarian cancer development and progression.

Six genes are significantly downregulated by at least 10-fold in ovarian cancers. Among the 60 and 126 genes that were up- and down-regulated by at least 4-fold in ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, nine and 37 were up- and down-regulated by at least 10-fold, respectively (Table I). The expression of 16 of these 126 genes including aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 family, member A2 (ALDH1A2), alcohol dehydrogenase 1B (class I), β polypeptide (ADH1B), NEL-like 2 (chicken) (NEL2), hemoglobin, β (HBB), ATP-binding cassette, sub-family A (ABC1), member 8 (ABC8) and hemoglobin, α1 (HBA1) were downregulated by at least 10-fold in both the TCGA Ovarian Statistics and Bonome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Fold</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Fold</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Fold</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Fold</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Fold</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Fold</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Fold</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Fold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOP2A</td>
<td>18.64</td>
<td>KIF20A</td>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>PRC1</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>HJURP</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>BIRC5</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>ZWILCH</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTK</td>
<td>15.15</td>
<td>CDKN3</td>
<td>8.28</td>
<td>TFAP2A</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>COL1A1</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>CCNA2</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>MAD2L1</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPF2</td>
<td>13.89</td>
<td>DLGAP5</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>CCNE1</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>KIF11</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>NCPAD2</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>APOBEC3B</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRAME</td>
<td>12.32</td>
<td>CEP55</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>RACGAP1</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>BUB1</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>MCM4</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>LOXL2</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENPA</td>
<td>11.78</td>
<td>BUB1B</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>AURKA</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>CHODL</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>MEST</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>TRIB3</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELK</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>ATAD2</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td>CDKN2A</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>STIL</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>APOA1</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>CKAP2</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECT2</td>
<td>10.21</td>
<td>COL1A1</td>
<td>7.66</td>
<td>NDC80</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>TMEM71</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>CRABP1</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>CHEK1</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBE2C</td>
<td>10.18</td>
<td>KPN2A</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>DTL</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>TK1</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>FANC1</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>OIP5</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMU</td>
<td>10.11</td>
<td>SMC4</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>FOXM1</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>KIF1A</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>ESPL1</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>MKI67</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUSAP1</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>CKD1</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>PTH2R</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>CDC3A</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>CDC7</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>GBP1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. The 60 and 126 genes up- and down-regulated by at least 4-fold in 594 ovarian serous cystadenocarcinomas in comparison with eight normal ovaries (P<1.07E-6 and P<1.73E-5, respectively), according to The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) Ovarian Statistics data deposited in the Oncomine database.
Ovarian Statistics data. Moreover, \textit{ADH1B}, \textit{HBB}, \textit{ABCA8} and \textit{HBA1} were downregulated by at least 10-fold in 43 cases of ovarian serous adenocarcinoma compared with 10 samples of peritoneum, according to the Yoshihara Ovarian Statistics data. In addition, \textit{HBB} was downregulated by 27.423-fold in 20 cases of ovarian serous adenocarcinoma compared with five cases of ovarian surface epithelium according to Lu Ovarian Statistics, and downregulated by 16.061-fold in 28 cases of ovarian serous surface papillary carcinoma compared with four ovaries according to welsh Ovarian Statistics. The results above indicated that \textit{ALDH1A2}, \textit{ADH1B}, \textit{NELL2}, \textit{HBB}, \textit{ABCA8} and \textit{HBA1} were stably and strongly downregulated in ovarian cancers, suggested that these genes might play critical roles in ovarian cancer progression.

The mRNA expression of these six genes in ovarian cancer cells was examined on the basis of microarray data (GDS3592) retrieved from the GEO online database. As shown in Fig. 1, with the exception of \textit{HBA1}, the other five genes were all downregulated in ovarian cancer epithelial cells compared with ovarian normal surface epithelial; in particular, the expression of \textit{ALDH1A2}, \textit{ADH1B} and \textit{ABCA8} was downregulated by at least 2-fold in cancer cells. The coding sequences of \textit{HBA1} and \textit{HBA2} are identical, as described in the summary of \textit{HBA1} (NCBI Gene iD: 3039), thus the expression status of \textit{HBA1} was not determined.

Potential roles of the six genes in ovarian cancer. We used the Coremine Medical online database/tool (20) to further analyze the potential roles of the six identified genes in ovarian cancer. On the basis of the direct relations between the genes in ovarian cancer (Fig. 2), \textit{ALDH1A2}, \textit{HBB}, \textit{ABCA8} and \textit{HBA1} might be associated with prognosis in ovarian cancer, while \textit{ALDH1A2}, \textit{HBB}, \textit{ABCA8} and \textit{HBA1} might be associated with drug resistance, and \textit{HBB} and \textit{HBA1} might be associated with recurrence, metastasis and invasiveness in ovarian cancer.

The correlation of \textit{HBB} with prognosis in ovarian cancer was supported by an analysis of \textit{HBB} expression in 20 patients with stage III serous ovarian adenocarcinomas who survived more than 5 years and 34 patients who died within 5 years, in accordance with microarray data (GDS3297) retrieved from GEO (25). \textit{HBB} mRNA expression was significantly increased.
by 3.39-fold in 34 ovarian cancer patients who died within 5 years (Fig. 3). As shown in Table IV, ANOVA test indicated that only HBB exhibited a significant difference between the two groups. These results revealed that high expression of HBB might affect the prognosis of patients with stage III serous ovarian adenocarcinomas.

The correlation of ALDH1A2 and ABCA8 with prognosis in ovarian cancer was supported by analysis of their expression with overall survival time on the basis of TCGA clinical data. A total of 557 TCGA ovarian cancer patient samples with clinical data were retrieved from cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics (http://cbioportal.org) (16,17). Among those, 489 samples with mRNA expression value were selected for analysis the relationship of each gene expression with survival time. The expression values of a gene were categorized high or low by the median value in accordance with a previous study (23). The associations of ALDH1A2, ADH1B, NELL2, HBB and ABCA8 with disease free status and overall survival were analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier. As shown in Fig. 4, among the 5 genes, the high expression of ALDH1A2 and ABCA8 predicted a
poor prognosis (39.400±2.202 vs. 47.600±2.884 months, and 41.000±2.427 vs. 45.100±2.476 months, respectively).

The association of ALDH1A2, HBB and ABCA8 with drug resistance was further supported by RT-qPCR analysis and a gene expression analysis in 9 adenocarcinomas from ovarian cancer patients not pre-treated with chemotherapy prior to surgery and 24 adenocarcinomas from patients treated with chemotherapy, in accordance with microarray data (GDS2785) retrieved from GEO (26). As shown in Fig. 5A, mRNA expression of ALDH1A2, ADH1B, HBB and ABCA8 was significantly increased in ovarian cancer patients after chemotherapy in comparison with patients who did not receive chemotherapy. The RT-qPCR results indicated that the expression of ALDH1A2, ADH1B and ABCA8 were significantly upregulated in SKOV3-DDP cells, ADH1B and ABCA8 were significantly upregulated in A2780-DDP and -CBP cells, consistent with their expression according to microarray data (GDS2785), although the expression of ALDH1A2 was not detected in A2780 and its drug-resistant cells, and the opposite expression profiles of HBB were acquired (Fig. 5B and C). In particular, the expression of ALDH1A2 and ADH1B was extremely highlighted in drug-resistant cells. These results suggested that the four genes might be involved in drug resistance in ovarian cancer.

Protein/gene interaction networks indicated that the four genes directly or indirectly interacted with 15 drug resistance-related tumor suppressor genes (TSGs) (27) and 25 oncogenes (28) in ovarian cancer, via co-expression, co-localization, genetic interactions, physical interactions, shared common pathways and protein domains (Fig. 6), indicating a functional relationship (27,28). Among the 40 genes, ALDH1A2 directly interacted with nine genes/proteins including WW domain containing oxidoreductase (WWOX), Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog (KRAS), jun proto-oncogene (JUN), BCL2-associated X protein (BAX), ninein-like (NINL), signal transducer and
activator of transcription 3 (acute-phase response factor) (STAT3), MET proto-oncogene, receptor tyrosine kinase (MET), v-erb-b2 avian erythroblastic leukemia viral oncoprotein homolog 2 (ERBB2) and notch 3 (NOTCH3); ADH1B directly interacted with 12 genes/proteins including programmed cell death 4 (neoplastic transformation inhibitor) (PDCD4), F-box protein 32 (FBXO32), phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN), tumor protein p73 (TP73), sulfatase 1 (SULF1), FBJ murine osteosarcoma viral oncogene homolog (FOS), JUN, MET, clusterin (CLU), ERBB2, actinin, α4 (ACTN4) and v-akt murine thymoma viral oncogene homolog 2 (AKT2); ABCA8 directly interacted with 10 genes/proteins including PDCD4, breast cancer 2, early onset (BRCA2), TP73, FOS, CUB and zona pellucida-like domains 1 (CUZD1), prefoldin-like chaperone (URI1), nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B-cells 1 (NFKB1), epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), CLU and inhibitor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B-cells, kinase epsilon (IKBKE); and HBB directly interacted with 12 genes/proteins including PDCD4, FOS, JUN, B-cell CLL/lymphoma 2 (BCL2), URI1, NINL, BRCA2, NFKB1, EGFR, CLU, v-akt murine thymoma viral oncoprotein homolog 1 (AKT1) and AKT2. These results suggested that the four genes and their directly interacting genes/proteins might be critically related in function. Particularly, ALDH1A2 exhibited genetic interactions with BAX. BAX is downstream of oncogene-mediated drug resistance pathways with respect to 22 oncogenes, and plays central roles in the regulation of drug resistance in ovarian cancer (28). Moreover, ADH1B, HBB and ABCA8 all were co-expressed with CLU, FOS and PDCD4, all of which are important regulators of drug resistance in ovarian cancer. For example, PDCD4 is a TSG that can promote cisplatin-induced apoptosis, mainly by activating the death receptor pathway, and PDCD4 gene transfer in combination with cisplatin therapy may reverse the chemotherapeutic resistance of ovarian cancer cells (29). Additionally, ALDH1A2, ADH1B, HBB and ABCA8 were all co-expressed according to the interaction network (Fig. 6). All these results supported the opinion that ALDH1A2, ADH1B, HBB and ABCA8 might contribute to drug resistance in ovarian cancer.

ALDH1A2, NELL2, HBB and ABCA8 might be associated with invasiveness and metastasis in ovarian cancer. Mouse ovarian surface epithelial (MOSE) cells at various stages (early
and late) as they transition from a pre-neoplastic/non-malignant state to a malignant/invasive state provide a useful model (30). As shown in Fig. 7A, on the basis of microarray data from the MOSE model of ovarian cancer cells (GDS3894) retrieved from GEO, the mRNA expression of ALDH1A2 and NELL2 was significantly increased in MOSE late cells compared with MOSE early cells. Besides, the expression of ALDH1A2, NELL2, HBB and ABCA8 were significantly dysregulated in highly lymphatic metastatic ovarian cancer cells (Fig. 7B), and the extremely high expression of ALDH1A2 and NELL2 was consistent with their expression in MOSE late cells. These results suggested that dysregulation of those genes, particularly the upregulation of ALDH1A2 and NELL2 probably contributed to ovarian cancer invasiveness and metastasis.

**Discussion**

Oncomine is a cancer microarray database and web-based data-mining platform aimed at facilitating discovery from genome-wide expression analyses (13). Oncomine currently contains 715 datasets comprising 86,733 samples, in which 43 ovarian cancer microarray datasets are included (accessed on May 29, 2014). TCGA Ovarian statistics is an ovarian cancer microarray dataset collated by Oncomine. TCGA research network completed an ovarian cancer study and released the dataset to the public, which includes thousands of microarray datasets from more than 500 ovarian tumor samples (31). Thus, this data portal provides a great platform for researchers to search, download, and the analysis of data generated by TCGA. TCGA data have been used to study the chemotherapy response (7), associations between germline genotype and survival (32), gene-gene interactions (33) and microRNA analysis (34) in ovarian cancer. Therefore, in this study, the TCGA data were used to retrieve the most dysregulated genes in ovarian cancer.

GEO Profiles is an international public repository for high-throughput microarray and next-generation sequence functional genomic datasets submitted by the research community. By 2012, the GEO database hosted >32,000 public series (study records) submitted directly by 13,000 laboratories, comprising 800,000 samples derived from >1,600 organisms (35). The datasets submitted to GEO are often in compliance with grant or journal directives that require data to be made publicly available in a MIAME-supportive (36) database. As a result, GEO has supporting data and links to almost 20,000 published manuscripts (35). In this study, the four microarray datasets used for the measurement of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ALDH1A2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between groups</td>
<td>0.774</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.774</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within groups</td>
<td>56.128</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1.145</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>56.902</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NELL2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between groups</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within groups</td>
<td>6.423</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6.671</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HBB</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between groups</td>
<td>7.154</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.154</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within groups</td>
<td>38.344</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.036</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>45.499</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ABCA8</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between groups</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within groups</td>
<td>9.374</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9.498</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADH1B expression was only detected in a few patients, and the data was therefore not sufficient for statistical analysis.

**Table IV. ANOVA test of gene differences in surviving and deceased ovarian cancer patients at 5 years.**

---

Figure 7. mRNA expression of genes in malignant/invasive and highly metastatic ovarian cancer cells. (A) mRNA expression in MOSE model of ovarian cancer cells based on microarray data (GDS3894) retrieved from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). The MOSE early cells were in a pre-neoplastic/non-malignant state, and the MOSE late cells were in a malignant/invasive state (30). (B) mRNA expression in SKOV3 and highly lymphatic metastatic SKOV3-PM cells (4 biological replicates each). **P<0.01.
Cancer is frequently considered to be a disease of the cell cycle as alterations in different families of cell cycle regulators cooperate in tumor development. Molecular analysis of human tumors has shown that cell cycle regulators are frequently mutated in human neoplasms, which underscores how important the maintenance of cell cycle commitment is in the prevention of human cancer (37). Dysregulation of cell cycle control, in particular G1-S-phase transition, is implicated in the pathogenesis of most human cancers, including epithelial ovarian cancer. The regulatory pathways controlling cell cycle phases include several oncogenes and TSGs that display a range of abnormalities with potential usefulness as treatment responses in epithelial ovarian cancer (38). In this study, based on the biological process enrichment of 186 highly differentially expressed genes in ovarian serous cystadenocarcinomas (Table I), we revealed that the top enriched biological process was cell cycle-related, covering 40 of the catalogued 186 genes (Table II). These results indicated a central role of cell cycle in ovarian cancer progression and development, and aberrant expression of cell cycle genes is a potential target of therapy and earlier diagnostic markers (37,38).

Six genes, ALDH1A2, ADH1B, NELL2, HBB, ABCA8 and HBA1, were downregulated by at least 10-fold in ovarian cancers according to the TCGA Ovarian Statistics and Bonome Ovarian Statistics microarray data, which cover 594 ovarian serous cystadenocarcinomas in comparison with eight normal ovaries, and 185 ovarian carcinomas in comparison with 10 ovarian surface epitheliums, respectively (Table III). ADH1B, HBB, ABCA8 and HBA1 were also downregulated by at least...
10-fold in other microarrays retrieved from Oncomine. Due to their stable and strong downregulation in ovarian cancers, we concluded that the six genes are potential candidates for early diagnosis and prognosis. This conclusion agreed with our findings for ALDH1A2, HBB and ABCA8. Compared with the expression of 20 surviving ovarian cancer patients at 5 years and 34 deceased ovarian cancer patients at 5 years, the high expression of HBB indeed predicted a poor 5-year survival (Fig. 3 and Table IV), and the high expression of ALDH1A2 and ABCA8 predicted a poor outcome (Fig. 4). This conclusion is also consistent with previous studies reporting that HBB is a biomarker for early detection (39) and ABCA8 is associated with poor outcome (23).

HBB is one of the globin chain components of haemoglobin A, whose basic function is oxygen transport (40). HBB was found to be significantly downregulated in 11 anaplastic thyroid cancer cell lines, and a cell proliferation assay suggested that HBB might be a novel TSG (41). Analysis of primary and post-treatment samples (12 tumor and eight normal) by the Affymetrix platform (HG U133 plus 2) identified 119 genes as differentially regulated in recurrent tumors, of which HBB alone, and collagen, type VI (COL5A1) and HBB in combination, have the best predictive power for treatment response in patients with oral tongue cancer (42). In ovarian cancer, HBB protein is downregulated in sera collected from rats bearing serous ovarian carcinoma, and could be used as a diagnostic biomarker for early detection, disease monitoring and as a therapeutic target (39). ABCA8 is a member of the ABCA family, which is one of the subfamilies of ABC transporter genes (43,44). High-level expression of ABCA8 in primary tumors was significantly associated with reduced survival in serous ovarian cancer patients, and the combined expression pattern of ABC1, member 1 (ABCA1), ABC1, member 5 (ABCA5), and ABCA8 was associated with particularly poor outcome, independent of tumor stage or surgical debulking status (23).

The mRNA expression of ALDH1A2, ADH1B, HBB and ABCA8 was increased when patients were treated with chemotherapies (Fig. 5A); RT-qPCR measurement indicated that the 4 genes were significantly dysregulated in cisplatin and carboplatin-resistant ovarian cancer cells (Fig. 5B and C), especially the ALDH1A2 and ADH1B, were significantly upregulated. These results suggested that the four genes related to chemotherapeutic drug resistance in ovarian cancer. This conclusion was further supported by protein/gene interaction analysis (Fig. 6), in which these four genes were found to directly/indirectly interact with 15 TSGs and 25 oncogenes that all play important roles in the regulation of drug resistance in ovarian cancer (27,28). Consistent with our conclusions, the previous studies indicated that ADH1B and ABCA8 may be associated with drug resistance in ovarian cancer (45,46). ADH1B encodes a critical enzyme in alcohol metabolism, and may cause variations in the amount of production and/or oxidation of acetaldehyde between individuals (47).

The association of ADH1B with cancer is mainly focused on its polymorphisms. For example, ADH1B polymorphisms are associated with risk of head and neck (48), esophageal (49) and gastric cancer (50). In ovarian cancer, high ADH1B expression is associated with significantly higher risk of residual disease in high-grade serous ovarian cancer, and patients with high tumoral levels may be candidates for neoadjuvant chemotherapy (45). In addition, ADH1B is one gene in a group that encodes xenobiotic-metabolizing enzymes in pulmonary parenchyma and bronchial mucosa tissues from patients with non-small cell lung cancer (51). The presence of xenobiotic-metabolizing enzymes has been proved to play a role in determining the intrinsic drug resistance of breast cancer to a variety of anti-cancer drugs (52,53). These results together suggest that ADH1B might be implicated in drug resistance in cancer. ABCA8 has been proven to be a new drug transporter of the ABC-subfamily in humans (54).

In ovarian cancer, ABCA8 is significantly (>10-fold) increased in drug-resistant A2780 cells (55), consistent with the results in this study (Fig. 5). However, a controversial study indicated that ABCA8 was significantly downregulated in methotrexate, cisplatin, doxorubicin, vincristine, topotecan and paclitaxel-resistant variant of the W1 ovarian cancer cell line (46). Associations of ALDH1A2 with ovarian cancer is rare, with only one study indicating that ALDH1A2 is a widespread stem-cell marker expressed in both ovarian surface epithelium and epithelium of oviductal fimbriae in which high-grade serous ovarian carcinomas may originate (56). However, it has been proven that ALDH1A2 is involved in drug resistance in other cancers. ALDH1A2 encodes an enzyme responsible for the synthesis of retinoic acid, a compound with prodifferentiation properties. ALDH1A2 is identified to be a candidate TSG in prostate cancer whose expression is induced by DNA demethylation, which is reduced in prostate tumors compared with normal prostate, and negatively correlated with tumor-free survival (57). A further study indicate that ALDH1A2 is involved in apoptosis in bladder cancer cells (58), and has significant effects on cell proliferation and drug resistance in leukemia (59,60) and lung cancer cell lines (59). A more recent study indicate that high expression of ALDH1A2 is implicated in conveying tolerance to cisplatin in the three malignant pleural mesothelioma cell lines (61).

The mRNA expression of ALDH1A2 and NELL2 was notably increased in MOSE late cells, which are in a malignant/invasive state, compared with MOSE early cells, which are in a pre-neoplastic/non-malignant state; increased ALDH1A2 expression was most remarkable, at approximately 30-fold (Fig. 7A). In addition, the mRNA expression of the 2 genes was notably increased in highly lymphatic metastatic ovarian cancer cells (Fig. 7B). These results, together with de biological process annotation (Fig. 2), indicated that ALDH1A2 and NELL2 might contribute to ovarian cancer invasiveness and metastasis. The relationship of ALDH1A2 with cancer invasiveness has not been previously reported, while the overexpression of NELL2 was shown to increase the invasive ability of ovarian cancer cells; this effect was enhanced when NELL2 and E2F transcription factor 1 (E2F1) were coexpressed (62). NELL2 also is found to be predominantly expressed in neuroblastoma and other embryonal neuroepithelial tumors (63), and participates in the proliferation, differentiation, and oncogenesis of colorectal cancer cells (64).

In summary, on the basis of bioinformatic analyses of mRNA expression profiles retrieved from the Oncomine and GEO Profiles online databases, we enriched two biological processes (cell cycle- and microtubule-related) and identified six genes (ALDH1A2, ADH1B, NELL2, HBB, ABCA8 and...
HBA1) (Fig. 8) that all were associated with ovarian cancer progression. This study contributes to the understanding of the mechanism of ovarian cancer progression, and the identified genes are potential therapeutic targets and biomarkers for diagnosis and prognosis in ovarian cancer.
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