Establishment and characterization of GCSR1, a multi-drug resistant signet ring cell gastric cancer cell line
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Abstract. Signet ring cell gastric cancer (SRCGC) has very poor prognosis worldwide, and studying its molecular characteristics is urgent for improving the outcome. However, few well-characterized SRCGC cell lines are available for research. Therefore, we established a novel cell line GCSR1, from a Chinese male SRCGC patient. Cell morphology of GCSR1 in culture, maintained in vitro for over 90 passages, is similar to the cells from the patient. GCSR1 cells proliferated in vitro with a doubling time of 67.65 h. Karyotyping showed they were aneuploid. Missense mutation occurred in codon 193 of P53 and deletion occurred in exons 1 and 3 of P16. Results of CCK8 assay revealed that GCSR1 was more resistant to 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) and mitomycin (MMC) than other gastric cancer cell lines. Stem cell marker assay by flow cytometry showed that GCSR1 had high proportion of CD44+ and/or CD133 + cells. It formed colonies easily in soft agar and generated xenograft tumors in nude mice. In conclusion, GCSR1 is a well-established, well-characterized multi-drug resistant cell line with abundant cancer stem cells.

Introduction

Gastric cancer is the second leading cause of death from cancer in the world with low survival and high recurrence especially for the advanced patients (1-3). Signet ring cell gastric cancer (SRCGC) is a histologic diagnosis based on microscopic characteristics as described by the World Health Organization (4). It is reported that the diffuse type gastric cancer, especially the signet ring cell subtype, has become more prevalent and incidence of SRCGC has an abrupt increase of 99.8% in recent years (5). Moreover, it is more malignant in clinic and has worse prognosis than other forms of gastric cancer (6). Cisplatin (DDP), 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), mitomycin (MMC), and epirubicin (EPI) are the most effective anticancer drugs used in chemotherapy of gastric cancer. However, >70% of patients are resistant to these drugs (7) and the mechanism for drug-resistance is not yet fully understood.

The cancer stem cells (CSCs) theory provides new insight into cancer drug-resistance, initiation, development, and metastasis (8,9). A number of studies have shown that CD44-positive stem cells play an important role in drug resistance in breast cancer and multiple myeloma (10,11). For gastric cancer, CD44 has been demonstrated to be the most widely used marker for cancer stem cells (12). CD44-positive cells have been demonstrated to exist in many gastric cancer cell lines and show spheroid colony formation ability in vitro and tumorigenic ability in vivo. CD133 is another widely used stem cell marker associated with poor survival in gastric patients (13) and it has not been fully studied in cell lines. Function of stem cells in drug resistance for gastric cancer is not fully understood.

Gastric cancer has been demonstrated to contain CSCs in surface marker assays in many cell lines. Interestingly, the CSC is heterogeneous in different gastric cell lines (12,14,15), and its proportion is low in most of them. Among these cell lines only one of the SRCGC has been studied. Some SRCGC cell lines have been established only in Japan but have not been fully studied (16-18). The study of gastric cancer stem cells has been delayed due to a lack of proper cell line models.
However, more cell lines are urgently needed because of the heterogeneity in gastric cancer and increasing incidence of SRCGC.

The main purpose of our study was to establish a new SRCGC cell line model for drug resistance and CSCs study. We cultured cells from 6 patients with SRCGC and successfully established one reliable cell line named as GCSR1. Its chemotherapeutic response to most widely used drugs was evaluated and the proportions of CD44 and CD133 were determined. The results show that the cell line was drug-resistant and contained abundant cancer stem cells. Thus, the GCSR1 is a useful tool for the drug-resistance and cancer stem cell studies.

Materials and methods

Patients. The cell line was derived from the malignant primary site from a 57-year-old Chinese male patient with gastric cancer, of which the pathological diagnosis was SRCGC. The patient underwent surgical resection and received FOLFOX6 treatment after the surgery. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Zhejiang University, and informed consent was signed by the patient prior to the study.

Establishment of the cell line. Neoplastic tissue was obtained from the primary site of the gastric cancer patient and xenografted into nude mice. When the xenografts reached ~10 mm in diameter, the tumors were excised to create the cell line GCSR1. Xenografts were minced by sterile blades, and cells were placed in 1640 medium with 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS). The cells were purified with differential adhesion technique (19). The cells grew well at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2 and 95% air. Without interruption, >90 serial passages were successively carried out during a period of >2 years. The cells continue to exhibit stable growth.

Cell culture. The human gastric cancer cell lines SGC7901 and KATO-III were kept in our laboratory. SGC7901 was cultured under adherent conditions in RPMI-1640 (Hyclone) with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS). The cells were purified with differential adhesion technique (19). The cells grew well at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2 and 95% air. Without interruption, >90 serial passages were successively carried out during a period of >2 years. The cells continue to exhibit stable growth.

Cell proliferation assay. Cells were plated on a 96-well plate (2,000 cells/well) and 42 parallel wells were subjected to the cell proliferation assay for 7 days, 6 wells each. Cell-Counting kit (CCK-8) reagent (BestBio) was added into the well and incubated for 3 h before reading (450 nm) using a multiwell spectrophotometer (Bio-Rad).

Karyotyping. G-banding was conducted for karyotyping of GCSR1. GCSR1 cells were cultured in a 37°C CO2 incubator and 24 h later exponentially growing cells were exposed to colcemid (0.8 µg/ml) for 5 h at 37°C for cell cycle arrest at metaphase. Following hypotonic treatment and fixation, the slides were made by dropping cells on glass slides and stained by Giemsa using a routine laboratory procedure.

Soft agar colony formation assay. Cells (500-1,000) were suspended in 0.3% agarose (0815, Amresco) in RPMI-1640 containing 20% FBS. This suspension was overlaid onto a solid layer of 0.6% agarose in a 6-well plate. The cells were treated with fresh RPMI-1640 containing 20% FBS every other day. Three weeks later, the cells were photographed under a microscope.

Mutation analysis of P53 and P16. Genomic DNA was extracted from GCSR1 using AxyPrep DNA Gel Extraction kit. Polymerase chain reactions (PCR) of P53 exons 5-8 and P16 exons 1 and 3 were performed. PCR products were sequenced (Invitrogen). The oligonucleotide primers were 5-GGA ATT CCT CTT CCT GCA GTA CTC C-3 (forward) and 5-GGA ATT CAG TTGCAAACC AAAC-3 (reverse) for exons 5 and 6 of P53 (378 bp); 5-GGA ATT CTC CTA GTG TGG TCT TGA C-3 (forward) and 5-GGA ATT CCT GCT GTG TGT CTA CCT CGC T-3 (reverse) for exons 7 and 8 of P53 (590 bp); 5-TCT GCG GAG AGG GGG AGA GCA G-3 (forward) and 5-GCG CTA CTA GAT CCC AAT TC-3 (reverse) for exon 1 of P16 (280 bp); 5-GGA TGT TCC ACA CAT CTT GTG TCC TGA C-3 (forward) and 5-ATG AAA ACT ACG AAA GCG GG-3 (reverse) for exon 3 of P16 (189 bp). The PCR conditions were: 30 cycles of 30 sec denaturation at 95°C, 30 sec annealing at 55°C for P53 and 58°C for P16, 40 sec extension at 74°C, and 10 min final extension at 72°C. Subsequently, PCR products were loaded onto gels running for 20 min at 70 V.

Flow cytometry analysis. Seventy-nine percent confluent cells in a 100-mm cell plate were dissociated from plates using trypsin-EDTA (Invitrogen), centrifuged, and then stained with CD44 (11-0441, BD Biosciences), isotype control antibody CD44 (11-4041, BD Biosciences), isotype control antibody CD44 (11-4031, BD Biosciences) or CD133 (130-080-801, Miltenyi Biotec), isotype control antibody CD133 (130-092-212, Miltenyi Biotec) at 4°C for 30 min. Analyses were performed using a BD FACS Canto II (BD Biosciences).

Tumorigenicity in nude mice. To determine the tumorigenicity of the cell lines in vivo, 6x10^4 viable cells were suspended in 200 µl PBS and subcutaneously injected into 5 nude mice. The mice were examined every three days.

Chemosensitivity assays. The anticancer drugs, 5-FU (F6627), DDP (P4394), MMC (Y000378Y) and EPI (E9406) were from Sigma-Aldrich. All drugs were used as recommended by the supplier. Sensitivity of GCSR1 cells to each drug was examined using the CCK-8 kit (BestBio). Briefly, cells were plated at a density of 2x10^4 cells per well in 96-well plates containing 100 µl of culture medium. After 24-h incubation at 37°C, drugs were added into wells at a total volume of 10 µl per well and incubated for an additional 72 h. CCK-8 reagent was then added into each well and incubated for 3 h before reading at a wavelength of 450 nm using a multiwell spectrophotometer (Bio-Rad). IC_{50} values were calculated using GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad software) at least three independent experiments.

Migration and invasion assays. Briefly, 8x10^5 cells were plated on upper chambers of Transwell plates (Corning) and 700 µl of RPMI-1640 with 10% FBS was added to the lower chambers. The plates were then placed in an incubator at 37°C with 5% CO2 for 12 h.
After incubation, the cells remaining in the upper chamber were carefully removed, and the Transwell membranes were fixed with dehydrated ethanol and stained with 0.2% crystal violet. To count the fixed cells, photographs were taken from 5 independent fields at x200 magnification. Independent experiments were performed in triplicate.

For the cell invasion assay, Matrigel (356234, BD Biosciences) was thawed on ice at 4°C overnight and diluted with serum-free medium at a ratio of 1:9. The Transwell chambers were coated with 40 µl of diluted Matrigel in a 24-well plate and incubated at 37°C for 2 h before 1.5x10^5 cells in serum-free RPMI-1640 medium were seeded into the prepared Transwell chambers. Then, 700 µl of 1640 with 10% FBS was added to the lower chambers. The 24-well plate was incubated at 37°C with 5% CO_2 for 12 h. Cells were stained and counted as in the migration assay.

**Western blotting.** Western blotting was performed with a SDS-PAGE electrophoresis system. Briefly, 20-µg protein samples were resuspended in a reduced sample buffer, and then electrophoresed on an 8-10% Tris gel with Tris running buffer, blotted to PVDF membrane, and sequentially probed with primary antibodies against Her2 (1:200, SC-284, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), EGFR (1:10,000, ab32077, Abcam), E-cad (1:1,000, ab1416, Abcam), vimentin (1:200, Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Secondary antibodies coupled to horseradish peroxidase (HRP) were detected through autoradiography using enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL Plus, Millipore). Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) (1:5,000) (KC-5G4, Kangchen) was used as a loading control.

**Statistical analysis.** The unpaired 2-tailed t-test was used with GraphPad Prism 5. P<0.01 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Morphological features.** The culture cells from passages 38 and 70 were analyzed by a microscope and H&E staining for the morphological feature to confirm that the cell line was signet ring cell origin and stable in vitro. GCSR1 presented various shapes under the microscope at passage 38 (Fig. 1A).
and the morphology was similar with passage 70 (Fig. 1B). H&E staining of cells at passages 38 (Fig. 1C) and 70 (Fig. 1D) both showed typical signet ring cell morphology, in which the cells were filled with mucin vacuoles that pushed the nucleus to one side. Further, the cell culture implantation and primary tumor from animals were analyzed by H&E staining to confirm the cell line was pathologically identical to primary tumor, which showed that GCSR1 cells (Fig. 1E) were similar to the primary tumor in vivo (Fig. 1F).

**Proliferation features.** The GCSR1 cells remained in culture for >90 passages and became a stable cell line. It proliferated with a doubling time of approximately 67.65 h (Fig. 2A) in normal culture and demonstrated anchorage-independence and is able to form colonies in soft agar (Fig. 2B and C).

**Karyotype analysis.** Karyotype analysis showed that GCSR1 cells were aneuploid with a median chromosome number 65 (XXY). There were multiple copies of chromosomes A1-A3, B4, B5, C7-C12, E17 and E18; deletions of C6, D13 and G22; and some unknown chromosomes in GCSR1 (Fig. 3).

**Sequencing analysis of P53 and P16 mutations.** Mutation analysis was performed in GCSR1 cells for the tumor suppressor genes, P53 and P16, respectively. P53 gene exhibited CAT→CGT missense mutation (histidine→arginine) in codon 193 of exon 6 (Fig. 4A), while no other mutations in exon 5 or exons 7 and 8 were found (data not shown). Homozygous loss of codons 1 and 3 was observed in P16 (Fig. 4B).
Drug sensitivity assay. To clarify the drug sensitivity of GCSR1 cells, DDP, 5-FU, MMC and EPI were examined. The SGC7901 (20) (intestinal gastric cancer) and KATO-III (16) (signet ring cell gastric cancer) cell lines were used as positive control. The IC50 of GCSR1 to DDP, 5-FU, MMC, and EPI were 8.1, 34.99, 5.4 and 2.2 µg/ml, respectively, after 72-h exposure (Fig. 5A-D). The IC50 of SGC7901 to DDP, 5-FU, MMC, and EPI were 1.3, 5.8, 0.5 and 5.9 µg/ml, respectively (Fig. 5E-H). The IC50 of KATO-III cells to DDP, 5-FU, MMC and EPI were 18.45, 20.51, 1.97 and 7.75 µg/ml, respectively (Fig. 5I-L).
Figure 6. Expression of CD44 and/or CD133. (A and B) 99.1% cells were CD44-positive and 29.8% were CD133-positive in GCSR1 analyzed by flow cytometry. (C) Nearly all CD133-positive cells were CD44-positive. (D and E) 98.6% cells were CD44-positive and 0% were CD133-positive in SGC7901 cells. (F) However, CD44(+)/CD133(+) SGC7901 cells were only 0.2% positive. (G, H and I) In cell line KATO-Ⅲ, only 0.2% of cells were CD44-positive, 0.9% cells were CD133-positive and only 0.3% cells were CD44(+)CD133(+).

Figure 7. Self-renewal ability in vivo. (A and B) Appearance of a subcutaneous GCSR1 and SGC7901 tumors in five nude mice. The GCSR1 cells formed tumors in 100% of mice (5 of 5 mice) but SGC7901 cells only formed tumor in 60% mice. (C and D) Tumor growth curve of GCSR1 cells and SGC7901 cells after subcutaneous injection.
GCSR1 cells were more resistant than the SGC7901 cells to three drugs: 6.20-fold for DDP, 6.0-fold for 5-FU and 10.8-fold for MMC (Fig. 5M); and more resistant than the KATO-III cells to two drugs: 1.7-fold for 5-FU and 2.74-fold for MMC (Fig. 5M). These results indicate that GCSR1 is a multi-drug resistant cell line.

CD44 and CD133 expression assay. Flow cytrometry was performed to clarify the proportion of CSCs that was high in GCSR1, the results showed that 99.2% of GCSR1 cells were CD44-positive (Fig. 6A) and 29.5% were CD133-positive (Fig. 6B). Interestingly, almost all the CD133-positive cells were also CD44-positive (Fig. 6C). By contrast, 98.6% of SGC7901 cells were CD44-positive (Fig. 6D) and 29.5% were CD133-positive (Fig. 6E). In the cell line KATO-III, only 0.2% of the cells were CD44-positive (Fig. 6G), 0.9% were CD133-positive (Fig. 6H), and 0.3% were CD44+CD133+ (Fig. 6I). These results show that high proportion of stem cells partially explained the drug resistance in GCSR1 cells.

Tumorigenicity in vivo. In order to confirm that the GCSR1 cells have higher proportion of stem cells, we examined self-renewal ability in vivo, which is the most important characteristic of CSCs (21). Viable cells (6x10^6) were implanted by s.c. injection into 5 nude mice. The GCSR1 cells demonstrated a significant tumor growth, with 100% tumor formation rate (Fig. 7A), while only 60% was seen in SGC7901 (Fig. 7b) and 0% in KATO-III (data not shown). Tumor volumes in GCSR1 (Fig. 7C) were larger than SGC7901 (Fig. 7D) and KATO-III (data not shown). These data indicate that GCSR1 had abundant stem cells.

Migration and invasion assay. In vitro transwell migration and invasion assays were used to examine the migratory and invasive potential of the GCSR1 cells. GCSR1 showed both migration and invasion after 12 h, which were weaker than those in SGC7901 (Fig. 8), while KATO-III showed no migration or invasion (data not shown).

Western blots of HER2, EGFR and EMT markers. HER pathway played a critical role in stem cell survival (22) and...
trastuzumab has been proved to target HER2 effectively in advanced gastric cancer (23). EMT markers were reported to be associated with drug resistance and stem cell phenotype (24-28). The expression of these proteins is important for understanding drug resistance and finding potential drug target markers for drug resistant cell line GCSR1. Western blot analyses revealed high expression of HER2 and EGFR in GCSR1, compared with SGC7901 and KATO-III as positive control (Fig. 9). These results demonstrate that HER2 and EGFR can be potential therapy targets in GCSR1. Western blot analyses also revealed that GCSR1 had acquired the expression of fibroblastic-related protein such as vimentin and lost the expression of epithelial specific gene E-cad (Fig. 9), indicating that GCSR1 was transformed to myofibroblast-like cells via epithelial-mesenchymal transition.

Discussion

Chemotherapy is a major treatment strategy for gastric cancer, but its efficacy is poor. The main reason for drug failure is multidrug resistance (MDR), of which the mechanisms in gastric cancer are complex and have not been clarified. A number of studies have been conducted to reveal the cellular mechanisms of drug resistance in gastric cancer. The most widely used experimental models are the acquired drug-resistant cell lines, which were selected in the presence of cytotoxic agents. By comparing the naive parental cells to these drug-resistant cell lines using cellular and molecular biology tools, many drug-resistance associated molecules have been revealed (29). The classical drug-resistant molecules are P-glycoprotein/ABCB1 and MRP1/ABCC1, which played important roles in mediating MDR in some gastric cancers (30). However, the drug resistance is complex in clinic and has not been fully solved. New experimental models, especially primary drug resistance cell lines established from clinical samples is required for drug resistance study. GCSR1, which is a new cell line established from a SRCGC patient, showed stronger multi-drug resistance to chemotherapies compared to other cell lines such as SGC7901 and KATO-III. Our results show that GCSR1 holds high homogeneity to the original tumor tissues, so it can represent not only the primary tumor, but also the primary drug resistant model, better than some other established cell lines. It is a useful model for investigation of the mechanisms of gastric cancer drug resistance.

Cancer stem cells have been studied in SGC7901 and KATO-III (12,15), and the results show that these two cell lines contained CD44+ cells but showed weak tumorigenicity in vivo. By contrast, GCSR1 had higher proportions of CD44 stem cells (99.8%) and showed self-renewal ability both in vitro and in vivo. High proportion of stem cells partially explains the malignancy and drug resistance in GCSR1. Our laboratory has also established a patient-derived human tumor tissue (PDTT) model (31), which is derived from the same patient as the GCSR1 cells. Therefore, further study will need to test the GCSR1 cell line together with the stable PDTT model to confirm the stem cell function in drug resistance.

Targeting therapies have become more attractive as therapeutic strategies in gastric cancer and HER2 is of particular interest as a drug target especially for advanced gastric cancer (23). We tested HER2 expression in GCSR1 and found it was highly expressed compared with that in SGC7901 and KATO-III. The results indicate that trastuzumab, a monoclonal antibody that interferes with the HER2 receptor, may be considered for the treatment of GCSR1.

Recently, intra-tumor heterogeneity has been clarified for cancer treatment, which was a topic in AACR Annual Meeting 2014. Thus, an in vitro model that can mimic the situation of intra-tumor heterogeneity is urgently needed. However, long-term cell lines in vitro lead to the selection of subpopulations over time. On the contrary, primary cell lines keep the heterogeneity of the primary tumor and thus provide a useful tool for further study. Moreover, we also established further nine sub-clones from the early passage cells from the same tumor tissue along with GCSR1. These sub-clones display significant heterogeneity in many aspects. We are now conducting more experiments to further study intra tumor heterogeneity of SRCGC. In conclusion, GCSR1 is a well-established, well-characterized multi-drug resistant cell line with abundant cancer stem cells.
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